New Method for Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation: Biogenetic-type Synthesis of Safranal

By Tetsuji Kametani,* Koji Suzuki, Hiroshi Kurobe, and Hideo Nemoto (Pharmaceutical Institute, Tohoku University, Aobayama, Sendai 980, Japan)

Summary Acid catalysed cyclisation of the olefinic β hydroxy selenide (5) afforded the cyclic compound (7) which was converted into safranal (16) via the olefinic

alcohol (12), diolefinic alcohol (14), and aldehyde (15).

Functional group manipulation using organoselenium reagents has been thoroughly investigated and the chemistry of such reagents is of current interest. In contrast to the well studied²⁻⁴ synthesis of heterocycles by cyclisation reactions involving the use of organoselenium reagents, studies⁵ concerned with carbon-carbon bond formation using selenium reagents are limited. Of prime importance to our study was that a cyclisation reaction be found which would provide a product suitably functionalised to further elaboration. Although a considerable amount of investigation in the area of polyolefin cyclisation has been reported,6,7 we became interested in developing cyclisation reactions induced by organoselenium reagents because of the versatility of the selenvl functional groups. We now report a new selenium-assisted cyclisation reaction resulting in carbon-carbon bond formation.

To determine whether the cyclisation $(1) \rightarrow (2)$ reported by Clive³ is generally applicable for carbon-carbon bond formation, a preliminary experiment was carried out in which geranyl acetate (3) was treated with PhSeCl in AcOH in the presence of NaOAc under the same conditions.3 However, the products isolated were shown to be (4) and

(5) and not the expected cyclic compounds (8) or (9). Compound (5) was alternatively synthesised by epoxidation of (3) using m-chloroperbenzoic acid followed by treatment of the resulting epoxide (6) with phenylselenium anion.8

Since cyclisation of (3) could not be achieved under the conditions described, our interest turned to possible cyclisation of (5). The carbonium ion generated by acid treatment of (5) may be established by participation of the phenylselenyl group with in situ formation of the seleniranium ion (17).9 Thus, treatment of (5) with

1129

SCHEME 2

CF3CO2H in CH2Cl2 gave, in 65% yield, the cyclic compound (8)† [δ (CDCl₄) 0.97, 1.18, 1.30 (9H, each s, 3 \times Me), 2.03 (3H, s, MeCO), 3.01 (1H, dd, J 2.5 and 5 Hz, PhSeCH), $4\cdot1$ — $4\cdot7$ (2H, m, CH₂OAc), and $7\cdot2$ — $7\cdot7$ (5H, m, PhSe)]. When the alcohol (7) was subjected to the same treatment, the product obtained, although uncharacterised, was shown not to be the corresponding cyclic compound (10). This remarkable difference in the reactivity of compounds (5) and (7) may be attributed to participation of the phenylselenyl group as already described. In order to demonstrate the easy manipulation of the functional groups thus introduced, the cyclic compound (8) was converted into safranal (16) as follows. Oxidation of (8) with 30% H_2O_2 gave the oxide (11), m.p. 139-140.5 °C, which on heating in CCl₄ afforded the olefin (12). The diene alcohol (14) was obtained by dehydration of (12) using SOCl₂ in pyridine, followed by hydrolysis of the resulting acetate (13) with ln KOH in MeOH. Oxidation of (14) with CrO₃ in pyridine yielded the aldehyde (15) which, on reflux in pyridine, furnished safranal (16) with identical n.m.r. spectra¹⁰ to those of an authentic sample. All steps; in the reaction sequence from (8) to safranal (16) proceeded in moderate to high yield.

(Received, 29th August 1979; Com. 919.)

† Although the stereochemistry of this compound (7) remains unknown, it was shown to be homogeneous, namely, it showed a single spot $(R_1 \ 0.46)$ on t.l.c. analysis using silica gel $60 \ F_{254}$ with benzene-ethyl acetate (4:1) as developer.

‡ The spectral data for the new compounds appearing in this paper are in agreement with the proposed structures.

¹ For a review see D. L. J. Clive, Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 1049; H. J. Reich, Accounts Chem. Research, 1979, 12, 22. References cited herein.

² D. L. J. Clive, G. Chittattu, and C. K. Wong, Canad. J. Chem., 1977, 55, 3894; D. L. J. Clive, G. Chittattu, N. J. Curtis, W. A. Kiel, and C. K. Wong, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1977, 725; K. C. Nicolaou and Z. Lysenko, Tetrahedron Letters, 1977, 257.

³ D. L. J. Clive, G. Chittattu, and C. K. Wong, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 379.

⁴ K. C. Nicolaou, W. E. Barnette, and R. L. Magolda, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 2567; K. C. Nicolaou and W. E. Barnette, 1076, 2667; L. C. Nicolaou and W. E. Barnette, 1076, 2667; L. C. Nicolaou and W. E. Barnette, 1076, 27, 215

A. C. Micolaou, W. E. Barnette, and K. L. Magolda, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 2567; K. C. Nicolaou and W. E. Barnette, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 1742; T. Kametani, H. Nemoto, and K. Fukumoto, Bio-organ. Chem., 1978, 7, 215.

5 D. L. J. Clive, G. Chittattu, and C. K. Wong, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 441.

6 For a review see M. Julia, Accounts Chem. Research, 1971, 4, 386; E. E. Van Tamelen, ibid., 1975, 8, 152; W. S. Johnson, Bio-organ. Chem., 1976, 5, 51; Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1976, 15, 9.

7 T. Kobayashi, S. Kumazawa, T. Kato, and V. Kitabaya, Chem. Internat. 1977, 2017, 1977, 2017, 1977, 2017,

⁷ T. Kobayashi, S. Kumazawa, T. Kato, and Y. Kitahara, Chem. Letters, 1975, 301; I. Ichinose and T. Kato, ibid., 1979, 61; T. Kato, T. Kobayashi, and Y. Kitahara, Tetrahedron Letters, 1975, 3299; Y. Yamada, H. Sanjoh, and K. Iguchi, ibid., 1979, 1323; R. S. Brinkmeyer, ibid., p. 207; F Johnson, L. G. Duquette, W. L. Parker, and W. A. Nasutavicus, J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 1434;

Y. Yamada, H. Sanjoh, and K. Iguchi, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1976, 997.
K. B. Sharpless and R. F. Lauer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 2697.
G. H. Schmid and D. G. Garratt, Tetrahedron Letters, 1975, 3991; J. Rémion, W. Dumont, and A. Krief, ibid., 1976, 1385; J. Rémion and A. Krief, *ibid.*, p. 3743.

10 W. M. B. Könst, L. M. Van der Linde, and H. Boelens, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1974, 3175.