- (17) The interaction of the $-CH_2SnMe_3$ with bent σ bonds¹⁸ or C-metal bonds has been demonstrated by photoelectron spectroscopy.19
- (18) R. S. Brown, D. F. Eaton, A. Hosomi, T. G. Traylor, and J. M. Wright, J.
- Organomet. Chem., **66**, 249 (1974). (19) A. Hosomi and T. G. Traylor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **97**, 3682 (1975). (20) H. C. Brown and M. Rei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 5008 (1964).
- (21) A. Streitwieser, "Solvolytic Displacement Reactions", McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1962, p 45.
- fork, N.1, 1962, p.45. (22) Using the Baldwin and Fogelsong²³ ratio of 500 for $k_{tosylate}(25^{\circ}, HOAc)$: $k_{DNE}(100^{\circ}, 60^{\circ})$ aqueous acetone), k_{DNB} : $k_{PNB} = 6$,²⁴ and $k_{60^{\circ}, acetone}$: $k_{80^{\circ}, acetone} = 40$,²¹ we arrive at an acceleration of 1.2 × 10⁵ for tosyl-ates at 25° by the 1-CH₂SnMe₃ group.
- (23) J. E. Baldwin and W. D. Fogelsong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 4303

(1968). (24) P. v. R. Schleyer and G. W. Van Dine, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 2321 (1966).

- (25) H. G. Kuivila and N. M. Scarpa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 5452 (1970).
- (26) D. J. Peterson, M. D. Robbins, and J. R. Hansen, J. Organomet. Chem., 73, 237 (1974).
- (27) In fact, the 1-methoxy substituted endo-norbornyl tosylate solvolyzes to mixtures of rearranged and unrearranged products.
- (28) H. Kwart and G. Null, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 2765 (1959).
- (29) E. J. Corey K. Achiwa, and J. A. Katzenellenbogen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 4318 (1969).
- (30) S. Bank, C. A. Rowe, Jr., A. Shriesheim, and L. A. Naslund, J. Am Chem. Soc., 89, 6897 (1967).

Kinetic Applications of Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. XX. 2,4,6-Tri(tert-butyl)benzyl, -anilino, -phenoxy, and -phenylthiyl Radicals¹

D. Griller,² L. R. C. Barclay,³ and K. U. Ingold*

Contribution from the Division of Chemistry, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada, K1A OR9. Received February 10, 1975

Abstract: The title radicals have been generated, and their kinetic behavior has been examined. The EPR parameters for the benzyl and anilino radicals indicate that the benzylic and amino hydrogens are coplanar with the aromatic rings. The benzyl radical decays rapidly with second-order kinetics ($k = (5 \pm 2) \times 10^8 M^{-1} \sec^{-1} at 24^\circ$) to give the bibenzyl. The anilino radical exists in equilibrium with the hydrazine ($\Delta H = -13.1 \pm 0.5$ kcal/mol, $\Delta S = -27 \pm 2$ gibbs/mol). The rate constant for anilino decay to its dimer can be represented by log $(k_{-1}/M^{-1} \sec^{-1}) = 6.3(\pm 1.0) - 2.5(\pm 0.8)/\theta$, where $\theta = 2.3RT$ kcal/mol, and that for decomposition of dimer to two anilino radicals by log $(k_1/\text{sec}^{-1}) = 12.2 - 15.6/\theta$. The phenylthiyl radical is also in equilibrium with its dimer at ambient temperatures ($\Delta H = -23.3 \text{ kcal/mol}$), but the phenoxy radical shows no sign of dimerization even at -100° . The behavior of these four radicals is discussed in terms of the strengths of the bonds formed by head-to-head dimerization.

In several previous papers in this series, we have shown that radical lifetimes can be dramatically increased by steric protection of the radical center.⁴ Each of these papers was confined to radicals of a single type. That is, each dealt with radicals in which the atom that formally bore the unpaired electron was held constant, and the size of the surrounding groups was varied.

Equally dramatic changes in radical lifetimes can be achieved by holding to the same basic molecular structure and altering the atom carrying the unpaired electron. This is because the mutual bonding capacities of different atoms are not all the same. In the present paper, we illustrate this phenomenon by reporting on the kinetic behavior of the 2,4,6-tri(tert-butyl)benzyl, -anilino, -phenoxy, and -phenylthiyl radicals.



The 2,4,6-tri(tert-butyl)phenoxy (ArO)^{5,6} is a wellknown blue-colored radical that is readily prepared by oxidation of the phenol with a variety of reagents. It can be stored at room temperature in concentrated solutions (e.g., 1 M in benzene) for prolonged periods of time, in the absence of air. The pink-colored 2,4,6-tri(tert-butyl)anilino radical (ArNH) has also been examined in some detail,⁷⁻¹² particularly with respect to its EPR^{7,8,10-12} and uv-visible^{7,9} spectra. In solution (at $<10^{-4}$ M) it "can be preserved for several days when the solvent is n-hexane but the stability is less in cyclohexane".7 The isoelectronic tri(tertbutyl)benzyl radical (ArCH₂) has not been previously reported. It was readily obtained from tri(tert-butyl)toluene or tri(tert-butyl)benzyl chloride which have been recently synthesized.^{13,14} Tri(tert-butyl)phenylthiyl (ArS) has been previously studied, but there are conflicting reports as to its lifetime in solution.15-17

Experimental Section

General. The kinetic EPR procedure has been adequately described in previous papers in this series.¹ Unless otherwise stated, all materials were prepared and handled in an argon atmosphere.

Materials. The ArOH was a (purified) commercial sample (K & K Laboratories). Standard literature procedures were used to prepare ArCH₃,¹³ ArNH₂,¹⁸ ArSH,¹⁹ and ArNO.²⁰ The disulfide ArSSAr was prepared by oxidizing ArSH with silver oxide and was purified by vacuum sublimation, mp 233° (lit.¹⁹ 233°).

Hexa(tert-butyl)bibenzyl (ArCH2CH2Ar) was prepared by reaction of ArCH₂Cl¹³ (0.1 g) with finely divided sodium in paraffin wax at 120° under N_2 for 30 min.^{4d,21,22} In this time, the NMR signal due to the CH₂Cl protons disappeared. Column chromatography on acidic alumina yielded 0.04 g of the crystalline hydrocarbon ArCH2CH2Ar: mp 228°; proton NMR spectrum in CDCl3 (in parts per million downfield from Me₄Si) 1.20 (p-C(CH₃)₃) and 1.22 (o-C(CH₃)₃) (totalled 54 H), 3.40 (4 H, -CH₂CH₂-), 7.48 (4 H, aryl m-H). The elemental analysis was poor (Anal. Calcd for

Ingold et al. / Substituted Benzyl, Anilino, Phenoxy, and Phenylthiyl Radicals

6152

Radical	g	<i>a</i> Hm	ax	_a Hα	_a Hp-(CH ₃) ₃ C	Ref	
ArĊH,	2.0025	1.78	a	15.34	a	This work ^b	
ArŅH	с	1.89	6.70 (¹⁴ N)	11.75	0.27	12 ^d	
ArÒ	с	1.70	10.23 (¹⁷ O) ^e		0.37	12 ^d	
ArŚ	2.0103	a,f	14.75 (³³ S)		а	15 ^b	

^aNot resolved. ^bIn benzene. ^cNot reported in ref 12. ^dIn hexane. ^eA. Rieker and K. Scheffler, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1337 (1965). ^fFailure to resolve the meta couplings may be due to the large line width (2.8 G).

 $C_{38}H_{62}$: C, 87.96; H, 12.04. Found: C, 87.61, 88.28; H, 11.81, 11.69), apparently because the compound contains traces of ArOH (see below). However, the molecular weight (526 by VP osmometry in benzene; calcd mol wt, 518.9) and the mass spectrum (maximum *m/e* peak at 518) leave little doubt as to the identity of this compound. The parent ion in the mass spectrum was of relatively low intensity, but there is a major ion corresponding to the ArCH₂⁺ fragment (*m/e* 259). The principal peaks and their relative intensities at 70 eV were: 518 (0.3), 516 (0.4), 462 (1.0), 460 (1.7), 406 (1.6), 404 (2.7), 392 (1.2), 390 (1.2), 259 (100), 246 (71), 243 (158), 231 (613), 216 (63), 201 (321). The peaks with *m/e* below 200 had intensities less than 100.

Product Studies on ArCH₂. Kinetic work on the ArCH₂ radical (see Results) indicated that this radical underwent a bimolecular self-reaction very readily. A solution of ArCH₃ (0.01 g) in di-*tert*-butyl peroxide (0.2 ml) was degassed and then photolyzed for 2 hr at room temperature in the cavity of the EPR spectrometer. VPC analysis showed that ArCH₂CH₂Ar was formed together with an approximately equal yield of a second dimer having a somewhat longer VPC retention time. The second dimer was not identified, but we presume it is formed by combination of ArCH₂ with radicals formed by *tert*-butoxy attack on the *tert*-butyl groups of ArCH₃.

In a second experiment, $ArCH_2CH_2Ar$ was identified as the only product (except for Me₃SnCl) formed by photolysis of $ArCH_2Cl$ (0.04 g) and Me₃SnSnMe₃ (0.20 ml) in benzene (0.20 ml) in a Rayonet Reactor (2537 Å, approximately 200 W). After 3 hr of photolysis, the NMR signal due to $-CH_2Cl$ (δ 5.30 with reference to benzene at δ 7.37) disappeared, and the band for the $-CH_2CH_{2-}$ group of the dimer appeared at δ 3.43. Cooling the benzene solution deposited prisms of the dimer in quantitative yield, mp 228°, alone or mixed with the sample prepared by action of sodium on $ArCH_2Cl$.

We conclude that $Ar\dot{C}H_2$ radicals couple to give the head-to-head dimer.

Product Studies on ArNH. ArNH₂ (0.07 g) was dissolved in 3 ml of benzene and the solution degassed and then added under argon to a centrifuge tube containing 2 g of PbO₂. The tube was shaken for ca. 30 sec and centrifuged, and 1 ml of the supernatant solution was removed. The benzene was removed under vacuum, and the solid residue was then dissolved in 0.3 ml of cyclopropane and sealed under vacuum. Great care was taken to exclude air from the reaction at all stages. The proton NMR spectrum of this solution at -80° showed mainly the aniline [1.01²³ (9 H, p-(CH₃)₃C); 1.20 (18 H, o-(CH₃)₃C); 3.79 (2 H, NH₂); 6.91 (2 H, m-H)] together with three peaks (having about 5% of the intensity of the adjacent aniline peaks)²⁵ at 1.10, 1.30, and 6.94. These three peaks disappear on warming the sample to room temperature but reappear on recooling to -80° . We therefore tentatively assign them to the anilino dimer. From the apparent absence of vinylic protons plus the observation of just two tert-butyl peaks and one aromatic proton peak, we presume that the dimer is formed by head-to-head coupling of the anilino radicals, i.e., ArNHNHAr.

Attempts to Decompose ArCH₂CH₂Ar. The strength of the central bond was estimated to be ca. 40 kcal/mol (see Discussion) which suggested that this compound might decompose to ArCH₂ radicals at moderate temperatures. Heating a 0.1 *M* solution of (ArCH₂)₂ in 1,3-di(*tert*-butyl)benzene to 230° in the cavity of the EPR spectrometer did not give a detectable concentration of ArCH₂, though a strong signal due to ArO (which presumably arises from ArOH present as an impurity in the bibenzyl) was observed from ~100 to ~180°. The compound was not appreciably decomposed by this treatment to judge from the VPC trace. (The thermal stability of ArCH₂CH₂Ar is also attested to by the VPC conditions, viz., a 3-ft SE 30 silicon-gum rubber column at 250°, which gave a retention time of 45 min.)

In a second experiment, a $2 \times 10^{-2} M$ solution of the bibenzyl was heated to 140° in the presence of $5 \times 10^{-4} M$ of the free radical, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperid-4-on-N-oxyl. After 3 hr, the decrease in the concentration of the nitroxide in a blank sample was somewhat greater (~20%) than in the sample containing the bibenzyl (~10%). If ArCH₂ radicals are formed, they must be too hindered to give a stable O-benzyloxime.

In a third experiment, a $2 \times 10^{-2} M$ solution of $(ArCH_2)_2$ in thiophenol was heated to 207° in a sealed tube. After 5 days, there was no appreciable decompositions of the bibenzyl, but a trace (VPC analysis) of ArCH₃ had been formed.

Results

EPR Spectra. Of the radicals studied in this work, only $Ar\dot{C}H_2$ has not previously been reported. Good EPR spectra were obtained by reaction of $ArCH_2Cl$ with photochemically generated Me₃Si or Me₃Sn radicals and by reaction of $ArCH_3$ with photochemically generated (CH₃)₃CO radicals. The EPR parameters at room temperature are compared in Table I with those of $Ar\dot{N}H$, $Ar\dot{O}$, and $Ar\dot{S}$. Two features of the $Ar\dot{C}H_2$ spectrum are notable.

(i) Despite the presence of two *o-tert*-butyl groups, the CH₂ group must be coplanar with the aromatic ring. This follows from the similarity of these hyperfine couplings to appropriate protons in $C_6H_5CH_2$, viz.,²⁶ $a^{H_{\alpha}} = 16.4$ G, $a^{H_m} = 1.75$ G.²⁷ By the same argument,³⁰ the NH group in ArNH must also be coplanar with the ring. Neither conclusion is entirely unexpected since the OH group in ArOH has long been known to be coplanar.³¹

(ii) There is no resolvable hyperfine coupling with any *tert*-butyl group. This may have been due to the *relatively* low intensity of the EPR signal since $a^{\rm H}(p-t-{\rm Bu})$ is expected to be ~0.17 G by comparison with ArO (~0.37 G) and ArNH (~0.27 G).^{8,10-12,32} Coupling to the *o-tert*-butyl protons should be very small.³⁴

Kinetics and Products

ArCH₂ radicals, generated at concentrations of $1-3 \times 10^{-7} M$ by photolysis of solutions of ArCH₃ in di-*tert*-butyl peroxide decay rapidly and with second-order kinetics. Product studies (see Experimental Section) indicate that this reaction is a head-to-head dimerization to the hindered bibenzyl.

$$2Ar\dot{C}H_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa} ArCH_2CH_2Ar$$

The rate constant for decay, k, is $(5 \pm 2) \times 10^8 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at room temperature.

ArO radicals are very long-lived in solvents such as ditert-butyl peroxide or benzene at room temperature. Even at high concentrations, the radicals show no sign of dimerization at room temperature, nor even at -100° .

ArNH radicals are reported to be fairly long-lived.⁷ The only logical reason for the difference in behavior of $ArCH_2$ and ArO would seem to be the differences in the strengths of the bonds formed by a head-to-head dimerization. Bond strengths in the respective dimers should follow the order C-C > N-N > O-O, and hence one would expect that ArNH would exhibit behavior intermediate between $Ar\dot{C}H_2$ and $Ar\dot{O}$, rather than that it would behave just like $Ar\dot{O}$. This proved to be the case. That is, $Ar\dot{N}H$ radicals couple rapidly to give the head-to-head hydrazine dimer (see Experimental Section) but the reaction is reversible at room temperature.

Our usual procedure for radical production for kinetic studies involves photolysis of di-*tert*-butyl peroxide solutions of the parent compound. This proved rather unsatisfactory with ArNH₂ because the initial formation of ArNH was rapidly followed by the formation of ArNO-*t*-Bu.³⁶ The latter radical ($a^{N} = 10.21$ G, $a^{H_{m}} = 1.91$ G, g = 2.004 at room temperature)³⁷ is remarkably long-lived and its presence prevented kinetic studies on ArNH in this system.³⁹

$$ArNH_2 \xrightarrow{t-BuO} Ar\dot{N}H \xrightarrow{t-BuO} ArNHO-t-Bu \xrightarrow{t-BuO} Ar\dot{N}O-t-Bu$$

Fortunately, the ArNH radical could be produced "cleanly" by oxidation of $ArNH_2$ with lead dioxide in deoxygenated hydrocarbon solutions.²⁵ After a brief shaking, the sample was centrifuged, and the radical solution was carefully removed (under argon) for kinetic examination. The ArNH concentration was found to increase and decrease reversibly on raising and lowering the temperature, indicating that a dimer is formed reversibly.

ArNHNHAr
$$\rightleftharpoons_{k=1}^{k_1} 2Ar\dot{N}H$$

In pentane, the equilibrium constant, $K (= k_1/k_{-1})$, can be represented by the van't Hoff relation ($K = e^{\Delta S/R}e^{-\Delta H/RT}$) with $\Delta H = -13.1 \pm 0.5$ kcal/mol (temperature range -40 to -65°) and $\Delta S = -27 \pm 2$ gibbs/mol.

The rate constant for the bimolecular decay of Ar NH, k_{-1} , was obtained by measuring the rate of approach to equilibrium after a sudden change in the temperature. Under the conditions of these experiments, the concentration of dimer is much greater than the [ArNH] concentration, and the rate constant can be represented by

$$k_{-1} = \frac{2.303}{2[\operatorname{Ar\dot{N}H}]_{e}} \log \left\{ \frac{[\operatorname{Ar\dot{N}H}] + [\operatorname{Ar\dot{N}H}]_{e}}{[\operatorname{Ar\dot{N}H}] - [\operatorname{Ar\dot{N}H}]_{e}} \right\} / t +$$

constant/t

where $[ArNH]_e$ is the radical concentration at equilibrium $(t = \infty)$. The equilibrium can be approached from either side. In order for thermal equilibrium to be more rapid than chemical equilibrium, measurements were made warming cooled samples to -40° and cooling warmer samples to temperatures in the range -100 to -60° . The rate constants so obtained could be represented by

$$\log \left(k_{-1} / M^{-1} \sec^{-1} \right) = 6.3 (\pm 1.0) - 2.5 (\pm 0.8) / \theta$$

where $\theta = 2.3RT$ kcal/mol. This equation yields $k_{-1} = 3 \times 10^4 M^{-1}$ sec⁻¹ at room temperature. Thus, the head-to-head coupling of the hindered anilino radicals is slower by four orders of magnitude than the head-to-head coupling of the hindered benzyl radicals.

Combination of the Arrhenius equation for ArNH dimerization with the van't Hoff equation for the equilibrium yields:

$$\log (k_1 / \sec^{-1}) = 12.2 - 15.6/\theta$$

The preexponential factor for decomposition of the hindered hydrazine is somewhat lower than the values found for most unimolecular bond scission reactions.⁴²

The lifetime of solutions of ArNH at room temperature depends upon the solvent.⁷ The radical is much more persistent in benzene ($\tau_{1/2} \sim 15$ -20 hr at 24°) than in saturated

hydrocarbons such as pentane where its half-life is only a few hours.⁴³ Presumably Ar $\dot{N}H$ can abstract hydrogen from alkanes.⁴⁴

$$ArNH + RH \rightarrow ArNH_2 + R \cdot \stackrel{ArNH}{\rightarrow} ArNHR$$

ArS radicals were generated by photolysis of ArSH and ArSSAr solutions in hydrocarbon solvents and by photolysis of ArSH in di-tert-butyl peroxide. None of these systems proved satisfactory for kinetic studies. On cutting off the light, the intensity of the EPR signal increased (by up to a factor of 2) in a few seconds¹⁶ and then slowly decreased following approximately first-order kinetics^{15,16} both at -80° and at room temperature. It is possible that CIDEP effects⁴⁵ are responsible for the (apparent) increase in the ArS concentration when the light was cut off. However, since the radical dimerizes to ArSSAr⁴⁶ and since this reaction is reversible (see below), it is also possible that the unusual behavior of the ArS radicals is due to an effect of the light on the radical-dimer equilibrium. Because of these complications, we abandoned attempts to measure the rate of the ArS dimerization and concentrated instead on the equilibrium.

A $3.31 \times 10^{-3} M$ solution of ArSSAr in isooctane showed no detectable ArS radicals at 0° but, at 18°, the ArS concentration was $7.43 \times 10^{-8} M$ and, at 28°, it was $2.05 \times 10^{-7} M$. The radicals were in equilibrium with the dimer and did not decay irreversibly (during the length of an experiment) until the temperature was raised to 40° (at which temperature they are, we presume, reacting with the solvent). The calculated equilibrium constants are $1.7 \times 10^{-12} M$ at 18° and $1.3 \times 10^{-11} M$ at 28°. The temperature range is too small to justify the calculation of ΔH and ΔS directly from these data. However, if we assume that ΔS will be the same as for the ArNH equilibrium, then ΔH is calculated to be -23.5 kcal/mol at 18° and -23.1 at 28°. That is, $\Delta H = -23.3$ kcal/mol, with a probable error of less than ± 2 kcal/mol.

Discussion

The radicals ArCH₂, ArNH, ArO, and ArS must all have a rather similar degree of steric crowding about the radical center, though the distribution of the unpaired electron in these radicals will differ because of variations in bond lengths, relative orbital energies, and overlap with the aromatic ring.⁴⁷ The differences in their kinetic behavior can be most simply attributed to differences in the strengths of the bonds formed by head-to-head dimerization. In the absence of steric hindrance, the strengths of these bonds, i.e., $DH^{\circ}(C_6H_5X-XC_6H_5)$ can be estimated from Benson et al. compilations⁴⁸ of the heats of formation, ΔH_1° , of radical and dimer:

$2\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\rm o}({\rm C}_6{\rm H}_5{\rm X}\cdot) - \Delta H_{\rm f}^{\rm o}({\rm C}_6{\rm H}_5{\rm X})_2$	$= DH^{\circ}(C_6H_5X XC_6H_5)$
$C_6H_5CH_2 2 \times 45 - 32.4$	= 57.6 kcal/mol
$C_6H_5NH 2 \times 55 - 77.9$	= 32.1 kcal/mol
$C_6H_5S 2 \times 49.5 - 58.4$	= 40.6 kcal/mol
C₄H₅Ò	$\sim 0 \text{ kcal/mol}^{49}$

The corresponding sterically hindered dimers have lower bond strengths which are given by the measured enthalpy for the radical-dimer equilibrium, ΔH . That is

$$DH^{\circ}(ArNH-NHAr) = 13.1 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

 $DH^{\circ}(ArS-SAr) = 23.3 \text{ kcal/mol}$

The hydrazine is therefore destabilized by 32.1 - 13.1 = 19.0 kcal/mol and the disulfide by 40.6 - 23.3 = 17.3 kcal/

Ingold et al. / Substituted Benzyl, Anilino, Phenoxy, and Phenylthiyl Radicals

mol. The extent of this destabilization by the o-tert-butyl groups is both remarkably similar and surprisingly small when compared with the $\sim 8 \pm 2$ kcal/mol destabilization of the O-H bond in ArOH relative to C₆H₅OH.⁵⁰

If ArCH₂CH₂Ar were destabilized by ~ 18 kcal, the strength of its central bond would be ~ 40 kcal/mol and decomposition to two ArCH₂ radicals should occur fairly readily if the preexponential factor (in the Arrhenius equation) for this scission has its "normal"⁴² value of $\sim 10^{16}$ sec^{-1} . That is, if the Arrhenius equation can be represented by $k = 10^{16} \exp(-40,000/RT) \sec^{-1}$ then, at 207° (for example), $k \sim 6 \times 10^{-3} \sec^{-1}$ which corresponds to a half-life for the dimer of ~ 2 min. Since our attempts to decompose the dimer were not too successful, we suggest that the preexponential factor is appreciably less than 10^{16} sec⁻¹. Thus, a preexponential factor of about 10^{12} sec^{-1} [the magnitude found for decomposition of (ArNH)₂] and a bond strength of 40 kcal/mol yield a half-life at 207° of $\sim 2 \times$ 10^4 min, i.e., ~14 days, which is quite consistent with our data. An "abnormally" small preexponential factor for a unimolecular scission is not unreasonable⁴² if, in the transition state, steric hindrance still inhibits free rotation and rocking (relative to the central bond) of the separating ArCH₂ radicals.

The kinetic behavior of ArO is quantitatively different from that of the other three radicals because there is no attractive force favoring formation of ArOOAr. Thus, the ArO radicals do not dimerize at any temperature. The other three radicals dimerize readily and, to judge from the benzvl and anilino cases, the rate of this process increases rapidly with increasing strength of the bond being formed. This can be understood in terms of Hammond's postulate.⁵¹ That is, the transition state for the more exothermic reaction will tend to resemble the reactants, and so bond formation will start to occur when the radicals are relatively far apart and the influence of the tert-butyl groups is small. For less exothermic reactions, the influence of the tert-butyl groups is greater because the transition state will tend to resemble the products, and the radicals must get closer together before they will react.

Acknowledgment. One of us (L.R.C.B.) thanks the NRC for support with an operating grant.

References and Notes

- (1) Issued as NRCC No. 14898. Part XIX: D. Griller, K. Dimroth, T. M. Fyles, and K. U. ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
- (2) NRCC Postdoctorate Fellow 1973-1975.
- Department of Chemistry, Mount Allison University, Sackville, New (3) Brunswick
- See, e.g.: (a) D. F. Bowman, T. Gillan, and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **93**, 6555 (1971); (b) J. L. Brokenshire, J. R. Roberts, and K. U. In-(4) Gold, *ibid.*, **94**, 7040 (1972); (c) J. R. Roberts and K. U. Ingold, *ibid.*, **93**, 3228 (1973); (d) G. D. Mendenhall, D. Griller, D. Lindsay, T. T. Tidwell, and K. U. Ingold, *ibid.*, **96**, 2441 (1974); (e) D. Griller, G. D. Mendenhall, W. Van Hoof, and K. U. Ingold, *ibid.*, **96**, 6068 (1974).
- (5) Throughout this paper, Ar represents 2,4,6-tri(tert-butyl)phenyl.
- (5) Infougnout this paper, Ar represents 2,4,5-inter-outy/prients;
 (6) For recent reviews of this and other long-lived phenoxys, see, e.g.: E. R. Altwicker, *Chem. Rev.*, 67, 475 (1967); A. R. Forrester, J. M. Hay, and R. H. Thomson, "Organic Chemistry of Stable Free Radicals", Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1968; L. M. Strigun, L. S. Vartanyan, and N. M. Emanuel, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 37, 421 (1968); V. D. Pokhodenko, V. A. Kitchard, ed. V. A. Bitchard, 27, 478 (1968). A. Khizhnyi, and V. A. Bidzilya, *ibid.*, **37**, 435 (1968). E. J. Land and G. Porter, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3540 (1961).
- (8) N. M. Atherton, E. J. Land, and G. Porter, Trans. Faraday Soc., 59, 818 (1963).
- (9) E. J. Land and G. Porter, Trans. Faraday Soc., 59, 2027 (1963). (10) E. Müller, A. Rieker, and K. Scheffler, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 645, 92 (1961).
- (11) G. Cauquis and M. Genies, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. C, 256, 1340 (1967).
- (12) K. Mukai, H. Nishiguchi, K. Ishizu, Y. Deguchi, and H. Takaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 40, 2731 (1967).

- (13) L. R. C. Barclay, H. R. Sonawane, and M. C. MacDonald, Can. J. Chem., 50, 281 (1972).
- (14) See also: W. A. Gibbons and H. Fisher, Tetrahedron Lett., 43 (1964)
- (15) W. Rundel and K. Scheffler, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 18, 984 (1963); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 4, 243 (1965).
 (16) W. Rundel, Chem. Ber., 102, 359 (1969).
- (17) S. A. Weiner, as quoted by K. U. Ingold in "Free Radicals", Vol. I, J. K.
- Kochi, Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973, p 106, footnote 114.
 J. Burgers, M. A. Hoefnagel, P. E. Verkade, H. Visser, and B. M. Wepster, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 77, 491 (1958); S. Waturai, *Bull.* Chem. Soc. Jpn., 36, 747 (1963).
- (19) W. Rundel, Chem. Ber., 101, 2956 (1968).
- (20) R. Okazaki, T. Hosogai, E. Iwadare, M. Hashimoto, and N. Inamoto, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 42, 3611 (1969).
- (21) The corresponding acetylene ArC=CAr has been prepared previously: H. E. Zimmerman and J. R. Dodd, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 6507 (1970).
- (22) With 2,4,6-tri(isopropyl)benzyl chloride, a similar reaction at 100° for 5 min gave the known (L. Rand and A. F. Mohar, J. Org. Chem., 30, 3885 (1965)) hexa(isopropyl)bibenzyl.
- (23) Chemical shifts are given in parts per million downfield from cyclopropane which itself is reported²⁴ to be 0.22 ppm downfield from Ma₂Si.
 (24) K. B. Wiberg and B. J. Nist, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 83, 1226 (1961).
- (24) Prolonged shaking of ArNH2 with PbO2 gives a second nitrogen contain-ing radical (that was not identified). For this reason, we did not carry the oxidation to high conversion.
- (26) A. R. Forrester, J. M. Hay, and R. H. Thomson, "Organic Chemistry of Stable Free Radicals", Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1968, p 58. See also P. Neta and R. H. Schuler, J. Phys. Chem., 77, 1368 (1973).
- (27) While it is true that a^{Hm} is not very dependent on the relative orientation of the orbital occupied by the unpaired electron and the aromatic ring,²⁸ an authentic "perpendicular" benzyl C₆H₅C[C(CH₃)₃]₂, which has the $C_{\alpha}2_{pz}$ orbital in the plane of the ring, has a^{H_m} of only 0.91 (or 0.82) G.²⁹
- (28) See, e.g., W. T. Dixon, Annu. Rep. Prog. Chem., Sect. B, 69, 189 (1972).
- (29) K. Schreiner and A. Berndt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 13, 144 (1974)
- (30) For C₆H₅NH, $a^{H_{\alpha}} \sim$ 12.9, $a^{H_{m}} \sim$ 2.0 G. P. Neta and R. W. Fessenden, J. Phys. Chem., 78, 523 (1974); see also R. V. Lloyd and D. E. Wood, Mol. Phys., 20, 735 (1971).
- (31) K. U. Ingold, Can. J. Chem., 38, 1092 (1960).
 (32) Changes in a^H(p-t-Bu) presumably are related to changes in spin density
- (32) Changes in a (p-t-bu) presumating are related to changes in spin density on the aromatic ring; see, e.g., ref 8 and 33.
 (33) R. V. Lloyd and D. E. Wood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 96, 659 (1974).
 (34) In ArÒ, a^H(o-t-Bu) ~ 0.07 G.⁸ In contrast, with Ar• (a σ radical³⁵), a^H(o-t-Bu) ~ 0.3 G, while coupling to the *p-tert*-butyl is not detected.
 (35) L. R. C. Barclay, D. Griller, and K. U. Ingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 76, 000 (1974).
- 3011 (1974).
- (36) W. C. Danen, C. T. West, and T. T. Kensler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 5716 (1973).
- (37) The structure of this radical was confirmed³⁸ by photolysis of a solution of ArNO and azoisobutane (t-BuNN-t-Bu) in cyclopropane (1:1:1 v/v/v). t-Bu+ + ArNO → ArNO-t-Bu
- (38) S. Terabe and R. Konaka, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 369 (1973).
- (39) On storing solutions containing both ArNH and ArNO-t-Bu radicals for a few days, the concentration of ArNO-t-Bu increases at the expense of ArNH.

ArŃH + ArNHO-t-Bu → ArNH₂ + ArŃO-t-Bu

The ArNO-t-Bu radicals do not dimerize even at low temperatures, cf., ArNH (text), ArN(O)Me,⁴⁰ and 3,5-t-Bu₂C₆H₄N-t-Bu.⁴¹

- J. Martinie-Hombrouck and A. Rassat, Tetrahedron, 30, 433 (1974). (40)
- (41) S. F. Nelsen and R. T. Landis, II, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 8707 (1973).
 (42) S. W. Benson, "Thermochemical Kinetics", Wiley, New York, N.Y.,
- 1968 (43) In systems where the ArNH is being continuously generated, e.g., from PbO2 and ArNH2, the radical may appear to have a lifetime of days.
- (44) Diarylamino radicals are also surprisingly active in hydrogen abstrac-tions from hydrocarbons, see, e.g., R. F. Bridger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 3124 (1974).
- (45) Chemically induced dynamic electron polarization. For recent reviews, see: P. W. Atkins and K. A. McLauchlan in "Chemically Induced Magnet-ic Polarizations", A. R. Lepley and G. L. Closs, Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973. R. Livingston and H. Zeldes, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **59**, 4891 (1973); J. K. S. Wan, S. K. Wong, and D. A. Hutchinson, Acc. Chem. (46) isolated¹⁶ from the photolysis of ArSH and from the oxidation of ArSH
- with lead dioxide and also with dibenzoyl peroxide at 80°.
- (47) This was pointed out to us by a referee.
- (48) S. W. Benson, F. R. Cruickshank, D. M. Golden, G. R. Haugen, H. E. O'Neal, A. S. Rodgers, R. Shaw, and R. Walsh, *Chem. Rev.*, 69, 279 (1969); H. E. O'Neal and S. W. Benson in "Free Radicals", Vol. 2, J. K.
- (1969); H. E. O'Neai and S. W. Benson in "Free Hadicals", Vol. 2, J. K. Kochi, Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973, Chapter 17.
 (49) Since Ce₈H₅OOC₆H₅ does not exist this bond strength was estimated by assuming that DH^o(CH₃X-XCH₃) DH^o(Ce₈H₅X-XC₆H₅) changes monotonically from X = CH₂ (82 57.6 = 24.4), to NH (68.8 32.1 = 36.7), to O (oxygen) (37.8 ? ≥ 36.7).
 (50) L. R. Mahoney, F. C. Ferris, and M. A. DaRooge, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 3883 (1969).
- (51) G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 334 (1955).