Therefore, we propose that in this first step a hydrazido(2-)complex is formed as an intermediate. The reaction is shown in eq 3. The hydrazido(2-) complex may alternatively form as

$$2Mo(N_2)_2(triphos)(L) + 4HBr \rightarrow 2MoBr_2(NNH_2)(triphos) + 2N_2 + 2L (3)$$

 $[MoBr(NNH_2)(triphos)(L)]Br$. So far we have not been able to isolate and characterize this intermediate.

The second, slower step involves further loss of 1/2 of a mol of N_2 and formation of ammonia. This latter step appears to be best described as a valence disproportionation involving two [Mo(N- NH_2] units (eq 4). The reaction appears to be at least bimo-

$$2MoBr_2(NNH_2)(triphos) + 4HBr \rightarrow 2MoBr_3(triphos) + 2NH_4Br + N_2$$
(4)

lecular since reducing the volume of solvent at ambient temperature after loss of 1 mol of N2 causes an increase in the rate of evolution of the remaining 1/2 of a mol of N₂. The intimate details of this second step remain a mystery at present. However, we do know that there is no scrambling of nitrogen atoms between NN units. This was shown by reacting HBr with 1 that had been partially labeled with dinitrogen-30. Analysis of the total amount of N₂ evolved showed *no* increase in the amount of ${}^{14}N \equiv {}^{15}N$ present over that occurring in the original N_2 gas used to prepare labeled complex 1. This result together with the absence of hydrazine among the products rule out a linear tetrazane-type intermediate.

It is interesting to contrast the ligand arrangement of the three groups of bis(dinitrogen) complexes: $Mo(N_2)_2(dppe)_2$, $Mo(N_2)_2L_4$ (where L = PMe₂Ph or PMePh₂), and 1. The former complex contains two bidentate tertiary phosphine ligands, and protonolysis stops at the stage where diprotonation has been achieved and the hydrazido(2-) complex formed, e.g., [MoBr(NNH₂)(dppe)₂]Br. In contrast, the bis(dinitrogen) complexes of molybdenum containing four monodentate phosphine ligands are protonated to varying extents, depending upon the acid and the solvent, with the ultimate product being ammonia (with hydrazine often as a coproduct). Apparent intermediates in the ammonia-forming reaction that have been isolated and characterized for the molybdenum complexes are, e.g., $MoBr(NNH)(dppe)_2^{12}$ and $MoBr_2(NNH_2)(PMe_2Ph)_3^{11}$ It has been proposed that the difference in behavior between these two groups of bis(dinitrogen) complexes rests with the ease with which coordination sites become available during the course of protonolysis together with the nature of the conjugate base of the acid.² Complex 1 is a hybrid of these two types. On the one hand, it contains the tridentate ligand which remains coordinated to molybdenum in the molybdenum-containing product while on the other hand it contains a monodentate phosphine which is displaced at some stage during protonolysis.

Further work is in progress to (i) isolate and characterize the proposed intermediate. (ii) elucidate the mechanism of the reaction, (iii) study the tungsten analogue, and (iv) carry out the protonolysis reaction in the presence of a reducing agent in order to regenerate the N₂-binding species in situ and hence produce a catalytic cycle.

Acknowledgment. Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this work.

John A. Baumann, T. Adrian George*

Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Lincoln, Nebraska 68588

Received May 12, 1980

Conversion of an η^5 -Cyclopentadienyl-Metal Complex to an η^1 -Cyclopentadienyl-Metal Complex upon Addition of Trimethylphosphine

Sir:

We recently reported several synthetic routes to a hydroxymethyl metal compound, $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(CO)(NO)(CH_2OH)$ (1). Hydroxymethyl metal compounds have been suggested as important intermediates in the metal-catalyzed reduction of carbon monoxide² but have previously been unavailable for study.^{3,4} Pruett has suggested that CO insertion into a Rh-CH₂OH species is a likely step in the rhodium cluster catalyzed synthesis of ethylene glycol from CO and H_2 . In an attempt to find a model for such a CO-insertion process, we have studied the reactions of $P(CH_3)_3$ with $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(CO)(NO)(CH_2OH)$ (1) and with $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Re(CO)(NO)(CH_3)$ (2). We were surprised to find that reaction of $P(CH_3)_3$ with 2 does not produce an acetylrhenium complex but instead produces an η^1 -cyclopentadienyl bis(phosphine) derivative (3).



An equimolar solution of 2 and $P(CH_3)_3$ (0.24 M) in acetone- d_6 undergoes a rapid reaction at room temperature. Proton NMR (270 MHz) spectra indicate that 50% of methylrhenium compound **2** (δ 5.80; 0.88) and 95% of the free P(CH₃)₃ [δ 0.96 (d, J = 2.2Hz)] are consumed while a new product 3 appears with resonances at δ 5.57 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 5 H), 1.70 (d, J = 8 Hz, 9 H), 1.49 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 9 H), and 0.60 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.0 Hz, 3 H). The NMR spectrum thus requires that adduct 3 contain a methyl group which is cis to one $P(CH_3)_3$ ligand and trans to another $P(CH_3)_3$ ligand. When 2.5 equiv of $P(CH_3)_3$ were employed, complete conversion of the red methyl compound 2 to the yellow bis(phosphine) adduct 3 was observed. The reaction of $(PCH_3)_3$ with 2 is rapid in THF, acetone, benzene, and hexane. For synthetic purposes, hexane is the solvent of choice since 3 crystallizes from solution as it is formed and drives the equilibrium (vide infra) toward 3. Reaction of 2 (0.111 g, 0.341 mmol) with P(CH₃)₃ (1.10 mmol) followed by rapid removal of hexane (0 °C, 10⁻³ mmHg) gave 3 as a yellow solid (0.163 g; 100%). The infrared spectrum (cyclohexane) of 3 has bands at 1994 and 1690 cm⁻¹ compared with 1970 and 1715 cm^{-1} for starting material 2.

The spectroscopic properties of 3 are consistent with either of two different 18-electron formulations: a fluxional η^1 -C₅H₅Re^I derivative with a linear nitrosyl unit (3) or a fluxional η^3 -C₅H₅Re^{III} derivative⁶ with a bent nitrosyl ligand (4). Structure 4 seemed



(1) Casey, C. P., Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3371-3373. Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R.; Rinz, J. E. Ibid. 1980, 102, 1927-1933. 1 has also been prepared by Graham, W. A. G.; Sweet, J. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 173, C9-C12.

A. G.; Sweet, J. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 173, C9-C12. (2) For reviews, see: Muetterties, E. L.; Stein, J. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 479-490. Masters, C. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 17, 61-103. Olive, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1976, 15, 136-141. Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng. 1976, 14, 97-129. Vannice, M. A. Ibid. 1976, 14, 153-191. (3) (CO)₅MnCH(OH)C₆H₅ was too unstable to be observable even at -50 °C: Gladysz, J. A.; Selover, J. C.; Strouse, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6766, 6768

0002-7863/80/1502-6154\$01.00/0 © 1980 American Chemical Society

⁽¹¹⁾ Chatt, J.; Pearman, A. J.; Richards, R. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 1766-1776.

⁽¹²⁾ Chatt, J.; Pearman, A. J.; Richards, R. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 1520-1524.

^{100, 6766-6768}

⁽⁴⁾ An unstable OsCH₂OH compound has been reported: Brown, K. L.; Clark, G. R.; Headford, C. E. L.; Marsden, K.; Roper, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 503-505.

⁽⁵⁾ Pruett, R. L. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1977, 295, 239-248. See also: Dombek, B. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6466-6468.



Figure 1. Ortep drawing of $(\eta^1 - C_5H_5)Re(CO)(NO)(CH_3)[P(CH_3)_3]_2$ with 50% thermal ellipsoids.

Table I.	Selected Bond Lengths in	
$(\eta^1 - C_5 H_5)$	$Re(CO)(NO)(CH_3)[P(CH_3)_3]_2$	(Å) ^a

$\Pi_{5}/(C(CO)(NO)(C\Pi_{3})[1(C\Pi_{3})_{3}]_{2}(X)$				
Re-P1	2.46	C2-O2	1.11	
Re-P2	2.47	N1-01	1.15	
Re-C1	2.26	C3-C4	1.48	
Re-C2	2.00	C4-C5	1.36	
Re-C3	2.32	C5-C6	1.39	
Re-N1	1.80	C6-C7	1.34	
ReC4	3.18	C7-C3	1.44	
Re-C7	3.23			

^a All lengths are 0.01 Å.

Table II. Selected Bond Angles in $(\eta^1 - C_5 H_5) \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})(\operatorname{NO})(\operatorname{CH}_3) [\operatorname{P}(\operatorname{CH}_3)_3]_2$ (deg)

P1-Re-P2	98.7	N1-Re-C1	93.9	
P1-Re-C1	84.0	N1-Re-C2	92.8	
P2-Re-C2	89.5	C3-Re-C1	83.0	
C2-Re-C1	87.6	C3-Re-C2	89.3	
N1-Re-P1	89.1	Re-N1-O1	179.7	
N1-Re-P2	92.4	Re-C2-O2	173.7	
C3-Re-P1	88.3			
C3-Re-P2	90.8			

plausible since it could account for the shift of the CO stretch to higher energy due to a change in formal oxidation state from I to III and for the shift of the NO stretch to lower energy due to bending of the nitrosyl ligand. In principle, structures 3 and 4 are distinguishable by NMR if the fluxional isomerization of the η^1 - or η^3 -C₅H₅ unit could be frozen out at low temperature. However, both the 270-MHz ¹H NMR at -100 °C and the 25-MHz ¹³C NMR at -95 °C showed equivalence of all cyclopentadienyl protons (δ 5.58) and carbons (δ 114.8).

The X-ray crystal structure of the rhenium complex was determined $(R_1 = 0.041, R_2 = 0.057)$ to distinguish between structures 3 and 4 and is shown in Figure 1 (Table I and II).⁷ The molecule has an η^1 -cyclopentadienyl group trans to a linear nitrosyl group and is clearly best formulated as 3. The increase of the CO stretching frequency upon going from 2 to 3 is still surprising in view of the addition of two strong donor $P(CH_3)_3$ ligands but is evidently due to decreased electron donation from a trans P(CH₃)₃ ligand in 3 compared with a trans η^5 -C₅H₅ ligand



Figure 2. Reaction of 2 (0.013 M) with P(CH₃)₃ (0.25 M) in THF at 25 °C. Spectra 1-9 were recorded at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 40, and 50 min.

Table III. Observed Rate Constants for the Reaction of $P(CH_3)_3$ with $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(CO)(NO)(CH_3) 0.0121 \text{ M in THF at } 25.0 \degree \text{C}$

[P(CH ₃) ₃], M	k_{obsd}, s^{-1}	k_2^{a} , M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	
0.484 0.242 0.123	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.35 \times 10^{-3} \\ 6.40 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.35 \times 10^{-4} \end{array} $	$2.92 \times 10^{-3} \\ 2.79 \times 10^{-3} \\ 2.96 \times 10^{-3}$	

^a Corrected for small amounts of consumed $P(CH_3)_3$.

in 2. The decrease of the NO stretching frequency upon going from 2 to 3 is most likely due to increased electron donation from the trans η^1 -C₅H₅ of 3 compared with the trans η^5 -C₅H₅ of 2 and from the two added $P(CH_3)_3$ ligands.⁸

To our knowledge, the reaction of $P(CH_3)_3$ with 2 constitutes the first example of the conversion of an η^5 -C₅H₅ system into an isolatable η^1 -C₅H₅ complex. In 1957, Fischer suggested CO displacement of an η^5 -C₅H₅ unit to an η^1 -C₅H₅ unit in the reaction of CO with $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)_2$ ReH which gave a bis(carbonyl) adduct;⁹ however, in 1958, Wilkinson and Green demonstrated by NMR that the reaction product was $(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(CO)_2(\eta^2 - C_5H_6)^{.10}$ Cross and Wardle reported that $(Et_3P)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)PdBr$ reacted with PEt₃ to give a small amount of material with a resonance at δ 6.37 which they attributed to $(\eta^1 - C_5 H_5) Pd(PEt_3)_2 Br$; the major isolated product of the reaction was $[\eta^5-C_5H_5Pd(PEt_3)_2]^+Br^{-.11}$ Ustynyuk et al. found that $(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)_2 Ni$ reacts with $P(C_6 H_5)_3$ at 50 °C to give a green compound which reverts to starting materials on alumina chromatography; the structure of the green material was suggested to be $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(\eta^1-C_5H_5)Ni[P(C_6H_5)_3]^{12}$ Ligand displacement of η^5 -C₅H₅ has also been suggested in a number of other organometallic reactions.¹³

The formation of phosphine adduct 3 is reversible. A 0.018 M solution of 3 in acetone- d_6 liberated phosphine slowly and came to equilibrium within 1 day at room temperature to give a 1.2:1.0:1.7 mixture of 3:2:P(CH₃)₃. The complete conversion of 3 back to 2 and $P(CH_3)_3$ was accomplished by allowing a solution of 3 (0.1626 g, 0.341 mmol) in 5 mL of acetone to equilibrate

⁽⁶⁾ The X-ray structure of $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_5)(\eta^3 \cdot C_5H_5)W(CO)_2$ has been determined: Huttner, G.; Brintzinger, H. H.; Bell, L. G.; Friedrich, P.; Bejenke, V.; Neugebauer, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 145, 329-333. Infrared evidence for $(\eta^3 - C_5 H_5)Co(CO)_3$ in a CO matrix at 12 K has been presented: Crichton, O.; Rest, A. J.; Taylor, D. J. J. Chem Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 167-173.

⁽⁷⁾ A crystal ($0.1 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ mm) obtained by slowly cooling a saturated hexane solution of 3 from +10 to -5 °C over 3 days was mounted on a Syntex P_I diffractometer (Mo Ka, graphite monochromater). 3 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c, with unit-cell constants a = 17.416 (6), b = 8.513 (2), c = 25.387 (12) A; $\beta = 102.10$ (3)°; Z = 8 (ρ_{obsd} 1.71 g cm⁻³, $\rho_{caled} = 1.72$ g cm⁻³). 3061 reflections ($2\theta \le 55^{\circ}$) with intensities $\ge 3\sigma$ were used in structure refinement. The structure was solved by using standard heavyatom techniques. Final coordinates, anisotropic thermal parameters, and structure factor amplitudes are included in Supplementary Material.

⁽⁸⁾ The CO stretching frequency is more sensitive to the nature of the trans ligand than the cis ligands: Timney, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 78, 2502-2506.
 (9) Fischer, E. O.; Wirzmüller, A. Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org.

Chem. 1958, 12B, 737-738 (10) Green, M. L. H.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 4314-4317.

 ⁽¹¹⁾ Cross, R. J.; Wardle, R. J. Chem. Soc. A 1971, 2000-2007.
 (12) Ustynyuk, Y. A.; Voevodskaya, T. I.; Zharikova, N. A.; Ustynyuk,

N. A. Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSSR 1968, 181, 640-643.

N. A. Dokl. Acad. Nauk. SSSR 1906, 181, 640-643.
 (13) See, for example: Schropp, W. K. Inorg. Chem. 1962, 24, 1688-1690.
 McClellan, W. R.; Hochn, H. H. J. Am. Chem. 1961, 83, 1601-1607. Nixon,
 J. F. Chem. Commun. 1966, 34. Olechowski, J. R.; McAlister, C. G.; Clark,
 R. F. Inorg. Chem. 1965, 4, 246-247. Fischer, E. O.; Palm, D. Chem. Ber.
 1958, 91, 1725-1731. Tilney-Bassett, J. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 577-584.
 Dubeck, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 502. McBride, D. W.; Dubeck, E.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 1752-1759.

for 1 h and then removing acetone and $P(CH_3)_3$ slowly under vacuum. Five cycles of this equilibration and $P(CH_3)_3$ removal sequence gave red crystalline 2 (0.1086 g, 98%) which was identified by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

The rate of reaction of 2 with excess $P(CH_3)_3$ in THF at 25.0 °C was monitored by following the decrease in absorbance at 488 nm (for 2, ϵ_{488} 84.1 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; for 3, ϵ_{488} 29.9 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) (Figure 2). The conversion of 2 to 3 followed pseudo-first-order kinetics to >80% reaction. The observed rate constants depended linearly on the phosphine concentration (Table III). The second-order rate constant, corrected for small amounts of consumed P(CH₃)₃, was determined to be $(2.89 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The conversion of a THF solution of 3 to an equilibrium mixture of 3, 2, and P(CH₃)₃ was monitored by UV at 488 nm. The initial rate constant for disappearance of 3 at 25.0 °C was $3.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and the equilibrium constant was $7.10 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-2}$.

The kinetic observation of a second-order reaction requires a rate-determining step involving both 2 (or a species in rapid equilibrium with 2) and $P(CH_3)_3$ followed by rapid coordination of a second $P(CH_3)_3$. The rate-determining step might involve either an associative reaction of $P(CH_3)_3$ with unrearranged 2 or an attack of P(CH₃)₃ on a coordinatively unsaturated species which is in rapid equilibrium with 2. Three possible rearrangements of the ligands on 2 could produce a vacant coordination site: (1) the η^5 -C₅H₅ could "slip" to form an η^1 - or η^3 -C₅H₅ species, (2) the nitrosyl ligand could bend to change the formal oxidation state and electron count of the rhenium center, or (3) the methyl could migrate to the CO ligand to generate a coordinatively unsaturated acetylrhenium intermediate. Only the final possibility seems unlikely since the corresponding hydride, $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(CO)$ -(NO)H, reacts with excess $P(CH_3)_3$ at room temperature to give an η^1 -C₅H₅ bis(phosphine) hydride complex¹⁴ analogous to **3** and since hydride migration from a metal to CO to form a formyl intermediate has never been observed.²

The hydroxymethyl complex $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(CO)(NO)(CH_2OH)$ (1) also reacts with $P(CH_3)_3$ at 25 °C in acetone to produce a similar product, $(\eta^1 - C_5 H_5) \tilde{Re}(CO)(NO)(CH_2OH)[P(CH_3)_3]_2$ (5) [¹H NMR (acetone- d_6): δ 5.68 (dd, J = 1.5, 1.8 Hz, 5 H), 4.89 $(d, J = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, CH_2\text{OH}), 2.86 \text{ (br s, OH)}, 1.73 \text{ (d, } J = 9 \text{ Hz},$ 9 H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 9 H); IR (THF) 1979, 1680 cm⁻¹]. 5 is unstable and completely decomposes within 2 h at room temperature.

Methyl complex 2 also reacts with bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane to produce an η^1 -C₅H₅ compound, $(\eta^1$ -C₅H₅)Re(CO)- $(NO)(CH_3)[(CH_3)_2P(CH_2)_2P(CH_3)_2]: {}^{1}H NMR (acetone-d_6)$ δ 5.47 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 5 H), 1.65 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.68 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.53 (d, J =8.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.51 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.0–3.0 (m, 4 H); IR (cyclohexane) 1986, 1687 cm⁻¹.

In contrast to $P(CH_3)_3$, no reaction of tri-*n*-butylphosphine (PBu₃) with 2 in benzene was observed even upon heating for 1 h at 70 °C.15 At 100 °C, reaction of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(CO)$ -(NO)(CH₃) (2) with 2 equiv of PBu₃ in C₆D₆ gave $(\eta^5$ -C₅H₅)-Re(NO)(PBu₃)(COCH₃) (6)¹⁶ (70% isolated yield) and $(\eta^{5} - C_{5}H_{5})$ Re(NO)(PBu₃)(CH₃) (7)¹⁷ (17% isolated yield).

Acknowledgment. Support from the Division of Basic Energy Sciences of the Department of Energy is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of fractional coordinates, anisotropic thermal parameters, and structure factor amplitudes (20 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Charles P. Casey,* William D. Jones¹⁸

Samuel M. McElvain Laboratories of Organic Chemistry Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Received April 28, 1980

Lewis Acid-Base Behavior of H₂Os₃(CO)₁₀: Versatile Pathways to Mixed-Metal Clusters

Sir:

Mixed-metal clusters have been prepared from the electronically unsaturated hydridoosmium carbonyl cluster $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ by procedures which exploit its Lewis acid character.¹⁻⁴ We find that $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ can also function as an apparent Lewis base. An illustration of the synthetic versatility of $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ is the preparation of $H_2FeOs_3(CO)_{13}$ by two new and different routes: one route in which $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ functions as an apparent Lewis acid and another route in which it functions as an apparent Lewis base (eq 1 and 2).

$$H_2Os_3(CO)_{10} + Fe(CO)_4^{2-} \xrightarrow{H^+} H_2FeOs_3(CO)_{13} 30\% \text{ yield}$$
(1)

$$H_2Os_3(CO)_{10} + Fe_2(CO)_9 \rightarrow H_2FeOs_3(CO)_{13} 82\% \text{ yield}$$
 (2)

In reaction 1, the strongly nucleophilic metal carbonylate K₂Fe(CO)₄ will react at 0 °C with an equimolar quantity of $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ in THF without evolution of any noncondensable gases to give a clear deep red solution. Removal of the THF solvent and protonation of the resulting anionic material with liquid HCl at -110 °C yields H₂FeOs₃(CO)₁₃ in 20-30% yield. The orange cluster was identified on the basis of mass spectral data and its infrared spectrum, which is identical with the previously reported spectrum.⁵ The room-temperature ¹H NMR spectrum at 90 MHz consists of one resonance at τ 30.7. This chemical-shift value is in the range expected for metal-edge bridging hydrogen.⁶

Cluster expansion through reaction 1 effectively exploits the Lewis acid character of the triosmium system. The finding of Stone and co-workers3 that certain electron-rich metal complexes will also add to $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ is another example of this general reactivity pattern which had previously been established for nonmetal electron donors.^{7,8}

Reaction 2 is a desirable alternate route to the preparation of $H_2FeOs_3(CO)_{13}$ because it provides a significantly higher yield of product and is technically easier to carry out. A twofold excess of $Fe_2(CO)_9$ reacts at room temperature with a benzene solution of $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ to give $H_2FeOs_3(CO)_{13}$ in 82% yield. In this reaction, the triosmium system can be viewed to be functioning as a Lewis base rather than as a Lewis acid as in the case of reaction 1. Since a Lewis base will displace $Fe(CO)_5$ from $Fe_2(CO)_9$ to give an adduct of $Fe(CO)_4$,⁹ it is not unreasonable to propose that the formation of $H_2FeOs_3(CO)_{13}$ by reaction 2 proceeds through an intermediate $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}Fe(CO)_4$ adduct.

(1972). (7) A. J. Deeming and S. Hasso, J. Organomet. Chem., 88, C21 (1975).
(8) J. R. Shapley, J. R. Keister, M. R. Churchill, and B. G. DeBuer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 4145 (1975).

(9) F. A. Cotton and J. M. Troup, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 3438 (1974).

⁽¹⁴⁾ For $(\pi^1-C_5H_5)$ Re(CO)(NO)(H)[P(CH_3)_3]₂: ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 5.54 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 5 H), 1.79 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 9 H), 1.64 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 9 H), 0.08 (dd, J = 56.8, 29.8 Hz). Attempts to isolate this compound by removal of solvent (0 °C, 10⁻² mmHg) resulted in decomposition to unidentifiable products

Intraole products. (15) The half-life for formation of 6 from 2 (0.17 M) and PBu₃ (0.35 M) at 90 °C was approximately 5 h. (16) For 6: ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) δ 4.97 (s, 5 H), 2.90 (s, 3 H), 1.8–1.5 (m, 6 H), 1.27 (m, 12 H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 9 H); IR (THF) 1645, 1561 cm⁻¹; ³IP NMR (C_6D_6) δ –6.34 relative to external H₃PO₄. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{35}NO_2$,PRe: C, 43.33; H, 6.70; N, 2.68. Found: C, 43.63; H, 6.99; N, 2 70 2.79

⁽¹⁷⁾ A mixture of 7 and PBu₃ was isolated by column chromatography. For 6: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 4.74 (s, C₅H₅), 1.21 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, ReCH₃), 1.62 (m), 1.29 (m), 0.93 (t, J = 6.0 Hz); IR (THF) 1628 cm⁻¹.

⁽¹⁸⁾ NSF National Needs Postdoctoral Fellow, 1979-1980.

J. R. Shapley, G. A. Pearson, M. Tachikawa, G. E. Schmidt, M. R. Churchill, and F. J. Hollander, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 8064 (1977).
 M. R. Churchill, F. J. Hollander, J. R. Shapley, and D. S. Foose, J.

<sup>Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 534 (1978).
(3) L. J. Farrugia, J. A. K. Howard, P. Mitprachachon, J. L. Spencer, F.</sup>

G. A. Stone, and P. Woodward, J. Chem., Soc., Chem. Commun. 260 (1978). (4) S. Bhaduri, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, P. R. Raithby, and D. J.

Watson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 343 (1978) (5) G. L. Geoffroy and W. L. Gladfelter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 7565

⁽¹⁹⁷⁷⁾ (6) A. P. Humphries, H. D. Kaesz, and R. B. saillant, Chem. Rev., 72 231