

Catalytic C-H Amination for the Preparation of Substituted 1,2-Diamines

David E. Olson and J. Du Bois*

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5080

Received May 6, 2008; E-mail: jdubois@stanford.edu

Table 1. Evaluating Reaction Conditions for C-H Amination

Vicinal diamines appear frequently as structural units in biological and medicinal molecules of interest and as auxiliary groups or ligands for catalytic processes.¹ The rich and varied applications for 1,2-diamines belie the rather modest number of general methods for the efficient preparation of such compounds.² Accordingly, we have attempted to capitalize on the power of sulfamate ester C–H amination to address this problem (Figure 1).



Figure 1. A two-step protocol for the preparation of 1,2-diamines.

The high performance of sulfamate esters in amination reactions and their propensity to form six-membered oxathiazinane products inspired our investigation of sulfamates fashioned from *N*-alkylhydroxylamines.³ Catalytic C–H insertion of such substrates affords unique [1,2,3,6]-oxathiadiazinane-2,2-dioxide heterocycles that can, in principle, be reduced to yield differentially protected 1,2diamines.⁴ Rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular C–H insertion of ureas and guanidines also gives cyclic products, which may be ringopened to furnish analogous diamine derivatives;⁵ however, hydrolysis of such heterocycles generally requires forcing conditions incompatible with other attendant functional groups.^{2i,5,6}

Initial attempts to effect intramolecular C-H insertion with O-sulfamoyl-N-alkylhydroxylamine derivatives using a dirhodium catalyst and PhI(OAc)₂ led only to rapid substrate decomposition. We ascribed this result to the presence of the nucleophilic hydroxylamine moiety and thus proceeded to examine the effect of an electron-withdrawing substituent on the nitrogen center. While some success was realized with N-Boc- and N-formyl-derived substrates (entries 1 and 2, Table 1), the positive influence of the sulforyl group on the reaction outcome was pronounced (entries 3 and 4). The stark differences in performance between these substrates may be due to the planar nature of the nitrogen center (sp²-like) in both the Boc and formyl structures, which we believe induces considerable strain in the developing product, thereby disfavoring cyclization. Accordingly, the p-methoxybenzenesulfonyl (Mbs) derivative was selected for further optimization studies.

The incorporation of an Mbs group in our substrate design has facilitated the preparation of these unique sulfamate starting materials. Such compounds are easily synthesized under Mitsunobu conditions from simple alcohols and MbsNHOSO₂NH₂, a crystalline reagent available from MbsCl in two steps.⁷ Oxidative cyclization of this class of sulfamate esters occurs most effectively when catalyzed by either Rh₂(oct)₄ or Rh₂(esp)₂ (entries 4 and 10, Table 1).⁸ The influence of solvent on reaction performance reveals benzene and EtOAc to be optimal (entries 4 and 7), and, as with other C–H amination protocols that we have developed, MgO is a useful additive for this process.

~ ~ .	RNHOSO ₂ N	$H_2 $ $H_2 N_{S=0}^{0}$	2 mol%) catalyst	
ОЧ	DEAD, PPr THF	h ₃ R	Phl(OAc) ₂ MgO, solvent	
Entry ^a	R Group	Catalyst	Solvent	Yield ^b
1	Boc	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	C ₆ H ₆	- (29)
2	CHO	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	C ₆ H ₆	- (23)
3	MeSO ₂	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	C ₆ H ₆	- (100)
4	Mbs	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	C ₆ H ₆	99 (100)
5	Mbs	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	toluene	77
6	Mbs	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	CH ₂ Cl ₂	74
7	Mbs	Rh ₂ (oct) ₄	EtOAc	90
8	Mbs	Rh ₂ (OAc) ₄	C ₆ H ₆	87
9	Mbs	Rh ₂ (O ₂ CCPh ₃) ₄	C ₆ H ₆	43
10	Mbs	Rh ₂ (esp) ₂	C ₆ H ₆	98

^{*a*} Reactions were performed with 2 mol % of catalyst, 2.3 equiv of MgO, and 1.1 equiv of PhI(OAc)₂. ^{*b*} Isolated yields; values in parentheses denote percent conversions based on integration of the unpurified ¹H NMR spectrum.

Evaluation of the reaction scope highlights a substrate profile similar to other Rh-catalyzed C-H amination processes (Table 2). Hydroxylamine-derived sulfamates display a strong bias to form six-membered ring products; in addition, oxidation of 3° C-H bonds is generally most effective. One of these examples (entry 2), in which amination is achieved at an α -carbonyl center, affords a product heterocycle that may be transformed into the corresponding α,β -diaminopropionic acid. This type of structure appears with some frequency in both natural and synthetic molecules of import.⁹ In addition to 3° C–H oxidation, amination of α -ethereal and benzylic C-H bonds is also guite favorable (entries 4 and 5). In these instances, however, direct isolation of the resulting oxathiadiazinanes is impaired due to their instability on silica gel. Fortunately, the yields of the insertion reactions are sufficiently high in most cases to enable subsequent manipulation of the unpurified materials (vide infra). Finally and as noted previously with unsaturated sulfamate derivatives, intramolecular aziridination is quite efficient and often outcompetes the C-H insertion event (entries 6-8).³

The ease with which oxathiadiazinane heterocycles may be converted to the corresponding 1,2-diamine products is a hallmark of this chemistry (Figure 2). Reduction of the N–O bond using Zn(Cu) couple followed by treatment with methanolic HCl affords the monoprotected 1,2-diamine in high yield. The effectiveness of these conditions and the performance of the C–H insertion make possible direct reduction of heterocyclic products that are otherwise difficult to isolate using chromatographic methods. Examples of this two-step protocol are shown in Figure 2. Following the C–H insertion event, filtration of

Table 2. Oxidative Cyclization of Novel Sulfamate Es
--

Entr	y ^a Substrate	Product	Conversion ^b	Yield
1	O, O O, S NH ₂ NMbs	HN'S O NMbs	100	99
2	Me O NH ₂ MeO ₂ C NH ₂	O, SO HN ^S S, O MeO₂C' Me	82	82
3	O O S NH ₂ Me NMbs	O HN ⁻ S [×] O Me <u>NMbs</u>	26	26 ^{<i>c</i>}
4	O O O NMbs	HN ^{SS} O NMbs	100	84 ^d
5	0,0 0,5 NH ₂ Ar / NMbs	HN ^S O Ar	p-MeO 100 p-NO ₂ 70 ^e	73 ^d -
6	Me O ^S NH ₂ NMbs	NSO Me	100	90
7	O O SSNH₂ NMbs Me	N ^Y S ^O O NMbs Me	100	98 ^f
8	Me O ^S NH ₂ MMbs	O,SO HN`S`O ↓↓↓ Me	100	39 ^g

^{*a*} Reactions were performed in C₆H₆ with 2 mol % of Rh₂(oct)₄, 2.3 equiv of MgO, and 1.1 equiv of PhI(OAc)₂. ^{*b*} Conversion based on integration of the unpurified ¹H NMR spectrum. ^{*c*} Reaction performed with 5 mol % of Rh₂(oct)₄. ^{*d*} Isolated yield was reduced due to product instability on SiO₂. ^{*e*} Product could not be isolated due to instability on SiO₂. ^{*f*} Product obtained as a 4:1 diastereomeric mixture. ^{*g*} In addition, the aziridine product was obtained as a 1.3:1 diastereomeric mixture in 56% yield.



Figure 2. Reductive N–O cleavage furnishes 1,2-diamine derivatives. Products purified by HPLC using H₂O/CH₃CN/CF₃CO₂H.

the reaction mixture and subsequent treatment with Zn(Cu) furnishes the desired diamine. These findings also reveal that 1,2-diamine products can be generated with modest to high levels of diastereocontrol from chiral starting materials.

Rhodium-catalyzed C–H oxidation affords a unique family of oxathiadiazinane structures that serve as intermediates en route to differentially protected 1,2-diamines. The high levels of chemoselectivity and broad substrate scope exemplary of related amination reactions underscore this method.³ This chemistry is further enabled with the advent of straightforward protocols for assembling the requisite hydroxylamine-derived substrates and for the reductive opening of the product heterocycles. The availability of such technologies should elevate Rh-catalyzed C–H amination as a general method for C–N bond formation in synthesis.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Benjamin Brodsky and Nichole D. Litvinas for helpful discussions. D.E.O. gratefully acknowledges Eli Lily for a graduate fellowship. This work has been supported by the National Institutes of Health and by generous gifts from Pfizer, Amgen, and GlaxoSmithKline.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and analytical data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- For general applications, see: (a) Kotti, S. R. S. S.; Timmons, C.; Li, G. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* **2006**, *67*, 101–114. (b) de Parrodi, C. A.; Juaristi, E. Synlett **2006**, *17*, 2699–2715.
- (2) (a) Lucet, D.; Le Gall, T.; Mioskowski, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2580–2627. (b) Westermann, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 151–153. (c) Savoia, D. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 15, 1–58. (d) Muñiz, K. New J. Chem. 2005, 29, 1371–1385. (e) Mortensen, M. S.; O'Doherty, G. A. Chemtracts: Org. Chem. 2005, 18, 555–561. (f) Bar, G. L. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7308–7309. (g) Ichikawa, Y.; Egawa, H.; Ito, T.; Isobe, M.; Nakano, K.; Kotsuki, H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5737–5740. (h) Fritz, J. A.; Nakhla, J. S.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2531–2534. (i) Du, H.; Yuan, W.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7496–7497. (j) Zhao, B.; Yuan, W.; Du, H.; Shi, Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4943–4945. (k) Zabawa, T. P.; Chemler, S. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2035–2038. (l) Schomaker, J. M.; Boyd, W. C.; Stewart, I. C.; Toste, F. D.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3777–3779. (m) Singh, A.; Johnston, J. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5866–5867. (n) Muñiz, K; Hövelmann, C. H.; Streuff, J; Campos-Gómez, E. Pure Appl. Chem. 2008, 80, 1089–1096, and references therein.
- (3) (a) Espino, C. G.; Wehn, P. M.; Chow, J.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6935–6936. For recent reviews on C-H amination, see: (b) Müller, P.; Fruit, C. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2905–2920. (c) Halfen, J. A. Curr. Org. Chem. 2005, 9, 657–669. (d) Davies, H. M. L.; Manning, J. R. Nature 2008, 451, 417–424.
- (4) We refer to these heterocycles as oxathiadiazinanes for convenience. We are aware of only one prior report of such compounds; see: Arfaei, A.; Smith, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 1791–1794.
- (5) Kim, M.; Mulcahy, J. V.; Espino, C. G.; Du Bois, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1073–1076. Also, see: Zhao, B.; Du, H.; Shi, Y. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1087–1090.
- (6) (a) Jones, R. C. F.; Schofield, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 375–383. (b) Dunn, P. J.; Häner, R.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5017–5025. (c) Perrin, C. L.; Young, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4446–4450. (d) Nadir, U. K.; Krishna, R. V.; Singh, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 479–482.
- (7) See Supporting Information for details
- (8) Espino, C. G.; Fiori, K. W.; Kim, M.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 15378–15379.
- (9) (a) For a leading reference, see: Viso, A.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; García, A.; Flores, A *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 3167–3196. (b) Also, see: Nakamura, Y.; Hirai, M.; Tamotsu, K.; Yonezawa, Y.; Shin, C. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1995**, *68*, 1369–1377. (c) Cutting, G. A.; Stainforth, N. E.; John, M. P.; Kociok-Köhn, G.; Willis, M. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 10632–10633.

JA803344V