Experimental evidence for a [2 + 2] mechanism in the Lewis acid-promoted formation of α , β -unsaturated esters from ethoxyacetylene and aldehydes. Synthesis and characterisation of 4-ethoxyoxetes.

Magali Oblin,^a Jean-Luc Parrain,^b Michel Rajzmann^b and Jean-Marc Pons^{*a}[†]

^a Laboratoire Réactivité en Synthèse Organique (RéSo), UMR 6516, Faculté de St-Jérôme, boîte D12,13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France

^b Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique, ESA 6009, Faculté de St-Jérôme, boîte D12, F-13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France

4-Ethoxy-2*H*-oxetes 3a–c were prepared from ethoxyacetylene and alkoxy aldehydes 1a–c through MgBr₂–Et₂O promoted [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction and were characterized at room temperature; their synthesis, which could occur *via* the formation of a chelate, establishes cycloaddition as the initial step in the formation of α , β -unsaturated esters 4a–c.

The formation of α , β -unsaturated esters from aldehydes or ketones and alkoxyacetylenes, under Lewis acid catalysis was first reported by Vieregge *et al.* in 1959.¹ The reaction immediately met with success as demonstrated in a review article published seven years later by the same authors.² In the same paper, the authors proposed that the mechanism involves the formation of an intermediate alkoxyoxete and its conrotatory ring-opening to give the corresponding α , β -unsaturated ester (Scheme 1).



The strongest evidence supporting such a mechanism at that time was the isolation by Middleton of an alkoxyoxete resulting from the non-catalyzed reaction between hexafluoroacetone and ethoxyacetylene.³ Furthermore this oxete underwent a rearrangement to yield the corresponding unsaturated ester. Since then this reaction has attracted many experimental studies⁴ and has even found application in synthesis where it can sometimes be used instead of the Wittig reaction.5 However, to the best of our knowledge, no experimental evidence of the occurrence of a non-metallated oxete⁶ in a Lewis acid catalyzed process has yet been reported. This is probably due to the instability of oxetes which have been seldom isolated or characterized.7 Examples of alkoxyoxetes are also very scarce: in addition to the examples reported by Middleton³ and Zaitseva et al.,⁶ two others can be found in the literature.8,9

As part of an ongoing interest in Lewis acid-promoted [2+2] cycloadditions involving aldehydes,¹⁰ we report the observation and characterization, at room temperature, of 2-ethoxy-2*H*-oxetes **3a–c**.

3-Benzyloxytetradecanal **1a** reacts with ethoxyacetylene **2** under MgBr₂–Et₂O catalysis in CH₂Cl₂ to yield after 30 min 2-(2-benzyloxytridecyl)-4-ethoxy-2*H*-oxete **3a** as a 4:1 mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 2, Table 1, entry 1).‡ 4-Ethoxy-2*H*-oxetes **3b,c**§ were also obtained (both as 9:1 mixtures of diastereomers) from aldehydes **1b,c** and were observed under the same conditions (Scheme 2, Table 1, entries 3 and 4).

The obtention of oxetes 3a-c could result from the formation of chelate A^{11} which then would lead to the 'bicyclic'



cycloadduct-chelate **B**. The rigidity of the chelate structure of **B**, *i.e.* its 'bicyclic' structure, under the reaction conditions, could then prevent the ring opening rearrangement. We have undertaken *ab initio* calculations on a model chelate **C** to establish that such an intermediate is indeed a minimum on a potential energy surface. Calculations were run at the HF/ $6-31G^*$ level of theory. The total energy of **C** was found to be -1693.424205 au.



Further support for this explanation was found in the following experimental results (Table 1). When **2** and **1a** react under BF₃-Et₂O catalysis, the only product we were able to observe is α,β -unsaturated ester **4a** (Table 1, entry 2). Only traces of **3a** were identified during the monitoring of the reaction by TLC. The reaction between **2** and 3-(*tert*-butyldimethylsiloxy)tetradecanal **1d** (R¹ = C₁₁H₂₃; R² = TBDMS; *n* = 1) or hexanal **1e** under BF₃-Et₂O or MgBr₂-Et₂O catalysis leads, in all four cases (Table 1, entries 5-8), to the expected corresponding (*E*)-unsaturated esters **4d**, **e**. Indeed, in all these cases, the formation of chelates analogous to chelates **A** and



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, MgBr₂–OEt₂ (3 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, 15 min, -60 °C; ii, BF₃–OEt₂ (cal.), CH₂Cl₂, 1 min, -30 °C; iii, CH₂Cl₂ or CDCl₃, 2–3 h, room temp.; iv, BF₃–OEt₂ (1 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, 30 min, -60 °C; v, MgBr₂–OEt₂ (3 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, 15 min, -60 °C

Chem. Commun., 1998 1619

Table 1 Preparation of oxetes 3a-c and esters 4a-e (yields are unoptimized) from aldehydes 1a-e

Entry	Aldehyde	Lewis acid	Oxete (d.r.)	(E)-Ester (yield)
1	1a	MgBr ₂ –OEt ₂	3a (4:1)	4a (88%)
2	1a	BF ₃ –OEt ₂	_ `	4a (70%)
3	1b	MgBr ₂ –OEt ₂	3b (9:1)	4b (67%)
4	1c	MgBr ₂ –OEt ₂	3c (9:1)	4c (75%)
5	1d	BF ₃ –OEt ₂	_ `	4d (60%)
6	1d	MgBr ₂ –OEt ₂	_	4d (71%)
7	1e	BF ₃ –OEt ₂	_	4e (56%)
8	1e	MgBr ₂ –OEt ₂	_	4e (73%)

therefore **B** is not possible¹² and hence the conrotatory ring opening takes place.

Finally, although $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ are stable in CDCl₃ solution at $-20 \,^{\circ}$ C,¶ their life-time at room temperature does not exceed 1 or 2 h and is a few seconds in solution at $-30 \,^{\circ}$ C in the presence of BF₃-Et₂O (in all three cases, ring opening leads to esters $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$). This last observation gives further support for the structure of $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ and to our hypothesis of the rigidity of chelate **B**.

In conclusion, we have provided the first evidence of a [2 + 2] mechanism for the studied reaction. We think that the isolation of oxetes **3a–c** at room temperature rests upon the formation of stable chelates **B** which prevents the conrotatory ring opening step of the reaction. Since the formation of **3a–c** occurs with good diasteroselectivity, studies are currently underway to use these as intermediates in synthesis.

We are grateful to Dr François Volatron (CNRS-Orsay) for useful discussions, and to Mrs Roselyne Rosas and Dr Robert Faure (Université d'Aix-Marseille) for NMR experiments.

Notes and References

† E-mail: jean-marc.pons@reso.u-3mrs.fr

[‡] The structure of **3a** lies upon extensive 2D homo- and hetero-nuclear ¹H NMR experiments at 400 MHz. Experimental procedure: a solution of **1a** (0.35 mmol; 111 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 cm³) was added to a suspension of MgBr₂–OEt₂ (1.05 mmol; 270 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 cm³) at -60 °C under argon. After 15 min, a solution of **2** (0.70 mmol; 49 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 cm³) at room temp. was added dropwise to the suspension at -60 °C. TLC monitoring showed the reaction to be completed (no starting material left) after 15 min. The reaction mixture was diluted in light petroleum (10 cm³) and hydrolyzed with ice–water (2 cm³). Filtration and concentration *in vacuo* gave 126 mg of crude product; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) was used to establish the presence of **3a** as a 4 : 1 mixture of the two diastereomers. An increase in the temperature of the reaction mixture, up to -30 °C, prior to the hydrolysis led to unsaturated ester **4a** (88%). *R*_f (light petroleum–Et₂O = 7:3) **1a**:0.5; **3a**: 0.25; **4a**:0.75.

3a: major isomer: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.35–7.25 (5 H, m, PhH), 5.12 (1 H, d, J 7.9, =CH), 4.60 (1 H, m, CH–O–), 4.59 (1 H, part A of AB system, $J_{\rm AB}$ 11.9, –O–C $H_{\rm a}$ H–Ph), 4.52 (1 H, part B of AB system, $J_{\rm AB}$ 11.9,

–O–CH H_b –Ph), 3.89 (1 H, part A of ABX₃ system, J_{AB} 9.6, J_{AX} 7.1, O–C H_a H–Me), 3.86 (1 H, part B of ABX₃ system, J_{AB} 9.6, J_{AX} 7.0, O–CH H_b –Me), 3.66 (1 H, m, CH–OBn), 1.82 (1 H, part A of ABXY system, J_{AB} 14.5, J_{AX} 8.7, J_{AY} 3.7, O–CH–C H_a H–CH–O), 1.72 (1 H, part B of ABXY system, J_{AB} 14.5, J_{AX} 8.7, J_{AY} 3.7, O–CH–C H_a H–CH–O), 1.72 (1 H, part B of ABXY system, J_{AB} 14.5, J_{AX} 7.1, J_{AY} 3.3, O–CH–CH H_b –CH–O), 1.88–1.46 (6 H, m), 1.37–1.18 (14 H, m), 0.87 (3 H, t, J 7.0, –Me), 0.85 (3 H, br t, O–CH₂–Me); δ_c (50.3 MHz, CDCl₃) 138.3 (s), 138.0 (s), 128.5 (d, 2C), 128.0 (d, 2C), 127.9 (d), 111.8 (d), 77.0 (d), 77.1 (t), 68.7 (t), 68.6 (d), 39.8 (t), 33.4 (t), 32.0 (t), 29.8 (t), 29.7 (t, 2C), 29.6 (t, 2C), 29.4 (t), 25.4 (t), 22.8 (t), 14.2 (q, 2C).

§ ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **3b**,c are similar to those described for **3a**. ¶ Oxete **3c** was, for example, kept over 2 months in a NMR tube (¹H concentration). We first imagine that the relative stability of Lewis acid-free **3a** could result from an intramolecular π -stacking (between the double bond and the aromatic ring), but both the formation of **3b** and semiempirical (AM1) calculations were in disagreement with such an explanation.

- H. Vieregge, H. J. T. Bos and J. F. Arens, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1959, **78**, 664; see also H. Vieregge and J. F. Arens, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bays*, 1959, **78**, 921.
- 2 H. Vieregge, H. M. Schmidt, J. Renema, H. J. T. Bos and J. F. Arens, *Recl. Trav. Chim Pays-Bas*, 1966, **85**, 929.
- 3 W. J. Middleton, J. Org. Chem., 1965, 30, 1307.
- J. Pornet, A. Rayadh and L. Miginiac, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1986, 27, 5479; J. Pornet, A. Rayadh and L. Miginiac, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, 29, 3065; D. Zakarya, A. Rayadh, M. Samih and T. Lakhlifi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 405; D. Zakarya, A. Rayadh, M. Samih and T. Lakhlifi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 2345; C. J. Kowalski and S. Sakdarat, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1990, 55, 1977.
- 5 D. Crich and J. Z. Crich, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 2469.
- 6 A trimethylgermyloxete resulting from the Lewis acid catalyzed cyclization of the O-(trimethylgermyl) derivative of 4-ethoxy-1,1,1-trifluoro-2-(trifluoromethyl)but-3-yn-2-ol has been described: G. S. Zaitseva, L. I. Livantsova, N. A. Orlova, Yu. L. Baukov and I. F. Lutsenko, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1982, **52**, 2076.
- L. E. Friedrich and G. B. Schuster, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 7204;
 L. E. Friedrich and G. B. Schuster, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 4603;
 L. E. Friedrich and J. D. Bower, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 6869;
 Y. Kobayashi, Y.Hanzawa, W. Miyashita, J. Kashiwagi, T. Nakano and I. Kumadaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 6445.
- 8 Y. I. Baukov, G. S. Zaisteva, L. I. Livanstova, R. A. Bekker and L. A. Savost'yanova, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1981, **51**, 1304.
- 9 D. C. England and C. G. Krespan, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 3312.
- A. Pommier, J.-M. Pons, P. J. Kocienski and L. Wong, *Synthesis*, 1994, 1294; A. Pommier, J.-M. Pons and P. J. Kocienski, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, 60, 7334; J.-M. Pons, M. Oblin, A. Pommier, M. Rajzmann and D. Liotard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, 119, 3333; B. W. Dymock, P. J. Kocienski and J.-M. Pons, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1053.
- 11 For X-ray structure and NMR evidence of chelates similar to A, see G. E. Keck and S. Castellino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 3847 (NMR); M. T. Reetz, K. Harmsand and W. Reif, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 5881 (X-ray).
- 12 It is well known that TBDMS ethers do not give chelates but rather 2:1 complexes with bidentate Lewis acids. G. E. Keck and S. Castellino, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, **28**, 281. For other examples, see M. Santelli and J.-M. Pons, in *Lewis Acids and Selectivity in Organic Synthesis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996, ch. 1.

Received in Liverpool, UK, 5th May 1998; 8/03395A

1620 Chem. Commun., 1998