

Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 7169-7172

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

Insertion of a two sulfur unit into the S–S bond—tailor-made polysulfides

Andrzej Z. Rys and David N. Harpp*

Department of Chemistry, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2K6, Canada

Received 5 June 2000; revised 26 June 2000; accepted 2 July 2000

Abstract

Triphenylthiosulfenyl chloride (1) reacts with disulfides RSSR, yielding tetrasulfides as the main products. The results of the insertion for different R groups are reported. A two-step mechanism involving the formation of unsymmetrical trisulfide intermediates containing the trityl group is proposed. \bigcirc 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Continuing interest in the area of organic polysulfides has resulted in new methods of synthesis of a variety of these naturally occurring compounds.¹ Once such a polysulfide is formed, it can be selectively desulfurized² but there is no easy method to allow the increase of a specific number of sulfur atoms. At present, the only available approach (applicable to cyclic polysulfides) involves first, insertion of dicarbonyl titanocene (difficult to handle) into the S–S bond;³ in turn, this is followed by nucleophilic substitution of the titanocene adduct by either SCl₂ or S₂Cl₂ sulfur chloride (S_vCl₂) as shown in Scheme 1a.



Scheme 1.

We report here a very convenient method to add two sulfur atoms to di- or higher sulfides (Scheme 1b). The transformation is carried out with triphenylmethylthiosulfenyl chloride (1).⁴ This compound is quite stable (for some years) when stored in the freezer. It has been used to transfer two sulfur atoms to 2,3-dimethylbutadiene but with limited success.^{5f} The best results

^{*} Corresponding author.

were obtained with cyclic compounds containing S–S bonds (12, 14 and 17) as well as with some primary alkyl disulfides 3 and 6 (Table 1). Lower selectivity and yields were observed for dibenzyl 8 and ditolyl 10 disulfides. Further addition of the 2-sulfur unit was usually slower and significantly less selective as in the conversion of 4 to 5. The attempt to convert 15 to corresponding hexasulfide yielded a product that polymerized on isolation. In contrast, the hexasulfide 16 was quite stable and was obtained in 45% yield; it was easily crystallized. Its X-ray structure is presented in Fig. 1.⁶ Some cyclic polysulfides probably cannot be expanded by this method; norbornene pentasulfide 18 did not react even when using an excess of 1.

Substrate		Product		Ref. 5	Yield % ^a	
MeSMe	2	No reaction	-		-	
MeS ₂ Me	3	MeS ₄ Me	4	а	81	(91)
MeS ₄ Me	4	MeS ₆ Me	5	а	b	(71)
EtS ₂ Et	6	EtS ₄ Et	7	b	86	(89)
PhCH ₂ S ₂ CH ₂ Ph	8	PhCH ₂ S ₄ CH ₂ Ph	9	с	74	(74)
4-MeC ₆ H ₄ S ₂ C ₆ H ₄ Me-4	10	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ S ₄ C ₆ H ₄ Me-4	11	d	97	(80)
Ph S Ph S	12	Ph S-S Ph	13	e	87	(92)
Me S Me	14	Me S-S Me S-S	15	f	82	(85)
Ph S-S Ph	13	Ph S ^S S Ph SSS	16	g	47	(96)
S,S	17	S-S S-S	18	h	95	(100)

Table 1

^a Tetrasulfides are not easily separable by column chromatography from other sulfides (usually starting material and some higher polysulfides); ^b Not isolated; the purity of products (NMR) is shown in brackets



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of C₁₆H₁₄S₆ (**16**). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (degrees): S1–S2, 2.0371(9); S2–S3, 2.0514(9); S3–S4, 2.061(1); S1–C7, 1.838(2); C8–C9 1.344(2); C7-S1-S2, 103.52(7); S1-S2-S3 108.59(4); S2-S3-S4 107.03(3); S5-S4-S3 108.08(3); S6-S5-S4 108.67(4)

The reaction rate depended on the polarity of the solvent. The lowest rate was observed in hexane and the highest in acetic acid or acetic acid mixed with other solvents. Speeding up the reaction was important in the case of slowly-reacting compounds such as dibenzyl disulfide (8); a replacement of 1/3 of the methylene chloride with acetic acid resulted in a decreased reaction time from ca. 12 h to 30 min. The selectivity did not depend significantly on the solvent used. Nevertheless, it was found that the best results were obtained with solvents containing a significant proportion of acetic acid. An expected benefit from using highly polar trifluoroacetic acid did not materialize, resulting in a significant rate inhibition and excessive formation of higher polysulfides. The optimal reaction conditions appeared to involve a mixture of methylene dichloride and acetic acid in a 2:1 ratio. Using more acid did not result in any improvement. In turn, the use of smaller amounts of acetic acid decreased the reaction rate. Surprisingly, in the acetic acid/methylene chloride mixture, the selectivity of formation of tetrasulfide (ca. 90%) was not affected by the addition rate of the solution of reagent **1**. In the absence of acetic acid, yields were 10-15% lower.

We propose that thiosulfenyl chloride 1 was attacked by the sulfur of the disulfide to form a sulfonium salt $19.^7$ The sulfenyl chloride (RSCl) that eventually formed and the trisulfide Ph₃CSSSR (20) could equilibrate. The presence of the trityl leaving group attached to the terminal atom of sulfur of the trisulfide intermediate determines that this atom would react with the sulfenyl chloride. Even more importantly, the trityl group makes this reaction irreversible leading to a formation of tetrasulfide and trityl chloride (during separation by preparative chromatography, trityl chloride hydrolizes and is isolated as trityl alcohol).⁸

The key argument in favour of the above mechanism was the isolation of the intermediate $Ph_3CS_3Me(21)$ from the reaction between dimethyl disulfide (3) and 1. Compound 21 is crystalline⁹ and was isolated in 26% yield when the reaction was interrupted before the second step occurred. On treatment with separately prepared MeSCl (22)¹⁰ the intermediate formed tetrasulfide 4 in 72% yield (Scheme 2). Interestingly, although the isolated intermediate 21 was very pure, the tetrasulfide was contaminated with some tri- (2%), penta- (8%) and hexasulfide (8%). It is clear that there were other processes taking place; their origins are not clear at this time.

The scope of the reaction has certain limitations. For example, diisopropyl and di-*t*-butyl disulfides reacted eagerly with 1, a mixture of products formed; none of them seemed to originate from the insertion. Probably, they are analogous to 20 (Ph₃CS_xR; R = i-Pr, *t*-Bu, x = 3,4) (Scheme 3).





In summary, this reaction allows an easy one-step conversion of disulfides to higher polysulfides. It should allow easy one-step access to some higher polysulfides unavailable by other methods.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by a McGill University Fellowship for A.Z.R. Dr. Anne-Marie Lebuis solved and refined the crystal structure.

References

- (a) Litaudon, M.; Guyot, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, 32, 911–914; (b) Chenard, B. L.; Harlow, R. L.; Johnson, A. L.; Vladuchick, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3871–3879; (c) Ford, P. W.; Narbut, M. R.; Belli, J.; Davidson, B. S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5955–5960.
- (a) Harpp, D. N.; Smith, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4140–4144; (b) Harpp, D. N.; Smith, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6045–6053.
- (a) Westphal, U.; Steudel, R. Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and Rel. El. 1992, 65, 151–155; (b) Steudel, R.; Kustos, M.; Munchow, V.; Westphal, U. Chem. Ber. 1997, 130, 757–764.
- 4. Williams, C. R.; Britten, J. F.; Harpp, D. N. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 806-812.
- 5. (a) Pickering, T. L.; Saunders, K. J.; Tobolsky, A. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 2364–2367; (b) Musorin, G. K.; Sedunova, O. V.; Gendin, D. V. Russ. Chem. Bull. 1998, 47, 363–364; (c) Steliou, K. Sulfur Transfer Reagents. M.Sc. Thesis, McGill University, 1975; (d) the product was positively identified by comparison with *p*-tolyl tetrasulfide separately prepared following a procedure from: Saville, R. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 2880–2888; (e) Dodson, R. M.; Srinivasan, V.; Sharma, K. S.; Sauers, R. F. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2367–2372; (f) Williams, C. R.; Harpp, D. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 7651–7654; (g) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=4.42 (s, 4H), 7.1 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ=40.33, 127.24, 128.18, 128.63, 140,32, 141.26; m.p. 149–151°C. Crystal data for 16: C₁₆H₁₄S₆, Mr = 398.63, crystal dimensions 0.65×0.20×0.17 mm, monoclinic, space group P21/n, a=21.020(8), b=5.698(2), c=14.769(4) Å, β=93.37(3)°, V=1765.9(10) Å³, ρ_{calc}=1.499 g/cm⁻³, T=293(2) K, Z=4, F(000)=824, λ (CuKα)=1.54056 Å (graphite monochromated), 2θ_{max}=69.82°, 3329 measured reflections of which 2865 with I>2σ(I) were used for refinement; R_{int}=0.031, R₁=0.0269, wR₂=0.072, GOF=1.026; (h) Bartlett, P. D.; Ghosh,

T. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4937–4934.
6. The picture of 16 was printed from the cif file with Ortep-3 for Windows v. 1.06 created by: Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl.

- Cryst. 1997, 30, 565.
- (a) Fachinetti, G.; Floriani, C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 2433–2436; (b) Pietra, F.; Vitali, D. J. Chem. Soc. (B) 1970, 623–625; (c) Moore, C. G.; Porter, M. J. Chem. Soc. (D), 1958, 2890–2892.
- 8. A typical procedure: A solution of trityl thiosulfenyl chloride (1), 1 mmol (342 mg) in methylene chloride, was added rapidly into a solution of 1 mmol (122 mg) of diethyl disulfide (6) in a mixture of acetic acid and methylene chloride (disulfide was dissolved first in CH_2Cl_2 ; this is important when solid disulfides are used; slow-reacting disulfides were treated with 1.1 equiv. of 1). The reaction time was usually shorter than 30 min except for 15 and 17 where at least 12 h was required for completion. The solvent was evaporated when the reaction mixture became colourless. Separation by column chromatography followed (the residue was loaded with minimum amount of benzene and eluted with hexanes). The product (160 mg) was analyzed by NMR revealing the presence of starting disulfide (2%) and hexasulfide (9%). The yield of Et_2S_4 was calculated as 75% based on starting disulfide.
- Properties of 21: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=2.3 (s, 3H), 7.35 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ=23.35, 73.15, 127.13, 127.84, 130.37, 143.48; m.p. 51–53°C; MS (EI) *m/z* 243 [M⁺–MeS3] (100).
- 10. Brintzinger, H.; Pfannstiel, K.; Koddebush, H.; Kling, K. Chem. Ber. 1950, 83, 87-90.