REACTION OF BENZYL CHLORIDE WITH PROPYLENE AND TRIFLUORO-PROPENE UNDER METALLOCOMPLEX INITIATION CONDITIONS

T. T. Vasil'eva, I. A. Fokina, and S. V. Vitt UDC 542.97.542.91:547.539.212'222.1: 547.313.3:547.413.4

The reactions of benzyl chloride with propylene and 3,3,3-trifluoropropene in the presence of $Fe(CO)_5 + DMF$ were studied. With propylene, the reaction stops at the addition stage with the simultaneous formation of dibenzyl. In the case of 3,3,3-trifluoropropene, a telomerization takes place, whereby the second growing radical $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CHCF_3$ practically completely isomerizes with a 1,5-migration into the radical $C_6H_5CHCH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CHC_3$. To confirm the structure of the isolated compounds, chromato-mass-spectrometry and ¹³C NMR were used.

The use of metallocomplex initiation in the radical telomerization with the participation of benzyl chloride (BC) makes it possible to carry out the reaction selectively at the C-Cl bond [1, 2]. The use of BC as a telogen is of particular interest, since during the cleavage of the C-Cl bond, a relatively nucleophilic benzyl radical is formed, while most of the examples of radical telomerization studied until now were carried out with telogens giving electrophilic radicals at the initiation stage [3]. We have previously studied the telomerization of ethylene and vinyl chloride with BC [1, 2].

To study the influence of the nature of the monomer on the course of telomerization, in the present work we used propylene and trifluoropropene as monomers.

The reaction of propylene and trifluoropropene with BC was initiated by the $Fe(CO)_5$ + DMF system at 140-145°C in the range of the monomer/BC ratios from 1 to 5.

The reaction of propylene with BC stopped at the stage of addition with the formation of the $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2(I)$ adduct and dibenzyl (II) (Table 1)

$$C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}CI \xrightarrow{\text{Fe}(CO)_{5}} C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}$$

$$C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2} + CH_{2} = CHCH_{3} \rightarrow C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}CH_{2}CHCH_{3} \quad (A)$$

$$\Lambda + C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}CI \xrightarrow{\text{Fe}(CO)_{5}} DMF \rightarrow C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}CH_{2}CHCICH_{3} + C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2}$$

$$(1)$$

$$2C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2} \rightarrow (C_{6}H_{5}CH_{2})_{2} \quad (11)$$

The conversion of BC was 25-30% and the overall yield of (I) and (II) per reacted BC \approx 70%. The data in Table 1 show that with increase in the M/S from 1 to 5, the ratio (I)/(II) changes in favor of the adduct, but they nevertheless are formed in comparable amounts. The absence of higher telomers, confirmed by GLC and chromato-mass spectrometric methods, indicate that the growing radical $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CHCH_3$ is most effective at the chain transfer stage and practically does not participate in the chain propagation stage with propylene. The results obtained indicate a relatively low efficiency of the addition of a benzyl radical to propylene, as a result of which the competing process of its dimerization into (II) proceeds actively.

Another pattern was observed in the case of trifluoropropene. In the same M/S range, its telomerization with BC takes place with the formation of the first two telomers. The characteristic feature of this process is the practically complete isomerization of the second growing radical C with a 1,5-migration of hydrogen to radical D, which precludes its participation in the chain propagation and transfer reactions. The high degree of rearrange-

A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Heteroorganic Compounds, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow. Translated from Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Khimicheskaya, No. 6, pp. 1384-1388, June, 1991. Original article submitted July 27, 1990.

TABLE 1. Reaction of Benzyl Chloride (S) with Propylene (M) in the Presence of a $Fe(CO)_5$ (10 mole %) + DMF (30 mole %) System, 140°C, 4 h

M/S	Content in the reaction mixture, %					
	BC	(1)	(11)	(1)7(11)		
1 2 5 1	71,0 73,0 71,0 74 †	13.5 (46) * 14.7 (54) 16,5 (37) (41)	$9,7 (33) \\ 6.8 (25) \\ 4.6 (46) \\ (26)$	1,4 2,18 3,6 1,6		

*The yield per reacted BC is given in brackets. †Yield per isolated products.

ment of radical C is due to the formation of a stable rearranged benzyl radical and a favorable combination of polar factors at the stage of the intermolecular chain transfer.

From the reaction mixture $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2CHCICF_3$ (III), $C_6H_5CH=CHCH(CF_3)CH_2CH_2CF_3$ (V) and $C_6H_5CHCICH_2CH(CF_3)CH_2CH_2CF_3$ (IV) were obtained. Using chromato-mass spectrometry the formation of $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2CF_3$ (VI) was also shown, the yield of which increases with increase in the concentration of the monomer (Table 2). The content of compounds (III)-(VI) amounts to 90% of the sum of the reaction products.

The formation of (III)-(VI) can be represented by the following scheme:

$$C_6H_5CH_2CI \xrightarrow{Fe(C(1)_7, \text{DMF})} C_6H_5\dot{C}H_2$$
(7)

$$C_6H_5CH_2 + CH_2 = CHCF_3 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CHCF_3$$
(B)

(III)

(B) +
$$C_6H_5CH_2CI \xrightarrow{PCCOS} C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CHCICF_3 + C_6H_5CH_2$$

$$(B) + CH_2 = CHCF_3 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CHCF_3.$$
(C)

(c)
$$\xrightarrow{1.5-11}$$
 C₆H₅CHCH₂CH(CF₃)CH₂CH₂CF₃ (D)

$$(D) + C_6H_5CH_2CI \xrightarrow{Fe(CO)_5, DMF} C_6H_5CHClCH_2CH(CF_3)CH_2CH_2CF_3 + C_6H_5\dot{C}H_2$$
(IV)

$$(1V) \xrightarrow{-HCl} C_{e}II_{5}CII = CHCH(CF_{3})CH_{2}CH_{2}CF_{3}$$
(V)
B + DH $\rightarrow C_{e}H_{5}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CII_{2}CF_{3}$ (VI)

It should be noted that in this reaction compound (II) is virtually not formed, while (IV) having a benzyl chlorine atom converts under the synthesis conditions and during the purification into (V) (cf. [4]).

The nucleophilic benzyl radical adds to the electrophilic trifluoropropene considerably more effectively than to the relatively nucleophilic propylene, as can be seen from the substantial difference in the ratio of the yields of the telomers and dibenzyl for these monomers. A noticeable difference is also observed on comparing the reaction products of BC with trifluoropropene and vinyl chloride. In both cases the formation of telomers takes place, but in the case of the easy polymerization of vinyl chloride, the second growing radical $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CHClCH_2CHCl does not rearrange, but effectively participates in the chain propagation$ and transfer processes, which leads to the formation of higher telomers. In the case of trifluoropropene, the reaction virtually stops at the formation of (IV) due to a highly efficient rearrangement of the intermediate radical. The 13 C NMR spectra of compounds (I), (III)-(V) (Table 3) agree with the proposed structure of the obtained products. For compounds (I), (III), (V) the spectra of individual compounds are given, while for (IV) - in a mixture with (V). Replacement of CH_3 by CF_3 in compound (III) does not substantially influence the chemical shift of ¹³C of the neighboring CHCl group. At the same time the presence of this fragment in (III) is confirmed by the value $\delta_{CF_3} = 123.9$ ppm and ${}^3J_{c-C-F} = 34$ Hz for the CHClCF₃ group [4]. The data in Table 3 show that signals of the CHClCF₃ fragment are absent in compounds (IV) and (V). According to the ^{13}C and ^{1}H NMR data, compound (V) exists as practically only one E-isomer (${}^{3}J_{CH=CH}$ = 15.6 Hz. In the spectrum of the mixture of (IV) + (V) only two pairs of new signals appear, one of which belongs to the CHCl group (δ = 60 ppm)

TABLE 2. Reaction of Benzyl Chloride (S) with 3,3,3-Trifluoropropene (M) in the Presence of a $Fe(CO)_5$ (5 mole %) + DMF (15 mole %) System, 140°C, 4 h

M/S	Content in the reaction mixture							
	BC	(11)	(111)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)		
2 3 5	$\begin{array}{c} 89\\ \cdot 92\\ -87\end{array}$	$0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ -$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5(41)\\ 3.0(37)\\ 2.0(45) \end{array}$	2,5 (23) 1,4 (17) 7,4 (57)	3,1(29) 1,0(12) 0,7(5)	0,7 (6) * 1.7 (21) 2.7 (21)		

*The yield per reacted BC is given in brackets.

TABLE 3. ^{13}C NMR Spectra of Isolated Compounds, $\delta,$ ppm with Reference to TMS ($^{1}J_{C-F}$ or $^{2}J_{C-C-F},$ Hz)

Formula	C,	Cr	С,	С.	C5	C.	С:	Ph
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{s}}^{2}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{T}}$	31,2	32.4	56,2 (34)	123,9 (278)				136,7: 128,5 128,1: 126,4
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C_6H_3CH} = = \mathbf{CHCHCH}_3\mathbf{CH}_3\mathbf{CH}_2\mathbf{CF}_3 (\nabla) \\ \xrightarrow{7 \\ \mathbf{CF}_3} \end{array}$	137,2	120,5	$\left \begin{array}{c} 46,9\\(28) \end{array} \right $	20.8	31,0 (28)	126,3 (279)	126.6 (276)	135.5: 128.4: 126,4
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C_{6}H_{8}CHClCH_{2}CHCH_{2}CH_{2}CF_{3}}\\ \downarrow \qquad $	59,2 60,8	38,1 39,0	39,6 39,8 (27; 25)	$\begin{array}{c} 21.1 \\ 19,9 \end{array}$	30,9 (28)	(280)	127,4 (280)	$\begin{array}{c} 140,0;\ 140,2\\ 128,8;\ 128,6\\ 126,6;\ 126,4\end{array}$
$C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CHClClf_3$ (1)	32,7	41,7	57,5	25,2		1		140,8; 1 28,3 125,8

and the other to CH_2 neighboring with CHCl ($\delta = 38.5$ ppm), moreover signals appear which are characteristic for the $-CH(CF_3)CH_2CH_2CF_3$ fragment. The entire set of data obtained indicates the absence of a telomer with a normal structure $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CHC1CF_3$ among the compounds isolated.*

EXPERIMENTAL

The GLC analysis was carried out on a steel column (1300 \times 3 mm) with 15% SKTFT-50X on Chromaton N-AW (0.16-0.20 mm), carrier gas - helium (60 ml/min), katharometer, 100-250°C (65 deg/min). Preparative GLC: steel column (1300 \times 9 mm) with 20% SKTFT-50X on Chromaton N-AW. The ¹³C NMR spectra were run in CHCl₃ in a "Jmodecho" regime, the ¹H NMR spectra in CCl₄ (with reference to TMS) on a "Bruker WP 200" spectrometer. The chromato-mass spectral investigation was carried out on a HP 5971A mass selective detector, ionic source, 180°C, 70 eV. Quartz capillary column OV-1, 50 m \times 0.25 mm, thickness of stationary phase 0.3 µ, 50°C (5 min), 4 deg/min-200°C-8 deg/min-285°C (20 min).

<u>Reaction of Benzyl Chloride with Propylene</u>. A 63-g portion (0.5 mole) of BC, 9.74 g (0.05 mole) of $Fe(CO)_5$, and 12.3 g (0.15 mole) of DMF were charged into a glass-lined autoclave, and then 42 g (1.0 mole) of preliminarily condensed propylene was added with cooling. The autoclave was filled with nitrogen (10 atm) and was heated for 4 h at 140°C. The conversion of BC was 26% (GLC). The reaction mixture was washed with HCl (1:1), 2 × 30 ml, and with H₂O to a neutral reaction (to remove the iron salts and DMF). The product was dried over CaCl₂; the wash waters were extracted with CCl₄, the extract was dried over CaCl₂ and was combined with the main reaction product. After evaporation of CCl₄ and BC, the residue was distilled under vacuum. Yield, 7.14 g (41%) of (I), bp 66°C (2 mm), $n_D^{2^\circ}$ 1.5140, $d_4^{2^\circ}$ 1.0146. Found, %: C 71.38; H 7.84; Cl 20.78; MR 49.92. $C_{10}H_{13}$ Cl. Calculated, %: C 71.20; H 7.77; Cl 21.02; MR 49.64; and 2.48 g of dibenzyl (mp 52°C), yield 26% per reacted BC.

Reaction of Benzyl Chloride with 3,3,3-Trifluoropropene. Ten experiments were carried out using glass ampuls. The total amount of the charged reagents was: BC, 45.5 g (0.36

^{*}The authors wish to express their gratitude to V. I. Dostovalova for running the 13 C and 1 H MMR spectra and helping in their interpretation.

mole); $Fe(CO)_5$ 3.38 g (0.018 mole); DMF, 3.68 g (0.054 mole); trifluoropropene, 69 g (0.72 mole).

Benzyl chloride, $Fe(CO)_5$, and DMF were charged into each ampul, the mixtures were frozen, the ampuls were evacuated, and the calculated amount of the olefin was condensed therein (filling to the extent of 25-30%). The mixture was heated for 2 h at 140°C, the reaction product was dissolved in CCl₄, the solution was passed through a layer of silica gel to separate the resins and iron salts, and CCl₄ and BC were distilled off. According to the GLC data, 40 g of BC did not react (conversion 13%). The residue (6.7 g) was distilled in vacuo. From the fraction bp 32-68°C (2 mm) compound (III) was isolated by preparative GLC (150°C, helium, 5 liters/h), $n_D^{2^\circ}$ 1.4643; $d_4^{2^\circ}$ 1.2445. Found, %: C 53.85; H 4.55; Cl 16.06; F 25.31; MR 49.39. $C_{10}H_{10}ClF_3$. Calculated, %: C 53.94; H 4.58; Cl 15.93; F 40.39; MR 49.34. From the fraction bp 68-85°C (2 mm), compound (V) was isolated (180°C, helium, 5 liters/h). Found, %: C 55.07; H 4.26; F 39.74. $C_{13}H_{12}F_6$. Calculated, %: C 55.32; H 4.29; F 40.39. PMR spectrum (δ , ppm, J, Hz): 7.12 (Ph), 6.48 d (=CHPh, $^{3}J_{CH=CH} \approx 15.6$), 5.82 d.d (=CHCH, $^{3}J_{CHCH} = 9.1$); 2.59 (CH), 1.85 (CH₂), 1.71 (CH₂CF₃). From the fraction bp 85-130°C (2 mm) compound (IV) was isolated (180°C, helium, 5 liters/h). Found, %: C 49.27; H 3.94; F 36.16. $C_{13}H_{13}ClF_6$. Calculated, %: C 48.99; H 4.11; F 35.77; undistillable residue 0.5 g.

Chromoto-mass spectrometry data: The ion masses (m/z) are given for the ³⁵Cl isotope. Given are: compound, type of ion, m/z (in brackets – the relative intensity with respect to the maximal signal, in %), number of chlorine atoms.

- (I), M⁺· 168(100), 1C1, M HC1⁺ 132(36.3), M HC1 CH₃⁺ 117(68.3), C₆H₅CH₂CH₂⁺ 105(12.2), C₆H₅CH₂⁺ 91(73.2).
- (III), M⁺ 222(11.5), 1C1, CF₃CHC1⁺ 117(6.1), 1C1, C₆H₅CH₂CH₂⁺ 105(6.9), C₆H₅CH₂⁺ 91(100), CF₃⁺ 69(26.9).
- (IV), M⁺· 318(9.6), 1C1, M Cl⁺ 283(100), C₆H₅CHCl⁺ 125(80.8), 1C1, C₆H₅CH=CH⁺ 103(36.5), C₆H₅CH₂⁺ 91(83.1), C₆H₅⁺ 77(80.8), CF₃⁺ 69(53.8).
- (IVa), [stereoisomer of (IV)].
- (V), M⁺· 282(71.5), M CF₃⁺ 213(38.5), M CF₃CH₂CH₂⁺ 185(40.4), M CF₃CH₂CH₂ HF⁺ 165(78.8), C₆H₅CH=C=CH⁺ 115(83.8), C₆H₅CH₂⁺ 91(16.5), C₆H₅⁺ 77(63.0), CF₃⁺ 69(100).
- (VI), M⁺ 188(7.7), $C_6H_5CH_2^+$ 91(100), CF_3^+ 69(17.3).
- (II), M⁺ 182(43.7), $C_6H_5CH_2^+$ 91(100), $C_6H_5^+$ 77(12.7).

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. T. T. Vasil'eva, R. G. Gasanov, and R. Kh. Freidlina, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., No. 6, 1290 (1979).
- 2. T. T. Vasil'eva, V. I. Dostovalova, and R. Kh. Freidlina, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., No. 11, 2541 (1980).
- 3. R. Kh. Freidlina, F. K. Velichko, and S. S. Zlotskii, et al., Radical Telomerization [in Russian], Khimiya, Moscow (1988), p. 288.
- 4. J. L. Fabre, M. Julia, B. Mansour, et al., J. Organomet. Chem., <u>177</u>, 221 (1979).
- 5. T. T. Vasil'eva, I. A. Fokina, S. V. Vitt, and V. I. Dostovalova, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., No. 8, 1807 (1990).