# Hydrolysis of lanthanide dicarbides: rates of reaction with water

### I. J. McColm and T. A. Quigley\*

Department of Industrial Technology, University of Bradford, BD71DP (U.K.)

### D. R. Bourne

Science Department, Accrington Technical College, Accrington (U.K.)

(Received December 8, 1990)

#### Abstract

The kinetics of the reaction between liquid water and nine lanthanide dicarbides and  $YC_2$  have been investigated by monitoring the gas evolved into a constant volume apparatus. The ratio of volume of gas evolved to that predicted was found to be consistent at 0.51 for wholly trivalent dicarbides, but rose to 0.66 for the light lanthanides containing  $Ln^{IV}$  components. Only linear kinetics were observed with the linear reaction rate constant  $K_L$  in the range 0.44 cm cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> for LuC<sub>2</sub> to 6.9 cm cm<sup>-2</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> for CeC<sub>2</sub>. Over the limited temperature range 283–303.5 K it was possible to estimate activation energies for four of the dicarbides and the values ranged from 48.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the heavy, hard carbides to 150 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the light, soft,  $Ln^{IV}$ -containing members. The variation of  $K_L$  and E across the series is discussed in terms of M–C<sub>2</sub> bond energies, 4f-band electron density and the hardness of these dicarbides.

# 1. Introduction

Our previous attempts [1] to rectify the lack of data concerning the hydrolysis reaction rate constants of lanthanide dicarbides concentrated on investigating the reaction occurring in mild conditions. Mild in this context is defined by Anderson *et al.* [2] and was introduced to limit the secondary reactions between the reaction product gases, acetylene and hydrogen. Such reactions are catalysed by the metallic surface of the reactant dicarbide. In the previous work [1], the low vapour pressures did not lead to information about the fundamental reaction, as shown by the negative activation energies that were measured. This paper reports rate data found when using small arc-melted beads of the lanthanide dicarbides hydrolysed in liquid water.

In order to use the data comparatively, the consistency of the gaseous product volume evolution had first to be established since resorting to liquid water moved the conditions well away from those defined as mild. This was particularly true since the reaction had to be monitored by measurement of the pressure increase in a constant volume apparatus. This was achieved and the reactivities of  $LnC_2$  phases have been found and compared to similar data for related phases. How the

<sup>\*</sup>Present address: British Gas Research Department, Kinross, Fife, U.K.

reaction rate constant changes as a function of f-electron density, surface energy as revealed by hardness measurements, and the  $C_2^{n-}$  size change are discussed in the light of these new data.

## 2. Experimental details

All the preparative, characterisation and hydrolysis measurement techniques have been fully described before [1-3]. The samples of dicarbide used here were small beads taken from the same material used in [1].

# 3. Results

The overall hydrolysis reaction of trivalent and tetravalent lanthanide dicarbides can be summarised by eqns. (1) and (2):

$$M^{III}C_2 + 3H_2O = M(OH)_3 + C_2H_2 + \frac{1}{2}H_2$$
(1)

$$M^{IV}C_2 + 4H_2O = M(OH)_4 + C_2H_2 + H_2$$
(2)

Even using the mild conditions defined by Anderson *et al.* (2), hydrogen is removed from the product gases by several reactions, a few of which are summarised by eqn. (3):

$$C_{2}H_{2} + H_{2} = C_{2}H_{4}$$

$$C_{2}H_{2} + 2H_{2} = C_{2}H_{6}$$

$$2C_{2}H_{2} + H_{2} = C_{4}H_{6}$$
(3)

 $nC_2H_4 =$ solid wax

In liquid water, because of catalysis on each layer of freshly revealed carbide surface, reactions such as those in (3) leading to product gas removal do occur as the results in Table 1 show.

However, for the purposes of this work, the results in Table 1 indicate a consistent pattern of H<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> removal from the  $V_o/V_e$  ratio. The  $V_o/V_e$  ratios were found by assuming that all the dicarbides were 100% trivalent and so eqn. (1) was relevant. For those that undoubtedly are wholly trivalent it is clear that constant hydrogen and acetylene removal occurs to produce the  $V_o/V_e$  ratio of  $0.51 \pm 0.02$ . The high  $V_o/V_e$  values for the light lanthanide trio CeC<sub>2</sub>, PrC<sub>2</sub> and NdC<sub>2</sub> show the effect of the Ln<sup>4+</sup> content of these materials, a fact previously noted [4], and used to explain the larger than expected C-C bond length in CeC<sub>2</sub>[5].

For such a comparative study as this, using a constant volume apparatus and monitoring the gas pressure rise, a constant  $V_o/V_e$  ratio is necessary. This assumes that hydrogen and acetylene are removed from the evolved gas by reaction at the dicarbide surface as they are being evolved; the constancy of  $V_o/V_e$  is therefore a good indication that this is happening. However in the case of those dicarbides

#### TABLE 1

Sample	Observed volume $V_{\alpha}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	Calculated volume $V_e$ (cm <sup>3</sup> )	$V_{\rm o}/V_{\rm e}$
LaC <sub>2</sub>	2.3	4.5	0.51
CeC,	3.5	5.3	0.66
PrC,	1.4,	3.1	0.62
NdC,	3.2	5.4	0.59
GdC,	1.95	3.7	0.50
DyC,	2.6	5.0	0.51
HoC,	2.1	4.3	0.49
ErC,	2.3	4.5	0.52
LuC <sub>2</sub>	2.5	4.7	0.53

Volumes of gas evolved from the reaction of lanthanide dicarbides with water at 20  $^\circ\!C$  compared to those expected from eqn. (1) in the text

#### TABLE 2

Linear reaction rate constants for the reaction of LnC2 phases in liquid water at 20 °C

Dicarbides $V_{\rm o}/V_{\rm c}$		$K_{\rm L}$ ("cm" cm <sup>-2</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	
LaC <sub>2</sub>	0.51	$0.60 \pm 0.08$	
CeC <sub>2</sub>	0.66	$6.90 \pm 0.08$	
PrC,	0.62	$2.22 \pm 0.08$	
NdC	0.59	$3.11 \pm 0.08$	
GdC <sub>1</sub>	0.50	$1.43 \pm 0.08$	
DvC <sub>2</sub>	0.51	$1.11 \pm 0.08$	
HoC <sub>2</sub>	0.49	$0.97 \pm 0.08$	

having the  $Ln^{4+}$  component the extra volume of gas evolution that this implies must be adjusted through the  $V_o/V_e$  ratio and accordingly in Table 2 these rates are  $K_L$  observed multiplied by the factor  $0.51/(V_o/V_e)_o$ .

Table 2 contains the linear rate reaction constants after making these adjustments. Linear reaction kinetics are always observed, at least for the first 40 min, for which period of time the reaction was usually followed. The increase in manometric height (cm) was tracked for this time interval because it was found that over that period the 20-30 mg reaction bead did not suffer a reduction in surface area great enough to influence the results. However, when the temperature at which the reaction was studied was increased beyond 30-35 °C the volume of gas evolved became erratic as polymerisation reactions removed variable volumes of product gases. Above 40 °C the reaction rates appeared to decrease because of this effect which was the main reason why only four samples were able to be studied confidently to see how the linear reaction rate varied with temperature.

#### TABLE 3

Dicarbide	Temperature (K)	$K_{\rm L}$ ("cm" cm <sup>-2</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	Activation energy (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )
GdC,	283	0.7	
-	293	1.4	48.5
	297	1.7,	
	303.5	2.8	
LaC <sub>2</sub>	293	0.68	
2	298.7	2.0	110.8
	304.5	3.75	
NdC <sub>2</sub>			150
HoC <sub>2</sub>			32.0

Activation energies for the hydrolysis reaction of four lanthanide dicarbides

The most notable feature of the results presented in Table 2 is that compared with the reaction between  $LnC_2$  and water vapour [1]; the rates of reaction are some 100 times faster and linear kinetics are always observed.

The way the reaction rate increased as the temperature is raised is shown for four dicarbides in Table 3.

# 4. Discussion

The hundredfold increase in reaction rates when water is the medium instead of water vapour has already been noted. Another difference between the water vapour and liquid water results is that the reaction rates reach a maximum for the middle members of the series  $GdC_2/DyC_2$  when the reactant is a low pressure of water vapour, whilst in water, following a large increase in rate from LaC<sub>2</sub> to  $CeC_2$ , there is a monotonic decrease to  $LuC_2$ . It seems possible then that in water the reaction being studied is the fission of the  $M-C_2$  bonds since the supply of  $H_2O$ molecules at the dicarbide surface is not limited as in the water vapour case. Diffusion through any product layer should not be rate-controlling since any product is severely disrupted, first by the excess of water, and then by the rapid production of gas bubbles on the surface. Thus water molecules on the bead surface are present in high concentration and reaction ensues producing the hydroxide product and H<sup>+</sup> ions which diffuse along the surface to combine with other H<sup>+</sup> ions to produce the H<sub>2</sub> gas or with surface  $C_2^2$  ions to produce acetylene. As the  $V_0/V_e$  values show, the acetylene and hydrogen molecules do combine to produce reduction products and polymers, particularly at higher temperatures. The water vapour reactions, [1], appear to be controlled by retention of  $H_2O$  molecules on the hydroxide product and diffusion through to the reactive surface, hence the mainly negative activation energies that were observed in the vapour phase experiments [1]. The rate-determining features of the dicarbides when reacting with liquid water can be sought in the areas of the  $M-C_2$  bond strengths, the mean cationic charge density, the surface energy, and the linear size of the C-C bond in the dicarbide. Considering now some of these features: Filby and Ames [6] have determined the  $M-C_2$  dissociation energies by studying exchange reactions in the vapour phase of reactions of the type in eqn. (4):

$$\operatorname{CeC}_2(g) + \operatorname{La}(g) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{LaC}_2(g) + \operatorname{Ce}(g)$$
 (4)

Their results are given in Table 4.

If the reverse of the dissociation energies is the bond energy, then  $M-C_2$ bonds in NdC<sub>2</sub> and PrC<sub>2</sub> are less stable than in LaC<sub>2</sub> and the increased hydrolysis reaction rates might be expected but CeC<sub>2</sub> would then be completely anomalous. The bond model used to describe these compounds has  $C_2^{n-}$  units bound by a pair of electrons in a  $2p\sigma$ -bonding level and two unpaired electrons in  $2p\pi$ -bonding levels. Ionisation of Ln to  $Ln^{3+}$  then results in the occupation of two of the three electrons in the bonding  $\pi$  levels and the third e<sup>-</sup> into the antibonding level where it increases overall bonding in the system by interaction with metal s, d and f orbitals in a delocalised metallic band. However, the increased electron density in the antibonding levels increases the size of the  $C_2^{n-1}$  unit compared to the  $C_2^{n-1}$  anion found in  $CaC_2$ . This size effect occurs as several neutron diffraction studies have shown [7–9]. The higher oxidation state present in  $CeC_2$ ,  $PrC_2$  and  $NdC_2$ , as the evolved gas volumes in Table 1 show, compared to LaC<sub>2</sub>, GdC<sub>2</sub> etc. then leads to longer C-C bond lengths and greater overall size of the  $C_2^{n-1}$  units. Some of our neutron diffraction data confirm this [5, 9], see Table 5. Presumably increased size of  $C_2^{n-}$  means greater availability at the surface for H<sup>+</sup> reaction and of course higher overall mean cation charge  $Ln^{(3+\delta)+}$  makes the  $Ln^{(3+\delta)+} + H_2O \rightarrow H^+ +$ Ln-OH reaction proceed faster. The observed peak to  $CeC_2$  in the reaction rates and the steady decline in reaction rate through PrC<sub>2</sub>, NdC<sub>2</sub> to GdC<sub>2</sub> and on to  $LuC_2$ , might then be explained. The fact that reaction rates for YC<sub>2</sub> are close to the  $GdC_2$ ,  $DyC_2$  values when the overall X-ray unit cell size and C-C distance of these three dicarbides is so close, and all contain only  $Ln^{3+}$  species, helps to support the  $C_2^{n-}$  size explanation.

The pendulum and Vickers hardness values of the dicarbides both show a variation, [3], that mirrors the reaction rate data. This is shown in Fig. 1. The soft

TABLE 4

Gaseous rare earth dicarbide dissociation energies (after [6])

Dicarbide	$D^{0}(M-C_{2})$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$K_{\rm L}$ at 20 °C ("cm" cm <sup>-2</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> )	
LaC <sub>2</sub>	669±12	0.60	
$CeC_2$	$677 \pm 8$	6.90	
PrC,	$631 \pm 12$	2.22	
NdC <sub>2</sub>	$602 \pm 12$	3.11	

TABLE 5	
---------	--

Dicarbide	C-C (nm)	Reference	
CaC <sub>2</sub>	0.119	[7, 8]	
YC,	0.1275	[7, 8]	
2	0.1288	[9]	
UC <sub>2</sub>	0.134	[7, 8]	
-	0.132,	[5]	
LaC,	0.130	[7, 8]	
2	0.1284	[14]	
CeC <sub>2</sub>	0.1283	[7, 8]	
-	0.1297	[9]	
PrC <sub>2</sub>	0.1294	[7, 8]	
NdC,	0.1302	[9]	
TbC <sub>2</sub>	0.129	[7, 8]	
HoC <sub>2</sub>	0.127	[7, 8]	
	0.1285	[9]	
ErC <sub>2</sub>	0.1275	[14]	
LuC,	0.1276	[7, 8]	
ThC <sub>2</sub>	0.1304	[5]	

Some C-C bond lengths as obtained from neutron diffraction experiments

dicarbides  $CeC_2$ ,  $PrC_2$ ,  $NdC_2$  are the most hydrolytically reactive and reaction rates for the wholly  $Ln^{3+}C_2$  species follow a decrease in the reaction rate as the hardness increases along the series.

The limited activation energy data add a little to differentiating the two groups of dicarbides and their changed rate controlling mechanisms. The two light, soft lanthanides examined have E values in excess of 100 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> whilst the two heavy lanthanide dicarbides examined have values of 48.5 (GdC<sub>2</sub>) and 32.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (HoC<sub>2</sub>) which are significantly different. Independent data from two early studies of the UC<sub>2</sub> + liquid water reaction [10, 11] give two differing values at 30.7 and 54.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> but these have a mean value close to the GdC<sub>2</sub> value found here to infer the general indication of the same rate-controlling step in the overall reaction between the heavy, hard dicarbides and UC<sub>2</sub>.

In the water vapour reactivity study, [1],  $GdC_2$  was the one specimen that produced a positive activation energy in the 10–30 °C temperature range with a value of 86 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> being obtained. This indicates, as already assumed, that a different rate-controlling mechanism is active in the water vapour reaction.

The fairly clear demarcation of the two groups of dicarbides in terms of their  $K_L$ , E and hardness values, requires an explanation outside the gradual changes expected from a consideration of the way cell volumes and atomic number increases steadily across the lanthanide series. Gschneidner [12] has suggested a potential explanation through his model suggesting two types of f-electrons in the lanthanide metals, the 4f-band and 4f-atomic electrons. The model has been used to consider dicarbide results before [4]. The 4f-atomic are 4f-electrons associated



Fig. 1. (a) The variation of linear reaction rate constant,  $K_L$ , for the hydrolysis reaction of lanthanide dicarbides compared with (b) the pendulum hardness of polished and protected surfaces of lanthanide dicarbides. The soft and fast reacting low molecular weight dicarbides are clearly indicated.

with the trivalent metals and ions and vary in number from zero for La and Y, to 14 for Lu and they account for normal magnetic susceptibilities. The 4f-band electron arises because the lowest of the empty energy levels, 14 for La and 1 for Tm, lie very close to the Fermi level, and this is partially occupied by conduction electrons. These 4f-band electrons vary from  $0.7 e^-$  for light lanthanides to 0.1 or less for heavy lanthanides; they contribute to the bonding and thus influence the melting points and heats of sublimation. From an analysis of these two physical properties, Gschneidner estimated the fractional 4f-electron concentration in the valence band and their effective contributions. The full 4f-band contribution to the bonding is,

however, only realised if there is sufficient overlap between wavefunctions of the neighbouring atoms. Hence because of the rapid radial decrease with increasing atomic number, it is unlikely that the full f contribution will be realised for the heavy lanthanides. On consideration of a function  $R_m - R_{4f}$ , where  $R_m$  is the radius of the metal and  $R_{4f}$  is the radius of the 4f orbital, it is suggested that this is a measure of the relative overlap of the 4f wavefunction, the smaller the  $R_m - R_{4f}$ value the greater the overlap. The inverse of this function represents the variation of the 4f contribution to bonding for lanthanide metals. In the aligned  $C_{2}^{n-1}$  model of Atoji and Medrud [13] it is possible to extend the metal picture to the dicarbides through covalent interactions between the  $C_2-2p\pi^*$  antibonding orbitals and the metallic s-p-d-f-band electrons. Physically larger  $C_2^{n-}$  species aid this overlap and increase the electron density of 4f-band electrons, especially if the  $2p\pi^*$  level has a greater electron density from a higher oxidation number associated with  $Ce^{3.6+}$  etc. Increased 4f-band density leads to decreased stability as Gschneidner has shown and so the increased hydrolysis reaction rates for  $CeC_2$ ,  $PrC_2$ ,  $NdC_2$  are expected. The vapour phase  $M-C_2$  bond energies given in Table 4 will not reflect the band contribution to bonding which is a function of the solid state.

In conclusion the reaction rate data gathered from this research are further support for the concept of 4f-band electron density and its effect on reactivity. This relationship was not obvious in the previous water vapour studies [1] because the overall reactivity was masked by the retention properties for  $H_2O$  molecules on the surface of the solids.

The reactivity of lanthanide solid solutions, particularly those that have cubic structures, will be reported in a further publication in the light of the model so far suggested.

#### References

- 1 I. J. McColm and T. A. Quigley, J. Less-Common Met., 169 (1991) 347-360.
- 2 J. S. Anderson, N. J. Clark and I. J. McColm, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 30 (1968) 105.
- 3 I. J. McColm, J. Less-Common Met., 78 (1981) 287.
- 4 M. Atoji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 17, Suppl. BII (1962) 395.
- 5 D. W. Jones, I. J. McColm, R. Steadman and J. Yerkess, J. Solid State Chem., 68 (1987) 219.
- 6 E. E. Filby and L. L. Ames, *High Temp. Sci.*, 3(1971)41.
- 7 A. L. Bowman, G. P. Arnold, W. G. Witteman, T. C. Wallace and N. G. Nereson, Acta Crystallogr. B, 24 (1968) 459.
- 8 M. Atoji, J. Chem. Phys., 46 (1967) 5.
- 9 D. W. Jones, I. J. McColm, R. Steadman and J. Yerkess, J. Solid State Chem., 62 (1986) 172.
- 10 W. M. Albrecht and B. G. Koehl, Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Int. Atom. Energy Comm., Vienna, 6 (1958) 116.
- 11 Y. Hori and T. Mukaibo, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 40 (1967) 1878.
- 12 K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., J. Less-Common Met., 25 (1971) 405.
- 13 M. Atoji and R. C. Medrud, J. Chem. Phys., 31 (1959) 332.
- 14 D. W. Jones, I. J. McColm, J. Yerkess, J. Solid State Chem., 92 (1991) in the press.