



Accepted Article

Title: Non-bonding Electron Pair versus π-Electrons in Solution Phase Halogen Bond Catalysis: Povarov Reaction of 2-Vinylindoles and Imines

Authors: Takumi Suzuki, Satoru Kuwano, and Takayoshi Arai

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.202000494

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.202000494

10.1002/adsc.202000494



Non-bonding Electron Pair versus π -Electrons in Solution Phase Halogen Bond Catalysis: Povarov Reaction of 2-Vinylindoles and Imines

Takumi Suzuki,^a Satoru Kuwano,^a and Takayoshi Arai^{a*}

a Soft Molecular Activation Research Center (SMARC), Chiba Iodine Resource Innovation Center (CIRIC), Molecular Chirality Research Center (MCRC), Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage, Chiba 263-8522, Japan Phone and fax: +81-43-290-2889 E-mail: tarai@faculty.chiba-u.jp

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.((Please delete if not appropriate))

Abstract. The non-bonding electron pair (n-pair) of heteroatoms and π -electrons are both efficient halogen bond (XB) acceptors. In solid and gas phase studies, n-pairs generally prevail over π -bonding orbitals as XB acceptors, whereas few studies have been conducted regarding the preference in solution phase. Herein, the Povarov reaction via the C-I...N XB interaction and [4+2] cycloaddition via the C–I \cdots π XB interaction were evaluated, revealing that the n-pair was more dominant in the XB catalysis system in solution. The XB donor-catalyzed Povarov reaction gave diverse indolyl-tetrahydroquinoline derivatives in good yields. Synthesis of indolyl-quinolines was also developed.

Keywords: Halogen bond; Povarov reaction; Tetrahydroquinoline; Quinoline; Indole; Iodine

Halogen bond (XB) is a non-covalent interaction between a σ -hole of an electron-deficient halogen atom (XB donor) and a Lewis base (XB acceptor).^[1] X-ray crystallographic studies conducted by Hassel in the 1950s were crucial in identifying the structural features of these non-covalent interactions, revealing that both the non-bonding electron pair (n-pair) of a heteroatom and aromatic π -electrons acted as efficient XB acceptors in the solid phase (Figure 1A).^[2] When both an n-pair and π -electrons are present in the XB (e.g., 2,2-bipyridine), the n-pair acceptor preferentially involved in XB formation.^[3] This phenomenon is observed in various solid phase studies where n-pairs generally prevail over π -bonding orbitals as XB acceptors.

As with the solid phase studies, n-pairs are more dominant than π -systems in gas phase studies.^[4] Interestingly, exceptional examples were reported by Legon for complexes of relatively electron-rich aromatic compounds such as furan and thiophene with chlorine monofluoride (Figure 1B, left).^[5] In those



Figure 1. Halogen bond in solid, gas and solution phases.

`PMP

XB donor

C–I…N XB

_{∕∕}Ń.

(n-pair)

MeO

Povarov reaction

aromatic systems, π -electrons dominated over the npairs as XB acceptors. When the XB donor was replaced with hydrogen chloride, a Cl–H…O complex was formed through a hydrogen bond (HB), which is a unique difference between XB and HB (Figure 1B, right).^[6]

Unlike these solid state and gas phase studies, the preference for n-pairs and π -electrons as XB acceptors in the solution phase has not been extensively investigated, despite various applications of XB in molecular recognition and catalysis.^[7,8,9] As the first example of the use of $C-I\cdots\pi$ XB in catalysis, electrophilic activation of 2-alkenylindoles by cationic XB donors for [4+2] cycloadditions was developed.^[9x] To evaluate which is dominant in catalysis in the solution phase, in this study, $C-I \cdots \pi$ XB-catalyzed dimerization was selected as a benchmark reaction. By adding *N*-*p*-methoxyphenyl (PMP) imines to the [4+2] dimerization system as n-pair type XB acceptors, a competitive reaction between the dimerization via $C-I\cdots\pi$ XB and the Povarov reaction via $C-I\cdots$ N XB would be investigated (Figure C).

The competitive reaction (Scheme 1, $2a+2a\rightarrow 4a$ versus $2a+3a\rightarrow 5a$) was performed using XB donor 1a which was previously found to be the optimum catalyst for dimerization of 2a.^[9x] The Povarov reaction was found to be dominant (4a: 0% yield versus 5a: 71% yield, single diastereomer), suggesting that, in accordance with previous studies in the solid and gas phases, C–I…N XB was also preferred in the solution phase. Several Brønsted acid or Lewis acid catalyzed Povarov reactions of 2-alkenylindoles with *N*-arylimines have been reported.^[10]



Scheme 1. Competitive reaction between 2a+2a and 2a+3a by XB catalysis.

This highly selective promotion of the Povarov reaction by XB catalysis stimulated us to further optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). We evaluated several XB donors (10 mol%), along with the non-catalyzed reaction, in the presence of 2-vinylindole (2a, 1.1 eq) and *N*-PMP imine (3a, 1 eq) in CHCl₃ (entries 1-5). Cationic XB donors **1a-c** efficiently promoted the reaction, whereas

pentafluoroiodobenzene 1d, which is known as a neutral XB donor, showed negligible catalytic activity. Among the cationic XB donors applied, 2-iodoimidazolium salt 1c showed better catalytic activity to give 5a in 79%. CH₂Cl₂ was the best solvent to give the product, although 1c was not completely solved in the reaction media. An increased amount of 2a and a shorter reaction time resulted in formation of 5a in 89% yield, thus these were chosen as the optimum reaction conditions (entry 12). When the yields of 5a were low in Table 1, unreacted starting materials were remained and dimerization of 2a was not observed.





^{a)} Isolated yield. ^{b)} 1.3 eq of **2a** were used.

We next investigated the substrate scope on the XB catalyzed Povarov reaction of 2-vinylindole with imines (Table 2). Neither electron-donating nor electron-withdrawing substituents at the 5-position of 2-vinylindole influenced the reaction outcome (**5b-d**). *N*-PMP imines having *p*-tol, *o*-tol, 2-naphthyl, *p*-Br-C₆H₄, *o*-Cl-C₆H₄, *p*-acetyl-C₆H₄, 2-furyl, and 2thiophene groups were all well tolerated to give desired products (**5e-l**) in high yields. *N*-Phenyl, *N*-1-naphthyl, and *N*-*p*-Br-C₆H₄ imines were also acceptable to furnish the products in good to moderate yields (**5mo**). When 1-benzyl-2-vinylindole (1-Bn-**2a**) was used, tetrahydroquinoline **5p** and tetrahydro- γ -carboline **5p**' were obtained in 23% and 9% yield respectively, together with unidentified byproducts (Scheme 2).^[10b] Dimerization of 2-vinylindoles was not observed in all cases.

In the course of the development of the XBcatalyzed Povarov reaction, indolyl-quinoline **6a** was detected as a byproduct (Scheme 3, eq 1).^[11] We assumed that indolyl-tetrahydroquinoline **5a** was transformed into **6a** by dehydrogenation, while the *N*-PMP imine functioned as a formal hydrogen acceptor.^[12] To verify this hypothesis, a reaction using 1 equivalent of **2a**, 3 equivalents of **3a**, and 10 mol% of **1c** was performed in CH₂Cl₂ for 38 hours (Scheme 3, eq 2). The yield of indolyl-tetrahydroquinoline **5a**

Table 2. Synthesis of indolyl-tetrahydroquinolinescatalyzed by XB donor.





Scheme 3. Product switching controlled by the equivalent of imine.

drastically decreased to a trace amount, while indolylquinoline **6a** was obtained in 74% yield, along with 34% yield of amine **7a**, which could be generated by hydrogenation of *N*-PMP imine **3a**. This result suggests that selective formation of either indolyltetrahydroquinoline **5a** or indolyl-quinoline **6a** can be easily controlled by adjusting the amounts of 2vinylindole and *N*-PMP imine. To check whether the transformation of **5a** into **6a** is accelerated by XB donor or not, **1c** was added to the mixture of 1 eq of **5a** and 2 eq of **3a** (Scheme 3, eq 3). While no reaction occurred without **1c**, indolyl-quinoline **6a** was obtained in 46% yield after 62 h in the presence of **1c** which indicated that the second step was also promoted by the catalyst.^[9a,9d,9p]

Additional examples for the synthesis of indolylquinolines were investigated as shown in Table 3.

 Table 3. Synthesis of indolyl-quinolines catalyzed by XB donor.



The introduction of *p*-tol or *p*-Br-C₆H₄ groups at R² had no effect on the reaction, and the corresponding indolyl-quinolines **6b** and **6c** were obtained in 91% and 81% yields, respectively, by conducting the reaction at 40 °C for 60 hours. The indolyl-quinolines **6d** and **6f** were also obtained from *N*-phenyl and *N*-*p*-Br-C₆H₄ imines in 20% and 18% yields, but the reaction went messy when *N*-1-naphthyl imine was employed.

Several supporting experiments were performed to confirm that this Povarav reaction was promoted by XB catalysis (Scheme 4). The addition of DTBP (2,6-di-*tert*-butylpyridine) did not disturb the reaction, suggesting that a hidden Brønsted acid, which could be generated through hydrolysis of the XB donor, was not the catalyst (Scheme 4a).^[13] In the presence of a chloride anion source, the reaction slowed down to give 22% of **5a** with remaining of the starting materials (Scheme 4b).^[9c] (The similar results are obtained using **1a** and **1b**. See the details in the supporting information.) These results indicated that the XB was important to smoothly catalyze the reaction.

(a) Addition of acid scavenger



 $\begin{array}{r} 1c (10 \text{ mol\%}) \\ n-Bu_4N^+C\Gamma (20 \text{ mol\%}) \\ \hline n-Bu_4N^+C$

In summary, we evaluated the preference between the C–I···N XB and C–I··· π XB interactions in solution, by performing a competitive reaction between the XBcatalyzed dimerization of 2-vinylindole and the Povarov reaction of 2-vinylindole with N-PMP imine. The Povarov reaction was selectively promoted by a cationic XB donor catalyst to furnish a series of indolyl-tetrahydroquinolines in moderate to high yields, indicating that the C–I···N XB interaction was dominant in solution phase as with previous studies in the solid and gas phases. In addition, the reaction system could be modified to synthesize indolylquinolines by changing the ratio of 2-vinylindole and imine. We hope that the present study will contribute to further development of XB catalysis for achieving efficient organic synthesis.

Experimental Section

General procedure for XB-catalyzed Povarov reaction of 2-vinylindoles 2 with *N*-arylimines 3.

To a mixture of 2-vinylindole **2** (0.13 mmol, 1.3 eq) and *N*-arylimine **3** (0.1 mmol, 1 eq) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) in a round bottom screw vial containing a stir bar was added catalyst **1c** (0.01 mmol, 10 mol%). The vial was flushed with argon and the mixture was stirred for the appropriate time at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting mixture was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography or silica gel column chromatography using hexane/acetone = 4/1 as an eluent to afford the product **5**.

General procedure for XB-catalyzed synthesis of indolylquinolines 6.

To a mixture of 2-vinylindole **2** (0.1 mmol, 1 eq) and *N*-arylimine **3** (0.3 mmol, 3 eq) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) in a round bottom screw vial containing a stir bar was added catalyst **1c** (0.01 mmol, 10 mol%). The vial was flushed with argon and the mixture was stirred for the appropriate time at room temperature. After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the resulting mixture was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography or silica gel column chromatography using hexane/acetone = 4/1 as an eluent to afford the product **6**.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19H02709 in Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), 19K15553 in Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists, and the Futaba Research Grant Program of the Futaba Foundation.

References

- [1] a) L. C. Gilday, S. W. Robinson, T. A. Barendt, M. J. Langton, B. R. Mullaney, P. D. Beer, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 7118; b) H. Wang, W. Wang, W. J. Jin, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 5072; c) G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, R. Milani, T. Pilati, A. Priimagi, G. Resnati, G. Terraneo, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, *116*, 2478; d) P. J. Costa, *Phys. Sci. Rev.* 2017, 2, 2017.
- [2] O. Hassel, J. Hvoslef, Acta Chem. Scand. 1954, 8, 873;
 b) O. Hassel, K. O. Stromme, Acta Chem. Scand. 1958, 12, 1146;
 c) O. Hassel, K. O. Stromme, Acta Chem. Scand. 1959, 13, 1781.
- [3] a) S. Z. Pohl, *Naturforsch. B* 1983, *B38*, 1535; b) A. Forni, P. Metrangolo, T. Pilati, G. Resnati, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2004, *4*, 291; c) C. W. Padgett, R. D. Walsh, G. W. Drake, T. W. Hanks, W. T. Pennington, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2005, *5*, 745.
- [4] A. C. Legon, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2686.
- [5] a) S. A. Cooke, G. K. Corlett, J. H. Holloway, Legon, A. C. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1998, 94, 2675; b) S. A. Cooke, J. H. Holloway, A. C. Legon, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1998, 298, 151.
- [6] J. A. Shea, S. G. Kukolich, J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 78, 3545.
- [7] For reviews on XB in solution phase reviews on XB in solution phase see: a) M. Erdélyi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, 41, 3547; b) T. M. Beale, M. G. Chudzinski, M. G. Sarwar, M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 1667
- [8] For reviews on XB donor-catalyzed reaction see: a) D. Bulfield, S. M. Huber, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 14434; b)

R. Tepper, U. S. Schubert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 6004; c) R. L. Sutar, S. M. Huber, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 9622.

- [9] For selected examples on XB catalysis see: a) A. Bruckmann, M. A. Pena, C. Bolm, Synlett 2008, 900; b) O. Coulembier, F. Meyer, P. Dubois, Polym. Chem. 2010, 1, 434; c) F. Kniep, S. H. Jungbauer, Q. Zhang, S. M. Walter, S. Schindler, I. Schnapperelle, E. Herdtweck, S. M. Huber, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7028; d) W. He, Y.-C. Ge, C.-H. Tan, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3244; e) H. Nakatsuji, Y. Sawamura, A. Sakakura, K. Ishihara, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6974; f) S. H. Jungbauer, S. M. Huber, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12110; g) Y. Takeda, D. Hisakuni, C. H. Lin, S. Minakata, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 318; h) A. Matsuzawa, S. Takeuchi, K. Sugita, Chem. Asian J. 2016, 11, 2863; i) I. Kazi, S. Guha, G. Sekar, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1244; j) M. Saito, Y. Kobayashi, S. Tsuzuki, Y. Takemoto, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 7653; k) D. von der Heiden, S. Bozkus, M. Klussmann, M. Breugst, J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 4037; 1) S. Kuwano, T. Suzuki, T. Arai, Heterocycles, 2018, 97, 163; m) Y. Kobayashi, Y. Nakatsuji, S. Li, S. Tsuzuki, Y. Takemoto, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 3646; n) Y.-C. Chan, Y.-Y. Yeung, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 3483; o) R. Haraguchi, S. Hoshino, M. Sakai, S. Tanazawa, Y. Morita, T. Komatsu, S. Fukuzawa, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 10320; p) K. Matsuzaki, H. Uno, E. Tokunaga, N. Shibata, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 6601; q) T. Horibe, Y. Tsuji, K. Ishihara, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 6362; r) F. Heinen, E. Engelage, A. Dreger, R. Weiss, S. M. Huber, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 3830; s) Y. Lu, H. Nakatsuji, Y. Okumura, L. Yao, Ka, Ishihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 6039; t) C. Xu, C. C. J. Loh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 5381; u) Y.-C. Chan, Y.-Y. Yeung, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 5665; v) R. A. Squitieri, K. P. Fitzpatrick, A. A. Jaworski, K. A. Scheidt, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 10069; w) T. Arai, K. Horigane, O. Watanabe, J. Kakino, N. Sugiyama, H. Makino, Y. Kamei, S. Yabe, M. Yamanaka, iScience 2019, 12, 280; x) S. Kuwano, T. Suzuki, M. Yamanaka, R. Tsutsumi, T. Arai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 10220; y) X. Liu, S. Ma, P. H. Toy, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9212; z) R. L. Sutar, E. Engelage, R. Stoll, S. M. Huber, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 6806.
- [10] For synthesis of 4-(1H-indol-2-yl) tetrahydroquinolines via Povarov reaction, see: a) G. Bergonzini, L. Gramigna, A. Mazzanti, M. Fochi, L. Bernardi, A. Ricci, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 327; b) H.-G. Cheng, C.-B. Chen, F. Tan, N.-J. Chang, J.-R. Chen, W.-J. Xiao, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010, 4976; c) M. R. Zanwar, S. D. Gawande, V. Kavala, C.-W. Kuo, C.-F. Yao, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014, 356, 3849; d) W. Dai, X.-L. Jiang, J.-Y. Tao, F. Shi, *J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 81, 185; e) L. Bernardi, G. Bolzoni, M. Fochi, M. Mancinelli, A. Mazzanti, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2016, 3208; f) D. Stevanović, G. Bertuzzi, A. Mazzanti, M. Fochi, L. Bernardi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2018, 360, 893.
- [11] For synthesis of 4-(1*H*-indol-2-yl)quinolines, see: B. Harish, M. Subbireddy, S. Suresh, *Chem. Commun.* 2017, 53, 3338.

- [12] a) R. Leardini, D. Nanni, A. Tundo, G. Zanardi, F. Ruggieri, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 1842; b) S. Tanaka, M. Yasuda, A. Baba, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 800; c) N. Shindoh, H. Tokuyama, K. Takasu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 4749; d) N. Shindoh, H. Tokuyama, Y. Takemoto, K. Takasu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 7451; e) K. Pericherla, A. Kumar, A. Jha, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 4078; f) J. B. Simões, Â. de F´atima, A. A. Sabino, L. C. A. Barbosac, S. A. Fernandes, *RSC Adv.*, **2014**, *4*, 18612.
- [13] T. T. Dang, F. Boeck, L. Hintermann, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 9353.

UPDATE

Non-bonding Electron Pair versus π -Electrons in Solution Phase Halogen Bond Catalysis: Povarov Reaction of 2-Vinylindoles and Imines

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page - Page

Takumi Suzuki, Satoru Kuwano, Takayoshi Arai*

