

Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 36, No. 43, pp. 7787-7790, 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/95 \$9.50+0.00

0040-4039(95)01648-1

OBSERVATIONS REGARDING ESCHENMOSER SULFIDE CONTRACTIONS OF $\beta\mbox{-}OXYGENATED$ Thiolactams

David J. Hart* and Li-Qiang Sun

Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, 120 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, Ohio 43210

Alan P. Kozikowski

Georgetown University Medical School, 3900 Reservoir Road, N. W., Washington, DC 20007-2197

Abstract: Application of an Eschenmoser sulfide contraction to a thiolactam bearing a β -acyloxy group was complicated by a competitive β -elimination reaction. Changing the β -substituent to an alkoxy group retarded the rate of elimination such that sulfide contraction products could be obtained.

During the course of a project directed toward the synthesis of potential cocaine uptake antagonists we were faced with the task of converting thiolactam 1 to pyrrolidine derivative $3.^1$ We hoped to do this via vinylogous urethane 2 using the Eschenmoser sulfide contraction.² Unfortunately this met with failure as treatment of 1 with carbomethoxymethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate in acetonitrile followed by triphenylphosphine and triethylamine in dichloromethane gave a mixture of pyrroles 5 and 6 (Scheme 1).^{3,4} Since it is known that thioalkyliminium salts can be deprotonated adjacent to the azomethine carbon under Eschenmoser contraction conditions, it was presumed that pyrroles 5 and 6 were the result of such a deprotonation, followed by β -elimination and aromatization.⁵



In an attempt to eliminate this problem, it was decided to replace the β -acyloxy group with a worse leaving group. Thus, thiolactams 7 and 8 were prepared and subjected to Eschenmoser sulfide contraction conditions (Scheme 2).⁶ Although this did not completely eliminate the aromatization problem, it did afford the desired vinylogous urethanes in a reasonable yield. For example, treatment of 7 with the appropriate triflate followed by triphenylphosphine and triethylamine in dichloromethane gave vinylogous urethane 9 in 66% yield along with 17% of pyrrole 11. Thiolactam 8 behaved in a similar manner as vinylogous urethane 10 and pyrrole 11 were produced in 65% and 30% yields, respectively. Thus, changing from β -acyloxy group to a β -alkoxy group turned on the sulfide contraction pathway at the expense of the undesired aromatization.⁷



It was possible to use this observation to accomplish the original synthetic objective, a synthesis of **3**, as outlined in Scheme 3. Thus, sequential treatment of (*S*)-malic acid with acetyl chloride, methylamine, and acetyl chloride gave imide **13** (94%). Conversion of the **13** to **14** was accomplished in 98% yield using ethanolic HCl and reprotection of the alcohol gave **15** (95%). Regioselective reduction of the imide using sodium borohydride in methanol gave **16** (84%)⁸ and a Williamson ether synthesis then provided **17** in 96% yield.⁹ Treatment of **17** with allytrimethylsilane and titanium tetrachloride gave **18** in 72% yield along with 12% of the corresponding trans isomer.¹⁰ Removal of the *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl protecting group was followed by benzylation of the resulting alcohol **19** (98%) to provide ether **20** (90%). Ozonolysis of **20** gave ester **21** (81%) which was converted to thiolactam **22** (90%) using Lawesson's reagent.^{11,12} Application of the Eschemoser sulfide contraction procedure to **22** did afford vinylogous urethane **23** in 51% yield. Borch reduction of **23** gave the desired all-cis pyrrolidine **25** (77%) along with 14% of **24**.¹³ Finally, hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether using rhodium on alumina afforded lactone **3** in 80% yield.

In summary, it was found that a Eschenmoser sufide contraction on a thiolactam substrate carrying a β -acyloxy group was complicated by a competitive β -elimination reaction.¹⁴ This problem was overcome, however, by changing the β -substituent to an alkoxy group. This tactic should expand the scope of the sulfide contraction process to include β -oxygenated substrates.¹⁵



(a) AcCl (b) MeNH₂, CH₂Cl₂ (c) HCl, EtOH (d) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF (e) NaBH₄, MeOH (f) NaH, Mel, THF (g) TMSCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂, TiCl₄, CH₂Cl₂, -78^oC \rightarrow rt (h) *n*-Bu₄NF, THF (i) NaH, BnBr, THF (j) O₃, MeOH-H₂O-NaOH, CH₂Cl₂ (k) (MeOC₆H₄PS₂)₂, CH₂Cl₂ (l) TfOCH₂CO₂Me; Ph₃P, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂ (m) NaBH₃CN, MeOH, pH4 (n) H₂, Pd(OH)₂

References and Notes

- Kozikowski, A. P.; Roberti, M.; Xiang, L.; Bergmann, J. S.; Callahan, P. M.; Cunningham, K. A.; Johnson, K. M. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 35, 4764. Simoni, D.; Stoelwinder, M.: Kozikowski, A. P.; Johnson, K. M.; Bergmann, J. S.; Ball, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 3975.
- 2. Roth, M.; Dubs, P.; Goschi, E.; Eschenmoser, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1971, 54, 710.
- The synthesis of starting thiolactam 1 was accomplished from imide 14 (*vide supra*) using an intramolecular Wittig reaction as described below. For other intramolecular Wittig reactions of imides see Flitsch, W.; Wernsmann, P.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, *22*, 719. Flitsch, W.; Russkamp, P.; *Annalen* 1983, 521. Flitsch, W.; Pandl, K.; Russkamp, P. *Annalen* 1983, 529.



 $R = CO_2 CH_2 I$ (100%)

(a) BrCH₂COCl, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂ (b) Nal, acetone (c) Ph₃P, CH₃CN; then Et₃N (d) H₂, Rh/Al₂O₃, EtOAc (e) (ρ -MeOC₆H₄PS₂)₂, CH₂Cl₂

- 4. Petersen, J. S.; Fels, G.; Rapoport, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4539.
- Gompper, R.; Elser, W. J. L. Ann. Chem. 1969, 725, 64. Hart, D. J.; Hong, W.-P.; Hsu, L.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4665.
- 6. Thiolactams 7 and 8 were prepared by a procedure similar to that described for thiolactam 22 in Scheme 3. Details will be described elsewhere.
- A substructure search using CAS REACT failed to uncover an example of an Eschenmoser sulfide contraction of a β-oxygenated 2-thiopyrrolidinone.
- Hubert, J. C.; Wijnberg, J. B. P. A.; Speckamp, W. N. *Tetrahedron* 1975, *34*, 179. Chamberlin, A. R.; Chung, J. Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, *105*, 3653. Hart, D. J.; Yang, T.-K. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1983, 135.
- Kuzmich, D.; Wu, S. C.; Ha, D.-C.; Lee, C.-S.; Ramesh, S.; Atarashi, S.; Choi, J.-K.; Hart, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6943.
- Hart, D. J.; Tsai, Y.-M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, *22*, 1567. Kraus, G. A.; Neuenschwander, K. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1982, 134. For stereochemical studies of relevance see Thaning, M.; Wistrand, L.-G. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, *55*, 1406. Bernardi, A.; Micheli, F.; Potenza, D.; Scolastico, C.; Villa, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, *31*, 4949. Koot, W.-J.; van Ginkel, R.; Kranenburg, M.; Hiemstra, H.; Louwrier, S.; Moolenaar, M. J.; Speckamp, W. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, *32*, 401.
- 11. Marshall, J. A.; Garofalo, A. W.; Sedrani, R. C. Synlett 1992, 643.
- 12. Scheibye, S.; Pederson, B. S.; Lawesson, S.-O. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1978, 87, 229.
- 13. Borch, R. F.; Bernstein, M. D.; Durst, H. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2897.
- 14. Acetylation of the hydroxyl group of 19 followed by treatment of the derived lactam with Lawesson's reagent provided a thiolactam which gave only the corresponding pyrrole in 95% yield upon exposure to the aforementioned sulfide contraction conditions. Thus, we are confident that the elimination problem is due to the nature of the β-substituent and not ring strain that might be present in bicyclic thiolactam 1.
- 15. We thank Interneuron Pharmaceuticals for a generous gift that supported this research and acknowledge instrumentation support from the Campus Chemical Instrumentation Center at The Ohio State University.

(Received in USA 26 June 1995; revised 22 August 1995; accepted 23 August 1995)