Articles

Amphoteric Allenylidene Ruthenium Complexes and the First Dinuclear Ruthenium Species with a Bis-alkenyl **Carbyne Bridging Ligand**

Stéphane Rigaut,* Daniel Touchard,* and Pierre H. Dixneuf

Institut de Chimie de Rennes, UMR 6509 CNRS-Université de Rennes 1: Organométalliques et Catalyse, Campus de Beaulieu 35042 Rennes, France

Received May 30, 2003

Cationic ruthenium(II) allenylidenes *trans*- $[Cl(dppe)_2Ru=C=C=C(CH_3)R]BF_4$ (R = Ph, CH₃) can be deprotonated to generate neutral alkenyl acetylide metal *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru- $C = C - C (= CH_2)R$ or protonated with HBF₄·Et₂O to lead to dicationic ruthenium(II) carbynes *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru \equiv C-CH=C(CH₃)R](BF₄)₂. The latter reaction was applied to transform a conjugated bis-allenylidene bridged diruthenium complex, trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C= C(CH₃)-*p*-C₆H₄-(CH₃)C=C=C=Ru(dppe)₂Cl](BF₄)₂, into the first bis-alkenyl carbyne ruthenium species trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C-CH=C(CH₃)-p-C₆H₄-(CH₃)C=HC-C=Ru(dppe)₂Cl]- $(BF_4)_4.$

Introduction

Allenylidene metal species [M]=C=C=CR¹R² and even cumulenylidenes have been largely developed since Selegue's 1982 breakthrough showing their direct synthesis from readily available propargylic alcohols.¹ Their design, preparation, and applications are now well documented.²⁻⁹ Ruthenium(II) allenylidenes correspond to their most representative group showing interest for both innovative stoichiometric reactions^{2,3} and catalysis.^{5,6} For instance, [(p-cymene)RuCl(=C=C=CPh₂)- PCy_3]⁺X⁻ precursors represent a valid alternative to alkylidene ruthenium catalysts for olefin metathesis.⁵ These carbon-rich complexes are also valuable synthons for advanced architectures for molecular-scaled electronics due to the association of stable redox systems with carbon-rich systems.^{9,10} By contrast, the synthesis of Fischer type carbyne complexes has always been a more laborious process.^{11–16} Actually, ruthenium car-

(13) (a) Baker, L. J.; Clark, G. R.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Roper, W. R.;
Woodgate, S. D.; Wright, L. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 551, 247.
(b) Coalter, J. N., III; Bollinger, J. C.; Eisentsein, O.; Caulton, K. G. New J. Chem. 2000, 24, 925.

^{*} Corresponding authors. E-mail: daniel.touchard@univ-rennes1.fr (D.T.); stephane.rigaut@univ-rennes1.fr (S.R.). Fax: +33 2 23 23 52 00.

Selegue, J. P. Organometallics **1982**, *1*, 217.
 (a) Bruce, M. I. Chem. Rev. **1998**, *98*, 2797. (b) M. I. Bruce, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 197. (c) Cadierno, V.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 571.

⁽³⁾ Werner, H. Chem. Commun. 1997, 903.

⁽⁴⁾ Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178, 8-180, 409.

^{(5) (}a) Furstner, A.; Picquet, M.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1315. (b) Picquet, M.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 2249. (c) Picquet, M.; Touchard, D.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. *New J. Chem.* **2000**, 141. (d) Osipov, S. N.; Artyushin, O. I.; Kolomiets, A. F.; Bruneau, C.; Picquet, M.; Dixneuf, P. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 3891.

^{(6) (}a) Maddock, S. M.; Finn, M. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2138. (b) Shara, H.; Jafarpour, L.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 5187. (c) Reetz, M. T.; Becker, M. H.; Liebl,

 ^{(7) (}a) Rigaut, S.; Maury, O.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem.
 (7) (a) Rigaut, S.; Maury, O.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem.
 Commun. 2001, 373. (b) Touchard, D.; Haquette, P.; Daridor, A.; Romero, A.; Dixneuf, P. H. Organometallics 1998, 17, 3844. (c) Guesmi, S.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Commun. 1996, 2773. (d) Auger, N.; Touchard, D.; Rigaut, S.; Halet, J.-F.; Saillard, J.-Y. Organo-metallics 2003, 22, 1638.

^{(8) (}a) Rigaut, S.; Perruchon, J.; Le Pichon, L.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *670*, 37. (b) Rigaut, S., Monnier, F.; Mousset, F.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 2657.

^{(9) (}a) Rigaut, S.; Massue, J.; Touchard, D.; Fillaut, J.-L.; Golhen, S.; Dixneuf, P. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 4513. (b) Rigaut, S.; Le Pichon, L.; Daran, J.-C.; Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. *Chem.* Commun. 2001. 1206.

^{(10) (}a) Paul, F.; Lapinte, C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178-180, 431. (11) (a) Gallop, M. A.; Roper, W. R. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 25, 121. (b) Kim, H. P.; Angelici, R. J. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 27, 51. (c) Buhro, W. E.; Chisholm, M. H. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 27, 311. (d) Fischer, H.; Hofmann, P.; Kreissl, F. R.; Schrock, R. R.; Schubert, U.; Weiss, K. Carbyne Complexes; VCH: Weinheim, Germann 1999, (d) Marga A. Un Schubert L. M. Adv. Organomet. Chem. many, 1988. (e) Mayr, A.; Hoffmeister, H. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 32, 227. (f) Engel, P. F.; Pfeffer, M. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2281. (g) Hill, A. F. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1995; Vol. 7. (h) Herdon, J. W. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *227*, 1. (12) (a) Luecke, H. F.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11008. (b) Tang, Y.; Sun, J.; Chen, J. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2459. (c) Zhang, L.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J.; Carbajo, R. J.; López-Ortiz, F.; Guedes da Silva, M. F. C.; Pombeiro, A. J. L. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 341. (d) Bannwart, E.; Jacobsen, H.; Furno, F.; Berke, H. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 3605. (e) Enriquez, A.; Templeton, J.

Organometallics 2002, 21, 852.

<sup>New J. Chem. 2000, 24, 925.
(14) (a) Gonzalez-Herrero, P.; Weberndörfer, B.; Ilg, K.; Wolf, J.;
Werner H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3266. (b) Gonzalez-Herrero, P.; Weberndörfer, B.; Ilg, K.; Wolf, J.; Werner, H.; Organometallics 2001, 20, 3672. (c) Stüer, W.; Wolf, J.; Werner, H.; Schwab, P.; Shultz, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3421.
(15) (a) Jung, S.; Brandt, C. D.; Werner, H. New J. Chem. 2001, 25, 1101. (b) Jung, S.; Ilg, K.; Brandt, C. D.; Wolf, J.; Werner H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 318. (c) Bustelo, E.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Mereiter, K.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1903.</sup>

bynes are very scarce, $^{13-15}$ unlike their osmium analogues, 16 despite their interest as catalysts in olefin metathesis. 14c,15b Synthetic routes usually involve the conversion of coordinated carbenes such as vinylidenes. 14 and allenylidenes. 15

We recently reported that cationic allenylidenes *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₂R₁)R₂]BF₄ (dppe = 1,2bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane) react with a ruthenium acetylide complex as a route to rich bimetallic complexes via an original coupling reaction.^{9a} This process involves as an initial step the easy deprotonation of the allenylidene complex on the δ carbon. The deprotonation/protonation study of ruthenium allenylidene now reveals (i) the amphoteric properties of ruthenium allenylidenes trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₃)R]BF₄, (ii) that protonation of these ruthenium allenylidenes provides alkenyl carbyne ruthenium species without decoordination of any ligand, and (iii) that the protonation of a conjugated bimetallic complex with a bis-allenylidene bridge offers a route to the first bis-carbyne ruthenium species. The objective of this paper is to report these new aspects of the chemistry of ruthenium allenylidene complexes.

Results and Discussion

Allenylidene species display an extensive reactivity.^{2,3} Nucleophiles usually add either on the C_{α} or on the C_{γ} atom of the allenylidene ligand.¹⁷ However, allenylidene ruthenium complexes with metal fragments bearing electron-releasing bulky phosphines lead to the regioselective addition on C_{γ} for steric and electronic reasons.^{7,18} These observations were recently rationalized with computational studies.^{7d} They also suggested that the HOMO localization would favor orbital-controlled addition on the C_{β} atom. An additional feature of allenylidene reactivity consists in the deprotonation on a δ carbon to produce a ruthenium alkenyl acetylide by action of a weak base.¹⁹ This should be a general characteristic for allenylidene with a $-CHR^1R^2$ group on the C_{γ} carbon atom.

To verify the possible amphoteric behavior of allenylidenes, we used *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₃)R]-BF₄ (R = Ph, CH₃) complexes⁹ (Scheme 1). Deprotonations of **1a**,**b** were performed using Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂. The deeply colored cationic complexes quickly led to pale yellow solutions of **2a**,**b**. After column chromatography to eliminate ammonium salts, these complexes were fully characterized. The ³¹P analysis displays one resonance respectively at 50.60 (**2a**) and 50.91 (**2b**) ppm,

(17) (a) Esteruelas, M. A.; Gomez, A. V.; Lopez, A.; Modrego, J.;
Oňate, E. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5826. (b) Cadierno, V.; Gamasa,
M. P.; Gimeno, J.; González-Cueva, M.; Lastra, E.; Borge, J.; García
Granda, S.; Pérez-Carreño, E. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2137.
(18) (a) Bruce, M. I.; Low, P. J.; Tiekink, E. R. T. J. Organomet.

(18) (a) Bruce, M. I.; Low, P. J.; Tiekink, E. R. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 572, 3. (b) de los Rios, I.; Gimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Organomet Chem. 1997, 549, 221.



showing that the four phosphorus atoms remain equivalent and that the chlorine atom and alkynyl ligand are in a *trans* orientation. The most characteristic features of the ¹H NMR data are the two coupled ethylenic hydrogen atoms on C_o. For **2b** the doublets are observed at 5.26 and 4.74 ppm with ²J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz. In addition, characteristic IR vibration stretches are observed: $\nu_{C=C}$ = 2049 (**2a**), 2041 (**2b**) cm⁻¹ and $\nu_{C=C}$ = 1586 (**2a**), 1557 (**2b**) cm⁻¹. These alkenyl acetylides are easily protonated in the presence of 1 equiv of HBF₄·Et₂O to regenerate the allenylidene species **1a,b**.

By attempting to protonate ruthenium alkenyl acetylides 2a,b to obtain ruthenium allenylidenes 1a,b, with a slight excess of acid (1.2 equiv), we observed in addition to **1a**,**b** the formation of a small amount of a thermally stable product. When protonations were carried out starting from the allenylidene complexes 1a,b with a large excess of HBF4·Et2O, the formation of the same products identified as alkenyl carbynes (3a,b) was observed. Such a ruthenium allenylidene protonation reaction was recently reported in two other examples leading to alkenyl carbynes [ClRu=C-CH=CPh₂- $(\kappa^2 - P, O - Cy_2 PCH_2 CH_2 OCH_3)(\kappa^2 - P - Cy_2 PCH_2 CH_2 OCH_3)]$ $(BF_4, PF_6)_2^{15a}$ [Cp*(dippe)Ru=C-CH=CPh₂]and $(B(Ar_{F})_{4})_{2}.^{15c}$ The latter was the only one obtained without decoordination of any ligand.

For example, total conversion to **3a** was obtained from **1a** with the addition of 3 equiv of HBF₄·Et₂O, and from the alkenylmetal acetylide 2a with 4 equiv. A large excess of acid was necessary in order to displace the equilibrium. The carbyne structure of 3a is supported by NMR evidence. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows a complex signal at $\delta = 6.19$ ppm attributed to the β hydrogen. Interestingly, the two methyl groups are quite different: one is observed at 1.68 ppm and the other one at -0.11 ppm. The latter high-field chemical shift is rather surprising and is likely due to the shielding of the dppe ligands in close proximity of a *cis* methyl group with respect to the ruthenium. This is also supported by a NOESY experiment showing a correlation feature between the aromatic protons of the dppe ligand and those of the methyl group. Furthermore, another correlation is also observed between the proton at 6.19 ppm and those of the other methyl group at 1.68 ppm, corroborating this attribution. The ³¹P NMR shows a singlet for the four equivalent phosphorus atoms at higher field than for allenylidenes ($\delta = 36.53$ ppm vs 42.2 ppm), showing the free rotation of the carbon chain

⁽¹⁶⁾ For examples see: (a) Castarlenas, R.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oñate, E. Organometallics 2001, 20, 3283. (b) Wen, T. B.; Cheung, Y. K.; Yao, J.; Wong, W.-T.; Zhou, Z. Y.; Jia, G. Organometallics 2000, 19, 3803. (c) Werner, H.; Jung, S.; Weberndorfer, B.; Wolf, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 951, 1. (d) LaPointe, A. M.; Schrock, R. R.; Davis, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4802. (e) Clarck, G. R.; Marsden, K.; Roper, W. R.; Wright, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6570. (f) Espuelas, J.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A.; Ruiz N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4683. (g) Caulton, K. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 617–618, 56.

Scheme 2



along the Ru=C-CH axis. The chemical shift of the C_{α} carbon is located at $\delta = 307.74$ ppm (quintet, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 13$ Hz), that of C_{γ} at $\delta = 199.65$ ppm, and that of C_{β} at $\delta =$ 129.94 ppm. They are consistent with the ethylenic bond and with Valerga's and Werner's observations.^{15a,c} IR spectroscopy confirms the disappearance of the characteristic vibration stretch for the allenylidene species $(\nu_{=C=C=C} = 1958 \text{ cm}^{-1})$, but we were unable to assign a characteristic carbyne vibration stretch. Similar experimental and spectroscopic observations were obtained for **3b**. In that case, they are consistent with an *E* configuration of the double bond as expected for steric reasons, and the Z methyl group also resonates at high field (δ = 0.42 ppm). In addition, UV-vis studies showed a significant 60 nm shift of the metal to a ligand charge transfer band (MLCT) from $\lambda_{max} = 482$ nm for the allenylidene 1b to 426 nm for the carbyne 3b as a consequence of the conjugation change. In the case of **3a**, a similar blue shift ($\lambda_{max} = 420$ nm for **1a**) is observed but difficult to determine accurately since the band is shifted in the UV range and is overlapped by high-energy absorptions.

Not unexpectedly, these protonations can be easily reversed. Our attempts to isolate a stable salt of the alkenyl carbyne ruthenium failed. The β proton is very acidic, and addition of a very weak base such as acetone to a solution of **3a**,**b**, and even of diethyl ether to wash the solid, leads to the regeneration of the allenylidene compounds. Interestingly, this protonation reaction does not apply to allenylidenes such as *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru= C=C=CPh₂]BF₄. This behavior can be attributed to the steric interactions in the resulting carbyne and to the electron-withdrawing effect of the second phenyl group, which decreases the nucleophilic character of C_{β}.

The application of this protonation reaction to bisallenylidene species was attractive as an attempt to prepare the first bis-alkenyl carbyne ruthenium complex bridging two ruthenium moieties. The allenylidene **5** with two methyl groups was prepared using the same strategy of activation of the derivative 4, with two propargylic alcohol functionalities, as that leading to trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=CH-p-C₆H₄-CH=C=C= $Ru(dppe)_2Cl](PF_6)_2$ (Scheme 2).^{7c} This compound, 5, was fully characterized on the basis of its NMR, IR, UVvis, and HR-MS (FAB) data. The double deprotonation of 5, with Et₃N, readily yielded the expected bisalkenylmetal acetylide 6 (Scheme 2). Characteristic features similar to those of 2a and 2b were observed. The protonation reaction was carried out with various amounts of acid in order to observe mono- and bisprotonated species. Three new signals were observed, using ³¹P NMR monitoring, at δ = 38.73, 37.07, and 36.70 ppm. Progressively, increasing the acid amount first led to the predominance of the signals at $\delta = 38.73$ and 36.70 ppm and then to their disappearance to the benefit of that at 37.07 ppm. We then ascribed the latter to the bis-protonated species 8 with two equivalent metal centers. By analogy, the two other signals are those of the monoprotonated species **7** with $\delta = 38.73$ ppm for the allenylidene moiety and $\delta = 36.70$ ppm for the carbyne moiety. Unfortunately it was not possible to isolate this species generated with 1 equiv of acid, likely because of a fast proton exchange between the various protonation sites, producing a mixture of the three compounds in equilibrium. The protonation was complete with 6 equiv of acid and gives exclusively the bis-carbyne 8. Not surprisingly, the other spectroscopic features are very close to those observed for 3b, including for ¹H NMR the β hydrogen signal at δ = 6.10 ppm and the methyl group at $\delta = 0.39$ ppm. The UV-vis spectra of 8 also shows a blue shift of the broad MLCT band from $\lambda_{max} = 589$ nm for the blue allenylidene **5** to $\lambda_{max} = 496$ nm for the red bis-carbyne species 8, which is larger than that of the monometallic species. It is of note that complex 6 displays no absorption band in the visible range, as observed for monometallic acetylides 2b and 2a. Therefore, the three characterized forms of the bimetallic complex, or of the monometallic complexes, with three very different types of absorption in the visible range are again a demonstration that a slight variation in the structure of the chain of ruthenium(II) carbon-rich complexes induces significant modifications of the properties of the molecule.⁹ Any reversible reaction (or interaction) that will induce a modification of the conjugation of the carbon bridge makes them good candidates for the design of new sensors or switches.²⁰

In conclusion, we have shown that electron-rich allenylidenes of the type *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₃)R]BF₄ (R = Ph, CH₃) can be easily deprotonated on the δ carbon to alkenyl acetylide metal complexes and protonated to generate new alkenyl carbyne ruthenium complexes. The protonation of a conjugated bisallenylidene ruthenium complex allowed the isolation of the first bis-alkenyl carbyne ligand bridging two ruthenium moieties.

Experimental Section

General Comments. The reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere using Schlenk techniques. Solvents were freshly distilled under argon using standard procedures. Chromatography and filtration were performed using alumina (Acros, activated neutral 50–200 μ m). Mass spectra were recorded on a Zab SpecETOF FAB⁺ spectrometer. *cis*-[(dppe)₂-RuCl₂],²¹ [(dppe)₂RuCl]BF₄,²² and allenylidene compounds *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₃)R]BF₄ (R = Ph, CH₃)^{9a} (**1a**,**b**) were prepared as previously reported.

trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru-C=C-C(CH₃)=CH₂] (2a). In a Schlenk tube containing 570 mg of trans-[Cl(dppe)2Ru=C= C=C(CH₃)₂]BF₄ (0.52 mmol), 40 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 0.5 mL of Et₃N were added. The green mixture turned to pale yellow and was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was then concentrated, and the product was purified by column chromatography over Al₂O₃ (elution with diethyl ether). After evaporation, the pale yellow solid was washed with pentane (10 mL), and 408 mg (0.41 mmol) of 2a was recovered (78% yield). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 50.60 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.72–6.92 (m, 40H, Ph), 4.59 (m, 1H, CH), 4.39 (d, 1H, CH, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 3.0$ Hz), 2.64 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.57 (s, CH₃). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CDCl_3): δ 137.16–126.49 (Ph), 132.05 (Ru– C=C-C), 120.64 (quint., Ru-C=C-, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 16$ Hz), 116.10 (s, Ru-C=C-), 110.68 (s, =CH₂), 30.81 (CH₂, $|{}^{1}J_{PC} + {}^{3}J_{PC}| =$ 23 Hz), 24.55 (CH₃). IR: 2049 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{C=C}$), 1586 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{C=C}$). HR-MS FAB⁺ (m/z): 998.1842 ([M]⁺, calcd 998.1830). Anal. Found for C57H53P4ClRu: C 69.01, H 5.47 (Calcd: C 68.57, H 5.35).

trans·[Cl(dppe)₂Ru–C=C–C(Ph) CH₂] (2b). In a Schlenk tube containing 600 mg of *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₃)-Ph]BF₄ (0.52 mmol), 40 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 0.5 mL of Et₃N were added. The red mixture turned to pale yellow and was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was then concentrated, and the product was purified by column chromatography over Al₂O₃ (elution with diethyl ether). After evaporation, the pale yellow solid was washed with pentane (10 mL), and 454 mg (0.43 mmol) of **2b** was recovered (82% yield). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 50.91 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.72–6.90 (m, 45H, Ph), 5.26 (d, 1H, CH, ²J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz), 4.74 (d, 1H, CH, ²J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz), 2.66 (m, 8 H, CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.72–126.46 (Ph), 135.80 (Ru–C=C–*C*), 124.51 (quint., Ru–*C*=C–, ²J_{PC} = 15 Hz), 114.60 (s, Ru–C=*C*–), 112.21 (s, =CH₂), 30.61 (CH₂, |¹J_{PC} +³J_{PC}| = 23 Hz). IR: 2041

cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{C=C}$), 1557 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{C=C}$). HR-MS FAB⁺ (*m/z*): 1060.2001 ([M]⁺, calcd 1060.1986). Anal. Found for C₅₇H₅₃P₄ClRu: C 70.41, H 5.40 (Calcd: C 70.22, H 5.23).

trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C-CH=C(CH₃)₂](BF₄)₂ (3a). In an NMR tube containing 17 mg of *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C=C(CH₃)₂]BF₄ (0.016 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CD₂Cl₂, 7 μ L of HBF₄· Et₂O (3 equiv) was added. The green solution turned to yellow. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 36.53 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 7.58–7.14 (m, 40H, Ph), 6.19 (m, 1H, CH), 3.30 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.68 (m, (*E*)-CH₃), -0.11 (s, (*Z*)-CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 307.74 (quint., Ru=*C*, ²*J*_{PC} = 13 Hz), 199.65 (Ru=*C*-HC=*C*), 134.28–127.93 (Ph), 129.94 (s, Ru=*C*-*C*H), 28.99 (CH₂, |¹*J*_{PC} + ³*J*_{PC}| = 22 Hz), 28.37 ((*E*)-CH₃), 27.65 ((*Z*)-CH₃).

trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C-CH=C(CH₃)Ph](BF₄)₂ (3b). In an NMR tube containing 8 mg of *trans*-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C=C= C(CH₃)Ph]BF₄ (0.009 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CD₂Cl₂, 5 μ L of HBF₄· Et₂O (4 equiv) was added. The red solution turned to yellow. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 36.85 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 7.80–7.00 (m, 45H, Ph), 6.09 (m, 1H, CH), 3.33 (m, 8H, CH₂), 0.42 (s, CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 323.05 (Ru=*C*), 184.67 (Ru=C-HC=*C*), 138.11–129.89 (Ph), 125.45 (Ru=C-*C*H), 28.59 (CH₂, |¹J_{PC} + ³J_{PC}| = 23 Hz), 23.49 (CH₃).

HC≡C-(OH)C(CH₃)-*p*-C₆H₄-(CH₃)C(OH)-C≡CH (4). In a Schlenk tube, 40 mmol of acetylene was dissolved in 40 mL of THF at -78 °C. Then, 30 mmol of "BuLi (solution 1.6 M in hexane, 18.75 mL) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred for 30 mn at -78 °C. In another tube, 2.03 g (12.5 mmol) of p-diacetobenzene was dissolved in 10 mL of THF and added with a cannula to the solution. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature before hydrolysis with 10 mL of a saturated NH₄Cl solution. The crude product was extracted with diethyl ether (4 \times 50 mL), washed with water (3 \times 20 mL), and dried. Further purification was achieved by chromatography over silica gel (10% diethyl ether in pentane) to afford 2.09 g of 4 (9.8 mmol) in 78% yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.54 (s, 4H, C₆H₄), 6.09 (s, 2H, OH), 3.52 (s, 2H, C=CH), 1.62 (s, 6H, CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 146.11 (Cq phenyl), 125.35 (CH phenyl), 89.65 (C≡CH), 75.42 (C= OH), 68.52 (C=CH), 34.41 (s, CH₃). IR: 2132 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{C=C}$) = cm⁻¹. HR-MS EI (m/z): 214.0990 ([M]⁺, calcd 214.0994).

trans-[Cl(dppe)2Ru=C=C=C(CH3)-p-C6H4-(CH3)C=C= C=Ru(dppe)₂Cl](BF₄)₂ (5). In a Schlenk tube containing 500 mg of $[(dppe)_2 RuCl]BF_4$ (0.5 mmol) and 54 mg of HC=C-(OH)C(CH₃)-*p*-C₆H₄-(CH₃)C(OH)-C≡CH (0.25 mmol), 40 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added. The solution was stirred for 10 days at room temperature. After evaporation, the residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 \times 20 mL). Further crystallization in a dichloromethane/pentane mixture, led to 310 mg of dark blue crystals (56%) of 5. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 40.06 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 7.30–7.02 (m, 80H, Ph), 6.59 (s, 4H, C₆H₄), 2.98 (m, 16H, CH₂), 1.55 (s, 6H, CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR $(CD_2Cl_2): \delta$ 329.29 (C_{α}) , 223.98 (s, C_{β}) , 161.01 (s, C_{γ}) , 134.11-128.31 (Ph), 32.43 (CH₃), 29.32 (m, $|{}^{1}J_{PC} + {}^{3}J_{PC}| = 23$ Hz, CH₂). IR: 1940 cm⁻¹ ($\nu_{=C=C=C}$). HR-MS FAB⁺ (*m*/*z*): 2131.3837 $([M^{2+}, BF_4^{-}]^+, calcd 2131.3837)$. Anal. Found for $C_{118}H_{106}P_8Cl_2^{-}$ Ru₂B₂F₈: C 63.27, H 5.16 (Calcd: C 63.88, H 4.82).

trans·[Cl(dppe)₂Ru–C≡C–C(=CH₂)-*p*-C₆H₄–(CH₂=)C– C≡C–Ru(dppe)₂Cl] (6). In a Schlenk tube containing 250 mg of 5 (0.13 mmol.), 40 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and 0.5 mL of Et₃N were added. The blue mixture turned to pale yellow and was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was then concentrated, and the product was purified by column chromatography over Al₂O₃ (elution with diethyl ether). After evaporation, the pale yellow solid was washed with pentane (10 mL), and 170 mg (0.08 mmol) of **6** was recovered (74% yield). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 50.16 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.71–6.84 (m, 84H, Ph), 5.13 (d, 2H, CH, ²J_{HH} = 2.0 Hz), 4.66 (d, 2H, CH, ²J_{HH} = 2.0 Hz), 2.62 (m, 16H, CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 140.31–125.98 (Ph), 135.93 (Ru– C≡C−*C*), 124.20 (Ru−*C*≡C), 114.87 (s, Ru−C≡*C*−), 112.80 (s, =CH₂), 30.56 (CH₂, |¹J_{PC} + ³J_{PC}| = 22 Hz). IR: 2047 cm⁻¹

⁽²⁰⁾ Fillaut, J.-L.; Price, M.; Johnson, A. L.; Perruchon, J. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 739.

⁽²¹⁾ Chaudret, B.; Commengues, G.; Poilblanc, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1635.

⁽²²⁾ Polam, J. R.; Porter, L. C. J. Coord. Chem. 1993, 29, 109.

 $(\nu_{C=C}),\,1572~cm^{-1}~(\nu_{C=C}).$ HR-MS ESI $(m/z):\,2043.3723~([M + H]^+~calcd~2043.3621).$ Anal. Found for $C_{118}H_{104}P_8Cl_2Ru_2\cdot$ CH_2Cl_2: C 67.12, H 5.01 (Calcd: C 67.17, H 5.02).

trans-[Cl(dppe)₂Ru=C-CH=C(CH₃)-*p*-C₆H₄-(CH₃)C= CH-C=Ru(dppe)₂Cl](BF₄)₄ (8). In an NMR tube containing 8 mg of 5 (0.009 mmol) in 0.5 mL of CD₂Cl₂, 10 μ L of HBF₄· Et₂O (8 equiv) was added. The blue solution turned to yellow. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 37.07 (s, PPh₂). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 7.65-7.15 (m, 84H, Ph), 6.10 (m, 2H, CH), 3.33 (m, 16H, CH₂), 0.39 (s, CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 314.13 (Ru=*C*), 182.35 (Ru≡C−HC=*C*), 140.90−127.32 (Ph), 128.41 (Ru≡C− *C*H), 28.14 (CH₂, $|^{1}J_{PC}| + {}^{3}J_{PC}| = 23$ Hz), 23.56 (CH₃).

Acknowledgment. We thank the CNRS and the Université de Rennes 1 for support, and Dr. Pierre Guénot from the Centre Régional de Mesures Physiques de l'Ouest (Rennes) and Dr. Arnaud Bondon (UMR 6509) for their help in structure determination.

OM0304098