

PII: S0040-4039(96)02178-8

Novel Alkylaluminium Chloride Promoted [2+2] Cycloaddition Reactions of Styrenes with 1,4-Naphthoquinones and Bromoquinones: A Facile Route to Orthoquinodimethane Precursors

William S. Murphy* and Daniel Neville

Department of Chemistry, University College, Cork, Ireland

Abstract: The first examples of a Lewis acid catalysed [2+2]cycloaddition between styrenes and naphthoquinones have been observed. The reactions of both methoxyl and acetoxylnaphthoquinones were both regio- and stereospecific. When *m*-methoxystyrene was employed a novel tetracycle was also formed, and by an unexpected mechanistic pathway. Extension to bromoquinones resulted ultimately in the formation of arylnaphthocyclobutenes, common precursors of the orthoquinodimethanes. Copyright © 1996 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd

We have recently reported the dimethylaluminium chloride promoted stereospecific [2+2] cycloaddition of dihydrofuran with 1,4-benzo- and naphthoquinones.¹ Indeed Lewis acid promoted [2+2] cycloadditions of unactivated alkenes to enones has been an area of hectic activity, according to the recent literature.^{2a-e} In particular, Engler and co-workers have shown that Ti(IV) promotes [2+2] cycloadditions between propenyl benzenes and 1,4-benzoquinones.^{3a,b}



In the course of an investigation into a possible Lewis acid catalysed [4+2] cycloaddition of styrene with 1,4-naphthoquinone 1, we unexpectedly isolated the cyclobutane 2 when MeAlCl₂ was employed as the promoter. This is the first recorded example of a Lewis acid promoted [2+2] cycloaddition of styrene to the naphthoquinone $1.^{4,5}$ Furthermore, the unsymmetrical quinones 3 and 4, afforded regio- and stereospecifically

the cyclobutanes 5 and 6, Scheme 1, also in good yield. None of the naphthofurans potentially derivable from the cyclobutane products were detected.^{3,6} We therefore initiated a study of this novel reaction.

The mechanisms employed by other investigators in this general area have been extended to explain the formation of these products.^{2a,f} Thus, it is proposed that [2+2] cycloaddition occurs by initial Lewis acid coordination to the quinone, subsequent Michael addition of styrene, followed by rapid ring closure of the intermediate dipolar ion 7, Scheme 2, affording stereospecifically,⁷ the cyclobutane with *exo* stereochemistry. The cyclobutanes 5 and 6 are formed regiospecifically, probably as a result of bidentate coordination of the Lewis acid at the C-4 carbonyl oxygen and the C-5 oxygen substituent.



Extension of this reaction with quinone 1 to the activated styrenes, o- and p-methoxystyrene, 8 and 9, afforded in both cases an approximately 3:2 mixture of diastereomers 10,11 and 12,13, respectively, upon quenching the reaction after 30 minutes. Interestingly, treatment of the separated cyclobutane epimers 10 and 11, with two equivalents of Me₂AlCl at -78°C, resulted in complete conversion of the *endo* adduct 11 to the *exo* adduct 10, within one hour. Under the same conditions the *exo* adduct 10 remained unchanged.



The use of *m*-methoxystyrene 14 in the reaction with quinone 1 afforded the *exo* [2+2] adduct 15 and the transannulated tetracycle 16.⁸ None of an *endo* adduct, the epimer of 15, was detected. We initially assumed that 16 had been formed *via* the *endo* [2+2] adduct by a Lewis acid catalysed transannular nucleophilic attack by the pendant aryl group on the neighbouring carbonyl group. Fortunately, we were enabled to test this mechanistic proposal. When 2-methylbenzoquinone was treated under the usual conditions with *m*-methoxystyrene, both the *endo* and *exo* [2+2] cycloadducts, together with the tetracycle corresponding to 16, were formed. When the pure *endo* [2+2] adduct was treated with Me₂AlCl conversion to the *exo* [2+2] adduct only was observed. None of the tetracycle was detected. To explain the formation of 16, we now propose initial 1,2 addition to the carbonyl⁹ with formation of the intermediate 17. Subsequent sequential intramolecular [2+2] cycladdition ultimately results in the formation of the tetracycle 16, Scheme 4.



Interestingly, extension of the reaction to bromoquinones, such as 20, resulted in the formation of the cyclobutene 21, following facile dehydrobromination of the labile bromoadduct with either silica or alumina. Subsequent reductive methylation¹⁰ afforded the naphthocyclobutene 22, in good overall yield. This methodology represents a facile approach to these valuable o-quinodimethane precursors which are otherwise difficultly accessed.¹¹ The cyclobutene 22 was thermally ring opened and trapped with benzoquinone and after exposure to air, yielded the [4+2] cycloadduct 23.



Acknowledgements This work was partly supported by Eolas/Forbairt, the Irish Science and Technology Agency and University College, Cork.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Neville, D.; Murphy, W.S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 5221-5224.
- (a) Yamazaki, S.; Fujitsuka, H.; Takara, F.; Inoue, T. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 695-700. (b) Hojo, M.; Tomita, K.; Hirohara, Y.; Hosomi, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8123-8126. (c) Narasaka, K.; Hayashi, Y.; Shimadzu, H.; Niihata, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 8869-8885. (d) Ahmed, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6997-7000. (e) Quendo, A.; Rousseau, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6443-6446. (f) Brengel, G.P.; Rithner, C.; Meyers, A.I. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5144-5146.
- (a) Engler, T.A. Stud Nat. Prod. Chem. 1995, 16, 547-569. (b) Engler, T.A.; Combrink, K.D.; Letavic, M.A.; Lynch, K.O.; Ray, J.E. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6567-6587.
- 4. The chemistry is related to that described by Engler,^{3a,b} however attempts to employ TiCl₄ as promoter in the reactions with 1,4-naphthoquinones gave no isolable product. Our chemistry was equally applicable to 1,4-benzoquinones. For examples of photochemical [2+2] cycloadditions of 1,4-naphthoquinone with styrene see: (a) Krauch, C.H.; Farid, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, 4783. (b) Maruyama, K.; Otsuki, T.; Takuwa, A.; Kako, S. *Bull. Inst. Chem. Res., Kyoto Univ.* **1972**, 50, 344.
- 5. All new compounds gave satisfactory spectroscopic and analytical data.
- 6. Banville, J.; Brassard, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1976, 613.
- We believe that the (5+2)[4π+2π] cycloaddition mechanism proposed by Engler^{3a,b} does not occur in these reactions since such a mechanism would result in loss of aromaticity of the aryl ring in quinone 1. No products derived from such intermediates were detected.
- 8. m.p.200-200°C; v_{max} (KBr), 3426 (br), 1648 (s), 1474 (w) and 1110 (w) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (270 MHz) 8.02 (1H, dd, *J*. 8.40, 1.35 Hz, Ar*H*), 7.84 (1H, dd, *J*. 8.40, 1.35 Hz, Ar*H*), 7.63 (1H, ddd, *J* 8.40, 8.40, 1.35 Hz, Ar*H*), 7.46 (1H, ddd, *J* 8.40, 8.40, 1.35 Hz, Ar*H*), 7.25 (1H, d, *J* 7.31 Hz), 7.00 (1H, d, *J* 1.96 Hz), 6.77 (1H, dd, *J* 7.31, 1.96 Hz), 3.83 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.55 (1H, s), 3.24 (1H, d, *J* 4.82 Hz), 2.70 (1H, ddd, *J* 8.68, 1.93, Hz), 2.41 (1H, brs, D₂O exchangeable), 2.00 (1H, ddd, *J* 13.50, 4.82, 1.93 Hz) and 1.68 (1Hdd, *J* 13.50, 8.68 Hz); δ_{C} (67.80 MHz) 200.50(C), 159.51(C), 148.23(C), 143.64(C), 134.20(CH), 132.60(C), 130.17(C), 127.96(CH), 126.48(CH), 126.43(CH), 124.60(CH), 111.81(CH), 109.82(CH), 91.60(C),60.32(CH), 55.50(CH₃), 52.62(CH), 49.91(CH) and 32.90(CH₂); LREIMS 292 (M⁺, 57%), 172(100) and 158(44).
- 9. For a related intramolecular 1,2-nucleophilic addition of a dienone see: Majetich, G.; Khetani, V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, 31, 2243-2246.
- 10. Kraus, G.A.; On Man, T. Synth. Commun. 1986, 16, 1037-1042.
- 11. Charlton, J.L.; Alauddin, M.M. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 2873-2889.

(Received in UK 24 October 1996; accepted 8 November 1996)