PHOTOOXYGENATION OF 1,2-BIS(SILYLOXY)CYCLOALKENES

Waldemar Adam* and Xiaoheng Wang

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-8700 Würzburg, F.R.G.

Abstract: The reaction of singlet oxygen with O-silylated cyclic enediols 1a,b afforded as ene products the hydroperoxy silyl enol ethers 3a,b and as cleavage products the silyl esters 4a,b; the latter presumably derived from rearrangement of the intermediary silylperoxy ketones 2a,b.

Olefins containing allylic hydrogen react with singlet oxygen to produce ene products.¹ If the double bond is substituted with a silyloxy group, also silyl migration takes place, affording α -silylperoxy ketones.² In this paper we report on the photooxygenation of 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclohexene (1a) and -cyclopentene (1b), showing that both the prototropic (hydrogen shift) and silatropic (silyl shift) ene reactions occur (Schemes 1, 2).



1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclohexene ³ (1a) and ¹O₂ in CCl₄ with TPP as photosensitizer at -20 °C gave within 50 min the silatropic ene product 2a ⁴ [characteristic ¹³C signals: δ 205.0 (C-1), 104.1 (C-2)] and the prototropic ene product 3a ⁵ [characteristic ¹³C signals: δ 101.7 (C-6), 109.5 (C-2), 147.1 (C-1); characteristic ¹H signals: δ 4.96 [s, 1H, C=CH), 8.6 (s, 1H, OOH)], the 2a : 3a ratio being 70 : 30. The α -silylperoxy cyclohexanone 2a was quite unstable, but could be detected at subambient temperature (-20 °C) by ¹H and ¹³C NMR. When a sample of the product mixture was warmed up to 20 °C and further monitored by NMR, it was observed that the silatropic ene product 2a rearranged gradually in a period of 1h completely into the cleavage product bis(trimethylsilyl) adipate (4a) ⁶, as evidenced in the ¹³C NMR spectrum by the disappearance of the signals at δ 104.1 and 205.0 (specific for 2a) and the appearance of the signal at δ 173.6 (specific for 4a). During this period the signals of the prototropic ene product 3a suffered no change.

On prolonged photooxygenation (ca. 3h, -20 °C) NMR monitoring revealed new proton resonances at δ 5.5, 5.9, 9.0, 9.7, 10.1, and 10.2 (in the approximate relative ratios 2:2:1:1:1:1). These were assigned to the olefinic and hydroperoxy protons of the diastereomeric double ene products 5. The solvent was removed by rotaevaporation (0 °C, 15 torr) and the residue chromatographed at -40 °C on a Florisil column, eluting with petroleum ether / diethyl ether (9:1) to give as products the silyl adipate 4a, adipic acid (6), the hydroxy-enone 7, and the disilyl ester 8 in the relative proportion ca. 50 : 20 : 25 : 5, as well as hexamethylsiloxane. The physical constants and spectral data of these products, except those of the disilyl ester 8, were identical with those of the authentic compounds. Although the ¹H NMR data of cleavage product 8 are not known, our spectral data, especially the chemical shifts δ 2.42 (t, $J_{5,4}=7.1$ Hz, 2H, 5-H), 2.85 (tdd, $J_{4,3}=7.4$ Hz, $J_{4,5}=7.1$ Hz, $J_{4,2}=1.6$ Hz, 2H, 4-H), 5.74 (dt, $J_{2,3}=11.4$ Hz, $J_{2,4}=1.6$ Hz, 1H, 2-H), and 6.19 (dt, $J_{3,2}=11.4$ Hz, $J_{3,4}=7.4$ Hz, 1H, 3-H), support the structure proposed for silyl ester 8. On-column desilylation of disilyl adipate 4a is a logical pathway to adipic acid (6), while desilylation of the hydroperoxy silyl enol ether 3a, followed by loss of hydrogen peroxide, is a reasonable route to hydroxy-enone 7. Disilyl ester 8 presumably arises via rearrangement of the novel double ene product 5 (Scheme 1). During NMR monitoring no dioxetane signals were observed.

The photooxygenation of the disilyloxycyclopentene 1b ³ gave under the same conditions as employed for 1a the bis(trimethylsilyl) glutarate (4b) ⁷ and the hydroperoxy silyl enol ether 3b ⁸, as detected by ¹H and ¹³C NMR at -20°C (Scheme 2). The structures of 3b and 4b were confirmed by comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra with those of compounds 3a and 4a.



The differences in the behavior of the cyclopentene substrate 1b versus the cyclohexene derivative 1a towards singlet oxygen are: i) no silyl peroxy ketone 2b was observed during NMR monitoring and ii) the proportion of 4b to 3b was ca. 25 : 75 compared to 70 : 30 for 4a to 3a, indicating that the prototropic ene reaction dominates for the cyclopentene system. Since five-membered ring-annelated 1,2-dioxetanes are more stable than six-membered analogues,⁹ it is unlikely that the disilyl diester 4a arose from cleavage of the corresponding intermediary dioxetane because it should have survived subambient NMR monitoring. Moreover, since the disilyl diester 4a resulted exclusively from rearrangement of the intermediary silylperoxy ketone 2a (Scheme 1), it is more probable that also 4b came from the corresponding silylperoxy ketone 2b, except that the latter was too labile for NMR detection.

Accepting this hypothesis, it is of interest to compare the present prototropic (hydrogen migration) versus silatropic (silyl migration) ene reactivities of the disilyloxycycloalkenes **1a**,**b** with those reported ²ⁱ for the monosilyloxycycloalkenes **9a**,**b**. In view of the symmetric nature of the **1a**,**b** substrates, for better comparison



the observed proto- and silatropic ene reactivities have been divided equally between the two possible sites. Ignoring the regioselectivities exhibited for the ring protons of the unsymmetrical substrates 9a,b, clearly a pronounced difference exists in the proto- *versus* silatropic ene reactivities between the disilyloxy and monosilyloxy sets 1a,b and 9a,b. For the latter, hydrogen migration dominates in the six-membered ring 9a and silicon migration for the five-membered ring 9b, while the reverse obtains for 1a,b, i.e. silatropic ene reactivity is preferred for the six-membered ring 1a and prototropic for the five-membered ring 1b. This result is in keeping with the well established *cis*-effect, 1^{0} as examplified by the pronounced exocyclic prototropic ene reaction for 1-methylcyclohexene but overwhelming endocyclic hydrogen abstraction for 1-methylcyclopentene.¹¹ Conformational control is responsible, in that for the cyclopentene the better perpendicular alignment of the allylic hydrogens relative to the molecular plane provides for a more favorable perepoxide-like complexation, a requirement for the *cis*-effect.¹⁰

The rearrangement of the intermediary α -silylperoxy ketone 2a into the cleavage product 4a (and presumably also of 2b, which was postulated in the scission of 1b into 4b in Scheme 2) is analogous to the Hock-Criegee rearrangement ^{1, 12} of a variety of peroxides, except that here the silyl group rather than protonation promotes the 1,2-acyl shift. It is significant that such transpositions do not occur for the simpler α -silylperoxy ketones derived from the photooxygenation of the silyl enol ethers 9a,b.²ⁱ Apparently the presence of the peroxy ketal moiety at C-2 in 2a (Scheme 1) is a necessary condition for such rearrangements, as proposed in the enzymatic conversion of analogous catechol derivatives into the corresponding muconic acids by means of dioxygenases.^{13,14}

Although our initial goal of preparing the 1,2-dioxetanes of the 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cycloalkenes 1a,b via photooxygenation forfeited, and such dioxetanes are still challenging target molecules, novel rearrangements in the reaction of singlet oxygen with disilylated cyclic ene-1,2-diols were uncovered. The fact that silyloxy groups undergo ene reactions with ${}^{1}O_{2}$ opens up interesting possibilities for synthetic applications and mechanistic studies.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB Nr. 172 "Molekulare Mechanismen Kanzerogener Primärveränderungen"), the Sander-Stiftung and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for generous financial support.

References and Notes:

1. (a) Frimer, A. A.; Stephenson, L. M. in Frimer, A. A. (ed.): Singlet O₂, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, 1985, Vol. II, Chap. 3, p. 67.

(b) Frimer, A. A. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 359.

(a) Adam, W.; Steinmetzer, H. C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1972, 11, 540.
 (b) Rubottom, G. M.; Lopez Nieves, M. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 24, 2423.

- (c) Adam, W.; Liu, J-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2894.
- (d) Jefford, C. W.; Rimbault, C. GJetrahedron Lett. 1977, 27, 2375;
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6437.
- (e) Adam, W.; Alzerreca, A.; Liu, J-C.; Yany, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5768.
- (f) Friedrich, E.; Lutz, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1977, 89, 413.
- (g) Adam, W.; del Fierro, J. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1159.
- (h) Adam, W.; del Fierro, J. Quiroz, F.; Yany, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2127.
- (i) Friedrich, F.; Lutz, W. Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 1245.
- 3. Prepared analogous to Rühlmann, K. Synthesis, 1971, 230.
 - 1a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 0.12(s, 18H), 1.56(m, 4H), 2.01(m, 4H);
 - ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 0.9(q), 23.2(t), 29.5(t), 132.0(s).
 - 1b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 0.14(s, 18H), 1.73(m, 2H), 2.20(m, 4H);

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 0.9(q), 16.6(t), 30.0(t), 130.2(s).

- 4. 2a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ 0.11(s, 9H), 0.17(s, 9H), 1.5 2.4(m, 6H), 2.74(m, 2H);
 ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ -1.0(q), 2.3(q), 22.5(t), 27.5(t), 39.3(t), 39.8(t), 104.1(s), 205.0(s).
- 5. 3a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ 0.13(s, 9H), 0.19(s, 9H), 1.5 2.4(m, 6H), 4.96(t, J = 4Hz, 1H), 8.56(s, 1H);
 ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, -20°), δ 0.4(q), 1.6(q), 19.7(t), 24.1(t), 33.6(t), 101.7(d), 109.5(s), 147.1(s).
- 6. 4a: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 0.24(s, 18H), 1.59(m, 4H), 2.28(m, 4H);

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃), δ -0.2(q), 24.4(t), 35.5(t), 173.6(s).

7. 4b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ 0.23(s, 18H), 2.20(m, 2H), 1.75(m, 4H);

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ -0.2(q), 19.6(t), 34.4(t), 173.6(s).

- 8. 3b: ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ 0.14(s, 9H), 0.19(s, 9H), 1.84(t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 2.36(m, 2H), 4.90(t, J = 2 Hz, 1H), 8.9(s, 1H);
 ¹³C NMR(50 MHz, CDCl₃, -20 °C), δ 0.0(q), 1.6(q), 23.0(t), 33.7(t), 108.2(d), 111.3(s), 150.6(s).
- 9. Baumstark, A. L.; Wilson, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4363.
- 10.(a) Schulte-Elte, K.H.; Müller, B.; Rautenstrauch, V. Helv. Chim. Acta 1978, 61, 2777;
 Schulte-Elte, K.H.; Müller, B.; Pamingle, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1979, 62, 816;
 Schulte-Elte, K.H.; Müller, B.; Ohloff, G. Nouv. J. Chim. 1978, 2, 427.
 - (b) Stephenson, L. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 419.
- (c) Adam, W.; Catalani, L. H.; Griesbeck, A. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5494.
- 11. Schulte-Elte, K. H.; Rautenstrauch, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1738.
- 12. (a) Criegee, R. Chem. Ber., 1944, 77, 722.
 - (b) Criegee, R. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1948, 560, 127.
- Hamilton, G. A. in Hayaishi, O. (ed.): Molecular Mechanisms of Oxygen Activation, Academic Press, New York, 1974, p. 443-445.
- 14. Que, L., Jr.; Lipscomb, J. D.; Münck, E.; Wood, J. M. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1977, 485, 60.