Asymmetric Alkylidene and Oxo Complexes of Tungsten(VI)

Joseph A. Heppert,^{*} Steven D. Dietz, Nancy W. Eilerts, Robert W. Henning, Martha D. Morton, and Fusao Takusagawa

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045

Frank A. Kaul

INTERx Research Corporation, 21st Street, Lawrence, Kansas 66047

Received February 4, 1993

Reactions between $(t-BuO)_3W(\equiv CR)$ derivatives and 1,1'-bi-2-naphthols (H₂R₂BINO, R = Me, Br, Ph) generate mononuclear C_1 -symmetric (R₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=CHR) complexes. An analogous reaction with 2 equiv of H₂Me₂BINO generates (Me₂BINO)₂W(=CHPh), while a reaction between H₂Me₂BINO and (t-BuO)₄W(=O) produces (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=O). This oxo complex was characterized by X-ray crystallography, which shows it to be a highly-distorted trigonal bipyramidal complex in which the Me₂BINO ligand adopts a λ chelate stereochemistry. Although the complexes show little stereoselectivity in Wittig-like alkylidene transfer to benzaldehyde, the ring opening metathesis polymerization of norbornene proceeds with modest stereoselectivity. The fraction of *cis*-polynorbornene increases in concert with the steric demands of the substituents on the R₂BINO ligand. Crystal data for (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=O) at 113 K: a = 11.426(3) Å, b = 14.733(3) Å, c = 16.716(4) Å, $\beta = 105.87(2)^\circ$, Z = 4, $D_{calc.} = 1.616$, space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14), R(F) = 0.053, $R_w(F) = 0.106$ for 3451 reflections ($F_0 > 0$).

Introduction

Metal alkylidene complexes engage in a wide range of cycloaddition reactions with organic substrates.^{1,2} Electrophilic alkylidene moieties, which occur in electron rich transition metal complexes, participate broadly in cycloaddition reactions with unsaturated hydrocarbon derivatives, producing complex cyclic organic molecules.^{3–5} Nucleophilic alkylidene moieties, found in complexes containing high oxidation state metal centers, participate in both metathesis reactions and Wittig-like alkylidene transfer processes.^{6,7} The ability of alkylidenes to facilitate metathesis has been broadly employed in classical olefin metathesis reactions, alkyne polymerization, and ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) processes.⁸⁻¹⁵ While studies of asymmetric auxiliaries have contributed significantly to the development of enantiospecific Fischer carbene reagents, particularly through Hegedus' enan-

(5) Hegedus, L. S. Pure Appl. Chem. 1990, 62, 691.

tiospecific imine cycloadditions to chromium carbenes,¹⁶ such strategies have not yet been broadly applied to stereocontrol in the reactions of Schrock-type alkylidene

© 1993 American Chemical Society

⁽¹⁾ Collman, J. C.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, J. R.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987, Chapter 16.

⁽²⁾ Schubert, U., Ed. Advances in Metal Carbene Chemistry; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1988.

⁽³⁾ Dötz, K. H.; Popall, M.; Müller, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 334, 57.

⁽⁴⁾ Wulff, W. D.; Tang, P.-C.; Chan, K.-S.; McCallum, J. S.; Yang, D. C.; Gilbertson, S. R. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 5813.

⁽⁶⁾ Imamoglu, Y.; Zumreoglu-Karen, B.; Amass, A. J. Olefin Metathesis and Polymerization Catalysis; Nato Series C, Vol. 326; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, 1990.

<sup>Publishers: Dordrecht, 1990.
(7) (a) Ivin, J. J. Olefin Metathesis; Academic Press: London, 1983.
(b) Ardili, H. E.; Greene, R. M. E.; Hamilton, J. G.; Ho, H. T.; Ivin, K. J.; Lapiens, G.; McCann, G. M.; Rooney, J. J. In Ring Opening Polymerization; McGrath, J. E., Ed.; Symposium Series 286; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1985; Chapter 21.
(8) (a) Courtier, J.-L.; Paillet, C.; Leconte, M.; Basset, J.-M; Weiss, K. Angou, Chem. Let. Fd. Engl. 1992, 21, 298.</sup>

 ^{(8) (}a) Courtier, J.-L.; Paillet, C.; Leconte, M.; Basset, J.-M; Weiss, K.
 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 628. (b) Kress, J.; Wesolek, M.;
 LeNy, J.-P.; Osborn, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1039.

 ^{(9) (}a) Katz, T. J.; Han, C. C. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2186. (b) Katz,
 T. J.; Hacker, S. M.; Kendrick, R. D.; Yannoni, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1985, 107, 2187.

^{(10) (}a) Gilliom, L.; Grubbs, R. H. Organometallics 1986, 5, 721. Wu,
Z.; Wheeler, D. R.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 146. (b)
Nguyen, S. B. T.; Johnson, L. K.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3974. Grubbs, R. H. Polym. Prepr. (Am. Chem.
Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 1991, 32, 84. (c) Grubbs, R. H. Polym. Prepr.
(Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 1992, 33, 1225.
(11) (a) Komiya, Z; Pugli, C.; Schrock, R. R. Macromolecules 1992, 25,

^{(11) (}a) Komiya, Z.; Pugli, C.; Schrock, R. R. Macromolecules 1992, 25, 3609. (b) Fox, H. H.; Bazan, G. C.; Murdzek, J. S.; O'Regan, M. B.; Schrock, R. R. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2902. (c) Bazan, G. C.; Oskam, J. H.; Cho, H.-N.; Park, L. Y.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6899. (d) Cai, S.; Schrock, R. R. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 4105. (e) Saunders, R. S.; Cohen, R. E.; Wong, S. J.; Schrock, R. R. Macromolecules 1992, 25, 2055. (f) Schrock, R. R.; Cummins, C. C.; Chan, Y. N. C.; Cohen, R. E. Polym. Prepr. (Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 1992, 33, 1224. (g) Craig, G. S. W.; Cohen, R. E.; Chan, Y. N. C.; Schrock, R. R. Polym. Prepr. (Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym. Chem.) 1992, 33, 128. (h) Bazan, G. C.; Schrock, R. R.; Cho, N.-H; Gibson, V. C. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 4495. (i) Saunders, R. S.; Cohen, R. E.; Schrock, R. R. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 5599.

 ^{(12) (}a) Sankaran, V.; Cohen, R. E.; Cummins, C. C.; Schrock, R. R.
 Macromolecules 1991, 24, 6664. (b) Boutarra, D.; Paillet, C.; LeConte,
 M.; Basset, J.-M. J. Mol. Catal. 1991, 69, 157. (c) Quignard, F.; Leconte,
 M.; Basset, J.-M. J. Mol. Catal. 1985, 28, 27. (d) Quignard, F.; LeConte,
 M.; Basset, J.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1816.

M.; Basset, J.-M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1816.
 (13) (a) Kress, J.; Wesolek, M.; LeNy, J. P.; Osborn, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1039. (b) Kress, J.; Osborn, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6346. (c) Yoninou, M. T.; Kress, J.; Fischer, J.; Aguero, A.; Osborn, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1234.

^{(14) (}a) Ellsworth, M. W.; Novak, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2756. (b) Lautens, M.; Abd-El-Aziz, A. S.; Reibel, J. Macromolecules 1989, 22, 4134. (c) Lautens, M.; Lautens, J. C.; Smith, A. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5627. (d) Lautens, M.; Crudden, C. M. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2733. (e) Lautens, M.; Crudden, C. M.; Abd-El-Aziz, A. S.; Wada, T. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 2425-1427. (f) Lautens, M.; Abd-El-Aziz, A. S.; Schmidt, G. Macromolecules 1990, 23, 2821-1812.

 ^{(15) (}a) Alper, H.; Savard, J. Can J. Chem. 1988, 66, 2483. (b) Rudler,
 H.; Levisalles, J.; Rose-Munch, F.; Daran, J. C.; Dronzee, Y.; Jeanin, Y. J.
 Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 152. (c) Rudler, H.; Rose, F.; Rudler,
 M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1581. (d) Quignard, F.; Leconte, M.;
 Basset, J. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1816. (e) Wagener,
 K. B.; Boncella, J. M.; Nel, J. G. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 2651. (f)
 Wagener, K. B.; Nel, J. G.; Konzelman, J.; Boncella, J. M. Macromolecules
 1990, 23, 5115.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Hegedus, L. S.; Imwinkelried, R.; Alarid-Sargent, M.; Dvorak, D.; Satoh, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1109.

derivatives.¹⁷ This should evoke some surprise considering that Ivin was the first to propose such a strategy for influencing the stereochemistry of ROMP processes in the early 1980s.⁷

Although chiral auxiliaries have not yet been applied to metathesis stereocontrol, a number of investigators have devoted significant attention to investigating the mechanistic details and stereochemical outcome of metathesis and ROMP reactions.^{6,8,18-21} Katz, Ivin, and Basset have all made seminal contributions to understanding the reaction coordinate of alkylidene cycloadditions, 6,8,18,19 while Hegedus has outlined the probable influence of asymmetric auxiliaries on the face selectivity of 2+2 processes involving alkylidenes.^{16,22} Other investigators have systematically examined the results of variations in the identity of the metal and its attendant ligands on the stereochemistry of metathesis reactions.^{23,24} Basset, in particular, has shown the initial stages of the olefin metathesis reaction to be highly stereospecific, with the establishment of thermodynamic product distributions occurring through an initially uncompetitive set of branches of the reaction coordinate.⁸ Early studies of ROMP stereochemistry focused on the evaluation of the microstructure of ROMP'ed materials.¹⁹ In this area, Ivin and his co-workers have made the most important contributions, carefully unraveling the detailed stereochemistry of dozens of ROMP'ed materials through analyses of ¹³C NMR spectra.^{7,19} Both Basset and Osborn have noted the increased cis selectivity of isostructural catalysts as the steric demands of halide or aryloxide ligands are increased.^{23,24} Most recently, Schrock and Gibson have shown that the rate of rotation about a M=C bond can directly influence the stereochemistry of cycloaddition processes.25,26

We have chosen to examine how introducing C_2 symmetric chelating bis(aryloxide) ligands into the coordination sphere of an alkylidene derivative influences the stereoselectivity of reactions at the alkylidene template. With this end in mind, we have synthesized a series of tungsten alkylidene complexes containing either one or two 1,1'-bi-2-naphtholate (R₂BINO) ligands.²⁷⁻³⁰ While

(20) (a) Casey, C. P.; Shusterman, A. J. J. Mol. Catal. 1980, 8, 1. (b)
 Casey, C. P.; Haller, K. J.; Shusterman, A. J.; Vollendorf, N. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2417.
 (21) (a) Toledano, C. A.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J. C.; Jeannin, Y. J. Chem.

(21) (a) Toledano, C. A.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J. C.; Jeannin, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 574. (b) Toleando, C. A.; Pulier, A.; Rudler, H.; Daran, J. C.; Jeannin, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun 1984, 576.

H.; Daran, J. C.; Jeannin, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun 1984, 576. (22) Hegedus, L. S.; deWeck, G.; D'Andrea, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2122.

(23) Boutarja, D. J.; Quignard, F.; Leconte, M.; Basset, J. M.; Hamilton, J. G.; Ivin, K. J.; Rooney, J. J. In Transition Metal Catalyzed Polymerization: Ziegler Natta and Metathesis Polymerizations; Quirk,

R. P., Ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, England, 1988. (24) Aguero, A.; Kress, J.; Osborn, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.

1986, 531. (25) Schrock, R. R.; Oskam, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7588.

(26) Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; Marshall, E. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1157. simple Wittig-like olefination reactions of these derivatives display no interpretable stereoselectivity, the complexes display modest stereoselectivity in ROMP processes, indicating that this strategy may hold promise in future generations of dissymmetric alkylidene reagents.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Structural Characteristics of (R₂-BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=CHR'), (Me₂BINO)₂W(=CHPh), and (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=O). (t-BuO)₃W(=CR') precursors react with stoichiometric quantities of H₂R₂-BINO in toluene at ambient temperature, generating (R₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=CHR') complexes (eq 1). These



reactions mimic syntheses of $X_2(t-BuO)_2W(=CHR)$ derivatives reported by Schrock, except that, unlike Schrock's five-coordinate alkylidene complexes, in which X groups invariably adopt a *trans* relationship, the R₂BINO ligand is constrained to adopt a *cis* orientation.³¹ The products are obtained as red-orange waxy crystals in yields varying from 50 to 80%. The Me₂BINO and Ph₂BINO products are stable in the solid state for weeks at ambient temperature, while the Br₂BINO derivatives decompose over several days to uncharacterized materials even under rigorous exclusion of air and moisture.

A reaction between 2 equiv of $rac-H_2Me_2BINO$ and $(t-BuO)_3W(\equiv CR')$ generated $(Me_2BINO)_2W(= CHR')$, which was obtained as a pure orange solid after repeated recrystallizations (eq 2). Attempts to generate an anal-



ogous bis(Ph₂BINO) complex generated only (Ph₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(\leftarrow CHPh) and unreacted H₂Ph₂BINO. Evidently, the Ph₂BINO ligand is too sterically demanding to readily accommodate bis(Ph₂BINO) substitution around the five-coordinate template. This behavior is mimicked by the alcoholysis reactions of WCl₆ and H₂Ph₂BINO,

⁽¹⁷⁾ Note that Osborn and Kress employed an asymmetric substituent on a monosubstituted alkylidene ligand to elucidate the mechanism of alkylidene rotation: Kress, J.; Osborn, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 109, 3953.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Katz, T. J.; McGinnis, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1903.

⁽¹⁹⁾ For some examples of the application of ¹³C NMR correlations to the microstructures by Ivin and other investigators, see ref 7b and: (a) Ivin, K. J. Proceedings of the 5th European Symposium on Polymer Spectroscopy; Verlag Chemie: New York, 1979; p 259. (b) Ivin, K. J.; Laverty, D. T.; Rooney, J. J. Makromol. Chem. 1977, 178, 1545. (c) Ivin, K. J.; O'Donnell, J. H.; Rooney, J. J.; Stewart, C. D. Makromol. Chem 1979, 180, 1975. (d) Ivin, K. J.; Lapiens, G.; Rooney, J. J. Polymer 1980, 21, 367. (e) Ho, H. T.; Ivin, K. J.; Rooney, J. J. Makromol. Chem. 1982, 183, 1629. (f) Katz, T. J.; Lee, S. J.; Shipley, M. A. J. Mol. Catal. 1989, 8, 219.

⁽²⁷⁾ Heppert, J. A.; Dietz, S. D.; Boyle, T. J.; Takusagawa, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1503.

⁽²⁸⁾ Heppert, J. A.; Boyle, T. J.; Morales, L.; Takusagawa, F.; Barnes, D. L.; Connolly, J. C. Organometallics 1992, 12, 1112.

⁽²⁹⁾ Heppert, J. A.; Dietz, S. D.; Eilerts, N. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng. 1992, 31, 66.

⁽³⁰⁾ Dietz, S. D.; Eilerts, N. W.; Heppert, J. A.; VanderVelde, D. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 1689.

⁽³¹⁾ Freudenberger, J. H.; Schrock, R. R. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1937.

Alkylidene and Oxo Complexes of W(VI)

which result only in the formation of a (Ph₂BINO)WCl₄ complex.³² Efforts to generate alkylidene complexes containing BINO ligands (R = H) resulted in the formation of materials with broad, essentially featureless ¹H NMR spectra. The spectra of these materials were not simplified by using optically pure H₂BINO instead of the racemic starting material, and attempts to fractionally crystallize identifiable products from the reaction mixtures were unsuccessful.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the alkylidene fragment are indicative of the development of little or no agostic character in the α -hydrogen. Although the magnitudes of J_{W-H} and J_{W-C} (ca. 180 and 6.9 Hz, respectively) for the R₂BINO-substituted complexes fall in the range observed for some agostic alkylidene complexes, these values also fall within the respective ranges found for $X_2(t-BuO)_2$ -W(=CH-t-Bu) complexes, which were shown to have strictly nonagostic structures.³¹ Furthermore, the $J_{C-H_{a}}$ value for the R₂BINO-substituted complexes, which is the bellwether of agostic character for alkylidenes,^{31,33,34} is approximately 136 Hz. This is well within the 130-140-Hz range typically found for nonagostic neopentylidenes, and far outside the 50-90-Hz range observed for agostic alkylidenes that display highly distorted M—C—H $_{\alpha}$ bond angles.^{35,36}

NMR spectra of the $(R_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHR)$ complexes suggest that they have mononuclear fivecoordinate trigonal bipyramidal structures similar to those proposed by Schrock for $X_2(t-BuO)_2W(=CH-t-Bu)$.³¹ The chemical shifts of the observed alkylidene protons, $\delta =$ 8.7-7.8, are approximately 1 ppm higher than those observed for Schrock's $(ArO)_2(t-BuO)_2W(=CH-t-Bu)$ complexes,³¹ although this difference is far smaller than the 2-3 ppm shifts observed for protons that experience significant naphtholate ring currents.^{30,32} Variable temperature studies show that the complexes maintain apparent C_1 symmetry over a significant temperature range (-70 to +50 °C) and exhibit no evidence of minor isomers generated by either alkylidene rotamers or diastereoisomerism in the R_2BINO chelate. (Me₂BINO)₂W-(=CHPh) also shows evidence of only a single isomer in variable temperature NMR studies. An independent synthesis of this complex using (R)-H₂Me₂BINO confirmed the product to be the (R^*, R^*) - $(Me_2BINO)_2W(=CHPh)$ diastereomer (1). The evident isomeric purity of both the (R₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=CHR) and (R₂BINO)₂W(=CHR) derivatives suggests either that the substitution reactions achieve a thermodynamic product distribution through intermolecular ligand exchange or that facile intramolecular rearrangement pathways allow the initially formed isomer distributions to collapse to a thermodynamically stable structure. In either instance, the observed results indicate that one of the possible diastereoisomeric chelates $(\lambda(3) \text{ or } \delta(4))$ is significantly more stable in the mono- and bis(R_2BINO) isomers. Unlike the C_1 -symmetric $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$ complex, (Me_2-CHPh) $BINO_2W(=CHPh)$ has apparent C_2 -symmetry at temperatures above 40 °C. On cooling to -60 °C, the ¹H NMR



spectrum of the product collapses to a C_1 -symmetric structure. This fluxional behavior is consistent with





(R,R)- $(Me_2BINO)_2W(=CHPh)$ (1)

(R,S)-(Me₂BINO)₂W(=CHPh) (2)



rotation about the W=C (Scheme I) axis and the ΔG^{*}_{240} of 16.7 ± 0.5 kcal/mol found for this process is comparable to those observed for other high-valent tungsten(VI) alkylidene complexes.^{17,36} A turnstile rotation involving a W(=CHPh)(Me₂BINO) subunit cannot be responsible for the fluxional process (Scheme II), because it would result in the equilibration of all four naphtholate ring environments. This is consistent with Osborn's report that alkylidene rotation in X(t-BuCH₂O)₃W(=CHR) derivatives occurred with a lower activation barrier than the intramolecular scrambling of the neopentoxide group environments through a modified turnstile or pseudorotation process.¹⁷

Some of the structural questions raised in this discussion are addressed by an X-ray structure of $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=O)$, shown in a ball and stick representation in Figure 1. Positional and isotropic thermal parameters for $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=O)$ are collected in Table I, while selected bond distances and angles are shown in Table II.

⁽³²⁾ Heppert, J. A.; Dietz, S. D.; Eilerts, N. W.; Morton, M. D. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 1698.

 ⁽³³⁾ Wengrovius, J. H.; Schrock, R. R.; Churchill, M. R.; Wasseman,
 H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1739.
 (34) Wallace, K. C.; Lin, A. H.; Dewan, J. C.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am.

 ⁽b) Frances, R. C., Bill, A. H., Dewall, J. C.; Schröck, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4964.
 (35) Pedersen, S. F.; Schröck, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7483.

 ⁽³⁶⁾ Schrock, R. R.; Crowe, W. E.; Bazan, G. C.; DiMare, M.; O'Regan,
 M. B.; Schofield, M. H. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1832.



Figure 1. Ball and stick representation of the molecular structure of $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=O)$.

Although the quality of the structure suffers due to some rotational disorder in the t-BuO ligands, the observed bond distances and angles are sensible and illuminate some important aspects of bonding in the " $O_4W(=O)$ " core. The skeletal structure is that of a trigonal bipyramid which has undergone distortion toward a square pyramidal structure. The oxo unit occupies an equatorial site, and the W-O distance of 1.662(6) Å is typical of fivecoordinate tungsten(VI) oxo complexes.³⁷ The angle subtended by the axial t-BuO and naphthoxide ligands compresses to 164.3° (O(3)-W(1)-O(5)), while the t-BuO and naphthoxide units that lie in the equatorial plane describe a 136.4° angle (O(2)-W(1)-O(4)). The W-O distances to the naphthoxide and t-BuO ligands average 1.952 and 1.818 Å, respectively. The significant 0.134-Å difference between the aryloxide and alkoxide ligands is typical of those observed in other structures containing both binaphtholate and alkoxide ligands.^{27,28} The longer M-O_{Ar} distances, which are inherent in aryloxide bonding, have been ascribed to both the poorer basicity and weaker π -donor character of the aryloxide ligands.³⁸ The somewhat extended W-O-C angles of the t-BuO ligands (149.9°(av)) may reflect a degree of π -donation into the d_{xy} orbital on tungsten. In contrast, the W—O—C angles of the naphthoxide moieties (126.4°(av)) are similar to those found in other complexes containing chelating R₂BINO units and are probably largely determined by the conformation of the seven-membered naphthoxide chelate ring.²⁸ In any case, Rothwell has demonstrated that the character of the W-O-C_{Ar} angle is not a good

Table I. Positional and Isotropic Thermal Parameters for (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(==O)

	(1		*··· (= /	
atom	x	у	Z	B(eq), Å ²
W(1)	0.30603(3)	0.41889(3)	0.80656(2)	1.70(3)
O (1)	0.2719(6)	0.4725(5)	0.7156(4)	2.6(3)
O(2)	0.3368(5)	0.2905(4)	0.7920(4)	1.9(2)
O(3)	0.4825(7)	0.4263(4)	0.8271(4)	1.7(3)
0(4)	0.3297(6)	0.4969(5)	0.8927(4)	2.8(3)
C(1)	0.5436(8)	0.2432(7)	0.8359(5)	1.9(4)
C(2)	0.4249(9)	0.2363(6)	0.8402(6)	2.0(4)
C(3)	0.3898(8)	0.1769(6)	0.8950(6)	1.9(4)
C(4)	0.4752(9)	0.1302(8)	0.9496(6)	2.5(4)
C(5)	0.693(1) 0.813(1)	0.0929(7) 0.1070(7)	1.0103(7)	3.1(5) 2.5(4)
C(7)	0.848(1)	0.1599(7)	0.9641(7)	2.9(4)
C(8)	0.7609(8)	0.2028(6)	0.9006(5)	1.9(3)
C(9)	0.6343(8)	0.1929(6)	0.8961(6)	2.0(4)
C(10)	0.6029(9)	0.1378(7)	0.9531(5)	2.3(4)
C(11)	0.5718(8)	0.3032(7)	0.7721(5) 0.7742(5)	2.0(4)
C(12) C(13)	0.5445(8)	0.3931(0) 0.4569(7)	0.7742(5)	1.3(3) 2.0(4)
C(14)	0.629(1)	0.4255(7)	0.6620(8)	2.9(5)
C(15)	0.697(1)	0.2945(9)	0.5871(6)	3.2(5)
C(16)	0.711(1)	0.207(1)	0.5795(7)	3.9(6)
C(17)	0.684(1)	0.1449(7)	0.6335(7)	2.6(4)
C(18)	0.6408(9)	0.1780(6) 0.2698(7)	0.6990(5)	2.1(4)
C(20)	0.648(1)	0.3336(8)	0.6519(6)	2 6(4)
C(21)	0.257(1)	0.1673(8)	0.8903(7)	3.1(5)
C(22)	0.547(1)	0.5565(8)	0.7215(8)	3.1(4)
C(23)	0.315(1)	0.5845(6)	0.9277(6)	2.4(4)
C(24)	0.439(1)	0.627(1)	0.9537(7)	3.5(5)
C(25)	0.223(1) 0.266(1)	0.0413(8)	0.8010(8)	4.1(5)
C(20)	0.0200(1)	0.3793(8)	0.7653(7)	3.0(4)
C(28)	-0.011(1)	0.448(1)	0.700(1)	4.5(6)
C(29)	-0.036(2)	0.411(1)	0.840(1)	5.2(8)
C(30)	-0.008(1)	0.283(1)	0.751(2)	10(1)
H(1) H(2)	0.4514	0.0909	0.9881	2(2)
H(3)	0.8744	0.0341	1.0532	7(6)
H(4)	0.9326	0.1682	0.9687	5(3)
H(5)	0.7857	0.2379	0.8592	1(1)
H(6)	0.6565	0.4687	0.6268	3(2)
H(7)	0.7201	0.3352	0.5491	2(2)
H(9)	0.7415	0.1849	0.5349	13(6) 1(2)
H(10)	0.6230	0.1355	0.7380	1(1)
H(11)	0.2439	0.1848	0.9426	13(8)
H(12)	0.2096	0.2063	0.8482	13(8)
H(13)	0.2313	0.1067	0.8787	1(1)
H(14)	0.6206	0.3901	0.7341	1(1)
H(16)	0.5081	0.5649	0.7646	1(1)
H(17)	0.4942	0.5904	0.9933	6(4)
H(18)	0.4699	0.6347	0.9061	4(3)
H(19)	0.4350	0.6858	0.9774	12(8)
H(20) H(21)	0.2120	0.7002	0.8850	1(1) 10(5)
H(22)	0.1450	0.6123	0.8467	4(3)
H(23)	0.2521	0.6197	1.0308	1(1)
H(24)	0.1890	0.5327	0.9872	1(1)
H(25)	0.3210	0.5278	1.0443	9(5)
п(20) Н(27)	0.0051	0.3074	0.7235	1(1) 1(1)
H(28)	-0.0935	0.4442	0.6691	7(4)
H(29)	-0.0121	0.4724	0.8583	3(2)
H(30)	-0.1237	0.4096	0.8246	1(1)
H(31)	-0.0087	0.3728	0.8890	6(4)
H(33)	-0.0936 0.0354	0.2607	0.7125	3(1)
H(34)	0.0044	0.2510	0.7968	1(1)

measure of aryloxide π -donor character.³⁹ The Λ/Δ stereochemistry of the R₂BINO chelate is defined by the

⁽³⁷⁾ Mayer, J. M.; Nugent, W. A. Metal-ligand Multiple Bonds; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1988; p 172.

⁽³⁸⁾ Rothwell, I. P.; Chisholm, M. H. In Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry; Wilkinson, G., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, England, 1987; Vol. 2, Chapter 15.3.

⁽³⁹⁾ Coffindaffer, T. W.; Rothwell, I. P.; Huffman, J. C. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 2908.

Alkylidene and Oxo Complexes of W(VI)

Selected Bond Distances and Angles for Table II. $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=0)$ angles, deg distances, Å W(1) - O(1)1.662(6) O(1)-W(1)-O(2) 110.6(3) W(1)-O(2) W(1)-O(3) 1.952(6) O(1) - W(1) - O(3)96.1(3) O(1) - W(1) - O(4)111.9(4) 1.953(6) W(1) - O(4)1.804(6) O(1)-W(1)-O(5)99.1(3) W(1)-O(5) 1.832(7) O(2) - W(1) - O(3)82.2(2) O(2) - W(1) - O(4)136.4(3) Car-O(avg) 1.36 CBn~O(avg) 1.47 O(2)-W(1)-O(5)88.8(3) O(3)-W(1)-O(4) 84.3(3) O(3)-W(1)-O(5) 164.3(3) O(2)-W(1)-O(5)88.8(3) Scheme III O-t-Bu R .O-t-Bu -t-Bu' R B O-*t*-Bu O-t-Bu

1

orientation of the ligand with respect to the pseudo- C_2 axis that is coincident with the W=C bond (3 and 4, respectively). In the structured (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂-W(=O) complex, the chelate adopts Λ stereochemistry.

't-BuÒ

t-BuQ

It should be noted that unlike the $(R_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2$ -W(=CHR') complexes, which display C_1 symmetry up to $60 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ in } {}^{1}\text{H} \text{NMR spectra, the } (\text{Me}_2\text{BINO})(t-\text{BuO})_2\text{W}(=0)$ derivative exhibits fluxional behavior on the NMR time scale at room temperature. During the rearrangement process, the t-BuO ligands retain their diastereotopic character, while the two naphtholate environments become equivalent on the NMR time scale. At temperatures approaching -60 °C, the naphtholate environments decoalesce, although the slow exchange limit is not reached by the freezing point of the solvent. This exchange process is probably occurring by the rotation of the W(=0)(0t-Bu)₂ tripod with respect to the (Me₂BINO)W chelate (Scheme III). It is unclear why this fluxional mechanism is favored for the oxo complex and disfavored for the analogous (R2BINO)(t-BuO)2W(=CHR) complexes (vide infra), although the π -bonding characteristics of the oxo and alkylidene units vary significantly.³⁷ Other fivecoordinate d⁰ complexes have been shown to exhibit such intramolecular rearrangement processes.40 The exchange process does not proceed through associative intermolecular ligand exchange,⁴¹ because changes in the appearance of the ¹H NMR spectra are not sensitive to variations in the concentration of $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=0)$, as would

Table III

reagent	conditions	% conversion ^a	c:t ratio ^b
$H_2BINO + (t-BuO)_3W (\equiv CHPh)^c$	15 min, tol	12	2.4
$(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$	3 h, tol	42	0.92
	15 min, hex	43	1.1
$(Me_2BINO)_2W(=CHPh)$	1 day, tol	35	2.3
$(Ph_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$	1 h, tol	43	3.2
$(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh) + GaBr_3$	1 h, tol	69	0.41
$(Ph_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh) + GaBr_3$	1 h, tol	72	0.50

^a Total percent stilbene recovered. ^b cis- to trans-stilbene ratio observed by GC. ^c Reaction was run *in situ* via addition of H₂BINO to (t-BuO)₃W(\equiv CPh) prior to addition of benzaldehyde.

be expected in an associative (bimolecular) exchange process.

Reactions of $(R_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHR')$ and $(Me_2BINO)_2W(=CHPh)$. In order to determine whether the R₂BINO chiral auxiliary ligands influence the stereochemistry of reactions at the alkylidene functionality, some alkylidenation and ring opening metathesis polymerization reactions were surveyed. The yields and stereochemical characteristics of the stilbene isolated from the alkylidenation of benzaldehvde are summarized in Table III. The results indicate that the maximum yield for formation of stilbene from benzaldehyde approaches 50% and that it is difficult to develop a clear rationale for the product stereochemistry based on the presumed steric demands of the ligands. With regard to the reduced yields, it seems likely that some facile decomposition pathway is leading to the deactivation of the alkylidene reagent. Through the choice of a benzaldehyde substrate, we have precluded the ability of alkylidene complexes to isomerize to η^2 olefins,³¹ thereby avoiding this pathway for the deactivation of the reagent. Another possibility is that the presumed (R₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=O) byproduct, which is not observable in NMR scale reactions between benzaldehyde and $(R_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHR)$, scavenges unreacted alkylidene complex to produce a nonreactive species. To test this hypothesis, (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂-W(=CHPh) and $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=O)$ were mixed in toluene- d_8 . The ¹H NMR spectrum of the resulting mixture was complex, not simply a superimposition of the spectra of the two starting materials. Furthermore, on addition of a toluene- d_8 solution of benzaldehyde, no evidence of stilbene formation was observed, confirming that $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=0)$ can deactivate the alkylidene complex. Attempts to isolate the organometallic byproduct of the reaction between the benzylidene complexes and benzaldehyde were unsuccessful.

Both (R₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=CHPh) and (Me₂BINO)₂-W(=CHPh) act as ROMP catalysts, although there are startling differences in their reactivity. While neither catalyst produces a quantitative conversion of norbornene to polynorbornene in 15 min, (Me₂BINO)₂W(=CHPh) exhibits extremely low activity, generating only 3-5%yields of polymer. The addition of GaBr₃ as a Lewis acid $cocatalyst markedly increases the activity of (R_2BINO)(t BuO_2W$ (=CHPh), generating virtually instantaneous quantitative yields of polynorbornene at 0 °C. This increased activity probably stems from the abstraction of a terminal t-BuO ligand by the Lewis acid gallium reagent, generating a highly active four-coordinate polymerization catalyst $[(t-BuO)(R_2BINO)W(=CHR)]^+$. This moiety is related to Osborn's structurally characterized [(RO)_n- $Br_{3-n}W(=CHR')^+$ [GaBr₄-] systems.⁴² In contrast, the addition of GaBr₃ to (Me₂BINO)₂W(=CHPh) has no

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Heppert, J. A.; Chisholm, M. H.; Huffman, J. C.; Onteveros, C. D. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1199.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Gibson, V. C.; Jolly, M.; Mitchell, J. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 1331.

Table IV. Analysis of Polynorbornene Prepared with Alkylidene Catalysts

•	•				
catalyst	<i>Т</i> , °С	% conversion	<i>c:t</i> ratio	$\frac{M_w}{M_n}$	
(Br ₂ BINO)(t-BuO) ₂ W(=CHPh)	0	5	1.1		
$(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$	0	21	3.3		
$(Ph_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$	0	24	4.4		
$(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$	25	48	2.4	2.02	
$(Ph_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$	25	31	3.9	6.40	
(Br ₂ BINO)(t-BuO) ₂ W(=CHPh)/GaBr ₃	0	52	0.90		
(Me ₂ BINO)(t-BuO) ₂ W(=CHPh)/GaBr ₃	0	100	0.32	1.75	
(Ph ₂ BINO)(t-BuO) ₂ W(=CHPh)/GaBr ₃	0	94	0.39	2.03	

significant effect on the activity of the polymerization catalyst. The chelate effect of the two Me₂BINO units evidently generates a highly stable five-coordinate alkylidene that resists the productive labilization of a naphthoxide unit. This raises the interesting possibility that $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$ complexes may autoionize in solution to generate a catalytically active fourcoordinate species. While efforts to test this hypothesis through reactions between $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2$ -W(=CHPh) and LiO-t-Bu- d_9 yielded evidence that the deuterium label was incorporated into the alkylidene, we were unable to fully characterize the mechanism of this exchange process due to concomitant base-catalyzed decomposition.

The characteristics of the norbornene polymer produced by catalysts derived from the mono- and bis(binaphtholate) derivatives are outlined in Table IV. The ratio of cispolynorbornene generated by $(R_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W$ -(=CHPh) varies in concert with increases in the steric demands of the 3,3'-substituents on the R₂BINO ligand (R = Ph > Me > Br). In addition to increasing the rate of the polymerization reaction, the GaBr₃ cocatalyst also dramatically decreases the polydispersity of the resulting norbornene polymer. The breadth of the polydispersities observed for $(R_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$ may result from either the nonsimultaneous initiation of polymerization in the tungsten alkylidenes or the availability of decomposition pathways akin to those observed in the LiO-t-Bu- d_9 initiated process (vide infra). There is circumstantial evidence that only a single catalytic species is involved in these processes, as the fractionation of the polymer with solvents including hexane, ether, and THF yields material samples with identical stereochemical characteristics. The addition of the GaBr₃ cocatalyst generates polymer with greater trans-olefin content.

To determine that the stereochemical makeup of metathesis-derived polymer is primarily a result of kinetic stereocontrol rather than an equilibrium process, a sample of 90% cis-polynorbornene was exposed to $(Me_2BINO)(t BuO_2W(=CHPh)$ both with and without GaBr₃. These catalysts generate samples of polynorbornene whose Z:Eratios are closer to unity, and we would expect them to substantially increase the trans content of the 90% cispolynorbornene if they induce secondary metathesis in the polymer. On a time scale 2 orders of magnitude longer than the initial polymerization event, $(Me_2BINO)(t BuO_2W(=CHPh)$ failed to produce any measurable change in either the initial quantity of high polymer or the stereochemistry of the recovered sample. Mixtures of $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W(=CHPh)$ and $GaBr_3$ produced up to 25% degradation of the cis polymer over the 15-min period of the initial polymerization reaction; however,

during this period, the remaining high molecular weight polymer displayed no substantial isomerization of its original cis double bond stereochemistry. But this experiment provides only an upper limit on the ability of secondary metathesis to influence the stereochemistry of the polymerization process. Significant concentrations of monomer, such as those present during polymer formation, can sometimes suppress secondary metathetic processes.^{7a,43} A more realistic test of the influence of secondary metathesis on product stereochemistry would be obtained by polymerizing a sample of norbornene in the presence of preformed all-cis-polynorbornene: the isolation of a product mixture whose stereochemistry corresponds to a 1:1 mixture of the preformed all-cis polymer and the newly formed polynorbornene would be a strong indication that secondary metathesis processes were suppressed by the presence of the unreacted monomer.⁴⁴ This experiment was performed with the (Me₂- $BINO(t-BuO)_2W(=CHR)/GaBr_3$ catalyst, and the isolated polymer proved to be a 1:1 mixture of preformed 90% cis-polynorbornene and newly formed 24% cispolynorbornene. We conclude that secondary metathesis plays only a minor role, if any, in the determination of product stereochemistry.

In the instance of catalysts derived from $(R_2BINO)(t BuO_2W(=CHPh)$, it is evident that the influence of the steric demands of the ligands and the coordination number of the complexes are the primary factors in determining polymer stereochemistry. While secondary metathesis processes may exert some influence over polymer stereochemistry in reaction systems employing a GaBr₃ cocatalyst, it is evidently steric and electronic influences on the free energies of transition states leading to E and Zbond formation that dominate the stereoselectivity observed in these results. Although it is not possible to speculate on the precise characteristics of the catalysts that control the selectivity of the polymerization, it is intuitively satisfying that increasing the steric demands of the appended ligands favors the formation of the Zpolymer, which is derived from a less hindered all-cismetallocyclobutane intermediate (6). This result is in accord with trends observed by Basset for variations in the steric demands of substituents on 2,6-disubstituted phenoxide ligands bound to ROMP procatalysts.²³



Future studies will be directed toward improving the stability of the asymmetric tungsten catalysts, probing the ability of such complexes to control polymerization tacticity, and more fully defining the precise topological characteristics of the ligands that influence metathesis stereochemistry.

Acknowledgment. This material is based upon work supported in part by the Petroleum Research Fund,

⁽⁴²⁾ Youinou, M. T.; Kress, J.; Fischer, J.; Aguero, A.; Osborn, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1488.

^{(43) (}a) Wallace, K. C.; Schrock, R. R. Macromolecules 1987, 20, 448.
(b) Gorman, C. B.; Ginsburg, E. J.; Grubbs, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 1397.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ We acknowledge the insight of one of the reviewers, who suggested this experiment for setting a reasonable lower limit on the influence of secondary metathetic processes.

administered by the American Chemical Society, and the National Science Foundation under EPSCoR Grant No. OSR-9255223. The government has certain rights in this material. This work also received matching support from the State of Kansas. R.W.H. thanks the NSF for summer support as an Undergraduate Research Participant at KU. J.A.H. gratefully acknowledges the support of INTER_X Research Corp. for the use of facilities employed in polymer characterization. We also acknowledge the aid of Dr. Joseph Ziller from the Molecular Structure Center at the University of California—Irvine for the gift of a sample of Paratone D oil.

Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out under a prepurified nitrogen atmosphere by using standard Schlenk, glovebox, and vacuum line techniques. All solvents were distilled under nitrogen over appropriate drying agents. NMR solvents were dried over 5-Å molecular sieves and degassed with a dry N_2 purge. ¹H and ¹³C spectra were obtained on a Varian XL-300 MHz or a Bruker AM 500 MHz spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were referenced against the residual proton impurity in benzene- d_6 or toluene- d_8 , while ¹³C NMR spectra were referenced against either the resonances representing the aromatic carbon of benzene- d_6 or the methyl group carbon of toluene- d_8 . Elemental analyses were performed by Desert Analytics, P.O. Box 41838, Tucson, AZ 85717, or Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratory, 56-19 37th Ave., Woodside, NY 11377. 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol (Kodak) was purified by filtration of a methylene chloride solution through silica gel, followed by recrystallization. (R)-(+)-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (Aldrich) was used as received. 3,3'-Disubstituted-1,1'-bi-2-naphthols⁴⁵ (methyl, bromo, and phenyl) were prepared by slight alterations of literature methods. $W = CR (0-t-Bu)_3$ and $W = O (0-t-Bu)_4$ were prepared as described in the literature.46,47

Typical Synthetic Details for $(R_2BINO)_{2-n}(t BuO_{2n}W(=CHPh)$ (n = 0 or 1). Synthesis of (Me₂BINO)(t-**BuO**)₂W(=CHPh). $(t-BuO)_3W(=CPh)$ (0.78 g) was placed in a small Schlenk flask (25 mL) and dissolved in dry toluene. H_2Me_2BINO (0.50 g) was added to the stirred solution at 0 °C. The reaction was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. After stirring for 12 h, the solution was stripped to drvness. Redissolving the solid in toluene followed by drastic reduction of the solution volume and refrigeration at -20 °C overnight yielded an orange-brown powder. Yield: 72% (0.83g). ¹H NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 20 °C): $\delta = 8.69 (1H, s, J = 11.7 Hz, W=CHPh)$, 7.71-7.49 (m, Ar), 7.17-6.83 (m, Ar), 6.53-6.47 (m, Ar), 2.73 (3H, s, Me), 2.43 (3H, s, Me), 1.36 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.29 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃, 1.29 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR data (toluene-d₈, 20 °C): $\delta = 244.5 \ (J_{W-C} = 189 \text{ Hz}, WCHPh), 166.0, 156.4 (Nap$ C2,2), 133.2, 132.6, 132.0, 130.4, 130.0, 129.3, 128.7, 127.5, 127.4, 126.6, 125.0, 124.2, 122.7, 116.3 (Ar), 89.2, 80.4 (OC(CH₃)₃), 31.8, 30.1 (OC(CH₃)₃), 23.1, 19.3 (Me). Anal. Calcd: C, 60.66; H, 5.05. Found: C, 60.74; H, 5.54.

Presentation of Analytical Data. (Ph₂BINO)(*t*-BuO)₂-W(—CHPh). ¹H NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 20 °C): δ = 8.69 (1H, s, J = 12.2 Hz, W—CHPh), 7.40 (2H, d, J = 9.8 Hz, Ar), 7.69–7.67 (m, Ar), 7.58 (2H, t, J = 4.6 Hz, Ar), 7.23–6.99 (m, Ar), 6.92 (1H, t, J = 1.6 Hz, Ar), 6.83 (2H, t, J = 7.8 Hz, Ar), 6.31 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar), 6.23 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar), 1.46 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 0.94 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 20 °C): δ = 250.6 (J_{W-C} = 187 Hz, WCHPh) 164.9, 155.7 (Nap C_{2,2}), 140.8, 140.7, 135.2, 134.7, 134.2, 133.9, 133.5, 132.4, 132.3, 131.4, 130.6, 130.4, 130.3, 130.2, 130.0, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 127.5, 127.2, 127.0, 126.4, 126.2, 126.1, 125.9, 125.8, 125.6, 124.9, 123.6, 122.8, 117.8 (Ar), 88.4, 80.6 ($OC(CH_3)_3$), 31.2, 29.9 ($OC(CH_3)_3$). Anal. Calcd: C, 65.89; H, 5.18. Found: C, 66.54; H, 5.24.

(Me₂BINO)₂W(=CHPh). ¹H NMR data (CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ = 8.67 (1H, s, J_{W-H} = 12.0 Hz, W(=CHPh)), 7.73 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, Nap), 7.67 (2H, s, Nap), 7.58 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, Nap), 7.52 (2H, s, Nap), 7.29–7.24 (m, Nap), 7.19–7.02 (m, Nap), 6.50 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, m-Ar), 6.08 (1H, t, J = 7.4 Hz, p-Ar), 5.74 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, o-Ar), 2.52 (6H, s, Me), 2.39 (6H, s, Me). ¹³C NMR data (CDCl₃, 20 °C): δ = 256.0 (J_{W-C} = 196 Hz, W(=CHPh)), 162.4, 157.5 (Nap C_{2,2}), 134.8, 132.3, 131.9, 131.3, 130.8, 130.0, 129.8, 129.3, 129.0, 128.7, 128.2, 128.1, 127.3, 127.0, 126.3, 125.3, 125.1, 125.0, 124.0, 123.9, 123.3, 121.6, 113.7 (Ar), 18.2, 18.0 (Me_{3,3}). Anal. Cald: C, 68.16; H, 4.26. Found: C, 69.17; H, 4.36.

(Br₂BINO)(t-BuO)₂W(=CHPh). (t-BuO)₃W(=CPh) (0.500 g, 1.02 mmol) was dissolved in dry toluene under N₂ and cooled to 0 °C. H₂Br₂BINO (0.434 g, 1.02 mmol) was slowly added to the solution via an addition tube. The resulting solution gradually warmed to ambient temperature and was stirred overnight and stripped in vacuo, and the residue washed with dry hexane. The mixture was filtered, and the soluble portion was stripped to dryness. This product is unstable at ambient temperature, decomposing even in the solid state. ¹H NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 18 °C): δ = 9.18 (1H, s, J_{W-H} = 13 Hz (estimated), W=CHPh), 8.00 (1H, s, Nap), 7.86 (1H, s, Nap), 7.34 (2H, t, J = 9.0 Hz, Nap),7.27 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, Nap), 7.21 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, Nap), 6.97-6.75 (6H, m, Nap and Ar), 6.44 (2H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, Ar), 6.29 $(1H, t, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar}), 1.44 (9H, s, OCMe_3), 1.40 (9H, s, OCMe_3).$ ¹³C NMR data (benzene- d_6): $\delta = 249.8$ (W=-CHPh), 162.5, 154.3 (Nap C_{2.2}), 133.6, 132.6, 131.8, 131.7, 130.4, 129.4, 128.5, 128.4, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 126.3, 126.2, 126.0, 125.9, 125.7, 123.2, 118.4, 118.0 (Nap), 90.5, 81.6 (OCMe₃), 31.9, 30.0 (OCMe₃).

Synthesis of $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W$ {=-CH(CH(CH_3)_2)}. (t- $BuO_{3}W{=C(CH(CH_{3})_{2})}$ (0.38 g, 0.83 mmol) was placed in a small Schlenk flask (25 mL) and dissolved in dry toluene, and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. 3,3'-Dimethyl-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (0.26 g, 0.83 mmol) was added slowly via an addition tube. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature, and after stirring for 12 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo. Redissolving the solid in toluene followed by drastic reduction of the solution volume and refrigeration at -20 °C overnight yielded an orange powder (0.22 g, 39% yield). ¹H NMR data (toluene- d_8 , 20 °C): $\delta = 8.15 (1H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, J_{W-H} = 13.6 Hz, W = CH(CH(CH_3)_2)),$ 7.74 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 7.72 (1H, s, Ar), 7.60 (1H, d, J = 8.5)Hz, Ar), 7.51 (1H, s, Ar), 7.46 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar), 7.3 (1H, d, J = 8.9 Hz, Ar), 7.14–7.08 (2H, m, Ar), 6.95 (1H, t, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 6.86 (1H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, Ar), 4.60–4.53 (1H, m, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.69 (6H, s, Me_{3,3'}), 1.45 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.24 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 0.76 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.59 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR data (toluene- d_8 , 20 °C): $\delta = 255.6 (J_{W-C})$ = 189 Hz, W{= $CH(CH(CH_3)_2)$ }, 165.8, 156.3 (NAP C_{2.2}), 133.6, 132.7, 131.7, 130.3, 130.2, 130.0, 129.5, 128.6, 128.2, 127.5, 127.3, 126.7, 124.8, 124.7, 123.2, 122.7, 116.9 (Ar), 87.7, 78.4 (OC(CH₃)), 39.2 (CH(CH₃)₂) 32.0, 29.9 (OC(CH₃)₃), 27.4, 26.8 (Me_{3.3'}), 19.6, 19.3 (CH(CH₃)₂). Anal. Calcd: C, 58.46; H, 6.06. Found: C, 57.86; H, 6.07.

Synthesis of $(Me_2BINO)(t-BuO)_2W{=CH(C(CH_3)_3)}$. (t- $BuO_{3}W{\equiv C(CH_{3})_{3}}$ (0.60 g, 1.3 mmol) was placed in a small Schlenk flask (25 mL) and dissolved in dry toluene, and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. 3,3'-Dimethyl-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (0.40 g, 1.3 mmol) was added slowly via an addition tube. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature, and after stirring for 12 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo. Redissolving the solid in methylene chloride followed by layering with hexanes and refrigeration at -20 °C overnight yielded orange microcrystals (0.29 g, 32% yield). ¹H NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 20 °C): $\delta = 7.80$ (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 7.76 (1H, s, $J_{W-H} = 14.1$ Hz, W{=-CH(C(CH₃)₃)}), 7.64 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar), 7.54 (1H, s, Ar), 7.53 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz, Ar), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, Ar), 7.17-7.14(1H, m, Ar), 7.10 (1H, t, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar), 6.97 (1H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1.00 Hz)Ar), 6.85 (1H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, Ar), 2.72 (3H, s, Me), 2.71 (3H, s, Me), 1.46 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.23 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 0.87 (9H,

^{(45) (}a) Lingfelter, D. S.; Hegelson, R. C.; Cram, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 393. (b) Peacock, S. S.; Walba, D. M.; Gaeta, F. C. A.; Helgeson, R. C.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2043.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Cotton, F. A.; Schwotzer, W.; Shamshoum, E. S. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1167.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Wengrovius, J. H.; Schrock, R. R. Organometallics 1982, 1, 148.

s, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 20 °C): $\delta = 254.9 (J_{W-C} = 191 \text{ Hz}, W{=-CH(CH(CH_3)_3)})$, 165.8, 156.4 (NAP C_{2,2}), 133.8, 132.7, 131.7, 130.4, 130.3, 130.0, 129.5, 128.6, 128.4, 127.5, 127.3, 126.7, 125.0, 124.8, 123.1, 122.8, 117.1 (Ar), 80.6, 78.1 (OC(CH_3)_3), 41.9 (C(CH_3)_3), 34.3, 32.6 (OC(CH_3)_3), 29.9 (C(CH_3)_3), 19.9, 19.3 (Me_{3,3}). Anal. Calcd: C, 58.99; H, 6.22. Found: C, 59.12; H, 6.47.

Synthesis of $(Me_2BINO)W(=O)(O-t-Bu)_2$. $W(O)(O-t-Bu)_4$ (0.80 g, 1.6 mmol) was placed in a small Schlenk flask (50 mL) and dissolved in toluene (20 mL). H₂Me₂BINO (0.50 g, 1.6 mmol) was added via an addition tube to the stirred solution at 0 °C, giving an orange solution. The solution was warmed to ambient temperature overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the solid residue was washed with hexanes and recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes to give yellow crystals (0.5 g, 50% yield). ¹H NMR data (benzene- d_6 , 20 °C): δ = 7.69 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, NAP), 7.61 (2H, s, NAP), 7.50 (2H, d, J = 8.6 Hz, NAP), 7.14 (2H, t, J = 6.9 Hz, NAP), 6.94 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, NAP), 2.67 (6H, s, Me_{3,3'}), 1.36, 1.18 (9H, s, OC(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR data (benzene-d₆, 20 °C): $\delta = 157.8$ (NAP C_{2,2}), 132.3, 130.8, 129.3, 127.3, 125.0, 124.0, 119.2 (NAP), 87.6, 85.7 (OC(CH₃)₃), 29.9, 29.4 (OC(CH₃)₃), 18.4 (Me_{3.3'}). Anal. Calcd: C, 54.72; H, 5.20. Found: C, 54.59; H, 5.16.

Polymerization Studies. All polymerizations were performed under N_2 in small round bottom flasks, which were fitted with septum caps and magnetically stirred. A stock solution of norbornene was prepared by dissolving norbornene in dry, O_2 free toluene over molecular sieves. This solution was degassed rigorously by two freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Appropriate quantities of this stock solution were syringed into the round bottoms. The solutions were cooled to 0 °C, except those performed at room temperature. Catalysts were dissolved in 5 mL of dry toluene under N_2 and syringed into the round bottoms. After 15 min, the reactions were quenched with acetone. The polymers were washed repeatedly with methanol and dried *in vacuo*. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy were used to determine polymer stereochemistry.

Secondary Metathetic Studies. (a) cis-Polynorbornene (90%) was dissolved in toluene (15 mL) at ambient temperature under N₂. Various catalysts were dissolved in dry toluene (5 mL) at ambient temperature and syringed into the magnetically stirred polynorbornene solution. After 24 h, the catalysts were quenched with acetone and washed with methanol. Residues were dried *in vacuo* and weighed to determine the mass loss of the high molecular weight polymer. Studies were also performed on the time scale of the initial polymerizations (15 min). ¹³C NMR spectroscopy was used to determine the stereochemistry of all product fractions. (b) cis-Polynorbornene (90%) (163 mg) was dissolved in a toluene solution containing norbornene (163 mg) at ambient temperature under N₂. A catalyst solution (5 mL) containing (Me₂BINO)(t-BuO)W(=CHPh)/GaBr₃ was syringed into the magnetically stirred solution of the monomer

and polymer. After 15 min the reaction was quenched and worked up as noted in procedure a above. Total polymer recovered = 92% (301 mg). Expected *cis:trans* ratio for a simple mixture of preformed and newly formed polymer: calcd = 1.0:1.5; obsd = 1.0:1.7. Note that the expected stereochemistry for a polymer that had undergone complete stereochemical redistribution by this catalyst would be a *cis:trans* ratio of 1.0:0.32.

X-ray Structure Analysis for (Me₂BINO)W(=O)(O-t-Bu)₂. A yellow prismatic crystal of approximate dimensions 0.15 $\times 0.15 \times 0.10$ mm was covered in Paratone D oil in an inert atmosphere box, transferred into the air, and mounted on a glass fiber on a goniostat head. The sample was transferred to a Rigaku AFC 5R diffractometer equipped with a low-temperature unit. X-ray diffraction data were collected with monochromated Cu $K\alpha$ radiation using a 12-kW rotating anode at 113 ± 1 K. The crystal data were as follows: space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14) based on systematic absences hol: $h + 1 \neq 2n + 1$, 0k0: $k \neq 2n + 1$; cell dimensions, a = 11.426(3) Å, b = 14.733(3) Å, c = 16.716(4)Å, $\beta = 105.87(2)^{\circ}$; Z = 4, $D_{calc} = 1.616$ g cm⁻³. Of the 3968 reflections collected, 3749 were unique $(R_{int} = 0.104)$. The intensities of three representative reflections measured after every 150 reflections remained constant throughout the data collection. An empirical absorption correction was applied (absorption coefficient for Cu K α = 82.8 cm⁻¹), resulting in transmission factors ranging from 0.446 to 1.00. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structure was solved by a combination of Patterson and direct methods. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and their thermal parameters were refined isotropically. The final cycle of the full-matrix least squares refinement was based on 3451 observed reflections (I > $0.01\sigma(I)$ and 359 variable parameters. The refinement converged to R = 0.053 and $R_w = 0.106$. The largest positional parameter shift was 0.59 times its esd. The maximum and minimum peaks in the final difference map corresponded to +2.29 and -2.03 e Å⁻³ in the vicinity of the tungsten atom. Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber.48 Anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_{calc} ; values for $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$ were those of Cromer.⁴⁹ All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN crystallographic software package from Molecular Structure Corp.⁵⁰

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of experimental details, positional parameters, thermal parameters, and distances and angles for $(Me_2BINO)W(=O)(O-t-Bu)_2$ (14 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Cromer, D. T; Waber, J. T. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2.2A.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Cromer, D. T. Reference 48, Table 2.3.1.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ TEXSAN-TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package; Molecular Structure Corp.: Woodlands, TX, 1985.