have values of  $\Delta H^{0}{}_{\rm vap}$  and  $\Delta S^{0}{}_{\rm vap}$  agreeing reasonably well with the Barclav-Butler equation.<sup>18</sup> Certainly ammonia and water both interact strongly with water and the present comparison suggests that  $HgCl_2$  also does. In this connection, it is to be noted that Allen and Warhurst,<sup>19</sup> in their correlation with dielectric constant of the frequency of the Raman line associated with the symmetrical vibration of HgCl<sub>2</sub> in various solvents, did not feel compelled to suggest specific bonding between HgCl<sub>2</sub> and water; of the solvents they studied, only pyridine and dioxane were suggested to form bonds of appreciable strength with HgCl<sub>2</sub>. Their results were, however, taken to indicate a stronger interaction of the polar Hg-Cl bonds with water than exists with many solvents of lower dielectric constants (e.g., benzene, certain esters, certain alcohols, acetone and acetonitriles).

The Latimer and Jolly approach<sup>10</sup> to the prediction of  $\Delta S^0$  values for complex ion formation reactions has not been tested adequately for reactions involving charged ligands, for in such cases the manner in which the "charge effect" should be handled has not been solved. Latimer and Jolly consider the "charge effect" to be small, but the evidence they cite for this is marred by their consideration of reactions with  $\Delta n \neq 0$ . By combining the values of  $\Delta S^0$  for certain reactions studied in the present work and in the mercury(II)-bromide studies of Scaife and Tyrrell<sup>9,20</sup> one can check,

(18) Professor H. S. Frank pointed this out to us.
(19) G. Allen and E. Warhurst, Trans. Faraday Soc., 54, 1786 (1958).

(20) The values of  $\Delta S^0$  for reactions 3 and 4 in the bromide series at I = 0.5 M are -0.5 and  $\sim 0$  e.u., respectively.

using reactions with  $\Delta n = 0$ , the Latimer and Jolly prediction regarding reactions involving complexes with charged ligands but in which the value of  $\Delta Z^2$  is zero. These reactions are

$$Br^{-} + \frac{1}{a} HgCl_{a}^{+2-a} = Cl^{-} + \frac{1}{a} HgBr_{a}^{+2-a}$$

with a = 2, 3 and 4, and

$$I^{-} + \frac{1}{4}HgCl_{4}^{-} = Cl^{-} + \frac{1}{4}HgI_{4}^{-}$$

The Latimer and Jolly approach predicts  $\Delta S^0$ values of -3.3 e.u. for the bromide reactions and -7.4 e.u. for the iodide reaction.<sup>21</sup> The experimental values are -5.2, -4.2 and -4.2 e.u. for the bromide reactions with a = 2, 3 and 4, respectively, and -8.3 e.u. for the iodide reaction. The agreement is probably within the total uncertainty, that of the experimental values of  $\Delta S^0$  and of the experimentally determined quantities which went into the predicted values. (Since the value of  $\Delta Z^2$  for each reaction is zero, it is expected that the value of  $\Delta S^0$ will be approximately independent of I between I= 0.5 and I = 0.) The correlation is good enough in any case to suggest that the mercury(II)-bromide and mercury(II)-iodide species involved have the same coördination number as the corresponding mercury(II)-chloride species.<sup>22</sup>

(21) In making the predictions, the entropy values used were:  $\tilde{S}^0_{OI^-} = 13.2$ ,  $\tilde{S}^0_{Br^-} = 19.3$ ,  $\tilde{S}^0_{I^-} = 26.1$ ,  $S_{OI^-(bound)} = 8.1$ ,  $S_{Br^-(bound)} = 10.9$  and  $S_{I^-(bound)} = 13.6$  e.u. (These values were taken from W. M. Latimer, "Oxidation Potentials," 2nd Ed., Prentice-Hall, Inc., New York, N. V., 1952).

(22) ADDED IN PROOF.—Scaife and Tyrrell<sup>9</sup> have suggested, however, that tribromomercury(II) ion is the tetrahedral species  $Hg(OH_2)$ -Brs<sup>-</sup>

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, UNIVERSITY PARK, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA]

# Trifluoromethyl-bromo-phosphines and Phosphoranes<sup>1</sup>

### BY ANTON B. BURG AND JAMES E. GRIFFITHS

RECEIVED DECEMBER 24, 1959

The removal of  $CF_3$  groups from phosphorus(III) by the action of bromine is understood in terms of formation of the phosphoranes  $(CF_3)_n PBr_{5-n}$ , which lose  $CF_3Br$  in reverting to phosphorus(III) compounds. A number of intermediate and parallel processes are recognizable. The transfer of  $Br_2$  from  $(CF_3)_3 PBr_2$  to  $(CF_3)_2 PBr$ , from  $(CF_3)_2 PBr_3$  to  $CF_3 PBr_2$  and from  $CF_3 PBr_4$  to  $PBr_3$  correlates with greater stability for phosphoranes having more Br and fewer  $CF_3$  groups.

The cleavage of the P–CF<sub>3</sub> bond by halogens has been known for some time; for example the biphosphine  $P_2(CF_3)_4$  heated with excess iodine or bromine eventually yields all of the CF<sub>3</sub> groups as CF<sub>3</sub>I or CF<sub>3</sub>Br, or (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>P with I<sub>2</sub> gives CF<sub>3</sub>I, (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PI, CF<sub>3</sub>PI<sub>2</sub> and PI<sub>3</sub>.<sup>2</sup> However, these reactions have been carried on only at relatively high temperatures and little has been known of their intermediate stages.

Our initial studies of the  $P_2(CF_3)_4$ -Br<sub>2</sub> reaction indicated the formation of the phosphorane  $(CF_3)_2$ -PBr<sub>3</sub> and suggested that the loss of CF<sub>3</sub>Br from this

(2) F. W. Bennett, H. J. Emeléus and R. N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc. 1565 (1953).

and other phosphoranes might be the chief mechanism for removing the highly electronegative  $CF_3$ group from its bond to phosphorus. This bromine reaction seemed best for further study, since iodophosphoranes cannot be prepared at convenient temperatures, if at all, and chlorophosphoranes tend to be either too stable—*e.g.*,  $CF_3PCl_4^3$ —or explosive under certain conditions—*e.g.*,  $(CF_3)_3PCl_2^2$ However, the intermediate bromophosphoranes proved to be both recognizable and subject to decomposition under mild conditions.

The first step of the action of bromine upon  $P_{2^{-}}(CF_3)_4$  is the virtually quantitative P–P bond cleavage

$$(CF_3)_2 P - P(CF_3)_2 + Br_2 \longrightarrow 2(CF_3)_2 PBr \qquad (1)$$

This is followed by the almost quantitative conver-(3) W. Mahler and A. B. Burg, THIS JOURNAL, **80**, 6161 (1958).

<sup>(1)</sup> This research was supported by the United States Air Force under Contract AF 33(616)-5435 (Subcontract No. 1) monitored by the Materials Laboratory, Wright Air Development Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

sion of the  $(CF_3)_2PBr$  to the phosphorane  $(CF_3)_2$ -PBr<sub>3</sub> (m.p.  $6.0-9.4^{\circ}$ ), which cannot be characterized quite satisfactorily on account of the slow decomposition

$$2(CF_3)_2PBr_3 \longrightarrow 2CF_3Br + PBr_3 + (CF_3)_2PBr \quad (2)$$

A reasonable hypothesis concerning this decomposition, to account for the various experimental observations as well as the over-all stoichiometry, would be this set of component processes

$$(CF_3)_2 PBr_3 \longrightarrow CF_3 Br + CF_3 PBr_2$$
 (3)

$$(CF_3)_2PBr_3 + CF_3PBr_2 \longrightarrow (CF_3)_2PBr + CF_3PBr_4 \quad (4)$$

$$CF_3PBr_4 \longrightarrow CF_3Br + PBr_3$$
 (5)

complicated by

$$CF_3PBr_4 + PBr_3 \longrightarrow CF_3PBr_2 + PBr_5$$
 (6)

$$PBr_5 \longrightarrow PBr_3 + Br_2$$
 (7)

$$CF_3PBr_2 + Br_2 \longrightarrow CF_3PBr_4$$
 (8)

Reactions (5), (6) and (8) were verified by individual experiments. The completion of reaction (7) above 65° has been demonstrated elsewhere.<sup>4</sup> Reactions (3) and (4) could not be separated: when (3) was tried, it supplied CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> for the similarly rapid reaction (4), and when (4) was tried, the stoichiometry could be balanced only by assuming some occurrence of (3). It seems highly probable that (3) is the first step in the over-all process (2); the alternative disproportionation

$$2(CF_3)_2PBr_3 \longrightarrow (CF_3)_3PBr_2 + CF_3PBr_4 \qquad (9)$$

is not a probable part of the process, for  $(CF_3)_3$ -PBr<sub>2</sub> decomposes more easily than (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr<sub>3</sub>, and would have produced  $(CF_3)_3P$ , which could not be found among the products. Certainly process (2) is well represented by the sum of reactions (3), (4) and (5); and it seems that the complicating effect of (6)(which we have found to be complete in 30 min. at  $35^{\circ}$ ) is overcome by (7), occurring appreciably only at higher temperatures.4

The foregoing discussion suggests also a reasonable scheme of reactions whereby a halogen acts upon  $(CF_3)_3P$  to form  $(CF_3)_2PX$  and  $CF_3PX_2$  compounds. In particular, the action of bromine on tris-(trifluoromethyl)-phosphine can be represented by the sequence

$$4(\mathbf{CF}_3)_{\mathfrak{z}}\mathbf{P} + 4\mathbf{Br}_2 \longrightarrow 4(\mathbf{CF}_3)_{\mathfrak{z}}\mathbf{PBr}_2 \qquad (10)$$

$$2(CF_3)_3PBr_2 \longrightarrow 2(CF_3)_3P + 2Br_2 \qquad (11)$$

$$2(CF_3)_3PBr_2 \longrightarrow 2CF_3Br + 2(CF_3)_2PBr (12)$$

$$2(CF_3)_2 PBr + 2Br_2 \longrightarrow 2(CF_3)_2 PBr_3$$
(13)

$$2(CF_3)_2 PBr_3 \longrightarrow 2CF_3Br + PBr_3 + (CF_3)_2 PBr \quad (2)$$

Thus some of the  $(CF_3)_3P$  would be regained by reaction (11), which would supply bromine for reaction (13). The formation of  $CF_3PBr_2$  can be ascribed to reaction (3). The elimination of  $CF_3Br$  from  $(CF_3)_3PBr_2$  (eq. 12) was not proved directly, but it seems more probable than the disproportionation of  $(CF_3)_3PBr_2$ , in the light of arguments already presented.

It is interesting that reactions (4) and (6) and the sum of (11) and (13) all imply that the trifluoromethylbromophosphoranes are stabler with more Br and less CF3. Evidently the P-Br bond in  $(CF_3)_n PBr_{5-n}$  becomes weaker with increasing n. In fact, reaction (10) could be recognized only by the

(4) G. S. Harris and D. S. Payne, J. Chem. Soc., 3732 (1958).

complete fading out of the bromine color, whereas the more brominated phosphoranes could be obtained as more distinctly recognizable compounds.

A primary reason for the weakening of P-Br bonding by CF3 groups probably is steric interference; more subtle effects such as different hybridization for maximum overlap in P-CF<sub>3</sub> vs. P-Br bonds, or the induction of greater electronegativity for P, so that the P-Br bonds become less polar, are difficult to evaluate.

The above discussion is supported by the following experimental details.

## Apparatus, Techniques and Materials

Various experiments involving mercury-reactive substances were performed in a mercury-free high-vacuum manifold, with the stopcocks lubricated by a chloro-fluorocarbon grease.<sup>5</sup> When mercury manometers could not be used directly, pressure measurements were done by means of a null-indicating Pyrex-glass sickle gauge,<sup>6</sup> placed with the pointer vertically upward so that the sample could be completely immersed in a constant-temperature bath for vapor tensions above room temperature. Above  $-25^{\circ}$ , temperatures were measured by mercury-in-glass thermometers, and for lower temperatures the appropriate vapor-tension thermometers<sup>7</sup> were employed.

Infrared absorption spectra were recorded by means of a Perkin-Elmer Infracord instrument with sodium chloride optics, using a gas-cell 71 mm. long and having potassium bromide windows fastened by Apiezon W wax.

Bromine was purified by repeated contact with  $P_4O_{10}$  and high-vacuum distillation through one trap at  $-45^{\circ}$  to another at  $-78^{\circ}$ . The CF<sub>3</sub>-P-I phosphines were made by known methods,<sup>2,8</sup> as was also the biphosphine  $P_2(CF_3)$ ,<sup>2</sup> This showed 22.0 mm. pressure at 0°, as found earlier by W. Mahler in these Laboratories Mahler in these Laboratories.

### The Compound Bis-(trifluoromethyl)-bromophosphine

Synthesis. – A mixture of  $0.306 \, \text{mmole}$  of  $P_2(CF_3)_4$  with 0.227Synthesis.—A mixture of 0.306 mmole of  $P_2(CF_3)_4$  with 0.227mmole of Br<sub>2</sub> reacted at room temperature, with immediate fading of the bromine color. For certain completion of the reaction, the mixture was heated for 40 hr. in a sealed tube at 90° and then resolved by high-vacuum fractional condensa-tion. The resulting 0.435 mmole of  $(CF_3)_2PBr$  (mol. wt. 249.8; calcd., 248.9) represented 96% of the Br<sub>2</sub>. It con-densed out at  $-105^\circ$ , having passed a trap at  $-78^\circ$ , which retained the unused  $P_2(CF_3)_4$  (21.9 mm. at 0°; 0.072 mmole of calcd. 0.079). The only other component was 0.016 mmole of CF<sub>3</sub>Br (mol. wt. 145; calcd., 148.6). If a large excess of bromine is used for reaction with

If a large excess of bromine is used for reaction with  $P_2(CF_3)_4$ , all  $CF_3$  groups are removed at temperatures as low as 90°. The experiment was not tried directly, but the conclusion can be argued from the above synthesis and reactions (2) and (13). With a  $Br_2: P_2(CF_3)_4$  ratio between 2 and 1, the products are more various, as described later.

An alternative synthesis was by the reaction of (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PI An alternative synthesis was by the reaction of  $(CF_3)_2PI$ with silver bromide, 10 g. of which was kept in a sealed tube with 11.28 mmoles of  $(CF_3)_2PI$  (44.0 mm. at 0°, in accord with the known value<sup>8</sup>) for 96 hr. The yield of  $(CF_3)_2PBr$ was 11.03 mmoles, or 97.8%. However, it was not possible to remove a trace of unused  $(CF_3)_2PI$ , which was detectable by its action upon mercury. The pure sample of  $(CF_3)_2$ -PBr, made by the cleavage of  $P_2(CF_3)_4$ , proved to be inert toward mercury at 25° and showed 140.0 mm. pressure at 0°. The sample from the AgBr-(CF<sub>3</sub>)\_2PI reaction showed 132.3 mm. pressure at 0°.

132.3 mm. pressure at 0°. Confirmation of the Formula.—Alkaline hydrolysis of 100.7 mg. of the product gave 0.811 mmole of HCF<sub>3</sub> (calcd., 0.810; mol. wt. 70.0, as calcd.; vapor tension 93.5 mm. at  $-111.6^{\circ}$  vs. calcd. 94.0 mm.). The gas-phase mol. wt. was determined as 248.9, exactly as calcd. for (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr. Volatility.—The tensiometrically uniform product from the bromine-cleavage of P<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> had the vapor-tension

(5) Halocarbon Stop-Cock Grease, from Halocarbon Products Corporation, Hackensack, New Jersey.

(6) T. E. Phipps, M. L. Spealman and T. G. Cooke, J. Chem. Ed., 12, 321 (1935).

(7) A. Stock, Z. Elektrochem., 29, 354 (1923).

(8) A. B. Burg, W. Mahler, A. J. Bilbo, C. P. Haber and D. L. Herring, THIS JOURNAL, 79, 247 (1957).

(calcd.) ...

Vol. 82

values shown in Table I. These determined the equation  $\log p_{\rm mm.} = 5.8940 - 0.00566 T + 1.75 \log T - 1766.5/T$ , giving the normal b.p. as  $42.2^{\circ}$  and the Trouton constant as 21.0 cal./deg. mole. The m.p. could not be observed because the material formed a place at law terms returns. cause the material formed a glass at low temperatures.

TABLE	1
TUDDE	

VAPOR TENSIONS OF LIQUID (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr

(°C.)	$p_{mm}$ . (obsd.)	$p_{mm}$ . (calcd.)	t	p (obsd.)	p (calcd.)
-45.6	9.86	9.89	0.0	140.0	140.0
-31.0	25.23	25.23	7.9	200.9	201.6
-23.2	39.7	40.6	14.8	270.9	271.7
-10.3	84.5	83.5	23.4	382.2	382.2

### The Compound Trifluoromethyl-dibromophosphine

Synthesis.-A 4.92 mmole sample of CF3PI2 (slightly contaminated with iodine) was shaken periodically with silver bromide (8.0 g.) in a sealed tube at room temperature, dur-ing one week. The product was subjected to high-vacuum

fractional condensation, passing a trap at -35° and condens-ing at -78°. The yield was 4.55 mmoles (92.5%). Confirmation of Formula.—The mol. wt. of the product was determined in the vapor phase, as 260.0 (calcd., 259.8). The alkaline hydrolysis of an 89.1 mg. sample gave 0.347 mmole of authenticated HCF<sub>8</sub> (calcd., 0.343 mmole). The infrared spectrum (see Table III) corresponded to expectations for CF<sub>8</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub>. Volatility — The vapor tensions of a transmittin "

tions for CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub>. Volatility.—The vapor tensions of a tensiometrically uni-form sample of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> were obtained by means of the sickle gauge. The results, shown in Table II, determined the equation log  $p_{mm.} = 3.8579 - 0.00206 T + 1.75 \log T - 1694.74/T$ , according to which the normal b.p. would be 86.7° and the Trouton constant 21.7 cal./deg. mole.

#### TABLE II

#### VAPOR TENSIONS OF LIQUID CF3PBr2

t (°C.)	⊅mm. (ob <b>s</b> d.)	$p_{mm}$ . (caled.)	t	\$ (obsd.)	p (calcd.)	
-23.2	5.8	5.8	14.2	47.2	46.8	
- 9.7	12.9	13.1	22.8	70.2	70.3	
0.0	22.6	22.6	30.4	98.5	98.5	
6.7	32.3	32.2	37.3	131.5	131.9	

This substance also formed a glass at low temperatures, so that the m.p. could not be observed.

### Infrared Spectra

The infrared spectra of  $(CF_3)_2PBr$  and  $CF_3PBr_2$  in the sodium-chloride region are summarized in Table III. They are similar to those of other CF<sub>3</sub>-P-halides of P(III), conforming to the general observation that the frequencies of C–F stretching vibrations in CF<sub>3</sub>-P compounds are split into n + 1 bands, where n is the number of CF<sub>3</sub> groups on each phosphorus atom.2,3

TABLE III

VIBRATION	Frequencies	OF	$(CF_3)_2PBr$	AND	$\mathrm{CF_3PBr_2}$	
(0.0.1.55			<b>A D T</b>	20		

(	CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> PBr	~CF3PBr2			
Freq. (cm. <sup>-1</sup> )	Assignment	Freq. (cm. <sup>-1</sup> )	Assignment		
2275 w.	$2 \times 1145$	2265 vw.	$2 \times 1135$		
1425 vw. 1315 s. 1282 s.	overtones or combinations	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 1410\mathrm{vw.}\\ 1300\mathrm{m.}\\ 1270\mathrm{w.}\end{array}\right\}$	Overtones or combinations		
1220 vs. 1177 vs. 1145 vs.	C-F stretching	1190 vs. 1135 vs. $\}$	C-F stretching		
755 s. 728 vw.	C–F bending	745 s.	C-F bending		

#### The Trifluoromethyl-Bromo-Phosphoranes

The Tribromophosphorane; Reaction (2) —An equimolar mixture (1.03 mmoles each) of  $(CF_3)_2PBr$  and  $Br_2$  was warmed to room temperature, with immediate fading of the bromine

color. The product was subjected to high-vacuum fractional condensation at  $-55^{\circ}$  (removing 0.08 mmole of CF3Br) and then appeared as a white solid melting to a pale yellow liquid in the range  $6.0-9.4^\circ$ . It was not appreciably volatile at  $0^\circ$ . A 0.414 mmole sample of this product, pre-sumed to be  $(CF_3)_2PBr_3$ , decomposed slowly at room tem-perature and completely at  $90^\circ$ , with transient appearance of two solids. The first of these had the m.p.  $(28^{\circ})$  and bright orange color of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>4</sub>. The second, having the lemon-yellow color of PBr<sub>5</sub> (per reaction 6) disappeared with rising temperature, to form a bromine-like vapor.

After the decomposition was complete (as judged by the absence of color) high-vacuum fractional condensation was used to isolate and purify the products, which could be iden-tified by their molecular weights. The yields and molecular weights are shown in relation to equation (2) as

	$2(CF_3)$	$_{2}PBr_{3} \longrightarrow$	$2CF_3Br$	+ PBr₃ ·	$+ (CF_3)_2 PBr$
Amount (r	nmole)	0.414	0.412	0.188	0.210
Mol. wt. (	obsd.)		148.7	271.7	252.5

148.9

270.7

248.9

The 9% deficiency in the yield of PBr<sub>3</sub> is attributed to its tendency to dissolve in the halocarbon stopcock greasean effect which could not be entirely overcome. For further identification its vapor tension was measured as 4.0 mm. at 29° (calcd. from literature equations, 3.9 mm.).

The Tetrabromophosphorane; Reaction (5) —A bright-The relationship hosphorate; Reaction (5).—A blight-orange-colored solid, melting almost completely in the range  $28.3-28.5^{\circ}$ , was formed from 0.537 mmole of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> and 0.406 mmole of Br<sub>2</sub>, slowly warmed together from  $-196^{\circ}$ . No free Br<sub>2</sub> could be recovered by distillation at  $-64^{\circ}$  but it was possible to isolate 0.075 mmole of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr and 0.128 mmole of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> (mol. wt. 258.8; calcd., 259.8), leaving a product having the composition of 0.40 mmole of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>4</sub>. On heating for 30 min. at 90°, the sample became colorless; and after 16 hr. at that temperature, the products were separated and measured. The over-all process (corrected for the initial excess of  $CF_3PBr_2$ ) is expressed by the following equation, with quantities and evidences of identity.

 $CF_3PBr_2 + Br_2 \longrightarrow CF_3PBr_4 \longrightarrow CF_3Br + PBr_3$ 

Amount (mmole) 0 Mol. wt.	. 402	0.405	(orange)	0.404	0.403
(obsd.) 20 (caled.) 2	60.7 59.8	$159.3 \\ 159.8$			•••••
M.p. (obsd.) (known)					-39.2° -40.0°

Evidence of Reactions (3) and (4).—The reaction between (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> was most reasonably explained in terms of equations (3) and (4), neither of which could be separately verified to the exclusion of the other. A sample of  $(CF_3)_2PBr_3$ was made from 0.312 mmole each of (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr and Br<sub>2</sub> at room temperature and treated with 0.311 mmole of CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub>. After 66 hr. at 27° the yield of CF<sub>3</sub>Br (0.05 mmole) indicated only 16% completion of the reaction, but a 12-hr. heating in a sealed tube at 95° brought the process to completion, as shown by the following tabulation of products and evidences of identity.

Compound	CF <sub>3</sub> Br	(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> PBr	$CF_3PBr_2$	$PBr_3$
Mmoles (obsd.)	0.307	0.206	0.208	0.140
(calcd.)	0.312	0.206	0.211	0.206
Mol. wt. (obsd.)		248.9	261.4	
(caled.)		248.9	259.8	
Volatility of CF	3Br: 25	51.8 mm. at	-78.5 (ca	led. 252.0
mm.); volatility o	f PBr <sub>3</sub> jı	idged qualit	atively.	

The calculated quantities here are based upon the assumption that 0.106 mmole of (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr<sub>3</sub> went to form  $CF_3Br$  and  $CF_3PBr_2$  (eq. 3), so that the use of the remaining  $0.206 \text{ mmole of } (CF_3)_2 PBr_3$ for the transfer of Br2 to CF3PBr2 (eq. 4) required only 0.100 mmole of the 0.311 mmole initial sample of  $CF_3PBr_2$ . The low yield of  $PBr_3$  again is to be ascribed to the condition of the stopcocks: with time there is an increase in the amount of grease squeezed out into the space available to the vapors, and a trace of bromine in the grease makes it especially effective for absorbing PBr<sub>3</sub>.

The Bromine-transfer Reaction (6).—A 0.242 mmole sample of CF<sub>2</sub>PBr<sub>4</sub> was made by mixing equimolar portions sample of  $CF_3PBr_2$  and  $Br_2$ , which reacted completely within a few minutes at 0°. Then 0.311 mmole of PBr<sub>3</sub> (m.p. -39.2°; reported value, -40.0°) was added and the mixture was heated for 30 min. at 35°. Now it was possible to isolate neared for 30 mm, at 35. Now it was possible to isolate 0.03 mmole of  $CF_3Br$  and 0.212 mmole of  $CF_3PBr_2$  (volatility 22.8 mm, at 0°; known, 22.6 mm.). The remaining mixture weighed 118.2 mg.; calcd. as  $PBr_3 + PBr_5$ , 118.7 mg. The PBr<sub>5</sub> appeared as a lemon-yellow solid even at 45° and could be sublimed *in vacuo* at room temperature,

Tris-(trifluoromethyl)-phosphine with Bromine.—Equi-molar samples of  $(CF_1)_2P$  and  $Br_2$  were brought together by condensation at  $-196^\circ$  and warmed to room temperature. The initially immiscible liquids reacted slowly to form a single colorless liquid, having the composition of the formula  $(CF_3)_3PBr_2$ . In the initial experiment this product was heated for 18 hr at 80°, with results roughly summarized by the over-all empirical equation

$$8(CF_3)_3PBr_2 \longrightarrow 8CF_3Br + CF_3PBr_2 + 3(CF_3)_2PBr + 3(CF_3)_3P + PBr_3$$

This experiment was regarded as tentative because the fraction representing the  $(CF_3)_2PBr$  and  $(CF_3)_3P$  was not fully resolved; however, the weight of this fraction, taken with the accurately observed yields of CF3Br and CF3PBr2, required this equation for a correct material balance.

A considerably more accurate experiment began with 1.008 mmole of  $(CF_{3})_{3}P$  and 1.005 mmole of  $Br_{2}$ . A 9 hr. heating at 90° yielded a mixture which was resolved, by high-vacuum fractional condensation, into the four fractions:

(a) CF<sub>3</sub>Br, 150.0 mg. (1.007 mmoles); mol. wt. 148.8 (calcd., 148.9); 252.3 mm. at  $-78.5^{\circ}$  (calcd., 252.0 mm.). (b) CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> + PBr<sub>3</sub>, 43.7 mg. (0.165 mmole by rough volume msmt.). This was hydrolyzed in 15% NaOH (30 hr. at 100°) to give 11.1 mg. of HCF<sub>8</sub> (0.159 mmole; mol. wt. 69.8 vs. caled., 70.0); then a Volhard determination of bromide in acid solution showed 0.338 meq. Hence the fraction seemed to consist of 0.159 mmole of  $CF_{4}PBr_{2}$  with 0.007 mmole of PBr<sub>s</sub>.

(c)  $(CF_3)_2PBr + (CF_3)_3P$ , 180.5 mg. (0.749 mmole by volume). An analysis as in (b) gave 1.752 mmoles of HCF<sub>3</sub> and 0.320 meq. of Br<sup>-</sup>; however, these results would imply only 0.371 minole of  $(CF_4)_3P$  and 0.320 mmole of  $(CF_3)_2PBr$ , falling short of the observed 0.749 mmole for the two together.

(d) PBr<sub>s</sub>, 26.8 mg. (0.099 mmole by volume); mol. wt. 271 (caled., 270.7); 2.7 mm. at 23.5° (caled., 2.7).

This analysis is summarized by an empirical equation, with calculated and observed results (in mmoles) attached to the formulas, as follows:

	$32(CF_3)_3PBr_2$ —	→ 32CF₃Br +	- 5CF <sub>2</sub> PBr <sub>2</sub>	+ 3PBr:
calcd.	1.005	1.005	0.157	0.094
obsd.	1.005	1.007	0.159	0.106
		+ 12(CH)	F;)2PBr + 1	2(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> P
calcd.		0.	377	0.377
		~		
obsd.			0.749	

Although much of this process may have gone according to equation (12), processes (11), (13) and (2) also would account for the equimolar yield of CF<sub>3</sub>Br and seem necessary to explain the formation of (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>P, CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub> and PBr<sub>3</sub>. The alternative idea that these formed by disproportionation of (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr seems to require that CF<sub>3</sub>Br bereabsorbed by  $(CF_3)_2PBr$  to form  $(CF_3)_3PBr_2$ . Such a step would contradict the whole trend of individually observed reactions favoring the more highly brominated phosphoranes.

#### **Reactions Involving Mercury**

Bis-(trifluoromethyl)-bromophosphine and Mercury .---Although (CF<sub>8</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr seems to be quite inert toward mercury at room temperature, a 0.321 mmole sample, heated with mercury for 16 hr. at 100° (without shaking) was 80% conwerted to  $P_2(CF_3)_4$  (0.128 mmole), with recovery of 0.067 mmole of the original (CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PBr.

The Tribromophosphorane with Mercury.—The existence of  $(CF_i)_2PBr_i$  made possible an experiment to determine whether the room-temperature inertness of  $(CF_i)_2PBr$ toward mercury would persist even when this bromophosphine is being formed by a chemical reaction. A 144.3 mg. sample of  $(CF_3)_2PBr_3$  (only slightly contaminated by the de-composition products  $CF_3PBr_4$  and  $PBr_3$ ) reacted rapidly with mercury in a sealed tube at room temperature. After some shaking, the mixture yielded 0.321 mmole of  $(CF_3)_2$ -PBr (calcd., 0.354 mmole; mol. wt. 248.6 vs. calcd., 248.9) as the only volatile product. Thus 91% of the expected  $(CF_3)_2$ PBr withstood the action of mercury during formation.

Triffuoromethyl-dibromophosphine with Mercury.—Un-like  $(CF_3)_2PBr$ , the dibromophosphine  $CF_3PBr_2$  reacts easily with mercury, to form  $CF_3P$ -polymers at room temperature. A 66.2 mg. sample of  $CF_3PBr_2$  (0.255 mmole) was shaken briefly with excess mercury and the products separated. The main component was the equilibrium mixture of (CF<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>4</sub> and (CF<sub>3</sub>P)<sub>5</sub>,<sup>3</sup> altogether amounting to 15.7 mg and representing 70% of the CF<sub>3</sub>PBr<sub>2</sub>. The recovery of this dibromophosphine was 11.0 mg. (17%). The remaining CF<sub>3</sub>P units (13%) presumably were aggregated as involatile higher polymers.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN 12, TEXAS]

# The Effect of Fluorine Substitution on the Rate of Hydrolysis of Chloromethane<sup>1</sup>

### BY JAMES E. BOGGS AND HELENE P. MOSHER

RECEIVED OCTOBER 24, 1959

Rate constants for the hydrolysis of CH<sub>1</sub>Cl, CH<sub>2</sub>FCl and CHF<sub>2</sub>Cl in neutral aqueous solution are reported as a function of temperature. The activation energies decrease slightly with increasing temperature and range between 21 and 23 kcal./ mole for all three substances. The rate constants decrease regularly with increasing fluorination.

There is much qualitative evidence available to indicate that the reactivity of a halogen atom in an aliphatic compound is markedly reduced by the presence of one or more fluorine atoms attached to the same carbon atom. There have been, however,

(1) The authors wish to express their appreciation to the Research Corporation for financial support of the work described.

relatively few quantitative investigations from which the extent of the reduction might be judged.

The problem is of special interest in connection with the relationships between bond length, bond dissociation energy and force constants in the carbon-halogen bonds involved. For carbon-carbon bonds, a shortened bond length is associated with