by an approximately tetrahedral array of four carbon atoms, each of which in turn occupies an apical position in one of the two $C_2B_8H_{10}$ carborane moieties. The four aluminum-carbon interatomic distances average 2.06 Å while the eight aluminum-boron distances average 2.53 Å.

The bonding in $[2]^-$ can be rationalized in a manner similar to that applied to complex $1.^1$ The geometry and interatomic distances in $[2]^-$ suggest that the aluminum atom participates in four electron precise bonds with the nearby tetrahearally disposed carbon atoms. The relatively long aluminum-boron distances are indicative of a lack of bonding interaction between aluminum and boron. In this bonding description, each of the 6,9- $C_2B_8H_{10}$ cluster fragments in $[2]^-$ are formally regarded as dianionic nido-carborane cages, each of which donate four electrons via two carbon-based orbitals to sp³ hybrid orbitals of the formal aluminum(III) metal center having suitable symmetry. It may be noted that this bonding description allows the $[2]^-$ anion to be regarded as a spiro-aluminate complex.

The mechanism by which $[2]^-$ is formed is not known but may involve successive loss of NaCl and cleavage of the two ethyl groups from aluminum concomitant with deprotonation of each carborane cage resulting in formation of ethane. Migration of the carbon atoms to the 6,9-positions of the $\bar{C}_2 B_8 H_{10}$ cage is, as with compound 1, the expected thermal rearrangement for this system.⁵ This rearrangement also appears to result in the most favorable arrangement of carbon-based orbitals for bonding to the aluminum center. Continuous heating of Na[2] in refluxing toluene for 3 weeks resulted neither in decomposition nor further rearrangement.

Synthesis of other new aluminacarborane compounds are under investigation and will be reported later.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation (Grant number CHE-84-01433). We also thank NSF for use of the Bruker WM 500 FTNMR spectrometer at the Southern California Regional Magnetic Resonance Facility (Grant CHE-79-16324).

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of positional and thermal parameters and interatomic distances and angles (12 pages); a listing of observed and calculated structure factors (10 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(7) Dustin, D. F.; Evans, W. J.; Jones, C. J.; Wiersema, R. J.; Gong, H.; Chan, S.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3085.

Formation of Metallo Hydride, Formyl, and Alkyl Complexes of Rh(TMTAA)

Seth L. Van Voorhees and Bradford B. Wayland*

Department of Chemistry and the Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter, University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323

Received June 18, 1986

Summary: The dimeric complex $(Rh^{II}(TMTAA))_2$ (1) (TMTAA = dibenzotetramethylaza[14]annulene dianion) reacts with mixtures of hydrogen and carbon monoxide gases to produce a metallo hydride, Rh^{III}(TMTAA)(H) (2), and an η^1 -metallo formyl complex, Rh^{III}(TMTAA)(CHO). Compounds 1 and 2 react with ethene to produce bridging and terminal alkyl complexes, respectively.

Reactions of metallo hydrides with carbon monoxide that result in the transfer of hydrogen to the carbon center have been accomplished with the transition-metal hydride species $(Cp*_2)V(H)$, $(Cp*^2)Zr(H)_2$, Rh(porphyrin)(H), $(Cp*^2)Zr(H)_2$, Rh(porphyrin)(H), $(Cp*^2)Zr(H)_2$, $(Cp*^2)Zr(H)_2$, (and actinide complexes $(Cp*_2)Th(OR)(H)^4$ [Cp* = η^5 -C₅- $(CH_3)_5$]. Metal-site catalyzed hydrogenation of CO to organic products is thought to require the formation of a metallo hydride complex, through the reaction of molecular hydrogen, and the formation of either an η^1 -carbon-bonded or η^2 -carbon- and oxygen-bonded, metallo formyl complex.⁵ Rhodium porphyrin dimer complexes are at present the only molecular species reported to react with hydrogen and carbon monoxide to produce both the metallo hydride and metallo formyl complexes.³ This communication reports on several key features of the organometallic chemistry of a Rh-Rh bonded macrocyclic complex, (Rh(TMTAA))₂



(TMTAA = dibenzotetramethylaza[14]annulene dianion), including the reactions with H_2 and CO to produce both metallo hydride and η^1 -formyl complexes (reactions 1 and 2).

 $(Rh(TMTAA))_2 + H_2 \approx 2Rh(TMTAA)(H)$ (1)

$$(Rh(TMTAA))_2 + H_2 + 2CO \rightleftharpoons 2Rh(TMTAA)(CHO)$$
(2)

 $(Rh(TMTAA))_2$ (1) is prepared by refluxing rhodium acetate dimer with the free base ligand H₂TMTAA⁶ in ethanol (24 h) and collecting the precipitate by filtration under nitrogen gas. The product obtained is an ethanol solvate and can be converted to the ethanol free form by recrystalization from benzene followed by vacuum drying. Complex 1 has been characterized by ¹H NMR as well as mass spectral analyses.⁷

Solutions of 1 (5 \times 10⁻³ M) in THF-d₈ react with hydrogen gas (P = 0.2-0.8 atm), over a period of 48 h at 298 K, to form a monomeric metallo hydride complex, Rh-(TMTAA)(H) (2) (reaction 1). Formation of 2 is conveniently observed by the appearance of a diagnostic high-field doublet in the ¹H NMR (δ_{Rh-H} -20.2, J_{103}_{Rh-1H} = 47.6 Hz).⁸

Floriani, C. Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 1.
 Wolczanski, P. T.; Bercaw, J. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 121.
 (a) Wayland, B. B.; Woods, B. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 700. (b) Wayland, B. B.; Woods, B. A.; Pierce, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 302. (c) Wayland, B. B.; Duttaahmed, A.; Woods, B. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 142.

^{(4) (}a) Fagan, P. J.; Moloy, K. G.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6959. (b) Fagan, P. J.; Maatta, E. A.; Marks, T. J. ACS Symp. Ser. 1981, No. 152, 52. (c) Fagan, P. J.; Marta, E. A., Marta, J. M., Morta, T. J.;
 Vollmer, S. H.; Day, C. S.; Day, V. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2206.
 (d) Molay, K. G.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7051. (e)
 Sonnenberger, D. C.; Morss, L. R.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1985, 4.352

 ^{(5) (}a) Feder, H. M.; Rathke, J. W.; Chen, M. J.; Curtiss, L. A. ACS Symp. Ser. 1981, No. 152, 20.
 (b) Fahey, D. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 136.
 (c) Masters, C. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 17, 61.
 (d) Henrici-Olivé, G.; Olivé, S. Angew. Chem. 1976, 88, 144.
 (e) Dombek, B. D. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1707. (f) Dombek, B. D. Adv. Catal. 1983, 32, 325.

⁽⁶⁾ Goedken, V. L.; Molin-Case, J.; Whang, Y. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 337

^{(7) (}a) ¹H NMR (C_gD_g): δ +7.09 (d of d, 8 H, *m*-phenyl), +6.76 (d of d, 8 H, o-phenyl), +3.68 (s, 4 H, methene), +2.00 (s, 24 H, methyl). MS: *m/e* 890 (expt]) vs. 890.6 (calcd) (M⁺). (b) Footnote in ref 6 alludes to an unpublished structure determination.



Figure 1. ¹³C NMR of the formyl group in the Rh-(TMTAA)(¹³CHO) complex: (A) undecoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum in THF- d_8 (δ_{CHO} 220.1, $J_{1^3C^{-1}H} = 178.2$ Hz, ${}^1J_{1^{03}Rh^{-13}C} = 36.2$ Hz); (B) ¹H-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum in THF-d₈.

Removal of excess hydrogen gas results in the reformation of 1 and demonstrates the reversibility of reaction 1.

Solutions of $(Rh(TMTAA))_2$ in THF-d₈ react with CO and H₂ gases ($P_{CO} = 0.4$ atm; $P_{H_2} = 0.3$ atm) to produce the formyl complex Rh(TMTAA)(CHO) which is formulated as an η^1 -carbon-bonded formyl on the basis of ¹H NMR (δ_{CHO} 11.9), ¹³C NMR ($\delta_{^{13}CHO}$ 220.1, $J_{^{13}C^{-1}H}$ = 178.2 Hz, $J_{103}_{Rh-13}C = 36.2$ Hz; Figure 1a), and IR spectra of the solid ($\nu_{CO} = 1697$ cm⁻¹).⁹ Removal of excess hydrogen and carbon monoxide gases results in the reformation of 1 and demonstrates the reversibility of reaction 2. The metallo formyl complex can also be prepared by using water as a source of hydrogen (reaction 3). Using ¹³CO in reaction $(Rh(TMTAA))_2 + 3CO + H_2O \rightarrow$

$$2Rh(TMTAA)(CHO) + CO_2$$
 (3)

3 results in observation by ¹³C NMR of both the ¹³C-labeled metallo formyl and ${}^{13}CO_2$ ($\delta_{13}CO_2$, 124) consistent with the occurrence of a water gas shift type reaction.

Solutions of 1 in pyridine when exposed to H_2 gas (P =0.6 atm) react to form a hydride complex with NMR parameters ($\delta_{\text{Rh-H}}$ -13.6, $J_{103}_{\text{Rh-}^{1}\text{H}}$ = 35.7 Hz). The magnitude and sign of the changes in the ¹H NMR parameters for the hydride complex in pyridine from those observed in THF $(\delta_{\rm Rh-H}$ –20.2, $J_{\rm ^{103}Rh-H}$ = 47.6 Hz) are comparable to those observed for rhodium porphyrin hydride species¹⁰ and are compatable with the formation of a six-coordinate pyridine complex, Rh(TMTAA)(py)(H). Solutions of Rh-(TMTAA)(H) in pyridine react with carbon monoxide (P_{CO}) = 0.5 atm) to form a metallo formyl complex (δ_{CHO} 12.9).

Organometallic reactions of the Rh(TMTAA) system, producing metallo alkyl complexes, closely parallel the reactions observed with the rhodium porphyrin system.¹¹ $(Rh(TMTAA))_{2} + CH_{2} = CH_{2} \iff (TMTAA)Rh - CH_{2} - CH_{2} - Rh(TMTAA)$



Figure 2. ¹³C NMR of the ethylene bridge in the (TMTAA)-Rh-CH₂-CH₂-Rh(TMTAA) complex: (A) observed ¹H-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum; (B) simulated ¹H-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum (δ_{13} C 37.6, ${}^{1}J_{103}$ _{Rh-13}C = 28.7 Hz, ${}^{2}J_{103}$ _{Rh-13}C = -2.2 Hz, ${}^{1}J_{13}$ _{C-13}C = 33.7 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{103}$ _{Rh-103}_{Rh} = 0.3 Hz).

Ethene $(P_{C_2H_4} = 0.3-0.8 \text{ atm})$ reacts reversibly with 1 to produce an alkyl-bridged complex (reaction 4).¹² The $(Rh(TMTAA))_2 + CH_2 \equiv CH_2 \Rightarrow$

$$(TMTAA)Rh-CH_2-CH_2-Rh(TMTAA)$$
 (4)

¹H-decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum of this complex, prepared with ¹³C ethene, appears as an AA'XX' multiplet (Figure 2a) and can be simulated by using the following parameters: $\delta_{^{13}C} = 33.7 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{2}J_{^{103}\text{Rh}^{-13}\text{C}} = -2.2 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{1}J_{^{13}\text{C}^{-13}\text{C}} = 33.7 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{^{103}\text{Rh}^{-103}\text{Rh}} = 0.3 \text{ Hz}$ (Figure 2b). The metallo ethyl complex can be prepared by reacting 1 with a mixture of hydrogen and ethene gases ($P_{\rm H_2}$ = 0.3 atm; $P_{C_2H_4} = 0.4$ atm) (reaction 5). Alkyl complexes can $(Rh(TMTAA))_{2} + H_{2} + 2CH_{2} \rightarrow CH_{2} \rightarrow 2Rh(TMTAA)(CH_{2}CH_{3}) (5)$

be alternatively prepared by reacting stoichiometric amounts of alkyliodide with 1 in C_6D_6 (reaction 6).¹³

$$(Rh(TMTAA))_{2} + R-I \xrightarrow{C_{0} \cup C_{0}} Rh(TMTAA)(R) + Rh(TMTAA)(I)\downarrow (6)$$
$$R = CH_{3}, CH_{2}I, CH_{2}CH_{3}$$

^{(8) (}a) ¹H NMR (THF- d_8) δ +6.84 (d of d, 4 H, m-phenyl), +6.45 (d of d, 4 H, o-phenyl), +4.51 (s, 2 H, methene), +2.13 (s, 12 H, methyl), -20.3 (d, 1 H, hydride). (b) IR (KBr): ν_{Rh-H} 2121 cm⁻¹. (9) (a) ¹H NMR (THF-d_8): δ +6.99 (d of d, 4 H, m-phenyl), +6.60 (d

of d, 4 H, o-phenyl), +4.62 (s, 2 H, methene), +2.22 (s, 12 H, methyl), +11.93 (s, 1 H, formyl). (b) IR (KBr): ν_{C-H} 2681 cm⁻¹, ν_{C-0} 1697 cm⁻¹. (10) Farnos, M.; Woods, B. A.; Wayland, B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3659.

^{(11) (}a) Setsune, J. I.; Yoshida, Z. I.; Ogoshi, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 983. (b) Paonessa, R. S.; Thomas, N. C.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4333.

^{(12) &}lt;sup>1</sup>H NMR (C_6D_6): δ +6.82 (d of d, 8 H, *m*-phenyl), +6.61 (d of d, 8 H, o-phenyl) +4.53 (s, 4 H, methene), +1.97 (s, 24 H, methyl), +3.75 (m, 4 H, bridging ethylene).

^{(13) (}a) Rh(TMTAA)(C₂H₅): ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ +6.96 (d of d, 4 H, m-phenyl), +6.63 (d of d, 4 H, o-phenyl), +4.71 (s, 2 H, methene), +2.09 (s, 12 H, methyl), +3.08 (q of d, 2 H, CH_2-CH_3), +0.75 (t of d, 3 H, (b, 12 H, hiethyl), +3.08 (d of d, 2 H, CH_2-CH_3), +0.75 (d of d, 3 H, CH_2-CH_3); $J_{103Rh-CH_2CH_3} = 3.4$ Hz, $J_{103Rh-CH_2CH_3} = 2.5$ Hz. (b) Rh-(TMTAA)(CH_3): ¹H NMR (C₄D₆) δ +6.93 (d of d, 4 H, *m*-phenyl), +6.61 (d of d, 4 H, *o*-phenyl), +4.04 (s, 2 H, methene), +2.06 ppm (s, 12 H, methyl), +2.20 (d, 3 H, Rh-CH_3); $J_{103Rh-CH_3} = 3.6$ Hz. (c) Rh-(TMTAA)(CH₂I): ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ +6.97 (d of d, 4 H, *m*-phenyl), +6.65 (d of d, 4 H, *o*-phenyl), +4.72 (s, 2 H, methene), +2.07 (s, 12 H, methyl), +5.22 (d, 2 H, Rh-CH₂I); $J_{103Rh-CH_2} = 3.4$ Hz.

Both the porphyrin and the TMTAA ligand systems are tetradentate N_4 -macrocycles that coordinate in the form of dianions. While the porphyrin dianion is a planar 18π -electron aromatic species, TMTAA is a 16π -electron antiaromatic dianion that can significantly deviate from planarity by rotation about the N-C bonds of the ophenylenediamine portion of the macrocycle.¹⁴ Close parallels between the organometallic chemistry of (Rh- $(TMTAA))_2$ and $(Rh(Por))_2$ indicate that the conjugation and planarity of the porphyrin system are not essential features for the unusual reactivity that occurs at the rhodium center. The observed reaction of Rh-(TMTAA)(py)(H) in pyridine with CO to produce a metallo formyl complex further extends the scope of complexes capable of this type of reaction to include six-coordinate rhodium(III) hydride species. The general reaction of a metal hydride with CO to produce observable concentrations of an η^1 -carbon-bonded formyl complex is rare because of the thermodynamic requirement that the (M-H)-(M-C) bond energies must be relatively small (<10 kcal).^{10,15} Accumulating evidence suggests that a large class of rhodium(III) hydride complexes may fulfill this thermodynamic criterion and could be effective in producing the initial steps in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation and the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, DOE Grant No. DE-AC02-84ER-13133.

Synthesis of Chelating Ditelluroether Ligands, $RTeCH_2CH_2CH_2TeR$ (R = Me, Ph)

Eric G. Hope, Tim Kemmitt, and William Levason* Department of Chemistry, The University

Southampton S09 5NH, U.K.

Received September 3, 1986

Summary: RTeLi (R = Me, Ph), prepared in situ in tetrahydrofuran from RLi and Te, react at low temperatures with 1,3-dihalopropanes, $X(CH_2)_3X$ (X = Cl, Br), to give high yields of RTe(CH₂)₃TeR. At ambient or higher temperatures these reactions yield mainly R2Te2. The RTeCH₂TeR are made analogously, but 1,2-dichloroethane gave only R₂Te₂.

Despite the recent interest in organotellurium chemistry and its applications in synthesis,¹ nuclear medicine,² photography,³ and new conducting materials,⁴ the coordination chemistry of tellurium ligands remains underdeveloped in comparison with the selenium and sulfur analogues.⁵ We were interested in extending our recent studies⁶ on diselencether complexes of the platinum metals

and ⁷⁷Se NMR spectroscopy to the tellurium analogues. From the coordination chemists viewpoint, ligands with two- or three-carbon backbones leading to stable five- or six-membered chelate rings are preferable, but for tellurium all attempts to prepare $RTe(CH_2)_n TeR$ (n = 2, 3) are reported to have failed. In particular reaction of RTeM (M = alkali metal) with $X(CH_2)_n X$ (X = Cl, Br; n = 2, 3) at room temperature or above gave either R_2Te_2 + olefin

or telluronium salts $RTe(CH_2)_n X.^7$

We have found that RTeLi (R = Me, Ph) are very conveniently obtained by an analogous method to that described for MeSeLi,⁶ essentially adding RLi to a frozen mixture of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and elemental tellurium and allowing the mixture to warm to room temperature. If 1,3-dichloropropane is subsequently added at room temperature or above, the product is R₂Te₂, consistent with literature reports. However, if the THF solution is refrozen (-196 °C), then treated with 1,3-Cl(CH₂)₃Cl, and allowed to warm slowly, after hydrolysis, drying, and removal of the solvent in vacuo the RTe(CH₂)₃TeR are obtained in high yield⁸ (85%, R = Ph; 73%, R = Me) and acceptable purity. The products appear to be stable at ambient temperatures. Characterization was by ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹²⁵Te NMR and mass spectra⁹ and by the preparation of derivatives.¹⁰ In particular the ¹²⁵Te NMR chemical shifts of δ +104 (R = Me) and +465 (R = Ph) should be compared with those for MeTe-*n*-Pr and PhTe-*n*-Pr (δ +95 and 460, respectively).¹¹

Similar reactions of RTeLi with CH₂Cl₂ provide good yields of RTeCH₂TeR, which have been independently obtained recently from R_2Te_2 and CH_2N_2 , ¹² δ (¹²⁵Te) 212 (R = Me) and 583.5 (R = Ph) (lit.¹² δ 213.5 and 587.6, respectively), but 1,2-dichloroethane affords only R₂Te₂ and C_2H_4 even at low temperatures. The greatest tendency to elimination is also observed with 1,2-dichloroalkanes and the corresponding element of group VB (15¹⁴) (antimony), although the $R_2Sb(CH_2)_nSbR_2$ (n = 1, 3; R = Me, Ph) can be prepared.¹³

from MeL1. (9) PhTe(CH₂)₃TePh: ¹H NMR 2.2 (q, 2 H), 2.85 (t, 4 H), 6.9–7.8 ppm (m, 10 H); ¹³Cl¹H} NMR 138.2, 128.9, 127.3, 111.7, 33.2, 10.5 ppm (${}^{1}J_{Te-C}$ = 158 Hz); ¹²⁵Tel⁴H] NMR +465 ppm; mass spectrum (only ¹³⁰Te peak quoted), m/z 456 (M), 414 (M – (CH₂)₃), 379 (M – Ph), 337 (PhTe₂). MeTe(CH₂)₃TeMe: ¹H NMR 1.85 (s, 6 H), 2.05 (q, 2 H), 2.6 ppm (t, 4 H); ¹³Cl¹H} NMR 33.6, 5.2 (${}^{1}J_{Te-C}$ = 153 Hz), -23.3 ppm (${}^{1}J_{Te-C}$ = 162 Hz); ¹²⁵Tel¹H} NMR +104 ppm. All in CDCl₃ relative to Me₄Si (¹H, ¹³C) or external neat TeMe₂ (¹²⁵Te). (10) Dimethiodides were prepared by stirring the ligand with MeI in

⁽¹⁴⁾ Goedken, V. L.; Pluth, J. J.; Peng, S. M.; Bursten, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 8014.

^{(15) (}a) Halpern, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 238. (b) Gladysz, J. A. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 20, 1.

Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds. The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1986; Vol. 1.
 (2) Knapp, F. F., Jr., Ambrose, K. R.; Callahan, A. P. J. Nucl. Med.

^{1980, 21, 251; 1980, 21, 258.}

⁽³⁾ Gysling, H. J.; Lelental, M.; Mason, M. G.; Gerenser, L. J. J. *Photogr. Sci.* 1982, 30, 55 and references therein.
(4) Miller, J. S., Ed. *Extended Linear Chain Compounds*; Plenum: New York, 1982; Vol. 2.

⁽⁵⁾ Gysling, H. J. in ref 1, pp 679-855.
(6) Gulliver, D. J.; Hope, E. G.; Levason, W.; Murray, S. G.; Potter, D. M.; Marshall, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1984, 429.

⁽⁷⁾ See, for example: Pluscec, J.; Westland, A. D. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 5371. De Silva, K. G. K., Monsef-Mirzai, Z.; McWhinnie, W. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 2143.

⁽⁸⁾ PhTe(CH₂)₃TePh. Under a dinitrogen atmosphere, dry tetrahydrofuran (100 cm³) was distilled onto tellurium powder (12.8 g, 0.1 mol) and frozen (-196 °C). Phenyllithium (55.6 cm³, 1.8 M, 0.1 mol) was syringed in and the mixture allowed to thaw. When all the Te had dissolved, the clear solution was refrozen (-196 °C) and 1,3-dibromopropane (5.1 cm³, 0.05 mol) added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and hydrolyzed (saturated aqueous NaCl solution), the organic phase separated and dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent removed in vacuo to leave a pale orange oil. $MeTe(CH_2)_3TeMe$ was made similarly from MeLi

⁽¹⁰⁾ Dimethiodides were prepared by stirring the ligand with MeI in acetone. IPhMeTe(CH₂)₂TeMePhI: ¹²⁵Te[¹H] NMR +609.7, +610.3 ppm. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}I_2Te_2$: C, 27.8; H, 3.0. Found: C, 28.3; H, 3.1. IMe₂Te(CH₂)₂TeMe₂I: ¹²⁵Te[¹H] +494 ppm. Anal. Calcd for $C_{7}H_{18}I_2Te_2$: C, 13.7; H, 2.9. Found: C, 13.5; H, 3.0.

 ⁽¹¹⁾ Luthra, N. P.; Odom, J. D., in ref 1, pp 189-241.
 (12) Jones, C. H. W.; Sharma, R. D. Organometallics 1986, 5, 805.
 (13) Meinema, H. A.; Martens, H. F.; Noltes, J. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 110, 183.

⁽¹⁴⁾ In this paper the periodic group notation in parentheses is in accord with recent actions by IUPAC and ACS nomenclature committees. A and B notation is eliminated because of wide confusion. Groups IA and IIA become groups 1 and 2. The d-transition elements comprise groups 3 through 12, and the p-block elements comprise groups 13 through 18. (Note that the former Roman number designation is preserved in the last digit of the new numbering: e.g., III \rightarrow 3 and 13.)