

THE USE OF *N*-BENZENETHIOPHTHALIMIDE AS A REAGENT IN ORGANOMETALLIC SYNTHESSES: BENZENETHIOLATO- AND *N*-PHTHALIMIDOMETAL CARBONYL COMPLEXES

P.M. TREICHEL *, P.C. NAKAGAKI, and K.J. HALLER

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706 (U.S.A.)

(Received December 4th, 1986)

Summary

The reaction between $\text{PPN}[\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3]$ ($\text{PPN}^+ = \text{Ph}_3\text{PNPh}_3^+$) and *N*-benzenethiophthalimide (PhSphth) gives $\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3\text{SPh}$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$, and the organometallic compound was isolated in high yield. Contrasting results occur using $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3]$. Although the expected benzenethiolatometal complexes are detected as initial products, these species react further with the phthalimide anion (phth^-) to give phthalimidometal complexes. From a reaction using $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$ and PhSphth in a 1/2 ratio one can isolate $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ in good yield. There is some evidence that $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{Sph})(\text{phth})]$ is also an intermediate in this reaction. In a 1/1 ratio, these reagents give a mixture of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_3(\text{CO})_6]$. Formation of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ can also be accomplished by more traditional reactions between $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ and either $\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5$ or $\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-Br})_2(\text{CO})_8$. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study provides the structure of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$. The reaction between $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3]$ and PhSphth gives $\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{SPh}$ which is rapidly converted to a species believed to be $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2(\text{phth})_2]$. Isolation of this unstable compound was not accomplished.

Introduction

Reagents which function as donors of electrophilic RS^+ groups include $[\text{Me}_2\text{SSMe}]\text{BF}_4$, PhSCl , and PhSphth (phth = the phthalimido group), this reactivity presumably being the result of $\text{RS}^{\delta+}-\text{X}^{\delta-}$ polarity of the sulfur–non metal bond. The first listed species has found recent substantial use in organic syntheses [1]. We have reported its use in the syntheses of organometallic complexes with thiolate ligands [2]. Various RSphth reagents have found synthetic utility in organometallic chemistry [3,4] and in coordination chemistry [5]; in these examples, an RS^+ group is usually found to add to a coordinated ligand group to give the observed product.

Oxidative addition of the N–S bond in RSSphth to a platinum(0) species is also mentioned [3].

In conjunction with studies on the synthesis of organometallic thiolate complexes using RS^+ donors, we carried out reactions between *N*-benzenethiophthalimide (PhSphth) and several metal carbonyl anions. The expected benzenethiolatometal carbonyl complex is apparently the initial product in each reaction. However, in only one instance (the reaction with $PPN[CpW(CO)_3]$) was this the isolated product. In reactions of $PPN[CpMo(CO)_3]$ and $PPN[Mn(CO)_5]$ with PhSphth the initially formed product reacted further with the phthalimide ion produce a phthalimidometal complex. The isolable manganese complex, $PPN[Mn(CO)_4(phth)_2]$, was also synthesized from $PPN[phth]$ and $MnBr(CO)_5$ or $Mn_2(\mu-Br)_2(CO)_8$, and its structure determined by X-ray crystallography.

Experimental

Synthesis of PhSphth [6], $PPN[Mn(CO)_5]$, $PPN[CpMo(CO)_3]$ and $PPN[CpW(CO)_3]$ [7], $MnBr(CO)_5$ [8], $Mn_2(\mu-Br)_2(CO)_8$ [9] and $[Mn(CO)_5(MeCN)]PF_6$ [10] were carried out by standard procedures. Samples of $PPN[phth]$ were prepared by deprotonation of phthH with one equivalent of NaOMe in methanol, followed by metathesis with $[PPN]Cl$ in CH_2Cl_2 . Solvents were dried by standard methods and all manipulations were carried out under dry N_2 . Infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman Model 4230 spectrophotometer, and 1H NMR spectra were obtained with an IBM WP-270 spectrometer. Mass spectrometric data were obtained on an AEI-MS-902 mass spectrometer. Analysis were performed by the Galbraith Laboratory, Knoxville, TN.

Typically, the progress of reactions described below was monitored by recording infrared spectra ($\nu(CO)$) of aliquots of the reaction mixture taken at various times. This procedure was useful in detecting intermediates and in defining conditions necessary for complete reaction.

Reaction of $PPN[CpW(CO)_3]$ and PhSphth. A solution of PhSphth (0.15 g, 0.59 mmol) in 10 ml CH_2Cl_2 was added to a solution of $PPN[CpW(CO)_3]$ (0.20 g, 0.23 mmol) in 40 ml of CH_2Cl_2 at ambient temperature. An infrared spectrum taken immediately after mixing indicated that complete conversion to $CpW(CO)_3SPh$ had occurred. When, after 2 h, no subsequent reaction occurred the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue that remained was dissolved in Et_2O and placed on an alumina chromatographic column. Elution using Et_2O gave a single red band which was collected. Evaporation of solvent followed by recrystallization of the residue gave 0.080 g of $CpW(CO)_3SPh$ (80% yield). This known compound [11] was identified based on infrared ($\nu(CO)$ at 2034s, 1947s cm^{-1} in CH_2Cl_2) and 1H NMR data (δ 5.70s, C_5H_5 in $CDCl_3$).

Reactions of $PPN[Mn(CO)_5]$ and PhSphth. Samples of PhSphth (0.60 g, 2.4 mmol) and $PPN[Mn(CO)_5]$ (1.0 g, 1.3 mmol) were placed in a flask and 200 ml CH_2Cl_2 added. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 20 min. An infrared spectrum taken at this point contained peaks at 2075w, 1997vs, 1972s, 1923s, 1915vs, and 1640 cm^{-1} . The solution was concentrated under vacuum and hexane added, causing precipitation of a tan solid which was removed by filtration. Evaporation of the remaining solution yielded a bright yellow solid. This was dissolved in acetone and chromatographed on a short (4 cm) neutral alumina

column, a single yellow band eluting with acetone. Collection of this band followed by evaporation of solvent in vacuo produced a yellow solid. This was crystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /hexane to give a pure sample of the product, $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ (0.6 g, 50%; m.p. darkens $\sim 150^\circ\text{C}$, decomp $> 185^\circ\text{C}$).

Anal.: Found: C, 67.57; H, 4.10; N, 4.51. $\text{C}_{56}\text{H}_{38}\text{MnN}_3\text{O}_6\text{P}_3$ calcd.: C, 67.41; H, 3.84; N, 4.21%. IR (CH_2Cl_2): 2090w, 2004s, 1980ms, 1928s, 1649vs, 1625s, 1607s cm^{-1} .

We have carried out this same reaction at -26°C . An aliquot was taken from the reaction mixture after about 1 min and its infrared spectrum recorded. Carbonyl absorptions were seen at 2126w, 2038m, 2008m cm^{-1} , along with absorptions at 2090vs, 2023s, 1980m and 1930s cm^{-1} . The first three absorptions confirm [2] the presence of $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand at -26°C for about 4 h, during which time the absorptions due to this species slowly decreased in intensity while absorptions due to $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ increased. Workup of this reaction (after warming) led to isolation of this product.

With a 1/1 ratio of reactants for this reaction, a slightly different result is obtained. The initial solution, 20 min after mixing of the reactants, gives the same infrared spectrum as seen for the 1/2 reaction, i.e., $\nu(\text{CO})$ at 2075w, 1997vs, 1972s, 1923s, 1915vs, and 1640s cm^{-1} . A workup of the reaction mixture at this point produced a mixture of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_3(\text{CO})_6]$ ($\nu(\text{CO})$ at 1997s, 1918s, 1908sh cm^{-1} , for the tetraethylammonium salt [12]). The mixture can be separated by fractional crystallization using CH_2Cl_2 /hexane mixture in which $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ is more soluble. The two species were identified by comparison of infrared and m.p. data with values for known samples.

Reactions of $\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$. Samples of $\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5$ (0.20 g, 0.73 mmol) and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ (0.50 g, 0.73 mmol) were dissolved in 100 ml CH_2Cl_2 . After stirring the solution for 2 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo leaving a sticky residue. This was extracted with 100 ml Et_2O . Filtration, followed by evaporation of the filtrate, produced an orange solid. This product, $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})$ (0.024 g, 10% yield) was recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /hexane.

Anal. by MS peak match: found: 340.9353. $^{12}\text{C}_{13}^{1}\text{H}_4^{55}\text{Mn}^{14}\text{N}^{16}\text{O}_5$ calc.: 340.9367. IR (CH_2Cl_2): 2138m, 2054vs, 2006s, 1662s, 1634m, 1606m cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR: 7.2–7.8 broad multiplet, CD_2Cl_2 .

A reaction between $\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ in a 1/2 ratio (CH_2Cl_2 , 2 h, work-up as above) yields $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ in 45% yield. Similarly, the reaction between $\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-Br})_2(\text{CO})_8$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ (1 Mn to 2 phth $^-$) gave this species as the only organometallic product.

A small amount of $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})$ was isolated from a 1/1 reaction of $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{MeCN})]\text{PF}_6$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ (CH_2Cl_2 , 1 h, 22°C).

Other reactions

A solution containing $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ (also containing $[\text{PPN}]\text{SPh}$) was prepared by the reaction of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$ and PhSSPh [2] in CH_2Cl_2 at -78°C . One equivalent of PhSphth was added to the solution at this temperature. After 2.5 h at -78°C the solution was warmed to room temperature. Workup of the reaction mixture provided a mixture of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_3(\text{CO})_6]$. We suspect that PhSphth reacted initially with $[\text{PPN}]\text{SPh}$ to give $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ and PhSSPh and the former species then reacts with $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ to

give $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{SPh})(\text{phth})]$. This intermediate would then disproportionate to the observed product, as noted above.

A mixture of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_3(\text{CO})_6]$ was similarly obtained by reaction of $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$, prepared in situ as described above and in ref. 2, and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ in a 1/1 molar ratio.

Reaction of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ and HCl . Concentrated aqueous HCl (2.0 ml) was added to a solution of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ (0.1 g, 0.1 mmol) in 20 ml acetone. After a few minutes, the solvent was removed using a stream of N_2 . The residue, whose infrared spectrum showed bands due to phthH and $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_3(\text{CO})_6]$, was recrystallized from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{Et}_2\text{O}$ giving the known organometallic product [13] in 58% yield.

Reaction of $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3]$ and PhSphth . A solution of PhSphth (0.10 g, 0.39 mmol) in 10 ml CH_2Cl_2 was added to a solution of $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3]$ (0.15 g, 0.19 mmol) in 40 ml CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature. An infrared spectrum run immediately (~ 1 min) indicated complete conversion to $\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{SPh}$ ($\nu(\text{CO})$ 2041s, 1951s, br cm^{-1} ; [2]). Over the next 90 min, these absorptions decrease in intensity concurrent with an increase in intensity of absorptions at 1949s, 1831s, and 1654s cm^{-1} . The first two $\nu(\text{CO})$ values coincide closely with $\nu(\text{CO})$ values for known $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2(\text{X})_2]^-$ species [14]. Attempts to isolate this complex were unsuccessful, due to its apparent low stability. It may be noted that the halide complexes of this formula were also not isolated.

Crystal structure determination of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$

Well formed yellow crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by vapor diffusion of hexane into a CH_2Cl_2 solution of the compound at 295 K.

$\text{C}_{56}\text{H}_{38}\text{MnN}_3\text{O}_8\text{P}_2$; mol. wt. 997.8; monoclinic a 9.189(3), b 20.563(12), c 26.258(11) Å, β 93.16(3)°; U 4954 Å³; 293 K; Z = 4, D_c 1.34 g cm^{-3} ; $F(000)$ = 2056; $\lambda(\text{Mo-K}_\alpha)$ 0.71073 Å; $\mu(\text{Mo-K}_\alpha)$ 3.42 cm^{-1} ; space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14); R = 0.063 for 4218 unique observed reflections.

A single crystal (yellow parallelepiped, $\sim 0.2 \times 0.25 \times 0.5$ mm) was mounted on a glass fiber with cyanoacrylate glue. Preliminary examination of the crystal on a Nicolet P3/F four-circle diffractometer established a four-molecule monoclinic unit cell. Procedures for operations carried out on the diffractometer are similar to those described elsewhere [15,16]. The orientation matrix and unit cell parameters were determined from a least squares refinement based on the setting angles of 25 accurately centered reflections from diverse regions of reciprocal space ($12^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 30^\circ$). The centrosymmetric space group, $P2_1/n$ was uniquely determined by the systematic absences: $h0l$, $h + l = 2n + 1$ and $0k0$, $k = 2n + 1$.

The same crystal used for preliminary characterization was utilized for data collection. The data (2θ 4.0–50.66; $\sin\theta/\lambda_{\text{max}}$ 0.602 Å⁻¹; 9052 unique) from the octants hkl and $-hkl$ were collected with graphite-monochromated Mo-K_α X-radiation (the θ - 2θ planes of the monochromator and the diffractometer were parallel) using 96 step ω scans each with a total scan range of 0.8° equally distributed about the peak center. The scan rate was variable and ranged from 5.0 to 29.3°/min based on the intensity of a 2 s prescan through the peak. Backgrounds were extracted from the peak profiles using the local program CARESS which incorporates the Lehman-Larson algorithms [17]. Throughout the data collection four standard reflections from diverse regions of reciprocal space were monitored every 100

TABLE 1

ATOMIC COORDINATES ($\times 10^4$) AND ISOTROPIC THERMAL PARAMETERS ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) FOR PPN[Mn(CO)₄(phth)₂]

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U</i> ^a
Mn	4779(1)	7176(1)	45(1)	41(1)
C(1)	3466(7)	7053(3)	− 525(2)	47(2)
O(1)	2581(5)	6937(2)	− 827(2)	63(2)
C(2)	5235(7)	6330(3)	28(2)	56(2)
O(2)	5534(5)	5787(2)	13(2)	87(2)
C(3)	3302(7)	7024(3)	453(2)	55(3)
O(3)	2390(6)	6929(3)	717(2)	97(2)
C(4)	6001(7)	7256(3)	629(2)	53(2)
O(4)	6676(5)	7217(2)	1000(2)	83(2)
N(1)	4266(5)	8139(2)	39(2)	38(2)
C(5)	3876(6)	8511(3)	− 390(2)	42(2)
O(5)	3864(5)	8322(2)	− 833(1)	61(2)
C(6)	3417(7)	9167(3)	− 222(2)	54(2)
C(7)	2928(9)	9700(4)	− 490(3)	89(4)
C(8)	2634(10)	10254(4)	− 200(3)	103(4)
C(9)	2808(11)	10260(4)	321(3)	110(4)
C(10)	3312(8)	9719(3)	580(3)	83(3)
C(11)	3591(7)	9174(3)	296(2)	54(2)
C(12)	4131(6)	8522(3)	462(2)	49(2)
O(12)	4400(5)	8348(2)	901(2)	73(2)
N(2)	6441(4)	7401(2)	− 408(2)	38(2)
C(13)	6557(6)	7178(3)	− 900(2)	43(2)
O(13)	5781(5)	6777(2)	− 1111(2)	63(2)
C(14)	7833(7)	7495(3)	− 1116(2)	48(2)
C(15)	8415(8)	7449(4)	− 1593(3)	71(3)
C(16)	9574(10)	7797(4)	− 1670(4)	94(4)
C(17)	10300(9)	8181(5)	− 1292(4)	97(4)
C(18)	9727(7)	8237(4)	− 823(3)	74(3)
C(19)	8483(6)	7893(3)	− 743(2)	54(2)
C(20)	7582(6)	7824(3)	− 288(2)	47(2)
O(20)	7841(5)	8091(2)	123(2)	71(2)
N(3)	8601(5)	4358(2)	2041(2)	40(2)
P(1)	8221(2)	4893(1)	1618(1)	35(1)
C(21)	6315(6)	5111(3)	1544(2)	35(2)
C(22)	5807(7)	5727(3)	1652(2)	47(2)
C(23)	4334(7)	5865(3)	1584(2)	58(3)
C(24)	3387(7)	5391(4)	1409(2)	62(3)
C(25)	3887(7)	4780(3)	1311(3)	64(3)
C(26)	5348(7)	4639(3)	1376(2)	51(2)
C(27)	9262(6)	5623(2)	1737(2)	37(2)
C(28)	10200(6)	5673(3)	2169(2)	52(2)
C(29)	10995(7)	6234(3)	2262(3)	66(3)
C(30)	10847(8)	6746(4)	1933(3)	70(3)
C(31)	9942(8)	6705(3)	1502(3)	70(3)
C(32)	9137(6)	6141(3)	1402(2)	51(2)
C(33)	8726(6)	4582(3)	1014(2)	35(2)
C(34)	9952(6)	4187(3)	998(2)	47(2)
C(35)	10393(7)	3954(3)	540(3)	60(3)
C(36)	9609(8)	4097(3)	97(3)	61(3)
C(37)	8394(8)	4485(3)	104(2)	60(3)
C(38)	7953(7)	4734(3)	563(2)	49(2)
P(2)	7979(2)	4153(1)	2566(1)	37(1)

continued

TABLE 1 (continued)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U</i> ^a
C(39)	6886(6)	3426(2)	2501(2)	34(2)
C(40)	6893(6)	3067(3)	2057(2)	44(2)
C(41)	6116(7)	2496(3)	2011(2)	58(3)
C(42)	5335(7)	2282(3)	2407(2)	56(2)
C(43)	5342(7)	2635(3)	2857(2)	53(2)
C(44)	6116(6)	3202(3)	2906(2)	47(2)
C(45)	6899(7)	4759(3)	2863(2)	38(2)
C(46)	7567(7)	5276(3)	3125(2)	57(3)
C(47)	6750(11)	5759(3)	3324(3)	73(3)
C(48)	5245(11)	5735(4)	3269(3)	78(4)
C(49)	4569(8)	5243(4)	3000(3)	73(3)
C(50)	5394(7)	4753(3)	2797(2)	51(2)
C(51)	9494(6)	3966(3)	3004(2)	45(2)
C(52)	9290(8)	3825(3)	3511(2)	72(3)
C(53)	10443(10)	3647(4)	3832(3)	92(4)
C(54)	11802(10)	3610(4)	3660(4)	87(4)
C(55)	12042(8)	3735(4)	3156(4)	90(4)
C(56)	10871(7)	3913(3)	2822(3)	71(3)

^a Equivalent isotropic *U* defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

reflections. The intensities of the standard reflections showed no systematic variations during the time required to collect the data.

Intensities and standard deviations were calculated using the formulas given previously [18]. Lorentz and polarization [19] corrections were then applied to *I* and $\sigma(I)$. The formula used for polarization assumed the monochromator crystal was 50% ideally mosaic and 50% ideally perfect. Empirical absorption corrections [20] were applied ($\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha)$ 3.42 cm⁻¹). The data with $F_0 > 3\sigma(F_0)$ were retained as observed, leading to 4218 reflections (47% of the theoretical number possible for $\sin \theta/\lambda < 0.602 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$) that were used for the refinement of the structure.

Initial phasing information was obtained by direct methods and the rest of the non-hydrogen atoms were located by standard Fourier techniques. The resulting model was refined to convergence assuming isotropic thermal motion for all atoms, after which the hydrogen atoms were included in calculated idealized positions ($d(\text{C-H})$ 0.96 Å) as fixed contributors. The model was then refined allowing the non-hydrogen atoms to vibrate anisotropically. Solution and refinement were carried out using the SHELXTL [20] package. Standard values for the atomic scattering factors, including corrections for anomalous dispersion, were employed throughout the structure analysis [21].

Least-squares refinement of this model converged with discrepancy indices $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o| = 0.063$ and $R_2 = [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w(F_o)^2]^{1/2} = 0.061$. The estimated error in an observation of unit weight was 1.019 and the highest residual on the difference electron density Fourier map was 0.36 e/Å³. Fractional monoclinic coordinates and selected interatomic distances and angles are given in Tables 1 and 2. Anisotropic thermal parameters, more complete distance and angle lists, coordinate positions for the fixed hydrogen contributors, and a listing of 10 $|F_o|$, 10 $|F_c|$, and 10 $\sigma(F_o)$ are included as supplementary material (59 pages).


TABLE 2

SELECTED BOND LENGTHS (Å) AND ANGLES (°) FOR PPN[Mn(CO)₄(phth)₂]

Mn–C(1)	1.888(6)	Mn–C(2)	1.790(6)
Mn–C(3)	1.802(7)	Mn–C(4)	1.857(6)
Mn–N(1)	2.037(4)	Mn–N(2)	2.041(4)
C(1)–O(1)	1.130(7)	C(2)–O(2)	1.151(7)
C(3)–O(3)	1.133(8)	C(4)–O(4)	1.129(7)
N(1)–C(5)	1.393(6)	N(1)–C(12)	1.372(7)
C(5)–O(5)	1.226(6)	C(5)–C(6)	1.487(8)
C(6)–C(7)	1.365(9)	C(6)–C(11)	1.362(8)
C(7)–C(8)	1.405(11)	C(8)–C(9)	1.369(12)
C(9)–C(10)	1.371(11)	C(10)–C(11)	1.377(9)
C(11)–C(12)	1.486(8)	C(12)–O(12)	1.219(6)
N(2)–C(13)	1.379(7)	N(2)–C(20)	1.385(7)
C(13)–O(13)	1.206(7)	C(13)–C(14)	1.480(8)
C(14)–C(15)	1.392(9)	C(14)–C(19)	1.386(8)
C(15)–C(16)	1.384(12)	C(16)–C(17)	1.369(13)
C(17)–C(18)	1.369(12)	C(18)–C(19)	1.370(9)
C(19)–C(20)	1.498(8)	C(20)–O(20)	1.221(7)
N(3)–P(1)	1.587(4)	N(3)–P(2)	1.579(4)
P(1)–C(21)	1.808(6)	P(1)–C(27)	1.799(5)
P(1)–C(33)	1.795(5)	P(2)–C(39)	1.802(5)
P(2)–C(45)	1.799(6)	P(2)–C(51)	1.797(6)
C(1)–Mn–C(2)	89.5(3)	C(1)–Mn–C(3)	88.8(3)
C(2)–Mn–C(3)	91.8(3)	C(1)–Mn–C(4)	176.2(3)
C(2)–Mn–C(4)	88.5(3)	C(3)–Mn–C(4)	88.0(3)
C(1)–Mn–N(1)	89.2(2)	C(2)–Mn–N(1)	178.1(2)
C(3)–Mn–N(1)	89.5(2)	C(4)–Mn–N(1)	92.9(2)
C(1)–Mn–N(2)	91.9(2)	C(2)–Mn–N(2)	91.3(2)
C(3)–Mn–N(2)	176.8(2)	C(4)–Mn–N(2)	91.4(2)
N(1)–Mn–N(2)	87.4(2)	Mn–C(1)–O(1)	171.5(5)
Mn–C(2)–O(2)	179.3(5)	Mn–C(3)–O(3)	178.7(6)
Mn–C(4)–O(4)	170.1(6)	Mn–N(1)–C(5)	126.2(3)
Mn–N(1)–C(12)	125.6(3)	Mn–N(2)–C(13)	124.7(3)
Mn–N(2)–C(20)	126.2(4)	P(1)–N(3)–P(2)	136.1(3)
N(3)–P(1)–C(21)	114.9(2)	N(3)–P(1)–C(27)	111.3(2)
C(21)–P(1)–C(27)	108.3(2)	N(3)–P(1)–C(33)	108.3(2)
C(21)–P(1)–C(33)	106.8(2)	C(27)–P(1)–C(33)	106.8(3)
N(3)–P(2)–C(39)	111.5(2)	N(3)–P(2)–C(45)	115.3(2)
C(39)–P(2)–C(45)	107.3(3)	N(3)–P(2)–C(51)	108.1(3)
C(39)–P(2)–C(51)	106.9(2)	C(45)–P(2)–C(51)	107.3(3)

Discussion

The reaction between PPN[CpW(CO)₃] and PhSphth occurred upon mixing dichloromethane solutions of these reagents, (eq. 1). Workup of the reaction provided a good yield of the product, CpW(CO)₃SPh, a red crystalline solid which had been prepared previously by another route [11]. As noted earlier, this is the “expected” product based on the known reactivity of PhSphth.



One might consider this an example of a “good” synthetic procedure for metal thiolate species, in view of the minimal difficulty of the experimental procedure and

the yield of product. Arguing against this is the fact that the synthesis of the precursor, PhSphth, adds a substantial time increment to the procedure. However, a more interesting limitation was encountered when attempts were made to generalize this procedure by extending it to other metal carbonyl systems, as described below.




The products of the $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]/\text{PhSphth}$ reaction are dependent on reaction stoichiometry, with the 1/2 reaction easiest to describe. This reaction occurs rapidly in CH_2Cl_2 , even at -26°C . As expected, $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ is the initial product; this species is identified in situ by characteristic $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorptions at 2126, 2038, and 2008 cm^{-1} [2]. However, the spectrum of the solution changes rapidly; these absorptions disappear and are replaced in a few minutes by new absorptions for an intermediate **A** at 2075w, 1997vs, 1972s, 1923s, 1915 vs cm^{-1} . On further standing at -26°C for 4 h, the spectrum evolves further to give the infrared pattern of the final product with $\nu(\text{CO})$ values at 2090w, 2004s, 1980vs, 1928 s cm^{-1} . Warming to room temperature and evaporation of the solvent gives a yellow residue purified by column chromatography. Analyses, spectroscopic data, and a crystal structure lead to identification of this species as $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$.

The same (1/2) reaction run at room temperature is complete in several minutes, giving $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ in 50% isolated yield; this is the only organometallic product present according to infrared evidence.

A 1/1 reaction of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$ and PhSphth followed the same initial steps giving first $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ followed by rapid conversion of this species to intermediate **A** (as in the 1/2 reaction). At this point the stoichiometry should be expected to limit the further reaction, since no additional phthalimide anion is available. However, there is conversion to $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$, accompanied by the formation of a second species, $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_3(\text{CO})_6]$. Separation of the mixture of these two ionic products can be accomplished with difficulty by crystallization.

The initially formed reagent $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ obviously reacts further with the phthalimide ion in this solution. It is known that $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ readily loses carbon monoxide, forming dimeric $\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_2(\text{CO})_8$ when there is no other reagent present [2]. However, this dimer is not detected among the products, which means that the 16e intermediate formed by CO loss from $\text{Mn}(\text{SPh})(\text{CO})_5$ must be intercepted by the phthalimide ion. This would lead to identification of **A** as $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{SPh})(\text{phth})]$. The infrared spectrum containing four $\nu(\text{CO})$ absorptions at slightly lower frequencies than the absorptions seen for $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ is in accord with the assumption that PhS is a poorer electron acceptor than the phthalimide ligand. Apparently $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{SPh})(\text{phth})]$ is unstable with respect to ligand redistribution since $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ is a product of the 1/1 reaction. The other product should be $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{SPh})_2]$. However this species is not known; numerous attempts to make salts of this anion led instead to salts of $[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-SPh})_3(\text{CO})_6]^-$ [2,12].

This reaction pathway is summarized in the series of equations below:



In the reaction between $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5]$ and PhSphth in a 1/2 ratio, $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ is the only organometallic product formed. The second equivalent of PhSphth must react with the intermediate $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{SPh})(\text{phth})]$ in a manner that formally involved combining PhS^- (from this species) with PhS^+ (from PhSphth). The net result is to form PhSSPh as well as $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$, tying up the thiolate groups and preventing their incorporation into an isolable organometallic product.

Following the inadvertent synthesis of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ in these reactions, we showed that these species could also be prepared in a predictable fashion from either $\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5$ or $\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-Br})_2(\text{CO})_8$ and $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ using appropriate stoichiometries of these reactants. The synthesis of $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})$ was accomplished by reactions of $\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5$ or $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{MeCN})]\text{PF}_6$ with $\text{PPN}[\text{phth}]$ using a 1/1 molar ratio. The reaction between $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ and $\text{HCl}(\text{aq})$ giving $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}_2(\mu\text{-Cl})_3(\text{CO})_6]$, a known complex [13], was also demonstrated.

Results similar to those encountered in the manganese system were also seen in the $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3]/\text{PhSphth}$ reaction. Initially $\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_3\text{SPh}$ is formed but a further reaction ensues with a second equivalent of PhSphth to give a species believed to be $\text{PPN}[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2(\text{phth})_2]$. In the infrared spectrum $\nu(\text{CO})$ values are quite similar to values given in the literature for $[\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_2\text{X}_2]^-$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$) [14]. Like the halide complexes, the phthalimide complex is unstable and could not be isolated.

There are several references to organometallic complexes containing phthalimide ligands. Reactions between the phthalimide anion and either $\text{M}(\text{CO})_6$ or $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5(\text{THF})$ [22], or between the anion of hydroxyphthalimide and $\text{M}(\text{CO})_6$ [23], yield anionic complexes $[\text{M}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})]^-$ ($\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}$), isolated as tetraphenylarsonium salts. The phthalimide anion replaces chloride ion in $\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3\text{Cl}$ to form $\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3(\text{phth})$ [23]. As noted earlier phthalimide platinum complexes are formed by oxidative addition of phthSSR to a platinum(0) precursor [3]. Numerous references exist to non-organometallic coordination compounds with this ligand group [24].

The complex $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$ is a yellow crystalline solid. Its $\nu(\text{CO})$ values 2090w, 2004s, 1980ms, 1928s cm^{-1} (in CH_2Cl_2) are quite similar to $\nu(\text{CO})$ values of other $[\text{MnX}_2(\text{CO})_4]^-$ species ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$ [25], CN [26]); for example $\nu(\text{CO})$ values for $\text{Et}_4\text{N}[\text{MnI}_2(\text{CO})_4]$ are at 2082m, 2006s, 1986s, and 1939s cm^{-1} (in $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2$) [25]. Likewise, the $\nu(\text{CO})$ values for orange $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})$ (2138m, 2054s, and 2006s cm^{-1}) and $\text{MnCl}(\text{CO})_5$ (2138w, 2053vs, and 2001s cm^{-1}) [27] are similar. These data suggest that the phthalimide ligand is quite like halide ion in its electron donor-acceptor ability.

Stretching frequencies associated with the phthalimide ligand are located at 1649vs, 1625vs, and 1607s cm^{-1} (for $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$) and at 1662s, 1634m, and 1606m cm^{-1} (for $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})$). These values are somewhat lower than values quoted for other organometallic complexes with phthalimide ligands: for example, the complex $\text{Ph}_4\text{As}[\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{phth})]$ is reported to have absorptions at 1720 and 1640 cm^{-1} , while $\text{CpW}(\text{CO})_3(\text{phth})$ values are 1739, 1663, and 1631 cm^{-1} [22,23]. Lacking clear assignments for these vibrational frequencies, we cannot rationalize these values.

The structure of $\text{PPN}[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]$, determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction techniques, consists of discrete cations and anions. The structure of the




Fig. 1. Structure of the anion $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_4(\text{phth})_2]^-$.

anion is given in Fig. 1, and selected bond length and bond angle information are assembled in Table 1. The overall structure has an octahedral geometry at manganese with the phthalimido-ligands in *cis* positions. Each Mn-phthalimide unit is planar with the phthalimide planes tilted at 57.1 and 67.1° relative to equatorial planes containing manganese, N₁ and N₂ of these ligands and the carbons C(2) and C(3) in the carbonyl groups. The two carbonyls perpendicular to this plane deviate somewhat from linearity, with M–C–O angles of 169.8 and 171.7°. These values are most likely set to minimize steric interactions in these systems. The two carbon–manganese bonds *trans* to the phthalimide ligands are very slightly longer than the other two manganese–carbon bonds. The bond lengths parameters for the phthalimido ligand appear to be similar to values for *cis*-Pt(PPh₃)₂(phth)(SSPr¹) whose crystal structure was determined [3] and to the parameters of other organic phthalimido compounds [28].

References

- 1 B.M. Trost and S.J. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 106 (1984) 4263; and cited references.
- 2 P.M. Treichel and P.C. Nakagaki, *Organometallics*, 5 (1986) 711.
- 3 A. Shaver, J. Hartgerink, R.D. Lai, P. Bird and N. Ansari, *Organometallics*, 2 (1983) 938.
- 4 A. Shaver, J.M. McCall, P.H. Bird and N. Ansari, *Organometallics*, 2, (1983) 1894.
- 5 D.L. Nosco, R.C. Elder and E. Deutsch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 19 (1980) 2545.
- 6 M. Behforouz and J.E. Kerwood, *J. Org. Chem.*, 34 (1969) 51.
- 7 K. Inkrott, G. Goetze and S.G. Shore, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 154 (1978) 337.
- 8 R.B. King, *Organometallic Syntheses*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1965, p. 174.
- 9 F. Zingales and V. Sartorelli, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6 (1967) 1243.
- 10 D. Drew, D.J. Darensbourg and M.Y. Darensbourg, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 1579.
- 11 H.B. Abrahamson and M.L. Freeman, *Organometallics*, 2 (1983) 679.
- 12 P.M. Treichel and M.H. Tegen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 292 (1985) 385.
- 13 J.L. Cihonski, M.L. Walker and R.A. Leverson, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 102 (1975) 335.
- 14 A.R. Burkett, T.J. Meyer and D.G. Whitten, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 67 (1974) 67; J.L. Hughey and T.J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 947.
- 15 Data Collection Operation Manual. Nicolet X-Ray Instruments: Madison, WI (1985).
- 16 Nicolet Crystallographic Systems User's Guide, Nicolet X-Ray Instruments: Madison, WI 1985.
- 17 R. Blessing, P. Coppens, and P. Becker, *J. Appl. Crystallog.*, 7 (1974) 488; M.S. Lehmann and F.K. Larson, *Acta Crystallogr., A*, 30 (1974) 580.

- 18 K.J. Haller and J.H. Enemark, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17 (1978) 3552.
- 19 K.A. Kerr and J.P. Ashmore, *Acta Crystallogr.*, A, 30 (1974) 176.
- 20 SHELXTI, Nicolet X-Ray Instruments, Madison, WI, 1985.
- 21 Atomic form factors from: D.T. Cromer and J.B. Mann, *International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography*, Kynoch Press: Birmingham, UK, 1974, Vol. IV, 99–101, Table 2.2B. The atomic form factor for hydrogen from: R.F. Stewart, E.R. Davidson, W.T. Simpson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 42 (1965) 3175. Anomalous scattering components from: D.T. Cromer, D. Liberman, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 53 (1970) 1891.
- 22 W. Beck and E. Schier, *Z. Naturforsch. B*, 25 (1970) 221.
- 23 W. Beck, F. Gotzfried, and E. Schier, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 150 (1978) 247.
- 24 See G. Narain, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 28 (1968) 2403; *Can. J. Chem.*, 44 (1966) 975; and G. Narain and P.R. Shukla, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 20 (1967) 227.
- 25 R.J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 3 (1964) 1099.
- 26 W. Hieber and W.K. Schropp, *Z. Naturforsch. B*, 14 (1959) 460.
- 27 H.D. Kaesz, R. Bau, D. Hendrickson and J.M. Smith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 89 (1967) 2844.