A stable alkyl hydride of a first row transition metal

Leonard A. MacAdams, Gerald P. Buffone, Christopher D. Incarvito, James A. Golen, Arnold L. Rheingold and Klaus H. Theopold*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Center for Catalytic Science and Technology, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA. E-mail: theopold@udel.edu; Fax: (302)831-6335; Tel: (302)831-1546

Received (in Purdue, IN) 18th February 2003, Accepted 28th February 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 10th April 2003

Hydrogenolysis of a Cr(m) dialkyl precursor produced a binuclear chromium complex with a bridging hydride and a bridging alkyl; this structurally characterized organometallic compound is thermally very stable and does not undergo the expected reductive elimination of alkane.

Organometallic compounds containing both an alkyl group (R) and a hydride ligand (H) in adjacent positions are generally unstable, decomposing by facile reductive elimination of alkane (R–H).¹ Exceptions to this rule are certain compounds containing transition metals of the second and third row, which allow the direct observation of C–H oxidative addition.² In contrast, the M–C and M–H bonds of first row transition metals are thought to be too weak to compensate for the loss of the C–H bond, and since the intrinsic activation barriers to C–H oxidative addition/reductive elimination are apparently small, the thermodynamically favored alkane elimination proceeds rapidly. Stable alkyl hydrides of first row transition metals are thus exceedingly rare.³ Herein we report the synthesis and structural characterization of a dinuclear chromium alkyl hydride that is surprisingly stable.

In the context of our investigation of paramagnetic chromium alkyls as models for heterogeneous ethylene polymerization catalysts,4 we have prepared [(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr(CH- $_{2}$ SiMe₃)₂] (1, (2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnac = N,N'-bis(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-2,4-pentanediiminato). 1 is a pseudotetrahedral Cr^{III} alkyl; despite its coordinative unsaturation (11-electron configuration) it does not catalyze the polymerization of ethylene in the absence of a co-catalyst. Reaction of 1 in pentane with excess hydrogen (1 atm, RT) yielded a red solution; standard work-up of the product gave [(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr)₂(µ- $CH_2SiMe_3)(\mu-H)$] (2)[†] in high yield (see Scheme 1). The ¹ H NMR spectrum of 2 exhibited several isotropically shifted and broadened resonances, as expected of a paramagnetic compound. The surprising nature of 2 was revealed by a crystal structure determination, the result of which is shown in Fig. 1.5‡

2 is a dinuclear chromium complex in which each chromium is coordinated by one nacnac ligand. The two chromium atoms are joined by a bridging hydride and a bridging trimethylsilylmethyl group. The hydride (H(1)) and the hydrogen atoms of the methylene group (H(2), H(3)) were located and their positions refined. The coordination geometry about each chromium is best described as distorted square planar and the dihedral angle between the two nacnac planes is 43.9° , presumably due to steric interactions between the aryl substituents and the μ -alkyl. The Cr–Cr distance of 2.6026(9) Å is



Scheme 1 Hydrogenolysis of [(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr(CH₂SiMe₃)₂] (1).

relatively long for a dinuclear Cr^{II} complex,⁶ but the possibility of significant metal–metal bonding cannot be dismissed. It is supported by the low magnetic moment of **2** ($\mu_{eff} = 2.5(1) \mu_B$ at 294 K, *i.e.* 1.8(1) μ_B per Cr), possibly augmented by antiferromagnetic coupling mediated by the bridging ligands.

In view of the unusual chemical nature of 2 and the diminished utility of NMR spectroscopy for its characterization, it was important to rule out alternative structures, such as a mixed-valent (CrII, CrIII) µ-alkylidene. While the determination of hydrogen atom positions by X-ray diffraction is always compromised by a systematic error,⁷ the detection of H(1)-H(3)in a difference map leaves little doubt about their presence. Furthermore, the gross structural features, bond distances and magnetic moment of 2 are very similar to those of a class of Cr^{II} dimers including-inter alia-[(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr)₂(µ- H_{2} (3).⁸ Dihydride 3 was the product of an attempt to synthesize [(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr(CH₂CH₃)₂]; while this dialkyl appeared to be formed as an intermediate, it apparently suffered facile β -hydrogen elimination. Both the formation of 2 and 3 presumably involve binuclear reductive eliminations (of SiMe₄ for 2 and C_2H_6 or H_2 for 3). The molecular structure of 3 is shown in Fig. 2;9 like 2, it is a dinuclear Cr-Cr-bonded compound (Cr–Cr = 2.6207(7) Å, $\mu_{\rm eff} = 1.2(1) \mu_{\rm B}$ /Cr). The metal-ligand distances in both compounds are essentially identical and the effective magnetic moments of 2 and 3 are similar in magnitude and consistent with significant metalmetal interactions. This correspondence in physical properties strongly suggests that 2 and 3 belong in the same class and that the organic ligand of 2 is a bridging alkyl rather than a μ -alkylidene. Additional evidence for this was provided by



Fig. 1 The molecular structure of 2. Selected distances [Å] and angles [°]: Cr(1)-Cr(2) 2.6026(9), Cr(1)-C(43) 2.288(4), Cr(2)-C(43) 2.190(4), Cr(1)-H(1) 1.67(7), Cr(2)-H(1) 1.78(7), Cr(1)-N(1) 2.080(4), Cr(1)-N(2) 2.026(3), Cr(2)-N(3) 2.062(4), Cr(2)-(N4) 2.061(4); Cr(1)-C(43)-Cr(2) 71.01(13), Cr(1)-H(1)-Cr(2) 97(4); Cr(1)-C(43)-Si(1) 144.4(3), Cr(2)-C(43)-Si(1) 105.1(2), N(1)-Cr(1)-N(2) 89.0(1), N(3)-Cr(2)-N(4) 88.4(1).

10.1039/b301943h

ЫÖ



Fig. 2 The molecular structure of 3. Selected distances [Å] and angles [°]: Cr(1)-Cr(1A) 2.6207(7), Cr(1)-(H1) 1.77(3), Cr(1)-H(1A) 1.76(3), Cr(1)-N(1) 2.0179(18), Cr(1)-N(2) 2.0268(19); Cr(1)-H(1)-Cr(1A) 95.9(9); N(1)-Cr(1)-N(2) 90.56(8).

labeling experiments coupled with mass spectroscopy. Thus, the mass spectrum (EI, 15 eV) of **2** exhibited a molecular ion at m/z 802 (C₄₆H₆₂Cr₂N₄Si) with the requisite chromium isotope pattern. The product of the reaction of **1** with D₂ showed a molecular ion at m/z 803, consistent with the formation of [(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr)₂(μ -CH₂SiMe₃)(μ -D)] (**2**-d₁).¹⁰ GC-MS analysis of the volatile products of the reaction of **2** in toluene with D₂O was consistent with the formation of (CH₃)₃SiCH₂D as the sole organic product; in particular, there was no indication of the formation of (CH₃)₃SiCHD₂, the expected product of deuterolysis of an alkylidene species. Based on all these observations the assignment of **2** as an alkyl hydride complex is unambiguous.

2 is remarkably stable. For example, heating of a toluene- d_8 solution of 2 to 100 °C for several days did not produce any signs of decomposition. Prolonged photolysis did not induce any chemical change either. Finally, reaction of 2 with excess H₂ or D₂ had no discernible effect. To our knowledge, such resistance to alkane reductive elimination is unprecedented. We are considering two possible rationalizations of this phenomenon. First, it is conceivable that the inorganic product of the alkane elimination—presumably [((2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCr)₂]is too unstable thermodynamically to allow its formation. The other possibility is that the reductive elimination faces an insurmountable kinetic barrier. Maybe electron-electron repulsion with the metal-metal bond prevents the development of overlap between the valence orbitals of the hydride and the alkyl group. However, we note that steric interactions force the core of 2 into a butterfly configuration; thus the dihedral angle between the planes defined by Cr(1)-C(43)-Cr(2) and Cr(1)-C(43)-Cr(2)H(1)–Cr(2) is 47° . The nonbonded C(43)····H(1) distance of 2.74 Å and the C(43)–Cr–H(1) angles of 86° are characteristic of a cis-relationship of these two ligands; they provide no structural evidence for a repulsive interaction with a Cr-Cr bond. We are conducting further experiments and calculations to explore the unusual stability of 2 and to delineate the reactivity of this class of dinuclear Cr(II) organometallics.

This research was supported by a grant from the U.S. National Science Foundation.

Notes and references

[†] **2**: $[(2,6-Me_2Ph)_2$ nacnacCr(CH₂SiMe₃)₂] (**1**, 0.700 g, 1.32 mmol) was placed in a large ampoule and dissolved in 25 mL pentane. The solution was degassed and the ampoule was filled with H₂ (1 atm). The reaction mixture

was stirred overnight at room temperature, whereupon its color had changed to dark red. After evaporation of the pentane the residual solid was extracted with Et₂O. Cooling of the concentrated Et₂O solution to -30 °C yielded dark red crystals (0.500 g, 95% yield) of **2**. ¹ H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 14.7$ (br), 6.4 (br), 4.7 (br), 2.6 (br) ppm; IR (KBr): v = 3056 (m), 3016 (m), 2954 (s), 2915 (s), 2852 (m), 1533 (s), 1435 (s), 1384 (s), 1285 (m), 1259 (m), 1241 (s), 1182 (s), 1094 (m), 1022 (m), 979 (s), 857 (s), 761 (s), 673 (m) cm ⁻¹; UV/Vis (Et₂O): λ_{max} (ε) = 480 (663 M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹) nm; mp: 194–197 °C; μ_{eff} (294 K) = 2.5(1) $\mu_{\rm B}$; MS (15 eV) : m/z 802 (M⁺); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₆H₆₂Cr₂N₄Si₁: C 68.80, H 7.78, N 7.00; found: C 68.72, H 7.66. N 6.82.

3 : [(2,6-Me₂Ph)₂nacnacCrCl₂(THF)₂] (0.440 g, 0.768 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL Et₂O and the solution cooled to -30 °C. A 3.0 M Et₂O solution of EtMgBr (0.533 mL, 1.6 mmol) was added *via* syringe, resulting in a rapid color change from red to green. The solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight, whereupon the color had changed to a dark orange. Filtering, concentrating, and cooling to -30 °C yielded orange crystals of **3**. ¹ H NMR (C₆D₆): $\delta = 19.3$ (br), 13.7 (br), 7.0 (br), 6.8 (br), 2.0 (br) ppm; IR (KBr): v = 3055 (m), 3014 (m), 2958 (s), 2917 (s), 2856 (m), 1522 (s), 1454 (s), 1378 (s), 1260 (m), 1240 (s), 1180 (s), 1096 (m), 1022 (m), 851 (s), 762 (s) cm ⁻¹; μ_{eff} (294 K) = $1.7(1) \mu_{B}$; MS (15 eV) : m/z 716 (M⁺); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₄₂H₅₂Cr₂N₄: C 70.36, H 7.31, N 7.81; found: C 68.88, H 7.63, N 6.25.

CCDC 196911 and 205747. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/ b301943h/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- 1 J. Halpern, Acc. Chem. Res., 1982, 15, 332-338.
- (a) A. H. Janowicz and R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 352; (b) J. K. Hoyano and W. A. G. Graham, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 3723; (c) W. D. Jones and F. J. Feher, Organometallics, 1983, 2, 562; (d) P. O. Stoutland, R. G. Bergman, S. P. Nolan and C. D. Hoff, Polyhedron, 1988, 7, 1429; (e) B. A. Arndtsen, R. G. Bergman, T. A. Mobley and T. H. Peterson, Acc. Chem. Res., 1995, 28, 1154; (f) R. H. Crabtree, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2001, 2437.
- 3 (a) K. Jonas and G. Wilke, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1969, 8, 519;
 (b) R. A. Henderson and K. E. Oglieve, Chem. Commun., 1999, 2271;
 (c) a closely related dinuclear Ti^{III} alkyl hydride has been prepared: J. R. Hagadorn and M. J. McNevin, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 609.
- 4 (a) K. H. Theopold, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1998, 15; (b) W.-K. Kim, M. J. Fevola, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold and K. H. Theopold, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 4541; (c) L. A. MacAdams, W.-K. Kim, L. M. Liable-Sands, I. A. Guzei, A. L. Rheingold and K. H. Theopold, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 952; (d) K. H. Theopold, L. A. MacAdams, C. Puttnual, G. P. Buffone and A. L. Rheingold, Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng., 2002, 86, 310.
- 5 **2**: $C_{46}H_{62}Cr_2N_4Si$, M = 803.09, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 12.33630(10)Å, b = 20.9724(3) Å, c = 17.6709(3) Å, $\beta = 106.0100(10)^{\circ}$, V = 4394.52(10) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calc} = 1.214$ g cm⁻¹, $\theta = 1.54-25.00^{\circ}$, MoK_{α} $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, T = 173 K, 16789 reflections, $\mu = 0.557$ mm⁻¹, max and min transmission: 0.9211 and 0.8734, solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures using SHELXTL (5.1), 490 parameters, R = 0.0687, wR = 0.1912. CDCC reference number 196911.
- 6 (a) F. A. Cotton and R. A. Walton, *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*, 1st edn., Wiley, New York, 1982, p. 150; (b) J. J. H. Edema and S. Gambarotta, *Comments Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **11**, 195; (c) R. A. Heintz, R. L. Ostrander, A. L. Rheingold and K. H. Theopold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 11387.
- 7 (a) J. D. Dunitz, X-Ray Analysis and the Structure of Organic Molecules, Ithaca, Cornell University Press, 1979, p. 391; (b) R. Bau and M. H. Drabnis, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1997, 259, 27.
- 8 Similar compounds featuring a related nacnac ligand are $[(2,6^{-i} Pr_2Ph)_{2}nacnacCr)_2(\mu-CH_3)_2]$ (Cr–Cr 2.597(10) Å, Cr–N_{avg} 2.09 Å, Cr–C_{avg} 2.21 Å, $\mu_{eff} = 2.5(1) \mu_B$) and $[(2,6^{-i}Pr_2Ph)_{2}nacnacCr)_2(\mu-H)_2]$ (Cr–Cr 2.676(13) Å, Cr–N_{avg} 2.05 Å, Cr–H_{avg} 1.71 Å, $\mu_{eff} = 3.5(1) \mu_B$); these will be included in a forthcoming full paper; L. A. MacAdams, PhD thesis, University of Delaware, 2002.
- 9 **3**: C₄₂H₅₂Cr₂N₄, M = 716.88, monoclinic, C2/c, a = 23.4971(13) Å, b = 10.7216(6) Å, c = 15.0645(9) Å, $\beta = 92.4850(10)^{\circ}$, V = 3791.6(4) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calc} = 1.256$ g cm⁻¹, $\theta = 2.09-28.28^{\circ}$, MoK_{α} $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, T = 150 K, 11853 reflections, $\mu = 0.607$ mm⁻¹, max and min transmission: 0.9145 and 0.8882, solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures using SHELXTL (5.1), 221 parameters, R = 0.0471, wR = 0.1375. CDCC reference number 205747.
- 10 A comparison of the IR spectra of **2** and $2-d_1$ did not reveal any significant differences; presumably the hydride (deuteride) stretches are obscured by ligand bands.