60



Figure 2. Parent ion signal for protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester, β -estradiol, and adenine vs. amount desorbed per laser pulse.

glass cup or tube forms part of the first electrode (repeller plate) of a linear (30 cm) time-of-flight mass spectrometer. The ions are detected by an electron multiplier with two preamplifiers (EG&G ORTEC Model 9301 and 474) which feed a transient digitizer (LeCroy 9400) so that the entire mass spectrum can be recorded from a single laser shot. Typically, an average over 200 laser shots is taken. From the spectrum is subtracted a "gas-phase background spectrum" obtained with the desorption laser off. The sample container can be rapidly introduced (~ 1 min) into the time-of-flight mass spectrometer (pressure $\sim 10^{-7}$ torr) through a vacuum interlock.

Protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester, β -estradiol, and adenine were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. and were used without further purification. Chloroform solutions are placed inside the spinning glass cup or tube and the solvent is removed under a rough vacuum (10^{-1} torr) to produce a nearly uniform film.

Typical laser desorption/multiphoton ionization mass spectra of protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester, β -estradiol, and adenine are shown in Figure 1. Note that in each case the spectrum shows almost exclusively the parent ion, indicating that fragmentation is negligible. A calculated detection limit (S/N = 2) of 4 × 10⁻¹⁷ mol is obtained for protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester. These results demonstrate ultrahigh sensitivity of our methodology, which is comparable to that of TOF secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS).²⁰⁻²² In contrast to this work in which the desorption step is separated from the ionization step,²³ the ionization process in other techniques, such as SIMS, fast atom bombardment (FAB), plasma desorption, field desorption (FD), and direct laser desorption/ionization, depends sensitively on the nature of the matrix.²¹

The linear dependence of signal on sample concentration is investigated with fixed CO₂ laser power (50 kW/cm²), fixed Nd:YAG laser power (300 kW/cm²), and fixed time delay (70–90 μ s, depending on the molecule) between CO₂ laser pulse and Nd:YAG laser pulse. The results for protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester, β -estradiol, and adenine are shown in Figure 2 where the parent ion peak height is plotted against the desorption amount per CO_2 laser pulse. The desorption amount is calculated on the basis of the amount deposited on the substrate, the new area exposed per laser shot, and the assumption that all irradiated molecules are desorbed. The sample concentration ranges from nanomoles to subfemtomoles. It should be noted that the linearity covers more than 5 orders of magnitude of sample concentration. The complete desorption by the CO_2 laser has been demonstrated within the concentration range given in the figure. In contrast to other mass spectrometric methods for the analysis of molecular adsorbates,^{24,25} the ability of the present two-step laser method to cover so wide a dynamic range of quantitation appears to be unprecedented.

Acknowledgment. Renato Zenobi thanks Zentenarfonds der ETH Zürich for a fellowship.

(24) Beckner, C. F.; Caprioli, R. M. Biomed. Mass Spectrom. 1984, 11,

(25) Lehmann, W. D.; Kessler, M.; König, W. A. Biomed. Mass Spectrom. 1984, 11, 217.

Synthesis and X-ray Crystal Structure of $(\mu_3$ -COCH₃) $(\mu_2$ -CH₂)(Cp)(MeCp)Fe₂Mn(CO)₅, the First Carbyne–Methylene Cluster. Carbon–Carbon Coupling To Give a Methoxyvinyl Cluster

Raymond H. Fong and William H. Hersh*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 Received December 1, 1986

The study of transition-metal cluster compounds that incorporate two different σ -bound organic fragments, compounds that we call difunctional clusters, is attracting increasing attention.^{1,2} This work is important with respect to both uncovering new modes

⁽²⁰⁾ Chait, B. T.; Standing, K. G. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1981, 40, 185.

⁽²¹⁾ Benninghoven, A., Ed. Ion Formation from Organic Solids; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1983.
(22) Benninghoven, A.; Niehuis, E.; Friese, T.; Greifendorf, D.; Steffens,

 ⁽²²⁾ Berninghoven, A., Hennis, E., Fries, F., Grenendon, D., Ster
 P. Org. Mass Spectrom. 1984, 19, 346.
 (23) Becker, C. H.; Gillen, K. T. Anal. Chem. 1984, 56, 1671.

^{(1) (}a) Blickensderfer, J. R.; Knobler, C. B.; Kaesz, H. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2686-2691. (b) Coffindalfer, T. W.; Rothwell, I. P.; Huffman, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1249-1251. (c) Afzal, D.; Lenhert, P. G.; Lukehart, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3050-3052.
(d) Jensen, C. M.; Knobler, C. B.; Kaesz, H. D. Ibid. 1984, 106, 5926-5933.
(e) Shapley, J. R.; McAteer, C. H.; Churchill, M. R.; Biondi, L. V. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1595-1596. (f) Carriedo, G. A.; Jeffery, J. C.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1597-1603. (g) Lee, K.-W.; Pennington, W. T.; Cordes, A. W.; Brown, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 631-641. (h) Chisholm, M. H.; Huffman, J. C.; Heppert, J. A. Ibid. 1985, 107, 5116-5136 and references therein. (i) Azam, K. A.; Frew, A. A.; Lloyd, B. R.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1418-1425. (k) Shapley, J. R.; Yeh, W.-Y.; Churchill, M. R.; Li, Y.-J. Ibid. 1985, 4, 1898-1900. (l) Churchill, M. R.; Biondi, L. V.; Shapley, J. R.; McAteer, C. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 260, C63-C66. (m) Dalton, D. M.; Keister, J. B. Ibid. 1985, 290, C37-C40. (n) Park, J. W.; Mackenzie, P. B.; Schaefer, W. P.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6402-6404. (o) Yeh, W.-Y.; Shapley, J. R.; Ziller, J. W.; Churchill, M. R. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1757-1763. (p) Krause, M. J.; Bergman, R. G. Ibid. 1986, 5, 2097-2108. (q) Jeffery, J. C.; Lawrence-Smith, J. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 177-19. (r) Garcia, M. E.; Jeffery, J. C.; Sherwood, P.; Stone, F. G. A. Ibid. 1986, 802-804. (2) (a) Holmgren, J. S.; Shapley, J. R.; Wilson, S. R.; Pennington, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 508-510. (b) Dickson, R. S.; Fenlington, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 508-510. (b) Dickson, R. S.; Fenlington, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 508-510. (b) Dickson, R. S.; Fenlington, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 107, S08-510. (b) Dickson, R. S.; Fennington, W. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 107, S08-510. (b)

^{(2) (}a) Holmgreh, J. S.; Shapley, J. R.; Wilson, S. R.; Pennington, W. I. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986, 108, 508-510. (b) Dickson, R. S.; Fallon, G. D.;
Nesbit, R. J.; Pain, G. N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 236, C61-C64. (c)
Cooke, M.; Davies, D. L.; Guerchais, J. E.; Knox, S. A. R.; Mead, K. A.;
Roue, J.; Woodward, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 862-864. (d)
Vollhardt, K. P. C.; Walborsky, E. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5507-5509. (e) Laws, W. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 116-117. (f) Mackenzie, P. B.; Ott, K. C.; Grubbs, R. H. Pure Appl. Chem. 1984, 56, 59-61. (g) Sacz, I. M.; Meanwell, N. J.; Nutton, A.;
Isobe, K.; Vazquez de Miguel, A.; Bruce, D. W.; Okeya, S.; Andrews, D. G.;
Ashton, P. R.; Johnstone, I. R.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 1565-1575. (h) Clauss, A. P.; Shapley, J. R.; Wilson, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7387-7388. (i) Park, J. T.; Shapley, J. R. Ibid. 1983, 105, 1658-1664. (k) Didier, N.; Dahan, F.; Mathieu, R. Ibid. 1985, 107, 1658-1664. (k) Didier, N.; Dahan, F.; Mathieu, R. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1436-1439. (l) Chi, Y; Shapley, J. R. Ibid. 1985, 4, 1900-1901. (m) Ros, J.; Commenges, G.; Mathieu, R.; Solans, X.; Font-Altaba, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. Organometallics 1985, 107, 1058-1634. (k) Didier, N.; Dahan, F.; Mathieu, R. Ibid. 1985, 7, 1436-1439. (l) Chi, Y; Shapley, J. R. Ibid. 1985, 4, 1436-1439. (l) Chi, Y; Shapley, J. R. Ibid. 1985, 4, 1436-1439. (l) Chi, Y; Shapley, J. R. Ibid. 1985, 4, 1600-1901. (m) Ros, J.; Commenges, G.; Mathieu, R.; Solans, X.; Font-Altaba, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 1087-1094. (n) Nuel, D.; Dahan, F.; Mathieu, R. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1278-1279.

Scheme I



of cluster-mediated carbon-carbon bond formation² and helping our understanding of surface-catalyzed reactions such as the Fischer-Tropsch reaction. We recently reported the synthesis of the first heterodinuclear methoxycarbyne complex, Cp(CO)Fe- $(\mu$ -COCH₃) $(\mu$ -CO)Mn(CO)MeCp (1) (Cp = η^{5} -C₅H₅; MeCp = η^5 -CH₃C₅H₄), and its thermal decomposition to yield MeCpMn(CO)₃ and via methyl migration the 16-electron fragment CpFe(CO)CH₃.³ Incorporation of a μ -methylene into 1 via reaction with diazomethane proved to be slower than thermal decomposition of 1, so elaboration of the cluster was attempted in order to stabilize it. We report here (1) the high yield conversion of 1 into a heterotrinuclear μ_3 -methoxycarbyne cluster, (2) the successful incorporation of a methylene fragment into the trinuclear cluster to give the first μ_3 -methoxycarbyne, μ_2 -methylene difunctional cluster, and (3) thermal and ligand-induced intramolecular coupling of the methylene and carbyne ligands to give methoxyvinyl compounds.

Reaction of 1 and Grevels' reagent (cyclooctene)₂Fe(CO)₃⁴ gave an 80% yield of the new heterotrinuclear methoxycarbyne cluster 2, as well as a minor amount (ca. 5%) of the recently reported⁵ (also as a minor byproduct) triiron methoxycarbyne cluster $(\mu_3$ -COCH₃)(Cp)Fe₃(CO)₈ (3) (Scheme I). Key spectroscopic data⁶ in support of structure 2 include a signal in the ¹H NMR due to the methoxy group at δ 4.14 and signals in the ¹³C NMR due to the capping carbyne carbon at 348.5 ppm,^{21,5,7} the bridging carbonyl at 264.8 ppm, and the terminal carbonyls at the relatively low-field positions³ of 239.3 (MnCO) and 227.7 (FeCO) ppm. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study⁶ of 2 (Figure 1) revealed the presence of a nearly symmetrical metal triangle with a μ_3 carbyne cap. The bridging (by NMR) carbonyl is clearly semibridging,8 while the "terminal" carbonyls are bent roughly 20° from linearity and thus clearly interact with the Fe(CO)₃ center despite the carbon-Fe(2) distances of ~ 2.5 Å. This interaction presumably accounts for the downfield shifts3 of these weakly semibridging^{5,8b} carbonyl ligands.

Reaction of 2 with excess diazomethane occurred slowly at room temperature to give the desired carbyne-methylene cluster 4 in roughly 15% yield, along with a comparable amount of the unexpected methoxyvinyl cluster 5,9 as the major isolated products



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mn-Fe(1), 2.580 (2); Mn-Fe(2), 2.634 (2); Fe(1)-Fe(2), 2.603 (2); C(1)-Mn, 1.931 (8); C(1)-Fe(1), 1.972 (8); C(1)-Fe(2), 1.876 (8); C(1)-O(1), 1.352 (9); C(1)-O(1)-C(19), 123.06 (86); C(2)-Fe(1), 1.763 (10); C(2)-Fe(2), 2.455 (10); Fe(1)-C(2)-O(2), 160.46 (89); C(3)-Fe(1), 2.034 (9); C(3)-Mn, 1.859 (10); Fe(1)-C(3)-O(3), 129.60 (74); Mn-C(3)-O(3), 147.53 (79); C(4)-Mn, 1.792 (10); C(4)-Fe(2), 2.517 (10); Mn-C(4)-O(4), 163.79 (96).



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of 4. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Mn-Fe(1), 2.550 (1); Mn-Fe(2), 2.655 (1); Fe(1)-Fe(2), 2.507 (1); C(1)-Mn, 1.913 (6); C(1)-Fe(1), 1.894 (6); C(1)-Fe(2), 1.950 (6); C(1)-O(1), 1.364 (8); C(1)-O(1)-C(19), 119.55 (50); C(2)-Fe(1), 1.916 (7); C(2)-Fe(2), 2.057 (7); C(2)-H(2A), 0.905 (91); C(2)-H(2B), 0.902 (92); Fe(1)-C(2)-Fe(2), 78.15 (27); H(2A)-C(2)-H(2B), 90.1 (7.2); C(3)-Fe(1), 1.990 (6); C(3)-Mn, 1.894 (7); Fe(1)-C(3)-O(3), 134.35 (53); Mn-C(3)-O(3), 143.56 (54); C(4)-Mn, 1.811 (7); C(4)-Fe(2), 2.512 (7); Mn-C(4)-O(4), 162.74 (60).

(Scheme I). Key data⁶ for **4** include two new doublets in the ¹H NMR for the methylene bridge, each integrating as one hydrogen at δ 7.75 and 7.10 ($J_{\rm HH}$ = 1.6 Hz¹⁰), and signals in the ¹³C NMR

⁽³⁾ Fong, R. H.; Hersh, W. H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1468–1470.
(4) Fleckner, H.; Grevels, F.-W.; Hess, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2027-2032.

⁽⁵⁾ Aitchison, A. A.; Farrugia, L. J. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1103-1112. (6) Data for new compounds and details of the X-ray diffraction studies of 2 and 4 may be found in the supplementary material

<sup>of 2 and 4 may be found in the supplementary material.
(7) (a) Hodali, H. A.; Shriver, D. F. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1236-1241.
(b) Wong, W.-K.; Wilkinson, G.; Galas, A. M.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Thornton-Pett, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 2496-2500. (c) Wong, W.-K.; Chiu, K. W.; Wilkinson, G.; Galas, A. M. R.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. Ibid. 1983, 1557-1563.
(8) (a) Cotton, F. A. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 21, 1-28. (b) Curtis, M. D.; Han, K. R.; Butler, W. M. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2096-2101.</sup>

⁽⁹⁾ For related syntheses of μ -vinyl clusters via direct reaction of diazomethane with μ -akkylidyne clusters, see ref Ir and: Shapley, J. R.; Cree-Uchiyama, M. E.; St. George, G. M.; Churchill, M. R.; Bueno, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 140-142.

Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 140–142. (10) Most μ_2 -methylene compounds exhibit $J_{\rm HH} = 0-1.8$ Hz;^{10a-g} the ex-ceptions have $J_{\rm HH} = 5-7$ Hz.^{1r.2b.10h-k} (a) Casey, C. P.; Fagan, P. J.; Miles, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1134–1136. (b) Theopold, K. H.; Bergman, R. G. Ibid. 1983, 105, 464–475. (c) Laws, W. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1020–1021. (d) Isobe, K.; Vazquez de Miguel, A.; Bailey, P. M.; Okeya, S.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 1441–1447. (e) Davies, D. L.; Knox, S. A. R.; Mead, K. A.; Morris, M. J.; Woodward, P. Ibid. 1984, 2293–2299. (f) Gracey, B. P.; Knox, S. A. R.; Macnberson, K. A.; Ornen, A. G.; Stobart S. R. Ibid. 1985. S. A. R.; Macpherson, K. A.; Orpen, A. G.; Stobart, S. R. *Ibid.* 1985, 1935-1944. (g) Herrmann, W. A.; Barnes, C. E.; Zahn, T.; Ziegler, M. L. *Organometallics* 1985, 4, 172-180. (h) Calvert, R. B.; Shapley, J. R. *J. Am.* Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5225-5226. (i) Steinmetz, G. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. Ibid. 1981, 103, 1278-1279. (j) Shapley, J. R.; Sievert, A. C.; Churchill, M. R.; Wasserman, H. J. Ibid. 1981, 103, 6975-6977. (k) Holmgren, J. S.; Shapley, J. R. Organometallics 1985, 4, 793-794.

at 358.1 and 151.4 ppm for the carbyne and methylene carbons.11 The presence of ¹³C peaks at 265.8 and 240.7 ppm, but not near 230 ppm, suggested that the methylene group was bridging the iron atoms. An X-ray diffraction study⁶ of 4 (Figure 2) confirmed this fact; otherwise the overall structure of 4 is remarkably similar to that of 2. The methylene ligand bridges the two iron atoms only somewhat asymmetrically, however, especially compared to the weakly semibridging carbonyls of 2 and 4. The structure of 5, which like 1 exists as a mixture of cis and trans isomers, was confirmed by independent synthesis via photolysis of Cp- $(CO)_2FeC(OMe) = CH_2^{12}$ and $MeCpMn(CO)_2(THF)$; it is apparently the first heterodinuclear μ - σ , π methoxyvinyl complex and only the second μ -methoxyvinyl compound of any kind.¹³

Thermal decomposition of 4 was complete in 20 h at 45 °C, giving as the only tractable products $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$, 2, and methoxyvinyl compound 6 due to carbon-carbon bond formation between the carbyne and methylene ligands (Scheme I). The structure of 6⁶ was confirmed by independent synthesis via the rapid room temperature reaction of Cp(CO)₂FeC(OMe)=CH₂ and (cyclooctene)₂Fe(CO)₃.¹⁴ Carbonylation of 4 was cleaner but slower, being complete in 24 h at 75 °C or in 8 days at 45 °C, giving 5 (9%), 6 (4%), MeCpMn(CO)₃ (80%), [CpFe(CO)₂]₂ (60%), and 2 (16%). A much cleaner reaction took place between 4 and PPh₃ under similarly mild conditions, yielding methoxyvinyl cluster 7 as the major product (Scheme I). The timing of the PPh₃ incorporation into 7 is unknown, since 7 forms over the course of a few hours by room temperature reaction of PPh₃ with 6. A crossover experiment involving reaction of 4 and its deuteriated bis-MeCp analogue $(\mu_3$ -COCD₃) $(\mu_2$ -CD₂)(MeCp)₂Fe₂Mn(CO)₅ $(8-d_5)$ yielded as the only vinyl products 7 and its MeCp/C- $(OCD_3) = CD_2$ analogue 9-d₅.¹⁵ The PPh₃-induced ligand coupling is therefore intramolecular.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the stepwise and rational synthesis of a heterotrinuclear difunctional cluster and mild intramolecular *cluster*-mediated carbon-carbon bond formation. This is the first demonstration of intramolecular coupling via a crossover study in which the new fragment remains cluster-bound. The most closely related examples are due to Shapley, in which methylene-ketene coupling to give an η^4 -oxaallyl fragment on a ruthenium cluster was induced by CO,^{2a} and Dickson, in which methylene- μ , η^2 -alkyne coupling to give an η^1 , η^3 -allyl fragment on a rhodium cluster occurred at room temperature.^{2b} More typically, complete cluster destruction occurs,^{2c-g} or in cases where the new fragment remains cluster-bound, the carbon-carbon bonds formed (such as in carbyne-carbyne coupling) lack stereochemical information.^{2d,h-n} The coupling reaction described here, along with the metal atom "markers" inherent in the heteronuclear system, should permit kinetic and stereochemical studies that may shed light on the detailed mechanism. Such work is in progress.

Acknowledgment. We thank Peter Bonnesen for obtaining 500-MHz NMR spectra. The spectrometer was purchased through funds provided in part by the National Science Foundation. Financial support for this work from the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, Research Corporation, the UCLA Committee on Research, and the Universitywide Energy Research Group is gratefully acknowledged. We thank a referee for several helpful comments.

Supplementary Material Available: Spectroscopic data for 2 and 4-7 and tables of crystallographic data for 2 and 4 and details of the solutions (25 pages); tables of structure factors for 2 and 4 (19 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Enzymatic Second-Order Asymmetric Hydrolysis of Ketorolac Esters: In Situ Racemization

Gerd Fülling and Charles J. Sih*

School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Received December 30, 1986

The enantiospecific hydrolyses of racemic carboxylic esters by hydrolytic enzymes are common biochemical procedures for the resolution of chiral carboxylic acids. As in all conventional resolution processes, enzymic or nonenzymic, the maximum obtainable yield of one pure enantiomer is 50%.

However, if a reaction could be conducted under conditions wherein the substrate may be racemized in situ, it should then be possible to transform the substrate completely to the desired enantiomeric pure product. This approach not only obviates the tedious recycling steps of the undesired remaining ester, but, more importantly, the enantiomeric excess of the product (ee_n) is now independent of the extent of conversion, c, and the process becomes apparently more enantiospecific.¹ This type of enzymatic second-order asymmetric transformation² has thus far only been achieved in a very limited number of cases in the amino acid field.³ In this paper, we report the first successful application of this concept to the enzymatic asymmetric hydrolysis of carboxylic esters.

Ketorolac, 5-benzoyl-1,2-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrrole-1carboxylic acid (3), is a compound of considerable therapeutic importance because of its potent antiinflammatory and analgesic activities.⁴ More recently, it was reported that the (-)-S isomer of 3 is considerably more potent than the (+)-R isomer in animal studies.⁵ The esters 1 and 2 were selected as model substrates for our enzymic studies, for we envisaged that the chiral center could be easily racemized under mildly basic conditions as a consequence of the resonance stabilization of the anion.



Our continuing interest in the application of commercial microbial lipases for the preparation of optically active compounds led us to first examine their abilities in catalyzing the enantios-

0002-7863/87/1509-2845\$01.50/0 © 1987 American Chemical Society

⁽¹¹⁾ For NMR data on homonuclear iron and manganese μ -methylene compounds, see ref 10a and: (a) Herrmann, W. A.; Reiter, B.; Biersack, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 97, 245-251. (b) Herrmann, W. A.; Bauer, C. Ibid. 1981, 204, C21–C24. (c) Kao, S. C.; Lu, P. P. Y.; Pettit, R. Organo-metallics 1982, 1, 911–918.

⁽¹²⁾ Casey, C. P.; Tukada, H.; Miles, W. H. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1083-1084.

⁽¹³⁾ Bassner, S. L.; Morrison, E. D.; Geoffroy, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5358-5359

⁽¹⁴⁾ Key data for 6 include two doublets in the ¹H NMR at δ 1.78 and 0.69 ($J_{\rm HH}$ = 4.4 Hz) due to the vinyl hydrogens and in the undecoupled ¹³C NMR¹³ a doublet of doublets at 35.4 ppm ($J_{\rm CH}$ = 153.6, 163.1 Hz) for the methylene carbon and most surprisingly a quartet of triplets at 241.7 ppm assigned to the quaternary vinyl carbon on the basis of long-range coupling to both the vinyl $(J_{CH} = 4.6 \text{ Hz})$ and methoxy $(J_{CH} = 3.0 \text{ Hz})$ hydrogens. (15) The methoxy methyl was 99+% and the methylene 95.5% deuteriated

The initial crossover reaction mixture was 0.02 M each 4 and 8-d₅ in 8-d. and 0.08 M PPh₃. The mixture of 7 and 9-d₅ was analyzed by ¹H NMR; at 500 MHz, the methoxy signals are separated by 22 Hz, and the E and Z methylene hydrogens by 14 and 19 Hz, respectively. Greater than $\sim 5\%$ crossover at either the methyl or methylene site would have been detected.

⁽¹⁾ Chen, C. S.; Fujimoto, Y.; Girdaukas, G.; Sih, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 7294. For example, the e_p at 50% conversion of a conventional kinetic resolution with an E value of 10 is 0.67. Under in situ racemization conditions, the ee_p will remain at 0.82 throughout the conversion. (2) Morrison, J. D. In Asymmetric Synthesis; Academic: New York,

^{1983;} Vol. 1, p 3,

⁽³⁾ Jones, J. B. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3351. See references cited therein.

⁽⁴⁾ Muchowski, J. M.; et al. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 1037.
(5) Guzman, A.; Yuste, F.; Toscano, R. A.; Young, J. M.; Van Horn, A. R.; Muchowski, J. M. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 589.