Characterizing the complex hyperbolic space by Kähler homogeneous structures

By P. M. GADEA, A. MONTESINOS AMILIBIA AND J. MUNOZ MASQUE†

IMAFF, CSIC, Serrano 123, 28006 – Madrid, Spain Department of Geometry and Topology, Faculty of Mathematics, 46100 – Burjasot, Valencia, Spain IFA, CSIC, Serrano 144, 28006 – Madrid, Spain

(Received 9 April 1998; revised 23 October 1998)

1. Introduction

The Kähler case of Riemannian homogeneous structures [3, 15, 18] has been studied in [1, 2, 6, 7, 13, 16], among other papers. Abbena and Garbiero [1] gave a classification of Kähler homogeneous structures, which has four primitive classes $\mathscr{K}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{K}_4$ (see [6, theorem 5·1] for another proof and Section 2 below for the result). The purpose of the present paper is to prove the following result:

THEOREM 1.1. A simply connected irreducible homogeneous Kähler manifold admits a nonvanishing Kähler homogeneous structure in Abbena–Garbiero's class $\mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$ if and only if it is the complex hyperbolic space equipped with the Bergman metric of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

We thus have a situation similar to the Riemannian case, where a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold admits a non-vanishing homogeneous structure of first class if and only if it is isometric to the hyperbolic space ([18, theorem 5·2]), and similarly we obtain a vector field ξ (see (4·4) in Section 4) which is the complex analogue of the vector field in the Riemannian case satisfying $\nabla_X \xi = g(X, \xi) \xi - g(\xi, \xi) X$, ([8, 18]), for Riemannian homogeneous structures of first class and models of negative constant (ordinary) sectional curvature. Moreover, this suggests the possibility of a quaternionic analogue to Theorem 1·1 for models of negative constant quaternionic sectional curvature and also of a Cayleyan analogue.

On the other hand, similarly to the Riemannian case [18, p. 55], one has a solvable group acting simply transitively on the relevant domain (see Remark $4\cdot 2(i)$), thus explaining why positive (holomorphic sectional) curvature is not detected.

2. Preliminaries and notations

As is well known, Ambrose and Singer proved in [3] that a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold (M,g) is homogeneous if and only if it admits a Riemannian homogeneous structure, i.e. a (1,2) tensor field S satisfying

$$\tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}R = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}S = 0,$$
(2.1)

 \dagger Partially supported by the DGES (Spain) : P. M. G. and J. M. M. under Project PB-95-0124 and A. M. A. under Project PB-94-0972.

where $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$, ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection and R the curvature tensor of ∇ . We write $S_{XYZ} = g(S_X Y, Z)$. Then, from $\nabla g = 0$ it follows that the condition $\tilde{\nabla}g = 0$ is equivalent to $S_{XZY} = -S_{XYZ}$. We set

$$\begin{split} R_{XY}Z = \nabla_{|X,Y|}Z - \nabla_X\nabla_YZ + \nabla_Y\nabla_XZ, \quad R_{XYZW} = g(R_{XY}Z,W), \\ R_{XY}(Z,W) = R_{XYZW}, \quad X,Y,Z,W \in \mathcal{X}(M). \end{split}$$

In the sequel $X, Y, Z, W, U, \xi, \zeta$, will stand for vector fields on a C^{∞} manifold M. We denote by F the Kähler form, defined by F(X, Y) = g(X, JY), by r the Ricci tensor and by s the scalar curvature.

Sekigawa [17] proved that a connected, simply connected and complete almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is homogeneous if and only if it admits an almost Hermitian homogeneous structure, i.e. a (1, 2) tensor field S satisfying the conditions $(2\cdot 1)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$.

We further suppose that (M, g, J) is Kähler, so $\nabla J = 0$. As $\tilde{\nabla} J = 0$, the condition $\nabla J = 0$ is equivalent to $S_{XJYJZ} = S_{XYZ}$. Hence, if S is an almost Hermitian homogeneous structure satisfying that invariance condition, then the manifold is Kähler ([1, theorem 5.8]). This property is equivalent to saying that S belongs to the vector space

$$\mathscr{S}(V)_+ = \{S \in \bigotimes^3 V^* : S_{XYZ} = -S_{XZY} = S_{XJYJZ}\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A Kähler homogeneous structure on a Kähler manifold (M, g, J) is an almost Hermitian homogeneous structure S on M such that $S_x \in \mathscr{S}(T_x M)_+$ for all $x \in M$.

The classification of Kähler homogeneous structures was obtained by Abbena and Garbiero in [1, theorem 2.1]. We recall their result here: let V be a 2*n*-dimensional real vector space (which is the model for the tangent space at any point of a manifold equipped with a Kähler homogeneous structure) endowed with a complex structure J and a Hermitian inner product \langle , \rangle , that is, $J^2 = -I$, $\langle JX, JY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle$, $X, Y \in V$, where I denotes the identity isomorphism of V.

If dim $V \ge 6$, $\mathscr{S}(V)_+$ decomposes into the direct sum of the following subspaces invariant and irreducible under the action of the group U(n):

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{K}_1 &= \{S \in \mathscr{S}(V)_+ : S_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{2}(S_{YZX} + S_{ZXY} + S_{JYJZX} + S_{JZXJY}), \ c_{12}(S) = 0\}; \\ \mathscr{K}_2 &= \{S \in \mathscr{S}(V)_+ : S_{XYZ} = \langle X, Y \rangle \ \theta_1(Z) - \langle X, Z \rangle \ \theta_1(Y) + \langle X, JY \rangle \ \theta_1(JZ) \\ &- \langle X, JZ \rangle \ \theta_1(JY) - 2 \langle JY, Z \rangle \ \theta_1(JX), \ \theta_1 \in V^*\}; \\ \mathscr{K}_3 &= \{S \in \mathscr{S}(V)_+ : S_{XYZ} = -\frac{1}{2}(S_{YZX} + S_{ZXY} + S_{JYJZX} + S_{JZXJY}), \ c_{12}(S) = 0\}; \\ \mathscr{K}_4 &= \{S \in \mathscr{S}(V)_+ : S_{XYZ} = \langle X, Y \rangle \ \theta_2(Z) - \langle X, Z \rangle \ \theta_2(Y) + \langle X, JY \rangle \ \theta_2(JZ) \\ &- \langle X, JZ \rangle \ \theta_2(JY) + 2 \langle JY, Z \rangle \ \theta_2(JX), \ \theta_2 \in V^*\}; \end{split}$$

 $X, Y, Z \in V$, where c_{12} is defined by $c_{12}(S)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} S_{e_i e_i, X}$, for any $X \in V$, $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}\}$ denotes an arbitrary orthonormal basis of V and

$$\theta_1(X) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} c_{12}(S) \, (X), \quad \theta_2(X) = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} c_{12}(S) \, (X), \quad X \in V.$$

If dim V = 4, then $\mathscr{S}(V)_+ = \mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_3 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$. If dim V = 2, then $\mathscr{S}(V)_+ = \mathscr{K}_4$.

3. The class $\mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$

We can write the class $\mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{K}_{2} \bigoplus \mathscr{K}_{4} &= \{S \in \mathscr{S}(V)_{+} : S_{XYZ} = \langle X, Y \rangle \left(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}\right)(Z) \\ &- \langle X, Z \rangle \left(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}\right)(Y) + \langle X, JY \rangle \left(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}\right)(JZ) \\ &- \langle X, JZ \rangle \left(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}\right)(JY) + 2 \langle Y, JZ \rangle \left(\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}\right)(JX) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.1)$$

Remark 3.1. We recall that $\mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$ is the sum of the isotypic components $\mathscr{K}_2, \mathscr{K}_4$ of the smallest dimension in the decomposition of $\mathscr{S}(V)_+$ above. In fact, one has $\mathscr{K}_2 \cong \mathscr{K}_4 \cong V \cong V^*$ and the respective dimensions of $\mathscr{K}_1, \ldots, \mathscr{K}_4$ are (see [1, p. 382]) n(n+1)(n-2), 2n, n(n-1)(n+2), 2n. It is thus reasonable that $\mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$ corresponds to spaces of (negative) constant holomorphic sectional curvature, which are scarce in all homogeneous Kähler spaces.

LEMMA 3.2. A simply connected complete irreducible Kähler manifold (M = G/H, g, J)of real dimension $2n \ge 4$ which admits a nonvanishing Kähler homogeneous structure $S \in \mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$, is Einstein.

Proof. Let ξ be the vector field dual to the 1-form $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ and ζ the vector field dual to the 1-form $\theta_1 - \theta_2$, both with respect to the metric. From (3.1) we have

$$S_X Y = g(X, Y) \xi - g(Y, \xi) X - g(X, JY) J\xi + g(JY, \xi) JX - 2g(JX, \zeta) JY.$$
(3.2)

Taking the $\tilde{\nabla}_Z$ derivative of this formula, applying Ambrose–Singer's equations (2.1) and Sekigawa's equation $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$ and contracting with $g(W, \cdot)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} g(X,Y) \, g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \, \xi, W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \, \xi, Y) \, g(X,W) - g(X,JY) \, g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \, J\xi, W) \\ &+ g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \, \xi, JY) \, g(JX,W) - 2g(JX,\tilde{\nabla}_Z \, \zeta) \, g(JY,W) = 0. \end{split}$$

Since $\dim M \ge 4$, we deduce

$$\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}\zeta = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, from $\tilde{\nabla}g = 0$ we have $g(\xi, \xi) = \text{const}, g(\zeta, \zeta) = \text{const}$. If $\xi = 0, \ \zeta \neq 0$, we also have

$$R_{XY}\zeta = 4(g(X, J\zeta)g(Y, \zeta) - g(X, \zeta)g(Y, J\zeta))J\zeta.$$
(3.4)

The second Ambrose–Singer condition in (2.1) can be written as

$$(\nabla_X R)_{YZWU} = -R_{S_X YZWU} - R_{YS_X ZWU} - R_{YZS_X WU} - R_{YZWS_X U}.$$
(3.5)

Suppose $\xi \neq 0$. Applying Bianchi's second identity to (3.5) and then substituting (3.2), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ 2g(X,\xi) R_{YZWU} + g(X,W) R_{YZ\xiU} + g(X,U) R_{YZW\xi} - 2g(X,JY) R_{J\xi ZWU} - g(X,JW) R_{YZJ\xiU} - g(X,JU) R_{YZWJ\xi} \} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Contracting (3.6) with respect to X and W and applying Bianchi's first identity, we deduce

$$\begin{split} (2n+2)\,R_{ZY\xi U} &= -\,2g(Y,\xi)\,r(Z,U) + 2g(Z,\xi)\,r(Y,U) \\ &\quad +\,2g(Y,JZ)\,r(J\xi,U) - g(Y,U)\,r(Z,\xi) + g(Y,JU)\,r(Z,J\xi) \\ &\quad +\,g(Z,U)\,r(Y,\xi) - g(Z,JU)\,r(Y,J\xi). \end{split} \tag{3.7}$$

90 P. M. Gadea, A. Montesinos and J. M. Masqué

Contracting (3.7) with regard to Y and U, we obtain $r(Z,\xi) = (s/2n)g(Z,\xi)$. Putting a = 1/(2n+2), b = s/2n, we can write (3.7) as

$$\frac{1}{a}R_{\xi U} = 2\theta \wedge r(U) + 2b\theta(JU)F + bU^{\flat} \wedge \theta + b(JU)^{\flat} \wedge (\theta \circ J).$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, from Bianchi's first identity one has $R_{WU}(J\xi, \cdot) = R_{\xi JW}(U, \cdot) - R_{\xi JU}(W, \cdot)$. Thus we can write (3.6) as

$$\begin{split} & 2\theta \wedge R_{WU} + W^{\flat} \wedge R_{\xi U} - U^{\flat} \wedge R_{\xi W} + 2F \wedge (R_{\xi JU}(W, \cdot) - R_{\xi JW}(U, \cdot)) \\ & + (JW)^{\flat} \wedge R_{\varepsilon JU} - (JU)^{\flat} \wedge R_{\varepsilon JW} = 0. \end{split}$$
(3.9)

Substituting (3.8) in (3.9) and denoting by $\Xi(U)$ the right hand side of (3.8), we deduce

$$\begin{split} \frac{2}{\alpha} \theta \wedge R_{WU} + W^{\flat} \wedge \Xi(U) - U^{\flat} \wedge \Xi(W) + 2F \wedge (i_{W}(\Xi(JU)) - i_{U}(\Xi(JW))) \\ + (JW)^{\flat} \wedge \Xi(JU) - (JU)^{\flat} \wedge \Xi(JW) = 0. \quad (3.10) \end{split}$$

Taking $W = \xi$ in this formula we obtain

 $(2g(\xi,\xi)F + \theta \land (\theta \circ J)) \land (r(JU) - b(JU)^{\flat}) = 0.$

Contracting this formula with ξ , in account of $r(\xi, \cdot) = bg(\xi, \cdot)$ we deduce

$$g(\xi,\xi) \left(\theta \circ J\right) \wedge \left(r(JU) - b(JU)^{\flat}\right) = 0.$$

Contracting with $J\xi$ we have $g(\xi,\xi)^2 (r(JU) - b(JU)^{\flat}) = 0$. Since $\xi \neq 0$ we conclude that r = bg, that is (M, g, J) is Einstein.

Now suppose $\xi = 0, \zeta \neq 0$. It is immediate to see that $|\zeta, J\zeta| = -2g(\zeta, \zeta) J\zeta$, so that M has a 2-dimensional involutive distribution, which is also parallel as follows from (3·2) and $\tilde{\nabla}\zeta = 0$ in (3·3). Thus ([**5**, proposition 10·21]) the holonomy representation Hol (g) leaves invariant a subspace of dimension 2. So, by the De Rham theorem ([**5**, theorem 10·41]), we conclude that M is holomorphically isometric to a Kähler product, but then M would not be irreducible.

THEOREM 3.3. A simply connected irreducible homogeneous Kähler manifold of real dimension $2n \ge 4$ admitting a nonvanishing Kähler homogeneous structure $S \in \mathscr{K}_2 \oplus \mathscr{K}_4$ is holomorphically isometric to a bounded symmetric domain of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. Suppose $\xi \neq 0$. Since by the Lemma the manifold is Einstein (3.10) gives us

$$\theta \wedge \left(\frac{1}{ab}R_{WU} + U^{\flat} \wedge W^{\flat} + (JU)^{\flat} \wedge (JW)^{\flat} - 2F(W,U)F\right) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Contracting this formula with ξ we deduce, as $k = g(\xi, \xi)$,

$$\frac{k}{ab}R_{WU} - k(W^{\flat} \wedge U^{\flat} + (JW)^{\flat} \wedge (JU)^{\flat} + 2F(W, U)F) = \theta \wedge \left(\frac{1}{ab}R_{WU}(\xi, \cdot) + \theta(U)W^{\flat} - \theta(W)U^{\flat} + \theta(JU)(JW)^{\flat} - \theta(JW)(JU)^{\flat} - 2F(W, U)(\theta \circ J)\right).$$
(3.12)

On the other hand, from Bianchi's first identity we deduce $R_{WU}(\xi, \cdot) = R_{\xi U}(W, \cdot) - R_{\xi W}(U, \cdot)$. Substituting these two summands by their expression

from (3.8) and then substituting the expression for $R_{WU}(\xi,\,\cdot\,)$ in (3.12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{ab}R_{WU} = W^{\flat} \wedge U^{\flat} + (JW)^{\flat} \wedge (JU)^{\flat} + 2F(W,U)F,$$

from which

$$\begin{split} R_{YZWU} &= \frac{s}{4n(n+1)} \{ g(Y,W) \, g(Z,U) - g(Y,U) \, g(Z,W) + g(Y,JW) \, g(Z,JU) \\ &- g(Y,JU) \, g(Z,JW) + 2g(Y,JZ) \, g(W,JU) \}. \end{split}$$

That is, (M, g, J) is a model of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c = s/n(n+1). To determine the sign of s, we prove that (for $\xi = 0$ or not) if (M, g, J) is a space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, then $\zeta = 0$ and $c = -g(\xi, \xi)$. In fact, comparing the expressions for $R_{XJX}\xi$ respectively obtained from substitution in the usual expression

$$R_{XY}Z = c\{g(X,Z) | Y - g(Y,Z)X + g(JX,Z)JY - g(JY,Z)JX + 2g(X,JY)JZ\}$$

and from $\nabla_X \xi = S_X \xi$, since $n \ge 2$ we obtain the system of equations

$$g(X,\xi) g(JX,\zeta) - g(JX,\xi) g(X,\zeta) = 0, \qquad (3.13)$$

$$cg(X,\xi) = -g(\xi,\xi)g(X,\xi-\zeta),$$
 (3.14)

$$\begin{split} 0 &= (2g(\xi,\zeta) - g(\xi,\xi) - c) \, g(X,X) + g(X,\xi) \, g(X,\zeta) \\ &+ g(JX,\xi) \, g(JX,\zeta) - 2g(X,\zeta)^2 - 2g(JX,\zeta)^2. \end{split} \tag{3.15}$$

From (3·14) we have $g(\xi,\xi) \zeta = (g(\xi,\xi)+c) \xi$ which, if it is true, implies (3·13). In (3·15) we can take X orthogonal to ζ and $J\zeta$, so $g(\xi,\xi)+c = 2g(\xi,\zeta)$, thus $g(\xi,\xi)(g(\xi,\xi)+c) = 2g(\xi,g(\xi,\xi)\zeta) = 2g(\xi,\xi)(g(\xi,\xi)+c)$, hence

$$g(\xi,\xi) (g(\xi,\xi) + c) = 0.$$
(3.16)

Suppose $g(\xi, \xi) = 0$. As $\xi = 0$, (3.15) simplifies to

$$cg(X, X) + 2g(X, \zeta)^2 + 2g(JX, \zeta)^2 = 0.$$

Since dim $M \ge 4$, we can take X orthogonal to ζ and to $J\zeta$, so cg(X, X) = 0, that is, the manifold is flat. From (3.4) we deduce

$$g(X,\zeta)g(JY,\zeta) - g(Y,\zeta)g(JX,\zeta) = 0.$$

Taking $X = \zeta$ we have $g(\zeta, \zeta) \zeta = 0$, which implies $\zeta = 0$, but then S vanishes.

On the other hand, if $g(\xi, \xi) \neq 0$, then (3.16) gives $g(\xi, \xi) + c = 0$; hence $\zeta = 0$. The case $\xi = 0$, $\zeta \neq 0$ has been already discarded in the previous lemma.

Remark 3.4. In the conditions of Lemma 3.2, for $\xi = 0, \zeta \neq 0$, substituting (3.2) in (3.5), as $\nabla J = 0$ we have

$$(\nabla_X R)_{YZWU} = 2g(JX,\zeta) \left(R_{JYZWU} + R_{YJZWU} + R_{YZJWU} + R_{YZWJU} \right) = 0.$$

Thus (M, g, J) is Hermitian symmetric. As we have seen, it is holomorphically isometric to a Kähler product, with a 2-dimensional factor, which is a space of negative constant curvature $-4g(\zeta, \zeta)$, as it follows from (3.4).

P. M. GADEA, A. MONTESINOS AND J. M. MASQUÉ

Now, there are ([10, pp. 518–520]) four non-flat non-compact Hermitian symmetric surfaces: $SU(1, 1)/S(U(1) \times U(1))$, $SO^*(4)/U(2)$, $SO_0(2, 1)/SO(2) \times 1$ and Sp(1)/U(1). All of them are isomorphic and can be identified to the real hyperbolic space $SO_0(2, 1)/SO(2) \times 1$. Taking the half-plane Poincaré model $H = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$ for it, we have the metric and the complex structure (particular cases of (4·2) and (4·3) below)

$$g = -\frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{cy^2}, \quad J = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \otimes dx - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \otimes dy.$$

It is immediate that the vector field $\zeta = -(cy/2) \partial/\partial y$ (a particular case of (4·4) below) satisfies the equation $\nabla_X \zeta = 2g(X, J\zeta) J\zeta$, ∇ being the Levi–Civita connection of g. We conclude that in the simply connected reducible case one can also consider spaces holomorphically isometric to products of the 2-dimensional Siegel domain model of the complex hyperbolic space, that is, the above half-plane Poincaré model, equipped with the Kähler homogeneous structure S given by $S_X Y = 2g(X, J\zeta) JY$, and any other Hermitian symmetric space, endowed with its standard vanishing Kähler homogeneous structure as a Hermitian symmetric space.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As for the converse, it suffices to give a non-vanishing Kähler homogeneous structure on any model of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Thus, to finish the proof we explicitly give such a structure on the Siegel domain

$$D_{+} = \left\{ (z = x + iy, u^{1}, \dots, u^{n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : y - \sum_{k=1}^{n} u^{k} \, \bar{u}^{k} > 0 \right\},$$

equipped with the Kähler structure obtained by a convenient Cayley transform ([14, p. 5]) from that of the unit open ball in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} ([9, p. 227] or [12, p. 169], changing the sign in the second summand of the numerator in the last expression of the metric). A calculation shows that

$$\begin{split} g_+ &= -\frac{1}{c(y-\sum u^k \overline{u}^k)^2} \{ dz \, d\overline{z} + 4(y-\sum u^k \overline{u}^k) \sum du^k \, d\overline{u}^k \\ &\quad + 2i(dz \sum u^k d\overline{u}^k - d\overline{z} \sum \overline{u}^k du^k) + 4(\sum \overline{u}^k du^k) \, (\sum u^k d\overline{u}^k) \}. \end{split}$$

 (D_+, g_+, J_+) is a Kähler manifold, with

$$J_{+} = i \bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \otimes dz + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{k}} \otimes du^{k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \otimes d\overline{z} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{u}^{k}} \otimes d\overline{u}^{k} \bigg).$$

The Riemannian manifold (D_+, g_+) is homogeneous, hence complete. Since (D_+, g_+, J_+) is connected, simply connected and complete, it is a model of negative constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Furthermore, we seek for a homogeneous structure S of the type

$$S_X Y = g(X, Y) \xi - g(\xi, Y) X - g(X, JY) J\xi + g(\xi, JY) JX$$

Since $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$, we must find a vector field ξ on D_+ satisfying

$$\nabla_X \xi = g(X,\xi) \xi - g(\xi,\xi) X - g(X,J\xi) J\xi.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Putting $u^k = v^k + iw^k$, the metric g_+ and the complex structure J_+ are written as

$$g_{+} = -\frac{1}{c[y - \sum ((v^{k})^{2} + (w^{k})^{2})]^{2}} \{ dx^{2} + dy^{2} + 4[y - \sum_{j \neq k} ((v^{j})^{2} + (w^{j})^{2})] \\ \times \sum ((dv^{k})^{2} + (dw^{k})^{2}) - 4[dx \sum (w^{k}dv^{k} - v^{k}dw^{k}) - dy \sum (v^{k}dv^{k} + w^{k}dw^{k})] \\ + 8 \sum_{k,l} [(v^{k}v^{l} + w^{k}w^{l}) (dv^{k}dv^{l} + dw^{k}dw^{l}) + (v^{l}w^{k} - v^{k}w^{l}) (dv^{k}dw^{l} - dw^{k}dv^{l})] \}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$J_{+} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \otimes dx - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \otimes dy + \sum \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{k}} \otimes dv^{k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial v^{k}} \otimes dw^{k} \right).$$
(4.3)

A long but straightforward computation shows that the vector field

$$\xi = -\frac{c}{2}(y - \sum u^k \bar{u}^k) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$
(4.4)

satisfies (4.1) for all X. Specifically, if X is given by

$$X = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \sum \left(\gamma_k \frac{\partial}{\partial v^k} + \delta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial w^k} \right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}}\, \boldsymbol{\xi} &= \frac{c}{4} \bigg\{ 2 \bigg[\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \sum \left(\delta_k \, \boldsymbol{v}^k - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_k \, \boldsymbol{w}^k \right) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \sum \left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k \, \boldsymbol{v}^k + \delta_k \, \boldsymbol{w}^k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}} \bigg] \\ &+ \sum \bigg(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}^k} + \delta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}^k} \bigg) \bigg\}. \end{split}$$

Hence, (D_+, g_+, J_+) admits the Kähler homogeneous structure S given by

$$\begin{split} S_X Y &= -\frac{c}{2} (y - \sum u^k \overline{u}^k) \left\{ g(X, Y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - g \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, Y \right) X \right. \\ &+ g(X, JY) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, JY \right) JX \right\}. \end{split} \tag{4.5}$$

According to Heintze's Theorem ([11, theorem 4]), a connected homogeneous Kähler manifold of negative curvature is holomorphically isometric to the complex hyperbolic space. Hence

COROLLARY 4.1. A connected homogeneous Kähler manifold of real dimension $2n \ge 4$ and negative curvature admits a nonvanishing Kähler homogeneous structure $S \in \mathscr{K}_2 \bigoplus \mathscr{K}_4$.

Remark 4.2. (i) The Siegel domain D_+ thus admits at least two Kähler homogeneous structures: S = 0, as D_+ is a realization of the noncompact Hermitian symmetric space $U(1, n)/U(1) \times U(n)$; and the non-vanishing structure S in (4.5), corresponding to the fact that the solvable group $\mathbb{C}H^n$ (see [11, p. 33]) acts simply transitively on D_+ (see [11, p. 32], [5, p. 181], [4, p. 92]) by holomorphic isometries. Hence, we can identify D_+ with $\mathbb{C}H^n$ and thus it is immediate to obtain the expression of the canonical connection on the reductive homogeneous space (D_+, g_+, J_+) identified to the solvable group $\mathbb{C}H^n$. (ii) For dim M = 2 only the class \mathscr{K}_4 remains. This case has been studied by Abbena and Garbiero [1, p. 391].

REFERENCES

- E. ABBENA and S. GARBIERO. Almost Hermitian homogeneous structures. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 31 (1988), 375–395.
- [2] E. ABBENA and S. GARBIERO. Almost Hermitian homogeneous manifolds and Lie groups. Nihonkai Math. J. 4 (1993), 1–15.
- [3] W. AMBROSE and I. M. SINGER. On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 647–669.
- [4] J. BERDNT, F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE. Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces (Springer-Verlag, 1995).
- [5] A. BESSE. *Einstein manifolds* (Springer-Verlag, 1987).
- [6] S. CONSOLE and A. FINO. Homogeneous structures on Kähler submanifolds of complex projective spaces. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 39 (1996), 381–395.
- [7] M. FALCITELLI, A. FARINOLA and S. SALAMON. Almost-Hermitian geometry. Diff. Geom. Appl. 4 (1994), 259–282.
- [8] P. M. GADEA and J. A. OUBIÑA. Reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Monatsh. Math. 124 (1997), 17–34.
- [9] S. GOLDBERG. Curvature and homology (Dover, 1982).
- [10] S. HELGASON. Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces (Academic Press, 1978).
- [11] E. HEINTZE. On homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature. Math. Ann. 211 (1974), 23-34.
- [12] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU. Foundations of Differential Geometry, II (Interscience Publ., 1969).
- [13] A. LEDGER and L. VANHECKE. Naturally reductive s-manifolds. Note Mat. 10 (1990), 363–370.
- [14] S. MURAKAM. Automorphisms of Siegel domains. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 286 (Springer-Verlag, 1972).
- [15] V. PATRANGENARU. Classifying 3- and 4-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian manifolds by Cartan triples. *Pacific J. Math.* 173 (1996), 511–532.
- [16] S. SALAMON. Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups (Longman, Essex, 1989).
- [17] K. SEKIGAWA. Notes on homogeneous almost Hermitian manifolds. Hokkaido Math. J. 7 (1978), 206–213.
- [18] F. TRICERRI and L. VANHECKE. Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds. London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser., vol. 83 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983).