



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

ISSN: 1042-6507 (Print) 1563-5325 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20

PHOSPHOLE SULFIDES AS 6-ELECTRON η^4 -c₄, η^1 -S **CHELATING LIGANDS**

Bernard Deschamps, Louis Ricard & FrançOis Mathey

To cite this article: Bernard Deschamps, Louis Ricard & FrançOis Mathey (1997) PHOSPHOLE SULFIDES AS 6-ELECTRON η^4 -c₄, η^1 -S CHELATING LIGANDS, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 123:1, 119-123, DOI: 10.1080/10426509708044202

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426509708044202

4	1	(1

Published online: 04 Oct 2006.



Submit your article to this journal 🕑

Article views: 13



View related articles 🗹



Citing articles: 1 View citing articles 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=gpss20 Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 1997, Vol. 123, pp. 119-123 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

PHOSPHOLE SULFIDES AS 6-ELECTRON η^4 -C₄, η^1 -S CHELATING LIGANDS^{*}

BERNARD DESCHAMPS, LOUIS RICARD and FRANÇOIS MATHEY

Laboratoire "Hétéroéléments et Coordination", URA 1499 CNRS, DCPH, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

(Received 21 October 1996; In final form 28 December 1996)

The reaction of 1-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole sulfide with $[M(CO)_3]$ sources (M=Cr, Mo) yields the corresponding η^4 -C₄, η^1 -S-M(CO)₃ complexes. The X-ray crystal structure analysis of the Cr-complex reveals an original type of complexation of the P=S unit which lies half-way between a η^1 -S and a η^2 -P=S bonding mode.

INTRODUCTION

Electrophilic substitution reactions are of prime importance in the chemistry of aromatic heterocycles. They provide a ready access to a huge variety of functional derivatives. Due to the high inversion barrier of phosphorus, phospholes have pyramidal ground states and weak aromaticity.¹ As a result, any electrophile tends to attack phospholes at phosphorus, thus preventing possible functionalisation at carbon. In order to develop the chemistry of functional phospholes, one possibility is to block the lone pair at phosphorus while avoiding destruction of delocalisation within the diene subunit. Following this idea, it was shown that acylation of phosphole P-Mo(CO)5 complexes by acyl chlorides is a viable route to δ 2- and 3-acylphospholes.² Other classical electrophilic substitution reactions such as formylation, carboxylation however fail. In order to explore this approach further, we thought that it might be possible to block the phosphole lone pair by sulfurization, thus preventing any adverse effect of steric hindrance at P. The electron withdrawing effect of the P=S could be offset by appropriate η^4 -complexation of the diene. It is indeed well known that conjugated diene η^4 -complexes are prone to electrophilic substitution reactions.³ With

^{*} Dedicated to Professor Robert Wolf, a pioneer of modern organophosphorus chemistry in France.

these ideas in mind, we started to study the η^4 -complexation of the representative 1-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole sulfide 1. This led to the unexpected discovery of a new η^4 -C₄, η^1 -S 6-electron complexation mode.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a preliminary series of experiments, we started to investigate the reactions of 1 with Cr(0) and Mo(0) complexes. While $Cr(CO)_6$ gives an intractable mixture, the more reactive $Mo(CO)_6$ gives a clean desulfurization yielding $[Mo(CO)_5L]$, L=1-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole, as the main product. In order to provide several free coordination sites at once, we replaced $Cr(CO)_6$ by the very reactive naphthalene- $Cr(CO)_3$ complex.⁴As expected, the diene subunit of 1 entered the coordination sphere of chromium, but the final product was the unexpected 6-electron chelate 2 (eq. 1).



It was immediately obvious that the diene subunit is η^4 -complexed in 2 upon examination of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. The α -CH resonances appear at 3.45 (¹H) and 59.89 (¹³C) vs 6.02 and 125.28 for 1. Whereas the η^4 -complexation of 1 by Fe(CO)₃ induces a low-field shift of the ³¹P resonance,⁵ the ³¹P resonance of 2 occurs at high field (δ 3.0 vs 46.3 for 1). Thus, complexation of sulfur appeared possible. This η^4 -C₄, η^1 -S complexing mode was fully demonstrated by a X-ray crystal structure analysis of 2 (Fig. 1). At 2.599 Å, the Cr-S separation clearly demonstrates the complexation of sulfur. The bond is somewhat elongated compared with [Me₃PS-Cr(CO)₅] where the separation is only 2.500 Å.⁶ In fact, the situation in 2 more resembles that found in the η^2 -Me₂PS molybdenum complex described by Alper et al.⁷ The P-S-metal angle is 64.2 in 2 vs 112.6 in Me₃PS-Cr(CO)₅⁶ and 61.1 deg. in Me₂PS-Mo(Cp)(CO)₂.⁷ The P...Cr separation is short at 2.4948(5) vs 2.422(1) Å in Ph₃P-Cr(CO)₅.⁸ Finally, the P=S bond is somewhat lengthened at 1.9964(6) vs 1.946(1) Å in a non-complexed phosphole sulfide.⁹ Thus, the complexation of sulfur lies between a η^1 -S and a η^2 -(P=S) bonding mode. The phosphole ring is bent away from chromium around the C₂-C₅ axis. This bending is relatively small at 9.25 \pm 0.38 deg. Whereas a free phosphole sulfide is planar, $^{9}\eta^{4}$ -complexes of phosphole oxides display much stronger bending at $ca 30^{\circ}$.¹⁰ The η^4 -complexation of the diene sub-unit induces an equalization of the C_{α} - C_{β} and C_{β} - C_{β} , bonds which is compatible with a higher delocalization in 2 than in a free phosphole sulfide. Indeed, $\Delta [(C_{\beta} - C_{\beta}) - (C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta})]$ lies around 0.04 in 2 vs 0.15 Å in a free species.⁹

Under identical conditions, the reaction of 1 with (cycloheptatriene)tricarbonylmolybdenum affords the analogous η^4 -C₄, η^1 -S complex 3 in 73% yield. The chemistry of this new type of phosphole complexes has not yet been investigated.



FIGURE 1 ORTEP drawing of one molecule of **2**, as determined by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study. Ellipsoids are scaled to enclose 50% of the electron density. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg.): Cr-S 2.5990(5), Cr...P(1) 2.4948(5), Cr-C(2) 2.211(2), Cr-C(3) 2.210(2), Cr-C(4) 2.225(2), Cr-C(5) 2.223(2), Cr-C(14) 1.838(2), Cr-C(16) 1.873(2), Cr-C(18) 1.869(2), S-P(1) 1.9964(6), P(1)-C(2) 1.767(2), P(1)-C(5) 1.769(2), P(1)-C(8) 1.799(2), C(2)-C(3) 1.402(2), C(3)-C(4) 1.448(2), C(4)-C(5) 1.404(2); Cr-S-P(1) 64.20(2), Cr-P(1)-S 69.71(2), S-P(1)-C(2) 107.13(6), S-P(1)-C(5) 106.48(6), S-P(1)-C(8) 115.03(6), C(2)-P(1)-C(5) 90.37(7), C(2)-P(1)-C(8) 117.23(8), C(5)-P(1)-C(8) 117.55(8), Cr-C(2)-P(1) 76.76(6), Cr-C(5)-P(1) 76.41(6)

EXPERIMENTAL

All reactions were performed under nitrogen; the solvents were purified, dried and degassed by standard techniques. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 200 SY spectrometer operating at 200.13, 50.32 and 81.01 MHz, respectively. All chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from internal TMS (¹H and ¹³C) and external 85% $H_3PO_4(^{31}P)$.

 $(\eta^4-C_4,\eta^1-S-1-phenyl-3, 4-dimethylphosphole sulfide)$ tricarbonylchromium 2

The phosphole sulfide 1 (1.66g, 7.5 mmol) in 20 mL of THF was heated at 60° C for 30 min with (naphthalene)tricarbonylchromium (2.0g, 7.5 mmol). Solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed on silicagel with toluene. Yield of 2: 3.2g (87%).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.13 (s, CH₃), 3.45 (d, ²J_{HP} = 23.4 Hz, =CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 14.73 (d, ³J_{CP} = 9.9 Hz, CH₃), 59.89 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 79.5 Hz, C_α), 109.48 (d, ²J_{CP} = 19.4 Hz, C_β), 234.06 (s, CO), 242.12 (s, CO). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.93 ppm.

IR (decalin): v (CO) : 2004, 1934, 1912 cm⁻¹

X-ray Structure Determination for 2

Crystals of 2, $C_{15}H_{13}CrO_3PS$ were grown from a dichloromethane-hexane solution of the compound. Data were collected at -150 ± 0.5°C on an Enraf Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and a graphite monochromator. The crystal structure was solved and refined using the Enraf Nonius MOLEN package. The compound crystallises in space group P2₁/n (14), a = 7.678(1)Å, b = 15.244(1)Å, c = 14.259(1)Å, $\beta = 104.23(1)^{\circ}$; V = 1617.77(50)Å³; Z = 4 ; dcalc = 1.463g/cm³; $\mu = 9.2$ cm⁻¹; F(000) = 728. A total of 5191 unique reflexions were recorded in the range 2° ≤ 20 ≤ 60.0° of which 1301 were considered as unobserved (F² < 3.0 σ (F²)), leaving 3890 for solution and refinement. Direct methods yielded a solution for all atoms. The hydrogen atoms were included as fixed contributions in the final stages of least-squares refinement while using anisotropic temperature factors for all other atoms. A nonPoisson weighting scheme was applied with a p factor equal to 0.08. The final agreement factors were R = 0.033, R ω = 0.057, G.O.F. = 1.24.

$(\eta^4-C_4,\eta^1-S-1-phenyl-3, 4-dimethylphosphole sulfide)$ tricarbonylmolybdenum 3

The phosphole sulfide 1 (1.0g, 4.6 mmol) in 15 mL of THF was heated at 60° C for 30 min with (cycloheptatriene)tricarbonylmolybdenum (1.2g, 4.6 mmol). Solvent was removed and the residue chromatographed on silicagel with toluene. Yield of **3**: 1.6g (73%).

¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.21 (s, CH₃), 3.87 (d, ²J_{HP} = 24.6 Hz, =CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 15.13 (d, ³J_{CP} = 9.9 Hz, CH₃), 62.81 (d, ¹J_{CP} = 77.9 Hz, C_α), 111.89 (d, ²J_{CP} = 18.39 Hz, C_β), 224.13 (s, CO), 227.11 (s, CO). ³¹P NMR (THF): δ = 9.9 ppm.

References

- Recent theoretical calculations estimate the aromaticity of pyramidal phosphole at ca 7 kcal/mol: P.v.R. Schleyer, P.K. Freeman, H. Jiao and B. Goldfuss, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 34, 337 (1995)
- [2] C.C. Santini and F. Mathey, J. Org. Chem., 50, 467 (1985)
- For a brief review describing the reaction of electrophiles with diene-Fe(CO)₃ complexes, see: R. Gree, Synthesis, 341 (1989)
- [4] E.O. Fischer and K.Öfele, Z. Naturforsch., 13b, 458 (1958)
- [5] C.C. Santini and F. Mathey, J. Organomet. Chem., 266, 285 (1984)
- [6] E.N. Baker and B.R. Reay, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2205 (1973)
- [7] H. Alper, F.W.B. Einstein, F.W. Hartstock and R.H. Jones, Organometallics, 6, 829 (1987)
- [8] H.J. Plastas, J.M. Stewart and S.O. Grim, Inorg. Chem., 12, 265 (1973)
- [9] D.C. Craig, M.J. Gallagher, F. Mathey and G. de Lauzon, Cryst. Struct. Commun., 9, 901 (1980)
- [10] K. Yasufuku, A. Hamada, K. Aoki and H. Yamazaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 4363 (1981)