## Nitrous Oxide Mediated Oxygen Atom Insertion into a Ruthenium-Hydride Bond. Synthesis and Reactivity of the Monomeric Hydroxoruthenium Complex $(DMPE)_2Ru(H)(OH)$

Anne W. Kaplan and Robert G. Bergman\*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Received November 22, 1996<sup>®</sup>

Summary: Treatment of  $(DMPE)_2Ru(H)_2$  with 1 equiv of N<sub>2</sub>O affords the hydroxoruthenium complex (DMPE)<sub>2</sub>-Ru(H)(OH) (1) in 41% yield; further treatment with an atmosphere of  $N_2O$  affords the bis(hydroxo) complex  $(DMPE)_2Ru(OH)_2$  (4) in 17% yield. The addition of protic reagents to **1** results in the replacement of the OH group with several other ligands, including aryloxo, thiolato, siloxo, C-bound enolate, acetylide, and triphenylstannyl; more deep-seated changes take place when 1 reacts with p-tolualdehyde and hexafluoroacetone.

We wish to report the first observation of oxygen atom transfer from N<sub>2</sub>O into a late transition metalhydrogen bond and the chemistry of the hydroxohydridoruthenium complex produced in this reaction.

Many catalytic processes involve intermediates that are proposed to contain late transition metal-heteroatom (N, O, S) bonds.<sup>1-3</sup> Recent work has been directed toward the synthesis of these potentially reactive species, but there remain few examples of monomeric late metal hydroxide complexes,<sup>3-18</sup> species which are thought to be important in the hydration of olefins to alcohols, the hydration of nitriles to carboxamides, and the Wacker process.<sup>3,19-22</sup>

We recently reported the preparation and characterization of the monomeric hydroxoruthenium complex

- (2) Chisholm, M. H. Polyhedron 1986, 5, 25.
- (a) Bryndza, H. E.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1163.
   (4) Blum, O.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 229
- (5) Ros, R.; Michelin, R. A.; Bataillard, R.; Roulet, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 161, 75-90.
- (6) Arnold, D. P.; Bennett, M. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 199, 119
- (7) Bennett, M. A.; Appleton, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1978**, *17*, 1739.
  (8) Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Young, R. J.; Wilkinson, G. *J. Chem. Soc.*,
- Dalton Trans. 1976, 1995.
- (9) Hartwig, J. F.; Andersen, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2717
- (10) Milstein, D.; Calabrese, J. C.; Williams, I. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6387
- (11) Mehrotra, R. C.; Agarwal, S. K.; Singh, Y. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1985, 68, 101.
- (12) James, B. R.; Preece, M.; Robinson, S. D. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1982, No. 196, 145.
  - (13) Vaska, L.; Peone, J. J. Chem. Soc. D 1971, 418.
- (14) Stevens, R. C.; Bau, R.; Milstein, D.; Blum, O.; Koetzle, T. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 1429.
   (15) Yoshida, T.; Okano, T.; Otsuka, S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.
- 1976. 993.
- (16) Yoshida, T.; Ueda, Y.; Otsuka, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3941.
  - (17) Green, L. M.; Meek, D. W. Organometallics 1989, 8, 659.

(18) Gevert, O.; Wolf, J.; Werner, H. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2806.
 (19) Jensen, C. M.; Trogler, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 723.
 (20) Bennett, M. A.; Yoshida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 3030.

S0276-7333(96)00991-0 CCC: \$14.00

- (21) Yoshida, T.; Matsuda, T.; Okano, T.; Otsuka, S. J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. 1979, 101, 2027. (22) Bottomley, F.; Sutin, L. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 28, 339.

(DMPE)<sub>2</sub>Ru(H)(OH) (1) via the oxidative addition of water to the Ru(0) complex  $(DMPE)_2Ru(C_2H_4)$  (2) and subsequent H<sub>2</sub>O removal with 4 Å molecular sieves. Elevated temperatures and extended reaction times were necessary to effect this reaction,<sup>23</sup> so the possible use of N<sub>2</sub>O to directly transfer an oxygen atom into a ruthenium hydride bond seemed like an attractive alternative. Hillhouse and co-workers have found that N<sub>2</sub>O can be used to insert oxygen atoms into nickelcarbon and the relatively oxophilic (group 4) early metal-hydrogen bonds, but to our knowledge oxygenation of late metal-hydrogen bonds has not been observed.<sup>24-28</sup>

We have now found that N<sub>2</sub>O may be used to mediate oxygen atom insertion into the Ru-H bond of (DMPE)2- $Ru(H)_2^{29}$  (3). Treatment of the dihydride with 1 equivalent of N<sub>2</sub>O affords the hydroxoruthenium complex 1 in 41% yield after crystallization.<sup>30</sup> Treatment of either dihydride 3 or the isolated monoinsertion product 1 with an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub>O affords the bis(hydroxo) complex (DMPE)<sub>2</sub>Ru(OH)<sub>2</sub> (4), which can be obtained 95% pure in 17% yield (eq 1).<sup>31</sup>



We have also studied the exchange chemistry of 1 and have demonstrated that a number of complexes containing ruthenium-heteroatom bonds are accessible by treatment with protic reagents at room temperature. Addition of phenols, thiols, and silanols to 1 yield the

- (25) Matsunaga, P. T.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115. 2075.
- (26) Matsunaga, P. T.; Mavropoulos, J. C.; Hillhouse, G. L. Polyhedron 1995. 14. 175.
- (27) Vaughan, G. A.; Rupert, P. B.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5538.
- (28) Vaughan, G. A.; Sofield, C. D.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5491.

(29) An improved preparative method for  ${\bf 3}$  has been used which involves Na reduction of (DMPE)2RuCl2 in the presence of an atmosphere of H<sub>2</sub>. This affords **3** in >95% yield. We thank Prof. L. Field for this suggestion.

© 1997 American Chemical Society

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>®</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, February 15, 1997. (1) Masters, C. Homogeneous Transition-Metal Catalysis, Chapman and Hall: New York, 1981.

<sup>(23)</sup> Burn, M. J.; Fickes, M. G.; Hartwig, J. F.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5875.

<sup>(</sup>Ž4) Koo, K.; Hillhouse, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics 1995, 14, 456.

Scheme 1



corresponding (aryloxo)-,<sup>32</sup> (thiolato)-,<sup>33</sup> and siloxoruthenium complexes 5-9 in 25-40% yield (Scheme 1).<sup>34</sup> Complex 1 is also reactive toward molecules containing acidic C-H bonds. The treatment of 1 with acetone affords the C-bound enolate complex 10<sup>35</sup> in 34% yield.<sup>34</sup> Terminal alkynes react with 1 to give the ruthenium acetylide complexes 11<sup>36</sup> and 12 in ca. 30% yield.<sup>34</sup> Interestingly, reactivity can be affected at both ends of 1,7-octadiyne to give the bimetallic complex 13 (68% yield). The reactivity of the hydroxoruthenium complexes 1 and 4 toward the C-H bonds of conjugated alkynes could provide a convenient, controlled route into extended conjugated organometallic systems.<sup>37-43</sup>

(31) The synthesis of 4 differs from the synthesis of 1 in that 1 atm of N<sub>2</sub>O was used and the solution was stirred for 2 d. Analytically pure material was not obtained after repeated recrystallizations.

(33) Burrn, M. J.; Fickes, M. G.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 1995, 14, 137.

(34) Yields refer to doubly recrystallized material and do not reflect percent conversions to spectroscopically pure material which are >90%.

While the aforementioned reactions may be thought of as proceeding by protonation of the hydroxide moiety, this is not the only mode of reactivity available to the hydroxoruthenium complex 1. The addition of Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, which does not contain an acidic hydrogen, to 1 affords the stannyl hydride complex 14 in 86% yield (Scheme 1). Both Sn-P and Sn-H coupling are observed by NMR spectroscopy.<sup>44</sup>

An interesting reaction is observed when 1 is treated with 1 equiv of *p*-tolualdehyde (eq 2). The hydroxide



oxygen is retained, the aldehydic C-H bond is broken, and the ruthenium carboxylate complex 15 is obtained in 28% yield.<sup>34</sup> The structural assignment of 15 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography; an ORTEP diagram

footnote are applicable for the synthesis of 13. Complex 12 was synthesized by passing acetylene gas through a solution of 1 in benzene for 25 min. Complex 12 was isolated similarly to 11. To a solution of (DMPE)<sub>2</sub>Ru(OH)(H) (1) (98 mg, 0.23 mmol) in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (5 mL) was added dropwise a solution of phenylacetylene (26 mg, 0.26 mmol) in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (5 mL). The tan solution turned peach after 0.5 h at 25 °C. Lyophilization of the reaction solution, followed by dissolution in pentane, filtration through Celite, and concentration in vacuo afforded 88 mg (74% yield) of 11 as an orange solid which was pure by NMR spectroscopy. Analytically pure yellow needles of 11 (33 mg, 28% yield) were obtained Analytically part years in the year of the form pertains a straight of the binary of the part of the binary of th NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  133.5 (m, Ru–C), 132.5 (Ru–C), 130.5 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 122.0 (CH), 109.3 (*ipso*-C), 31.6 (qn,  $J_{\rm CP}$  = 14.1 Hz, *p*-CH<sub>2</sub>), 23.7  $(q_1, J_{CP} = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, p \text{-CH}_3), 17.1 (q_1, J_{CP} = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, p \text{-CH}_3). \text{ IR (KBr):}$ 3064 (w), 2960 (w), 2926 (w), 2899 (m), 2058 (s), 1759 (w), 1632 (w), 1591 (m), 1479 (w), 1417 (m), 1290 (w), 1277 (w), 935 (s), 926 (s), 889 (m), 841 (w), 795 (w), 748 (m), 725 (m), 702 (m), 646 (m), 461 (w) cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS-EI: m/z = 503 [(M - 1)<sup>+</sup>]. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>38</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Ru: C, 47.71; H, 7.61. Found: C, 47.50; H, 7.67.

(37) Yam, V. W.-W.; Lau, V. C.-Y.; Cheung, K.-K. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1740.

(38) Weng, W.; Bartik, T.; Brady, M.; Bartik, B.; Ramsden, J. A.;
 Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 11922.
 (39) Narvor, N. L.; Toupet, L.; Lapinte, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**,

117, 7129.

(40) Khan, M. S.; Kakkar, A. K.; Ingham, S. L.; Raithby, P. R.; Lewis,

(40) Khan, W. S., Kakkar, A. K., Jighan, S. L., Katuby, F. K., Lewis, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 472, 247.
(41) Atherton, Z.; Faulkner, C. W.; Ingham, S. L.; Kakkar, A. K.; Khan, M. S.; Lewis, J.; Long, N. J.; Raithby, P. R. J. Organomet. Chem. **1993** 462 265

(42) Faulkner, C. W.; Ingham, S. L.; Khan, M. S.; Lewis, J.; Long, N. J.; Raithby, P. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, *482*, 139.
(43) Sponsler, M. B. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 1920.

<sup>(30)</sup> An 800-mL thick-walled glass vessel fused to a vacuum stopcock was charged with a stir bar and a colorless solution of (DMPE)2Ru- $(H)_2$  (2.30 g, 5.71 mmol) in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (100 mL). The bomb was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and  $N_2O$  (6.29 mmol) was condensed in. The solution was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 12 h. The resulting brown solution was concentrated in vacuo to a dark brown residue and washed with pentane (4  $\times$  5 mL) to remove unreacted (DMPE)\_2Ru(H)\_2. The residue was dissolved in minimal toluene (10 mL) and layered with pentane (40 mL). After 1 d at 25 °C, the tan solution was decanted from a dark brown residue and the solution was cooled to  $-35~^\circ\mathrm{C}$  for 2 d. The tan crystals obtained were washed with pentane (3  $\times$  1 mL) and dried *in vacuo* to afford 980 mg 41% yield) of **1**. Characterization data for **1** are identical with the literature values given in ref 23.

<sup>(32)</sup> Conditions for the synthesis and isolation of 5 listed below are general for complexes 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, and 15. To a solution of  $(DMPE)_2Ru(OH)(H)$  (1) (89.3 mg, 0.10 mmol) in  $C_6H_6$  (10 mL) was added phenol (20.9 mg, 0.11 mmol). After 1 h at 25 °C, the solution was concentrated to a beige powder, which was dissolved in toluene was concentrated to a beige powder, which was dissolved in toluene for crystallization by slow pentane vapor diffusion into the solution. Recrystallization afforded 24.8 mg (25% yield) of 5 as white crystals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  7.35 (t,  $J_{HH} = 7.8$  Hz, 2 H, m-OC<sub>6</sub> $H_5$ ), 6.62 (t,  $J_{HH} = 6.8$  Hz, 1 H, p-OC<sub>6</sub> $H_5$ ), 6.43 (d,  $J_{HH} = 7.6$  Hz, 2 H, o-OC<sub>6</sub> $H_5$ ), 1.65 (m, 4 H, P-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.30 (s, 12 H, P-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.23 (m, 4 H, P-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.09 (s, 12 H, P-CH<sub>3</sub>), -23.3 (qn,  $J_{HP} = 21.8$  Hz, 1 H, Ru-H). <sup>31</sup>P(<sup>1</sup>H) NMR (C, D):  $\delta \Delta 5 A(c)$  [3C(1H) NMP (C, D):  $\delta \Delta 17A O(C)$ ] 120 1 (CII)  $(C_6D_6): \delta 45.4$  (s).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1H}$  NMR  $(C_6D_6): \delta 174.0$  (C), 129.1 (CH), 120.5  $(^{0}_{CP})^{(-)}_{CP}$  (CH), 109.5 (CH), 31.3 (qn,  $J_{CP} = 12.3$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 22.9 (m, PCH<sub>3</sub>), 15.5 (qn,  $J_{CP} = 5.4$  Hz, PCH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): 3058 (w), 2958 (w), 2897 (m), 1928 (m), 525 (w), 235 (w)  $m^{-1}$ . MS-EI: m/z = 496 [M<sup>+</sup>]. HRMS (EI) (m/z): Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{38}$ . OP<sub>4</sub>Ru, 496.0917; obsd, 496.0924. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>38</sub>OP<sub>4</sub>Ru: C, 43.64; H, 7.73. Found: C, 43.99; H, 8.22.

<sup>(35)</sup> Conditions for the synthesis and isolation of 10 given in this footnote are applicable for the synthesis of 16. A 50-mL thick-walled glass vessel fused to a vacuum stopcock was charged with a stir bar and a solution of (DMPE)<sub>2</sub>Ru(H)(OH) (104 mg, 0.25 mmol) in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (10 mL). The bomb was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and acetone (0.27 mmol) was condensed in. The solution was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 1 h. Volatile materials were removed in vacuo to afford 108 mg (95% yield) of **10** as a tan powder which was pure by NMR spectroscopy. The product was washed with pentane  $(3 \times 3 \text{ mL})$ and then dissolved in toluene for crystallization by slow pentane vapor diffusion into the solution. Recrystallization afforded 39 mg (34% yield) of **10** as tan crystals. <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  2.13 (s, 3 H, C(O)CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.57 (m, 6 H, Ru-CH<sub>2</sub> and P-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.38 (s, 12 H, P-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.21 (m, 4 H, P-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.14 (c, 12 H, P-CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.66 f<sup>27</sup> (m, L = 22, 24 f<sup>2</sup>, 14 H, Pr (iii, 0 H, Ru  $C_{12}$  and  $1 C_{12}$ ), 1.36 (s) 12 H, 1  $C_{13}$ ), 1.21 (iii, 4 H,  $P-CH_2$ ), 1.14 (s, 12 H,  $P-CH_3$ ), -16.67 (qn,  $J_{HP} = 22.2$  Hz, 1 H, Ru-H);  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  43.0 (s).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$  NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta$  210.7 (C), *P*(*T*); *P*(*T*) NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): *O* 43.0 (s). *W*(*T*) NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): *O* 210.7 (C), 31.0 (qn,  $J_{CP} = 13.7$  Hz, *p*-CH<sub>2</sub>), 30.6 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 25.7 (qn,  $J_{CP} = 7.6$  Hz, *p*-CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.0 (qn,  $J_{CP} = 4.2$  Hz, Ru–CH<sub>2</sub>), 15.2 (qn,  $J_{CP} = 5.0$  Hz, *p*-CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): 2958 (m), 2922 (m), 2897 (s), 1928 (s), 1713 (w), 1475 (m), 1444 (m), 1419 (s), 1365 (m), 1288 (w), 1279 (m), 1232 (w), 1074 (c) 0.01 (c), 0.027 (c), 1074 (m), 1917 (m), 1915 (s), 1905 (m), 1205 (m), 1275 (m), 1252 (m), 1275 (m), 1252 (m), 1252 (m), 1252 (m), 1252 (m), 1252 (m), 1252 (m), 1253 (m), 1252 (m), 1253 (m), 1253



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of (DMPE)<sub>2</sub>Ru(H)(OC(O)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-Me) (15).



of the complex is shown in Figure 1.45 The structure reveals that there is no apparent interaction between the carbonyl oxygen and the ruthenium center in the solid state. We have found independently that 3 and p-toluic acid react to generate complex 15 with loss of dihydrogen (Scheme 2). Similar reactivity is observed when 1 is treated with hexafluoroacetone to afford 16 in 39% yield (eq 2).<sup>34</sup> In this case, the C–C bond is broken and the release of trifluoromethane is observed by NMR spectroscopy.

Much of the observed reactivity of 1 can be rationalized using the assumption that the first step involves reversible formation of a metal cation/OH $^-$  ion pair (17).

This is most easily applied to the reaction of 1 with weak protic acids H-X, perhaps including alkynes and acetone. In the reaction of triphenyltin hydride, we suggest that the Sn-H bond adds to the cationic ruthenium center and then hydroxide removes a proton from Ru to arrive at the observed product 14.

Assuming the hydroxide ligand is labile, it should be possible to trap the resulting  $[(DMPE)_2Ru(H)]^+$  cation **17**. When the hydroxoruthenium complex **1** is exposed to an atmosphere of ethylene in benzene at 105 °C for 1 d. the ruthenium ethylene complex 2 is formed (Scheme 1). There is strong evidence that the reverse reaction (treatment of 2 with an excess of water at elevated temperatures to form the hydroxoruthenium complex 1) proceeds via a cationic hydridoruthenium ethylene complex 18 which loses ethylene and forms 2 by anion addition.<sup>33</sup> We believe that we are observing the microscopic reverse of this process: dissociation of hydroxide, coordination of ethylene, and deprotonation of the metal center to afford the observed product 2.

Initial ion pair formation can also be used to rationalize the apparent oxidations of *p*-tolualdehyde and hexafluoroacetone to the corresponding carboxylate complexes. O-coordination of the aldehyde to the ruthenium center and subsequent hydroxide attack at the carbonyl carbon would form metallaacetal species 19 (Scheme 2). This species is likely to be unstable with respect to  $\beta$ -elimination, leading to the dihydride complex **3** and the carboxylic acid, which quickly react to give 15 (vide supra). Hexafluoroacetone leads to intermediate 20 which undergoes C-C cleavage followed by proton transfer to give 16.

In summary, we have demonstrated that N<sub>2</sub>O is capable of mediating oxygen atom insertion into a late metal-hydrogen bond to afford hydroxoruthenium complexes 1 and 4. Additional complexes containing ruthenium-heteroatom bonds may be prepared via exchange reactions with 1. Complex 1 has been shown to activate C-H, Sn-H, and C-C bonds under mild conditions, partially due to the lability of the hydroxide ligand. Further reactivity and mechanistic studies of the hydroxoruthenium complex **1** and its derivatives, as well as the bis(hydroxo)ruthenium complex 4, are underway.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institutes of Health (Grant No. GM-25459) and the Arthur C. Cope Fund administered by the American Chemical Society for generous financial support of this work. We are grateful to Dr. F. J. Hollander, director of the University of California Berkeley College of Chemistry X-Ray diffraction facility (CHEXRAY), for solving the crystal structure of 15. We also thank Prof. R. A. Andersen for suggesting the use of N<sub>2</sub>O in the synthesis of 1 and for helpful discussions and Prof. R. N. Perutz for disclosure of results prior to publication.

Supporting Information Available: Text describing spectroscopic and analytical data for complexes 4-8 and 10-16, tables of structural data for 15, and NMR spectra for complexes 4, 7, 13, and 14 (22 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## OM960991I

<sup>(44)</sup>  ${}^{2}J_{PSn} = 174.6$  Hz;  ${}^{2}J_{HSn} = 216.1$  Hz. (45) Perutz and co-worker(s) have recently solved the X-ray structure for the related complex (DMPE)<sub>2</sub>Ru(H)(OCOH): Organometallics 1996, 15, 5166.