Generation of iodobenzoborirene, a boraaromatic cyclopropabenzene derivative[†]

Holger F. Bettinger*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 5th January 2005, Accepted 9th March 2005 First published as an Advance Article on the web 13th April 2005 DOI: 10.1039/b419415b

Iodobenzoborirene is obtained photochemically ($\lambda = 308$ nm) from diiodophenylborane by elimination of HI in solid argon at 10 K.

Two fundamental principles of chemistry, aromaticity and strain, are closely intertwined in the cyclopropabenzenes 1.¹ Derivatives of 1 with a heteroatom replacing the methylene bridge in the threemembered ring have been postulated (BD,² NH,³) or isolated (SiR₂,⁴ GeR₂,⁵ S,⁶ and Se⁶). The boron derivative, benzoborirene 2, is of particular interest: the BH unit allows delocalization of the six π electrons over the entire molecule while the small boron atom should be well suited to bridge the formal benzyne triple bond. It has received some theoretical interest as a model system for studying the reversed Mills–Nixon effect.⁷ Benzoborirene is isoelectronic to the cycloproparenyl cation **3a**, first observed as the 2,5-diphenyl-7-chloro substituted compound **3b** by Halton *et al.*,⁸ without carrying its positive charge, thereby extending the idea of isoelectronic heteroaromatics (CH⁺ *vs.* BH) to bicyclic systems.⁹



 $[D_5]$ -Benzoborirene $[D_5]$ -2a has only been detected recently for the first time by time-of-flight MS as the product of the boron atom- $[D_6]$ -benzene molecule crossed-beams reaction.³

The *monocyclic* borirenes **4** are highly reactive species: early experiments based on haloboration of alkynes and dehalogenation with active metals resulted in polymeric materials.¹⁰ Photochemical trapping studies by Eisch *et al.* produced evidence for the intermediate existence of triphenylborirene **4b** in 1982.¹¹ Only a few years later several isolations of borirenes with bulky substituents had been described,^{12–15} culminating in the report by Eisch *et al.* of the X-ray structure of trimesitylborirene.¹⁶ More recently, the parent borirene **4a** was observed by matrix isolation¹⁷ and by gas-phase crossed-beams experiments¹⁸ as the product of reaction of boron atom with ethylene.

We here report on the first direct IR spectroscopic characterization of a benzoborirene derivative **2b** ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{I}$). In view of its expected reactivity, we generated **2b** in a solid argon matrix at 10 K from diiodophenylborane (5). The latter is readily available,¹⁹ and its reported instability²⁰ towards irradiation encouraged us to investigate its photochemical reactivity. The IR spectrum of diiodophenylborane isolated in an argon matrix at 10 K is in very good agreement with the one previously reported for a neat sample at room temperature.²⁰ Upon irradiation with 308 nm light of a XeCl laser (1 Hz, ~150 mJ/pulse) a decrease of the signals of **5** is accompanied by the growing of IR bands (Fig. 1). Prolonged 308 nm irradiation does not result in complete disappearance of **5**, but the intensity of the signals of the photoproducts can be increased by increasing the amount of matrix isolated **5**, indicative of a photostationary equilibrium.

By comparison of the relative intensities from a number of experiments, one set of signals, with a strong absorption at 1450 cm^{-1} , a group of signals between 1080 and 1120 cm^{-1} with a band at 1080 cm⁻¹ the strongest, and a number of weaker bands, is assigned to the major photoproduct. By comparison with B3LYP computations, this photoproduct is identified as iodoben-zoborirene **2b**. The parent borirene **4** and the borirene radical, cyclo-(CH)₂B, have intensive ring stretching modes at around 1175 cm⁻¹,¹⁷ and benzannelation is red-shifting this most intense mode of the system to about 1080 cm⁻¹. An additional band at 2159 cm⁻¹ grows at the same rate as absorptions assigned to **2b**, which upon careful annealing to 20–30 K shifts by 27 cm⁻¹ to 2186 cm⁻¹. These absorptions are assigned to HI, which is known



Fig. 1 (A) IR spectrum of diiodophenylborane (5) isolated in solid argon at 10 K; (B) difference spectrum obtained after 308-nm-photolysis of 5 (bands pointing downwards decrease, bands pointing upwards appear upon irradiation); (C) difference spectrum obtained after subsequent 254-nm irradiation; (D) IR spectrum computed at the B3LYP/6-311 + G** level of theory for iodobenzoborirene (2b) with natural boron composition.

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental and computational details, computed structures of 2a, 2b, and IR spectral data of 2b. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b419415b/ *Holger.Bettinger@rub.de

to interact with π systems resulting in a pronounced red-shift of the $\nu(HI)$ vibration from the 2227 cm⁻¹ gas-phase value.²¹

Annealing also changes the relative intensities of the group of absorptions observed in the $1080-1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ range possibly arising from disturbances due to HI molecules lying nearby. The second most intense signal of **2b**, a C–C stretching vibration involving the three-membered ring, is computed at 1673 cm^{-1} , but only a broad band (centered at 1632 cm^{-1}) can be observed. No reliable integration is possible, because of overlap with the water monomer at 1624 cm^{-1} .

Monochromatic 254-nm-irradiation of the matrix after 308-nmirradiation completely bleaches the newly formed signals within several minutes and results in formation of **5**. It is well known that HI can be cleaved into the atoms by 254 nm irradiation.²² We conclude that the observed photochemistry is due to the equilibrium:



Reversible photochemical reactions can also be observed by UV spectroscopy. The UV/vis spectrum of **5** is characterized by three major absorptions at 301, 274, 222 nm in good agreement with computation (304, 287, and 219 nm at B3LYP/cc-pVTZ). These absorptions decrease upon 308-nm-irradiation and subsequently increase in intensity on short wavelength ($\lambda = 254$ nm) irradiation. No new absorptions due to **2b** can be detected. According to the DFT computations, the strongest absorption of **2b** (265 nm) overlaps with the 274-nm-band of **5**, but only has about half of its oscillator strength.

The iodo substituent in **2b** is found to destabilize the benzoborirene ring system by 2.3 kcal mol⁻¹ [CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ-(PP) energies, see ESI[†]] compared to **2a** according to equation (1).^{9c} Nonetheless, very similar geometries are obtained for **2a** and **2b**, with the largest deviations being less than 0.01 Å.

$$\mathbf{2b} + \mathbf{BH}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{2a} + \mathbf{BH}_2 \mathbf{I} \tag{1}$$

Borirene **4a** is highly strained, 69 kcal mol⁻¹, according to the computational analysis of Budzelaar *et al.*, but it enjoys a large resonance energy of 48 kcal mol^{-1,9c} Equation (2) measures the strain that results from the fusion of **4a** and benzene to be 14.3 kcal mol⁻¹.

$$2\mathbf{a} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{benzene} + 4\mathbf{a}$$
 (2)

Similar values, 15 (experiment)²³ and 16–18 (theory)²⁴ kcal mol⁻¹, have been derived for the strain in **1** due to the fusion of benzene and cyclopropane.

In summary, we could show that the benzoborirene system forms upon 308-nm-irradiation of **5** in an argon matrix at 10 K. The strain of **2a** is similar to that of cyclopropabenzene **1**, while the iodo substituent causes some additional destabilization.

This work was supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and DFG. I thank Professor Sander for access to the

matrix isolation equipment in Bochum, and Professor Halton for helpful comments. This paper is dedicated to Professor P. v. R. Shleyer on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

Holger F. Bettinger*

Lehrstuhl für Organische Chemie 2, Universitätsstr. 150, 44780, Bochum, Germany. E-mail: Holger.Bettinger@rub.de; Fax: +49 234 321 4353; Tel: +49 234 322-4353

Notes and references

- (a) B. Halton, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1327; (b) A. T. Balaban,
 D. C. Oniciu and A. R. Katritzky, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 2777.
- 2 (a) R. I. Kaiser and H. F. Bettinger, Angew. Chem., 2002, 114, 2456, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2350; (b) H. F. Bettinger and R. I. Kaiser, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 4576.
- 3 (a) C. Thétaz and C. Wentrup, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 1258; (b) G. I. Yranzo, J. E. Elguero, R. Flammang and C. Wentrup, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2001, 2209.
- 4 (a) K. Hatano, N. Tokitoh, N. Takagi and S. Nagase, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 4829; (b) T. Tajima, K. Hatano, T. Sasaki, T. Sasamori, N. Takeda, N. Tokitoh, N. Takagi and S. Nagase, J. Organomet. Chem., 2003, **686**, 118.
- 5 N. Tokitoh, K. Hatano, T. Sasaki, T. Sasamori, N. Takeda and N. Takagi, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 4309.
- 6 R. Schulz and A. Schweig, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 2337.
- 7 (a) Z. B. Maksic, M. Eckert-Maksic and K.-H. Pfeifer, J. Mol. Struct., 1993, **300**, 445; (b) M. Eckert-Maksic, Z. Glasovac, Z. B. Maksic and I. Zrinski, *Theochem*, 1996, **366**, 173; (c) A. Stanger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, **120**, 12034.
- 8 B. Halton, A. D. Woolhouse, H. M. Hugel and D. P. Kelly, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1974, 247.
- 9 (a) M. E. Volpin, Y. D. Koreshkov, V. G. Dulova and D. N. Kursanov, *Tetrahedron*, 1962, 18, 107; (b) K. Krogh-Jespersen, D. Cremer, J. D. Dill, J. A. Pople and P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, 103, 2589; (c) P. H. M. Budzelaar, A. J. Kos, T. Clark and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Organometallics*, 1985, 4, 429.
- (a) J. J. Eisch and L. J. Gonsior, J. Organomet. Chem., 1967, 8, 53; (b)
 J. J. Eisch and H. P. Becker, J. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 171, 141; (c)
 R. Schlögl and B. Wrackmeyer, Polyhedron, 1985, 4, 885.
- 11 J. J. Eisch, F. Shen and K. Tamao, Heterocycles, 1982, 18, 245.
- 12 S. M. van der Kerk, P. H. M. Budzelaar, A. van der Kerk, G. J. M. van der Kerk and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Angew. Chem.*, 1983, **95**, 61, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1983, **22**, 48.
- 13 B. Pachaly and R. West, Angew. Chem., 1984, 96, 444, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 454.
- 14 C. Pues and A. Berndt, Angew. Chem., 1984, 96, 306, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 313.
- 15 C. Habben and A. Meller, Chem. Ber., 1984, 117, 2531.
- 16 (a) J. J. Eisch, B. Shafii and A. L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 2526; (b) J. J. Eisch, B. Shafii, J. D. Odom and A. L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 1847.
- 17 (a) D. V. Lanzisera, P. Hassanzadeh, Y. Hannachi and L. Andrews, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12402; (b) L. Andrews, D. V. Lanzisera, P. Hassanzadeh and Y. Hannachi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 3259.
- 18 N. Balucani, O. Asvany, Y. T. Lee, R. I. Kaiser, N. Galland and Y. Hannachi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 11234.
- 19 M. Schmidt, W. Siebert and F. Rittig, Chem. Ber., 1968, 101, 281.
- 20 F. C. Nahm, E. F. Rothergy and K. Niedenzu, J. Organomet. Chem., 1972, 35, 9.
- 21 (a) A. J. Barnes, J. B. Davies, H. E. Hallam and J. D. R. Howells, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 1973, 69, 246; (b) J. Weidlein, U. Müller and K. Dehnicke, Schwingungsfrequenzen I, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1981.
- 22 M. Pettersson and J. Nieminen, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1998, 283, 1.
- 23 W. E. Billups, W. Y. Chow, K. H. Leavell, E. S. Lewis, J. L. Margrave, R. L. Sass, J. J. Shieh, P. G. Werness and J. L. Wood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 7878.
- 24 Y. Apeloig and D. Arad, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 3241.