

Diastereoselective Intramolecular Hydride Transfer Triggered by **Electrophilic Halogenation of Aryl Alkenes**

Bin Wang,^{†,§} Dhika Aditya Gandamana,^{†,§} David Fabian León Rayo,[‡] Fabien Gagosz,^{*,‡} and Shunsuke Chiba*,[†]

[†]Division of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Ottawa, K1N 6N5, Ottawa, Canada

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Diastereoselective hydride transfer could be triggered by electrophilic halogenation (bromination or fluorination) of homoallylic alcohol O-Bn ethers. The resulting diastereomerically enriched haloalkyl alcohols underwent subsequent intramolecular nucleophilic substitution to afford the corresponding tetrahydrofurans.



E lectrophilic halo-tunctionalization of uncertainty of uncertainty of the presence of an lectrophilic halo-functionalization of alkenes via halonium functional groups onto alkenes.¹ In the presence of an appropriate internal or external nucleophile, the halonium ion intermediates can undergo ring opening at the carbon best able to stabilize the positive charge, thus enabling regioselective halo-functionalization of alkenes. In this regard, a variety of carbon and heteroatom-based nucleophiles have been utilized, whereas hydrides (H^{-}) , which potentially enable anti-Markovnikov hydrohalogenation of alkenes, have rarely been employed for this purpose.

Our group² recently demonstrated that intramolecular 1,5hydride transfer^{3,4} from alkyl ethers to a carbocation generated from alcohols (via a protonation-elimination process) or alkenes (via a protonation event) could proceed through a six-membered ring chair-like transition state to construct stereogenic centers with a high level of diastereocontrol.^{4,5} In this context, we hypothesized that 1,5-hydride transfer to halonium ions generated from homoallylic alcohol O-Bn ethers in the presence of an electrophilic halogen source might enable anti-Markovnikov hydrohalogenation (Scheme 1C). Herein, we demonstrate the execution of this concept with the development of diastereoselective electrophilic hydrobromination and fluorination of aryl alkenes. The resulting diastereomerically enriched haloalkyl alcohols undergo subsequent intramolecular nucleophilic substitution to afford substituted tetrahydrofurans under the optimized reaction conditions.

At the outset of the project, we examined the reactivity of homoallylic alcohol O-benzyl ether 1a having a benzyl group at the allylic position (Scheme 2A). Extensive screening of various reaction parameters (see the SI for details) led to the two complementary optimized reaction conditions A and B.

Scheme 1. Halofunctionalization of Alkenes and 1,5-Hydride Transfer



Treatment of 1a with 1.1 equiv of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) in trifluoroethanol at 50 °C afforded 3,4-cisdisubstituted tetrahydrofuran 2a in 74% yield with a diastereoselectivity of 91:9 (conditions A). 1-Chloromethyl-

Received: October 7, 2019

Scheme 2. Stereoselective Formation of 3,4-cis-Disubstituted Tetrahydrofuran 2a



^{*a*}Reaction conditions A: **1a** (0.3 mmol), NBS (1.1 equiv), CF_3CH_2OH (6 mL, 0.05 M), rt, 10 min, then 50 °C, 4 h. ^{*b*}Reaction conditions B: **1a** (0.5 mmol), Selectfluor (1.2 equiv), MeNO₂– CF_3CH_2OH (1:1, 5 mL, 0.1 M), 50 °C, 2 h, then TsOH (10 mol %), 50 °C, 48 h. ^{*c*}The chemical yields from the reactions in 1 g scale (use of 3 mmol of **1a**). ^{*d*}The diastereomeric ratio was determined on the basis of ¹H NMR analysis.

4-fluoro-1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane bis(tetrafluoroborate) (Selectfluor) was found to be an alternative reagent, allowing the formation of 2a in 76% yield with higher diastereoselectivity of 96:4. In this case, p-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH) (10 mol %) was required to assist the furan ring closing step, and a longer reaction time was needed to complete the process (conditions B). The transformation is initiated by the formation of a halonium ion I, which is under equilibrium with the tertiary benzylic carbocation II probably via trifluoroethyl ethers III.⁵ Subsequent 1,5-hydride shift from the benzylic position to the carbocation in II proceeds via a six-membered chairlike transition state IV in which the bulkier phenyl and benzyl groups adopt pseudo equatorial positions. Solvolysis of the resulting oxocarbenium ion V produces the diastereomerically enriched 4-halobutanol VI, which cyclizes by intramolecular nucleophilic substitution to form tetrahydrofuran 2a.^{6,7} The reaction of deuterated 1a- $[D_2]$ resulted in deuterium incorporation at the C3 position of 2a-[D], thus unambiguously proving the 1,5-hydride shift (Scheme 2C). This multistep conversion of homoallylic alcohol derivative 1a into tetrahydrofuran 2a could be

regarded as *anti*-Markovnikov hydroetherification of aryl alkenes.

We then investigated the substituent effect on the synthesis of 3,4-*cis*-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans (Scheme 3). As for

Scheme	3.	Synthesis	of	3,4-cis-Disubstituted
Tetrahy	dro	ofurans ^a		

substrates (0.5 mmol)	products	yields (d.r.)
Ar	Ar	
1b (Ar = 4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄)	2b	A : 68% (96:4) B : 80% (>99:1)
1c (Ar = 2-Me-C ₆ H ₄)	2c	A : 47% (>99:1) B : 35% (>99:1)
1d (Ar = 4-Br-C ₆ H ₄)	2d	A : 63% (90:10) B : 69% (97:3)
Ph Me 1e	Ph Me 2e	A : 49% (94:6) B : 70% (97:3)
Ph	Ph	
1f (R = OMe)	2f	A : 61% (91:9) B : 60% (99:1)
1g (R = CI)	2g	A : 78% (91:9) B : 76% (95:5)
1h (R = CN)	2h	A : 67% (91:9) B : 62% (98:2)
1i (R = NPhth)	2 i	A : 67% (95:5) B : 66% (98:2)
Ph OBn 1j NTs	Ph 2j NTs	A : 71% (93:7) B : <18% (ND)

^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol) under conditions A or B (see Scheme 2). Isolated yields and diastereomeric ratio of 2 are given.

the substituent on the alkene, both electron-rich and -deficient aryl groups could be installed (for 2b-2d), whereas the use of a sterically hindered *ortho*-tolyl group rendered the process sluggish, providing the corresponding tetrahydrofuran 2c in moderate yields under both reaction conditions A and B. Introduction of a methyl group at the allylic position of the substrate did not influence the diastereoselectivity (for 2e). The method tolerated the presence of various functional groups such as methyl ether, chloride, cyanide, and phthalimide (for 2f-2i). Construction of tetrahydrofuran 2jhaving an *N*-tosylpiperidine moiety was successful under the reaction conditions A with NBS, while the use of Selectfluor (conditions B) gave a complex mixture of unidentified compounds probably due to the oxidation of the piperidine moiety by Selectfluor.

The present strategy allowed for the construction of 2,4*trans*-disubstituted tetrahydrofuran **2k**, for which both reaction conditions A and B led to high diastereoselectivities (Scheme 4). Similarly, 2,3,4-trisubstituted bicyclic tetrahydrofurans **2l** and 2m could be synthesized, albeit with moderate efficiency in the case of 2m.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 2,4-Disubstituted and 2,3,4-Trisubstituted Bicyclic Tetrahydrofurans^a



^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol) under conditions A or B (see Scheme 2). Isolated yields and diastereomeric ratio of 2 are given.

During the course of the substrate scope study, we observed in several cases that the desired 1,5-hydride shift is interrupted. For example, the reactions of adamantyl derivative 1n produced the intriguing polycyclic tetrahydrofurans 3n-Br and 3n-F having a halomethyl tether at the C3 position as a single diastereomer (Scheme 5A). In this case, a Wagner-Meerwein type 1,2-carbon shift to the halonium ion intermediates I⁸ and concomitant cyclization of the OBn tether could account for the formation of 3n. In this mechanistic scenario, conformation II would be energetically favored over conformation III. Substrate 10 having a benzyloxyethyl tether at the allylic position gave the C2halomethyl tetrahydrofurans 40-Br and 40-F as a single diastereomer (Scheme 5B). In this case, the nucleophilic attack of the ethereal oxygen to the halonium ion IV was more favorable than the 1,5-hydride shift.9 The observed diastereoselectivity could be explained by the involvement of V, a conformer that would be more favorable than VI due to the presence of the phenyl group at a pseudo equatorial position.

Formation of tetrahydrofuran 2 from fluoroalcohol intermediates VI (Scheme 2) was unexpected, as such nucleophilic substitution at a nonactivated $C(sp^3)$ -F position has rarely been reported in the literature.^{10,11} Further modification of the reaction conditions B led to the development of an alternative stepwise protocol for the synthesis of fluoroalcohols as the major products (Scheme 6). A fluorohydroxylation step was first implemented by treating alkenes 1 with Selectfluor in the presence of water (5 equiv) in MeNO2. The solvent system was then switched to 1,2dichloroethane (DCE)-trifluoroethanol (4:1), and the resulting crude mixture was treated with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (TfOH) (10 mol %) at 50 °C for a short period of time in order to prevent the substitution step. Under these conditions, fluoroalcohol 5a could be obtained in good yield with high diastereoselectivity.

In summary, this work demonstrates synthesis of stereochemically defined tetrahydrofurans by a cascade process

Scheme 5. Interrupt of 1,5-Hydride Shift^a

A. 1,2-Carbon shift over 1,5-hydride shift



B. Haloetherification over 1,5-hydride shift



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol) under conditions A or B (see Scheme 2). Isolated yields and diastereomeric ratio of the products are given.





^aReaction conditions: 1a or 11 (0.55 mmol), Selectfluor (0.5 mmol). Isolated yields were measured based on Selectfluor. ^bReaction conditions: 1b (0.5 mmol), Selectfluor (0.6 mmol). Isolated yield was measured based on 1b.

involving a diastereoselective 1,5-hydride shift to a halonium ion intermediate as the key step. Overall, this transformation can be regarded as a formal intramolecular *anti*-Markovnikov hydroetherification of an aryl alkene, a reactivity scheme that has been rarely reported in the literature. Further application of the present method to the synthesis of complex molecules is currently ongoing in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b03548.

Experimental procedures, spectral data (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1956712 and 1956716 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: shunsuke@ntu.edu.sg (for S.C.). *E-mail: fgagosz@uottawa.ca (for F.G.).

ORCID ©

Fabien Gagosz: 0000-0002-0261-4925 Shunsuke Chiba: 0000-0003-2039-023X

Author Contributions

[§]B.W. and D.A.G. contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funding from Nanyang Technological University (for S.C.), the Singapore Ministry of Education (Academic Research Fund Tier 1: RG2/18 for S.C.), the University of Ottawa (for F.G.), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (for F.G.).

REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Cresswell, A. J.; Eey, S. T.-C.; Denmark, S. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15642. (b) Tan, C. K.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7985. (c) French, A. N.; Bissmire, S.; Wirth, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 354. (d) Ranganathan, S.; Muraleedharan, K. M.; Vaish, N. K.; Jayaraman, N. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 5273.

(2) (a) Wang, B.; Gandamana, D. A.; Gagosz, F.; Chiba, S. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 2298. (b) Gandamana, D. A.; Wang, B.; Tejo, C.; Bolte, B.; Gagosz, F.; Chiba, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 6181. (3) For selected examples on the use of alkyl ethers as hydride donors, see: (a) Li, J.; Preinfalk, A.; Maulide, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 143. (b) Bauer, A.; Maulide, N. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1461. (c) Bauer, A.; Maulide, N. Tetrahedron 2018, 74, 6883. (d) Mori, K.; Umehara, N.; Akiyama, T. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 7327. (e) Zhao, Q.; Gagosz, F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 3108. (f) Stefan, E.; Taylor, R. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 3416. (g) Jiao, Z.; Zhang, S.; He, C.; Tu, Y.; Wang, S.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8811. (h) Cambeiro, F.; López, S.; Varela, J. A.; Saá, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 723. (i) Bolte, B.; Gagosz, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7696. (j) Jurberg, I. D.; Odabachian, Y.; Gagosz, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3543. (k) Mori, K.; Kawasaki, T.; Sueoka, S.; Akiyama, T. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1732. (1) McQuaid, K. M.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 402.

(m) Vadola, P. A.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16525.
(n) McQuaid, K. M.; Long, J. Z.; Sames, D. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2972.
(o) Shikanai, D.; Murase, H.; Hata, T.; Urabe, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3166.
(p) Bhunia, S.; Liu, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16488.
(q) Bajracharya, G. B.; Pahadi, N. K.; Gridnev, I. D.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6204.
(r) Pastine, S. J.; McQuaid, K. M.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12180.
(s) Yoshimatsu, M.; Hatae, N.; Shimizu, H.; Kataoka, T. Chem. Lett. 1993, 22, 1491.

(4) For reviews, see: (a) Haibach, M. C.; Seidel, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5010. (b) Wang, L.; Xiao, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1137. (c) Peng, B.; Maulide, N. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13274. (d) Wang, M. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 1291. (e) Pan, S. C. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1374. (f) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1683.

(5) Trifluoroethyl ethers III could be isolated under both of the reaction conditions. See the Supporting Information for details.

(6) For reviews on synthesis of tetrahydrofurans, see: (a) Jalce, G.;
Franck, X.; Figadère, B. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2009, 20, 2537.
(b) Wolfe, J. P. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 2007, 571. (c) Wolfe, J. P.;
Hay, M. B. *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 261. (d) Hartung, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2001, 2001, 619.

(7) For recent selected reports on construction of tetrahydrofurans, see: (a) Zhu, Y.; Colomer, I.; Thompson, A. L.; Donohoe, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, 141, 6489. (b) Biberger, T.; Makai, S.; Lian, Z.; Morandi, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2018**, 57, 6940. (c) Fujita, S.; Shibuya, M.; Yamamoto, Y. Synthesis **2017**, 49, 4199. (d) Evans, P. A.; Inglesby, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 3635. (e) Nicolai, S.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 6324. (f) Zhu, H.; Wickenden, J. G.; Campbell, N. E.; Leung, J. C. T.; Johnson, K. M.; Sammis, G. M. Org. Lett. **2009**, 11, 2019. (g) Wolfe, J. P.; Rossi, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 1620. (h) Zhu, G.; Zhang, Z. Org. Lett. **2004**, 6, 4041. (i) Nair, V.; Balagopal, L.; Sheeba, V.; Panicker, S. B.; Rath, N. P. Chem. Commun. **2001**, 1682. (j) Ericsson, C.; Engman, L. Org. Lett. **2001**, 3, 3459. (k) Loh, T.-P.; Hu, Q.-Y.; Tan, K.-T.; Cheng, H.-S. Org. Lett. **2001**, 3, 2669.

(8) Geoghegan, K.; Smullen, S.; Evans, P. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 10443.

(9) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Bartlett, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3963.

(10) For reviews, see: (a) Shen, Q.; Huang, Y.-G.; Liu, C.; Xiao, J.-C.; Chen, Q.-Y.; Guo, Y. J. Fluorine Chem. **2015**, *179*, 14. (b) Stahl,

C., Chen, C. F., Gub, F. J. Fukorme Chem. 2015, 179, 14. (b) Stani,
T.; Klare, H. F. T.; Oesterich, M. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1578.
(c) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2119. (d) Hudlicky,
M. Isr. J. Chem. 1978, 17, 80.

(11) (a) Haufe, G.; Suzuki, S.; Yasui, H.; Terada, C.; Kitayama, T.;
Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12275.
(b) Zhang, L.; Zhang, W.; Liu, J.; Hu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2850.