Formal Total Synthesis of (\pm) -Trichodiene *via* Claisen Rearrangement and Robinson Annulation

Jae-Chul Jung^a, Young-Jo Jung^b, and Oee-Sook Park^c

^a Department of Neuroscience and Medical Research Institute, College of Medicine,

- Ewha Womans University, Ewha Global Challenge BK21, Seoul 158-710, South Korea
- ^b Union Chemical Corporation, Cheongju 361-290, Chungbuk, South Korea

^c Department of Chemistry, Institute for Basic Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 361-763, Chungbuk, South Korea

Reprint requests to Prof. Oee-Sook Park. Fax: +82-43-267-227. E-mail: ospark@cbnu.ac.kr

Z. Naturforsch. 2008, 63b, 293-297; received October 23, 2007

A facile formal total synthesis of (\pm) -trichodiene was achieved by employing Claisen rearrangement and Robinson annulation as synthetic key strategies.

Key words: (±)-Trichodiene, Claisen Rearrangement, Robinson Annulation

Introduction

 (\pm) -Trichodiene (1) is a volatile sesquiterpene which was isolated from the extract of the mycelium of the fungus Trichothecium roseum Link [1]. The structure of (\pm) -trichodiene (1) was determined by Nozoe and Machida in 1970 via degradation reactions and by spectroscopic methods [2]. (\pm) -Trichodiene has been shown to be the biogenetic precursor of the trichothecane family of sesquiterpenoids as characterized by the cytotoxic fungal metabolite (-)-trichodermin (2, Fig. 1) [3]. The trichothecane family of sesquiterpenoids has stimulated significant interest due to its wide range of intriguing biological activities [4] such as antifungal [5], antibacterial [6], cytotoxic [7], and phytotoxic effects [8], and the inhibition of enzymes [9]. Several elegant synthetic approaches have been reported [10] in the literature; most of these methods are racemic syntheses, and only a few approaches are applicable to the stereocontrolled synthesis of (+)trichodiene or (-)-trichodiene. The Lange group [11] has performed a synthesis of (\pm) -trichodiene using a free radical fragmentation approach. Meyer et al. [12] reported the asymmetric synthesis of (-)-trichodiene based on the generation of vicinal stereogenic quaternary centers via a thio-Claisen rearrangement. Gilbert et al. [13] described an enantioselective synthesis of (-)-trichodiene through Ireland-Claisen rearrangement of a ketene silvl acetal with excellent stereoselectivity.

Herein, we wish to report a formal total synthesis of (\pm) -trichodiene (1). Key synthetic steps of our strategy





are the Claisen rearrangement of vinyl ether 4 and the Robinson annulation of aldehyde 10a in order to construct quaternary centers of enone 3 which has been converted into (\pm) -trichodiene (1).

Results and Discussion

Our retrosynthetic analysis of (\pm) -trichodiene (1) is shown in Scheme 1. (\pm) -Trichodiene (1) has been synthesized from enone **3** by using a Wittig reaction and metal reduction [14]. We envisaged that the enone **3** would be accessible from the vinyl ether **4** via a Claisen rearrangement [15] and a Robinson annulation of aldehyde **10a** [16] (Scheme 2).

Cyclohexane was treated with acetyl chloride in the presence of aluminum chloride in chloroform to give 1-acetyl-2-methylcyclopentene (**6**) [17]. Compound **6** was oxidized with freshly prepared potassium hypochlorite (KOCl) solution (calcium hypochlorite was treated with 0.5 equiv. of potassium hydroxide and 0.75 equiv. of potassium carbonate in H₂O) to obtain 2-methyl-1-cyclopentenecarboxylic acid (**7**) in

0932–0776 / 08 / 0300–0293 \$ 06.00 © 2008 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · http://znaturforsch.com



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of (\pm) -trichodiene (1).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of (\pm) trichodiene (1): (a) AlCl₃, AcCl, CHCl₃, reflux, 2 h; then r. t., 48 h; then KOH, MeOH, r. t., 16 h (32%); (b) KOCl [Ca(OCl)₂, KOH, K₂CO₃], H₂O, 0 °C, 16 h (80%); (c) LAH, diethyl ether, r. t., 1 h (78%); (d) Hg(OAc)₂, ethyl vinyl ether, reflux, 28 h (72%); (e) neat, 235–240 °C, 1 h (83%); or Ph₃P, Et₂AlCl, 1,2-dichloroethane, r. t., 1 h (51%); (f) KH, CH₃I, THF, 5 °C, 30 min; then r. t., 6 h (70%); (g) KH, 3-buten-2-one, r. t., 1 h; then 80–90 °C, 1 h (60%).

80 % yield [18]. Subsequent reduction of compound 7 was accomplished by treatment with lithium aluminum hydride (LAH) in dry THF to give 2-methyl-1-cyclopentenylmethanol (8) in good yield [19]. Alcohol 8 was treated with freshly distilled ethyl vinyl ether in the presence of mercury acetate, [Hg(OAc)₂], to yield 1-methyl-2-vinyloxymethylcyclopentene (4) in 72 % yield, which was subjected to Claisen rearrangement at 235 - 240 °C for 1 h in a silicon oil bath to afford 1-methyl-1-formylmethyl-2-methylenecyclopentane (9) in 83 % yield (including recovered starting material).

Vinyl ether **4** was also treated with triphenylphosphine and diethyl aluminum chloride in 1,2-dichloroethane to give compound **9** in 51% yield. Although the latter reaction condition was more convenient for handling, the thermal Claisen rearrangement method afforded a clean product and superior yield. Methylation of compound **9** was performed with KH (30 wt % dispersion in mineral oil) and CH₃I in dry THF to provide a 1:1 mixture of aldehyde **10**. Separation of the resulting mixture by preparative TLC (0.5 mm, 20×20 cm², silica gel, 2% EtOAc in hexane) afforded **10a** and **10b** (1:1, 70% combined yield). The desired component **10a** was subjected to Robinson annulation with 3-buten-2-one at 80-90 °C for 1 h in a steam bath to afford a 1.2:1 mixture of compound **3**. This mixture could be separated by flash chromatography [20] with 5% EtOAc in hexane by using twice the amount of silica gel specified in [20] (1.2:1, 60% combined yield). Most diagnostic for the assignment of the relative stereochemistry to the isomers were the singlets $\delta = 1.18$ and 1.22 that are ascribable to the methyl group at C-4 of the cyclohexene ring: The resonance at higher field is assigned to **3a** by analogy to literature ¹H NMR data of **3a** [14].

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a concise formal total synthesis of (\pm) -trichodiene (1), starting from 1-methyl-2-vinyloxymethylcyclopentene (4). The advantage of this synthesis over previously reported methods, that also employ a [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement, is that the starting materials can be prepared easily and from inexpensive reagents.

Experimental Section

Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed with the usual precautions for rigorous exclusion of air and moisture. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel G and GP uniplates from Analtech and visualized with 254 nm UV light. Flash chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (Scientific Adsorbents Incorporated (SAI), particle size 32-63 μ m, pore size 60 Å). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX 400 instrument at 400 MHz and 100 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane, and J values are given in Hz. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained on an ATI Mattson FT/IR spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded with a Waters Micromass ZQ LC-Mass system and high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured with a Bruker BioApex FTMS system by direct injection using an electrospray interface (ESI). When necessary, chemicals were purified according to the reported procedures [21].

1-Methyl-2-vinyloxymethylcyclopentene (4)

To a stirred solution of mercury acetate (3.20 g, 10.0 mmol) in freshly distilled ethyl vinyl ether (75 mL) was added alcohol 8 (1.70 g, 15.1 mmol) at r.t., and the mixture was refluxed for 28 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to r. t. and poured to a 5 % aqueous KOH solution (15 mL). The organic phase was separated and washed with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give 4 (1.50 g, 72 %) as an oil. – IR (neat, NaCl): v = 2976, 1465, 1100, 845 cm⁻¹. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.46 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (dd, J = 15.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.0 Hz), 4.25 (s, 2H), 2.41-2.30 (m, 4H), 2.12-1.91 (m, 2H), 1.70 (br s, 3H). - ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3, 100 \text{ MHz}): \delta = 155.1, 140.4, 131.7, 86.5, 65.2, 38.1,$ 31.2, 22.4, 17.1. – HRMS ((+)-ESI): *m/z* = 161.0925 (calcd. 161.0942 for $C_9H_{14}ONa$, $[M+Na]^+$). – $C_9H_{14}O_1$ (138.1): calcd. C 78.21, H 10.21; found C 78.15, H 10.12.

2-Methyl-1-cyclopentenecarboxylic acid (7)

To a stirred solution of potassium carbonate (2.5 g, 17.7 mmol) in H₂O (7 mL) was added potassium hydroxide (0.7 g, 12.5 mmol), followed by a suspension of calcium hypochlorite (3.5 g, 24.0 mmol) in H₂O (14 mL) at r. t. The mixture was stirred at r. t. for 1 h. The potassium hypochlorite solution (containing approximately 14.0 mmol) was then filtered to remove the calcium salts, and the filtered cake was rinsed with H₂O (5 mL). The residue was cooled to 0 °C, and compound **6** (1.0 g, 8.1 mmol) was added dropwise under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 16 h and allowed to warm to r.t. The mixture was quenched by addition of sodium hydrogen sulfite (1.0 g) and extracted with diethyl ether (20 mL). The aqueous phase was acidified by using an 18% aqueous HCl solution and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give **7** (0.81 g, 80%). – IR (neat, NaCl): $v = 3460, 2960, 1461, 1280 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 10.9$ (br s, 1H), 2.81– 2.30 (m, 4H), 2.1 (s, 3H), 2.05–1.62 (m, 2H). – HRMS ((+)-ESI): m/z = 127.0773 (calcd. 127.0759 for C₇H₁₁O₂, [M+H]⁺).

2-Methyl-1-cyclopentenylmethanol (8)

To a stirred suspension of LAH (1.70 g, 44.8 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (100 mL) was added dropwise acid 7 (3.80 g, 30.0 mmol) at -45 °C, and the mixture was warmed to r.t. and stirred for 1 h. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was quenched with a 3 % aqueous HCl solution (20 mL), and the organic phase was separated and washed with a saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (30 mL) and brine (40 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give alcohol 8 (2.60 g, 78 %) as a colorless oil. – IR (neat, NaCl): v = 3320, 2940, 1450, 1082 cm⁻¹. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 4.15 (s, 2H), 2.42-2.20 (m, 4H), 1.95-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 1H). – ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ = 136.0, 134.5, 59.2, 38.4, 33.9, 21.8, 13.3.

1-Methyl-1-formylmethyl-2-methylenecyclopentane (9)

Method A: Vinyl ether 4 (1.38 g, 10.0 mmol) was heated at 235-240 °C for 1 h on a silicon oil bath. The mixture was cooled to r. t. and diluted with diethyl ether (55 mL) and then treated with a 10 % aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (30 mL). The organic phase was separated and washed with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (25 mL) and brine (25 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 7% ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give aldehyde 9 (1.15 g, 83 %) as a colorless oil. – IR (neat, NaCl): v = 2960, 1720, 1460, 1122 cm⁻¹. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 9.70 (s, 1H), 4.88-4.75 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.30 (m, 4H), 1.70-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.12 (s, 3H). – ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): $\delta = 198.8, 170.1, 105.5, 55.6, 53.1, 52.3, 37.2, 25.1, 24.7.$ - HRMS ((+)-ESI): m/z = 139.1132 (calcd. 139.1123 for $C_9H_{15}O_1$, $[M+H]^+$). - $C_9H_{14}O_1$ (138.1): calcd. C 78.21, H 10.21; found C 78.11, H 10.15.

Method B: To a stirred solution of triphenylphosphine (1.20 g, 4.6 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (5 mL) was added diethyl aluminum chloride (4 mL, 4.0 mmol, 1.0 M sol. in hexane), and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 15 min. A solution of vinyl ether 4 (0.28 g, 2.0 mmol) in 1,2dichloroethane (5 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (20 mL) and treated with a 5% aqueous HCl solution (15 mL). The organic phase was separated and washed with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (15 mL) and brine (15 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 7 % ethyl acetate in hexanes) to give aldehyde 9 (0.14 g, 100 g)51 %) as a colorless oil.

2-[1-Methyl-2-methylenecyclopentyl]propanal (10)

A suspension of KH (0.44 g, 11.0 mmol, 30 wt.-% dispersion in mineral oil) in dry THF (15 mL) was added to a solution of 9 (1.38 g, 10.0 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL), and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 30 min. After hydrogen evolution has ceased, a solution of CH₃I (1.56 g, 11.0 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added dropwise at 5 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 5 °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was warmed to r. t. and stirred at same temperature for 6 h. The mixture was quenched with cold water (10 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The organic phase was separated and washed with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (20 mL) and brine (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5% ethyl acetate in hexane) to give the products. Separation of the resulting mixture by preparative TLC (0.5 mm, 20×20 cm, silica gel, 2% EtOAc in hexane) afforded 10a (0.54 g, 35%) and its diastereomer 10b (0.54 g, 35 %) as pale yellow liquids. **10a**: IR (neat, NaCl): v = 1725, 1452, 1120, 1065 cm⁻¹. $-{}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 9.70 (s, 1H), 4.78 (br s, 1H), 5.05 (br s, 1H), 2.54 (m, 1H), 2.05-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.42 (m, 4H), 1.16 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H). – $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ = 201.1, 170.0, 102.1, 55.6, 49.8, 43.9, 36.4, 25.1, 21.9, 10.2. – HRMS ((+)-ESI): m/z =153.1298 (calcd. 153.1279 for $C_{10}H_{17}O_1$, $[M+H]^+$). – C₁₀H₁₆O₁ (152.1): calcd. C 78.90, H 10.59; found C 78.61, H 10.53. **10b**: IR (neat, NaCl): v = 1725, 1452, 1122,

S. Nozoe, Y. Machida, *Tetrahedron* **1972**, *28*, 5105 – 5111; Y. Machida, S. Nozoe, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972**, *19*, 1969 – 1971; Y. L. Chen, H. T. Chiu, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *53*, 1161 – 1172; M. Thibaut, *Microbios* **1983**, *38*, 139 – 150.

1082 cm⁻¹. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 9.60 (s, 1H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.49–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.29–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.10 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H). – HRMS ((+)-ESI): *m/z* = 153.1291 (calcd. 153.1279 for C₁₀H₁₇O₁, [M+H]⁺). – C₁₀H₁₆O₁ (152.1): calcd. C 78.90, H 10.59; found C 78.75, H 10.68.

4-Methyl-4-[1-methyl-2-methylenecyclopent-1-yl]-2cyclohexenone (3)

To a stirred suspension of potassium hydride (0.20 g, 5.0 mmol, 30 wt.-% dispersion in mineral oil) in dry THF (10 mL) was added dropwise aldehyde 10a (0.68 g, 4.5 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) at 5 °C, and the mixture was stirred at r. t. for 30 min. A solution of 3-buten-2-one (0.35 g, 5.0 mmol) in dry THF (7 mL) was added to the mixture at r.t., and the resulting mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h and then heated on the steam bath (80-90 °C) for 1 h. The mixture was cooled to r.t. and treated with a 5% aqueous HCl solution (5 mL). The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with dichloromethane (20 mL). The organic phase was separated, washed with a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (10 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 5% EtOAc in hexane) to give 3a (0.30 g, 33%) and its epimer 3b (0.25 g, 27%) as pale yellow oils. 3a: IR (neat, NaCl): v = 1670, 1610 cm⁻¹. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 6.98$ (dd, J = 10.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 10.5, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (ddd, J = 17.2, 14.2, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.43 - 2.32 (m, 2H), 2.29 - 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.11 (dt, J = 13.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H) 1.85 – 1.64 (m, 3H), 1.53 – 1.37 (m, 2H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H). - HRMS ((+)-ESI): m/z = 205.1889 (calcd. 205.1592 for $C_{14}H_{21}O_1$, $[M+H]^+$). **3b**: IR (neat, NaCl): v =1670, 1610 cm⁻¹. – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 6.92 (dd, J = 10.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (dd, J = 10.2, 0.7 Hz, 1H),5.05 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (ddd, J = 17.0, 14.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.48 - 2.35 (m, 2H), 2.30 - 2.32.25 (m, 1H), 2.11 (dt, J = 13.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H) 1.90 - 1.72 (m, J = 13.8, 53H), 1.55-1.40 (m, 2H), 1.22 (s, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H). - HRMS ((+)-ESI): m/z = 205.1877 (calcd. 205.1592 for C₁₄H₂₁O₁, $[M+H]^+$).

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a Chungbuk National University Grant in 2006.

- [2] S. Nozoe, Y. Machida, Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 31, 2671–2674.
- [3] R. Evans, J. R. Hanson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.
 I, **1976**, *3*, 326–329; J. D. Connolly, A. E. Harding,
 I. M. S. Thornton, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.

1972, *24*, 1320–1321; R. Evans, A. M. Holtom, J. R. Hanson, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1973**, *14*, 465; R. Evans, J. R. Hanson, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1975**, *6*, 231–232.

- [4] A. E. Desjardins, R. D. Plattner, G. F. Spencer, *Phytochemistry* 1988, 27, 767-771; D. E. Cane, G. Yang, Q. Xue, J. H. Shim, *Biochemistry* 1995, 34, 2471-2479; D. E. Cane, Q. Xue, B. C. Fitzsimons, *Biochemistry* 1996, 35, 12369-12376; A. R. Hesketh, B. W. Bycroft, P. M. Dewick, J. Gilbert, *Phytochemistry* 1993, 32, 105-116; M. E. Savard, B. A. Blackwell, R. Grennhalgh, *J. Nat. Prod.* 1989, 52, 1267-1278.
- [5] L. Covarelli, A. S. Turner, P. Nicholson, *Plant Pathology* **2004**, *53*, 22–28; J. Gutzwiller, C. Tamm, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1968**, *48*, 157–176.
- [6] D.E. Cane, *Biotechnology (Reading Mass)* **1995**, 28, 633–655.
- [7] K. Wilkins, K.F. Nielsen, S.U. Din, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* 2003, 10, 162–166; J.D. Miller, S. MacKenzie, *Mycologia* 2000, 92, 764–771.
- [8] A. E. Desjardins, T. M. Hohn, S. P. McCormick, *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 1992, 5, 214–222.
- [9] D.E. Cane, T.E. Bowser, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, 9, 1127-1132; D.E. Cane, J.L. Pawlak, R.M. Horak, T.M. Hohn, *Biochemistry* **1990**, 29, 5476-5490.
- [10] Y. Kitano, J. Fududa, K. Chiba, M. Tada, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1996, 8, 829-835; W. Zhang, P. Dowd, Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1965-1978; K.E. Harding, K.S. Clement, C.Y. Tseng, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4403-4410; J.C. Gilbert, T.A. Kelly, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 4193-4196; R.L. Snowden, R. Brauchli, P. Sonnay, Helv. Chim. Acta 1989, 72, 570-593; J.C. Gilbert, T.A. Kelly, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4485-4488; F.L. VanMiddlesworth, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5019-5021; G.A. Kraus, P.J. Thomas, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 503-505; K.E. Harding, K.S. Clement, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3870-3871; R.L. Snowden, P. Sonnay, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1464-1465; S.C. Welch, A.S.C.P. Rao, C.G. Gibbs, R.Y. Wong, J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45,

4077 – 4085; S. C. Welch, A. S. C. P. Rao, C. G. Gibbs, *Synth. Commun.* **1976**, *6*, 485–488; S. C. Welch, A. S. C. P. Rao, R. Y. Wong, *Synth. Commun.* **1976**, *6*, 443–445.

- [11] G. L. Lange, L. Furlan, M. C. Mackinnon, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 5489 5492.
- [12] R. M. Lemieux, A. I. Meyers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5453 – 5457.
- [13] J.C. Gilbert, R.D. Selliah, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6255-6265.
- [14] L. O. Zamir, L. Huang, J. Labelled Compounds and Radiopharmaceuticals 1992, 31, 915–924; A. J. Pearson, M. K. O'Brien, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4663–4673; A. J. Pearson, M. K. O'Brien, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 19, 1445–1447.
- [15] J. Nowicki, *Molecules* 2000, 5, 1033 1050; K. C. Majumdar, U. Das, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* 1997, 74, 884 890; D. Enders, M. Knopp, R. Schiffers, *Tetrahedron Asymm.* 1996, 7, 1847 1882.
- [16] H. Yun, S. J. Danishefsky, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 3879–3882; J. A. Marshall, T. M. Jr. Warne, *J. Org. Chem.* 1971, 36, 178–183; L. A. Paquette, G. Y. Lassalle, C. J. Lovely, *J. Org. Chem.* 1993, 58, 4254– 4261.
- [17] I.D. Gridnev, A.V. Buevich, N.M. Sergeev, E.S. Balenkova, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 1987–1990;
 T. Hudlicky, L.D. Kwart, M.H. Tiedje, B.C. Ranu, R.P. Short, J.O. Frazier, H.L. Rigby, *Synthesis* **1986**, *9*, 716–727; R. Bloch, P. Le Perchec, F. Rouessac, J. M. Conia, *Tetrahedron* **1968**, *24*, 5971–5989.
- [18] N. Monteiro, J. Gore, G. Balme, *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48, 10103 10114; J.C. Gilbert, B.E. Wiechman, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 258 260; K.E. Harding, K.S. Clement, J.C. Gilbert, B. Wiechman, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2049 2050; R.K. Dieter, Y. Jenkitkasemwong, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 3747 3750.
- [19] L. Carman, L. D. Kwart, T. Hudlicky, *Synth. Commun.* 1986, *16*, 169–182; B. B. Snider, P. R. T. Cordova, *J. Org. Chem.* 1982, *47*, 3643–3646.
- [20] W. C. Still, M. Kahn, A. Mitra, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923–2925.
- [21] W. L. F. Armarego, C. L. L. Chai, *Purification of Labo*ratory Chemicals, 5th ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003.