Interconversion between (3,1) and (4,0) Isomers of $Ru_2(L)_4X$ Complexes where L is 2-Anilinopyridinate or 2-(2,4,6-Trifluoroanilino)pyridinate Anion and $X = CI^-$ or $C \equiv CC_5H_4N^-$

Minh Nguyen,[†] Tuan Phan,[‡] Eric Van Caemelbecke,^{†,§} Wiroaj Kajonkijya,[†] John L. Bear,^{*,†} and Karl M. Kadish^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77204-5003, Department of Chemistry, Texas Southern University, Houston, Texas 77004, and Houston Baptist University, 7502 Fondren Road, Houston, Texas 77074-3298

Received April 30, 2008

A reaction between the (4,0) isomer of Ru₂(ap)₄Cl and LiC=CC₅H₄N leads to a (3,1) isomer of Ru₂(ap)₄(C=CC₅H₄N)₂ 1 (ap = anilinopyridinate anion), whereas a reaction involving the (3,1) isomer of Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl and TBACl·H₂O leads to (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl **2** (F₃ap = 2-(2,4,6-trifluoroanilino)pyridinate anion). To our knowledge, these are the first documented examples for isomeric conversion involving diruthenium compounds with tetracarboxylate-type structures. The structural, electrochemical, and spectroscopic properties of **1** and **2** were examined. The reversible Ru₂^{5+/6+} process of (3,1) [Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl]⁺ is located at 0.62 V in CH₂Cl₂, 0.1 M TBAP but shifts to 0.29 V upon formation of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl₂ in CH₂Cl₂ containing chloride from added TBACl·H₂O and shifts even further to $E_{1/2} = 0.10$ V after generation of (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl₂ and (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl₂ in chloride-containing media can be compared to a smaller potential difference of only 60 mV between the Ru₂^{6+/5+} redox couples of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl and (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂ containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) as supporting electrolyte. The larger $\Delta E_{1/2}$ in the case of the bis-chloride complexes in solutions containing 0.1 M TBACl·H₂O can be accounted for in large part by structural differences that manifest themselves in different strengths of axial coordination to the Ru₂⁵⁺ form of the compounds.

Introduction

A large number of diruthenium and dirhodium complexes with tetracarboxylate-type structures with symmetrical, unsymmetrical, or mixed anionic bridging ligands have been synthesized and characterized as to their structural and physicochemical properties.¹⁻⁴⁸ Compounds of the type M_2L_4 where M = Ru or Rh, L is an anilinopyridinate (ap) or

- (1) Ying, J.-W.; Cordova, A.; Ren, T. Y.; Xu, G.-L.; Ren, T. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 6874.
- (2) Chen, W.-Z; Fanwick, P. E.; Ren, T. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4115.
- (3) Chen, W.-Z; Protasiewicz, J. D.; Davis, S. A.; Updegraff, J. B.; Ma, L.-O.; Fanwick, P. E.; Ren, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 3775.
- L.-Q.; Fanwick, P. E.; Ren, T. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3775.
 (4) (a) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 759. (b) Cotton, F. A.; Lin, C.; Murillo, C. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 2002, 99, 4810.

10.1021/ic800787p CCC: \$40.75 © 2008 American Chemical Society Published on Web 08/01/2008

substituted anilinopyridinate anion may exist in up to four different isomeric forms, which are represented as the (4,0), (3,1), (2,2)-cis, and (2,2)-trans conformations schematically shown in Chart 1 for the case of the Ru_2^{5+} derivatives.

Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 7775-7783

Inorganic Chemistr

Approximately, half of the structurally characterized diruthenium and dirhodium complexes synthesized in our laboratory over the last 25 years with four identical unsymmetrical bridging ligands have been isolated as a mixture of several isomers, the most common of which possessed (4,0) and (3,1) configurations that seem to be synthetically favored. The other half of the structurally characterized compounds were isolated only in a single isomeric form, again either (4,0) or (3,1) but not both.

Numerous $M_2(L)_4$ complexes with ap or substituted-ap bridging ligands have also been examined in our laboratory over the years as to their reactivity with small molecules, ^{14,21–24,30,32,35,37,41,42,45} examples of which include NO, ⁴⁵ CO, ³⁵ C=CC₆H₅⁻, ^{23,30} [C=C-C=C-Si(CH₃)₃]^{-,14}

Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 47, No. 17, 2008 7775

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: kkadish@uh.edu.

[†] University of Houston.

[‡] Texas Southern University.

[§] Houston Baptist University.

CN⁻,^{21,24} or NCN²⁻.²² In each case, the isomeric configuration of the parent M₂(L)₄ complex was retained after formation of the axially coordinated compound and this was true independent of the nature of the anionic bridging ligand(s), the axial ligands, or the dimetal oxidation state, which, in the case of diruthenium, was usually Ru_2^{6+} , Ru_2^{5+} , or Ru24+ in the final reaction product. Although, different isomers were obtained upon changing the solvent of crystallization for $Os_2(ap)_4Cl_2$,⁴⁹ to the best of our knowledge there have been no reports in the literature where the binding of an axial ligand to $Ru_2(L)_4$ or $Rh_2(L)_4$ would trigger a change in its isomeric form, that is, a conversion of a (4,0) isomer to a (3,1) isomer or vice-versa. Thus, we were recently surprised to observe two different examples of isomeric transformations while examining the ligand binding reactivity of (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$ and (3,1) $Ru_2(F_{3}ap)_4Cl$. One transformation involved a (4,0) to a (3,1) isometric conversion of $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$ and the other a (3,1) to (4,0) isomeric conversion of Ru₂(F₃ap)Cl. It was believed that only the (4,0) isomer of Ru₂(ap)₄Cl could be synthesized,¹² and thus the possibility for synthesizing or isolating Ru₂(ap)₄Cl in any isomeric form other than (4,0) had not previously been suggested. In contrast, (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl is synthesized as a mixture of

- (5) (a) Barral, M. C.; Gallo, T.; Herrero, S.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2007, *13*, 10088–10095. (b) Barral, M. C.; Gonzalez-Prieto, R.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Priego, J. L.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. A. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2006, *21*, 4229–4232. (c) Barral, M. C.; Gonzalez-Prieto, R.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Priego, J. L.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. A. *Eur. J. Inorgan. Chim. Acta* 2005, *358*, 217–221. (d) Barral, M. C.; Gonzalez-Prieto, R.; Urbanos, F. A. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2004, *22*, 4491–4501.
- (6) Wong, K.-T.; Lehn, J.-M.; Peng, S.-M.; Lee, G.-H. Chem. Commun. 2000, 2259.
- (7) (a) Xu, G.-L.; Ren, T. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 10431–10438. (b) Ying, J.-W.; Ren, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2008, 1449–1454.
- (8) Cotton, F. A.; Herrero, S.; Jimenez-Aparcio, R.; Murillo, C. A.; Urbanos, F. A.; Villagran, D.; Wang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12666–12667.
- (9) (a) Miyasaka, H.; Campos-Fernández, C. S.; Clérac, R.; Dunbar, K. R. Angew. Chem.; Int. Ed. Engl. 2000, 39, 3831. (b) Miyasaka, H.; Izawa, T.; Takahashi, N.; Yamashita, M.; Dunbar, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11358–11359. (c) Miyasaka, H.; Izawa, T.; Takaishi, S.; Sugimoto, K.; Sugiura, K.; Yamashita, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2006, 79, 612–620.
- (10) Miyasaka, H.; Clérac, R.; Campos-Fernández, C. S.; Dunbar, K. R. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 1663.
- (11) (a) Zuo, J.-L; Herdtweck, E.; Kühn, F. E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.
 2002, 1244. (b) Zuo, J.-L; Herdtweck, E.; Biani, F. F. D.; Santos, A. M.; Kühn, F. E New J. Chem. 2002, 26, 889. (c) Zuo, J.-L; Biani, F. F. D.; Santos, A. M.; Köhler, K.; Kühn, F. E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 449.
- (12) Angaridis, P. In *Multiple Bonds between Metal Atoms*, 3rd edition; Cotton, F. A., Murillo, C. A., Walton, R. A., Eds.; Springer Science and Business Media, Inc.: New York, 2005; *Chapter 9*.
- (13) (a) Arribas, G.; Barral, M. C.; Gonzalez-Prieto, R.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Priego, J. L.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. A. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 5770–5777. (b) Dikarve, E. V.; Filatov, A. S.; Clerac, R.; Petrukhina, M. A. *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 744–751. (c) Xi, B.; Xu, G.-L.; Ying, J.-W.; Han, H.-L.; Cordova, A.; Ren, T. J. Organomet. *Chem.* 2008, 1656–1663. (d) Burchell, T. J.; Cameron, T. S.; Macartney, D. H.; Thompson, L. K.; Aquino, M. A. S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 25, 4021–4027.
- (14) Bear, J. L.; Han, B.; Wu, Z.; Caemelbecke, E. V.; Kadish, K. M. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 2275.
- (15) Ren, T.; Xu, G. Comments Inorg. Chem. 2002, 23, 355.
- (16) Ren, T.; Zou, G.; Alvarez, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1197.
- (17) Miller, J. S.; Manson, J. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 563.
- (18) Liao, Y.; Shum, W. W.; Miller, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9336.
- (19) Yoshioka, D.; Mikuriya, M.; Handa, M. Chem. Lett. 2002, 1044.



the (4,0) and (3,1) isomers. These can then be separated (with some difficulty), but a conversion between the two isomeric forms of the compound has never been observed to occur after isolation of a given $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ compound in an isomerically pure form. Thus, our observations of these transformations, which are described in the present article, were totally unexpected and might provide insights into the synthesis of other known tetracarboxylate-type diruthenium complexes in previously unreported isomeric forms. Both isomeric conversions, that is, (4,0) to (3,1) and (3,1) to (4,0), are described in the present article and a structural, electrochemical, and spectroscopic characterization of the reaction products is presented.

Experimental Section

Chemicals and Reagents. Ultra-high purity nitrogen was purchased from Matheson-Trigas. GR graded dichloromethane, diethyl ether, ethyl acetate, and absolute dichloromethane (for electrochemical and UV-vis spectroelectrochemical measurements), from EMD, VWR, Fluka or Aldrich, tetra-*n*-butylammonium chloride monohydrate (TBACl·H₂O) from J. T. Baker and tetraethylammonium chloride (TEACl) from Sigma were used as

- (20) Zhang, L.-Y.; Chen, J.-L.; Shi, L.-X.; Chen, Z.-N. Organometallics **2002**, *21*, 5919.
- (21) Bear, J. L.; Li, Y.; Cui, J.; Han, B.; Caemelbecke, E. V.; Phan, T.; Kadish, K. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 857.
- (22) Bear, J. L.; Li, Y.; Han, B.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 40, 182.
- (23) Bear, J. L.; Li, Y.; Han, B.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 5449.
- (24) Bear, J. L.; Chen, W.-Z.; Han, B.; Huang, S.; Wang, L.-L.; Thuriere, A.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Kadish, K. M.; Ren, T. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 42, 6230.
- (25) Campos-Fernández, C. S.; Thomson, L. M.; Galan-Mascaros, J. R.; Xiang, O.; Dunbar, K. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41*, 1532.
- (26) Chen, W.-Z.; Ren, T. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2660.
- (27) Chen, W.-Z.; Ren, T. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 8847.
- (28) Cotton, F. A.; Stiriba, S.-E.; Yokochi, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 595, 300.
- (29) Kuehn, F. E.; Zuo, J.-L.; Biani, F. F. D.; Santos, A. M.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, J.; Sandulache, A.; Herdtweck, E. New. J. Chem. 2004, 28, 43.
- (30) Kadish, K. M.; Phan, T. D.; Wang, L.-L.; Giribabu, L.; Thuriere, A.; Wellhoff, J.; Huang, S.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Bear, J. L. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 4825.
- (31) Xu, G.; Ren, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 655, 239.
- (32) Kadish, K. M.; Nguyen, M.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Bear, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 5996.
- (33) Ren, T.; Parish, D. A.; Xu, G.-L.; Moore, M. H.; Deschamps, J. R.; Ying, J.-W.; Pollack, S. K.; Schull, T. L.; Shashidhar, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 4734.
- (34) Ren, T. Organometallics 2005, 24, 4854.

(3,1) and (4,0) Isomers of $Ru_2(L)_4X$ Complexes

received. Tetra-*n*-butylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) purchased from Fluka was recrystallized from ethyl alcohol and stored in a vacuum oven at 40 °C for a few weeks prior to use. 2-(2,4,6trifluoroaniline), (C₆H₄NF₃), 2-bromopyridine (C₅H₄BrN), 4-ethynylpyridine hydrochloride (C₇H₅N•HCl), lithium chloride (LiCl), methyl lithium (CH₃Li), ruthenium chloride hydrate (RuCl₃•3H₂O), and CDCl₃ (99.8% atom in D for NMR measurements) were purchased from Aldrich and used without additional purification. Silica gel (Merck 230–400, mesh 60 Å) was purchased from Sorbent Technologies, Inc. and used as received. Ru₂(ap)₄Cl and the (3,1) isomer of Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl were synthesized as described in the literature.⁴¹

Physical Measurements. Cyclic voltammetry and rotating disk voltammetry were carried out with an EG&G model 263A potentiostat/galvanostat. A Pine Instrument model AFMSR rotator was used to control the rotation rate of the RDE. A three-electrode system was used for all electrochemical measurements and consisted of a glassy carbon or platinum disk working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and a homemade saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode. The SCE was separated from the bulk of the solution by a fritted-glass bridge of low porosity containing the solvent/supporting electrolyte mixture. All potentials are referenced to the SCE, and measurements were carried out at room temperature. UV-vis spectroelectrochemical experiments were performed with a homemade spectroelectrochemical thin-layer cell⁵⁰ and a Hewlett-Packard model 8453 diode array spectrophotometer.

¹H NMR measurements were recorded at room temperature on a General Electric QE-300 Plus spectrometer and were referenced to tetramethylsilane (TMS). Mass spectra were obtained with an Applied Biosystem Voyager DE-STR MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer equipped with a nitrogen laser (337 nm) at the University of Houston Mass Spectrometry Laboratory. Elemental analysis was carried out by Atlantic Microlab, Inc., GA.

Synthesis of (3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1. Into a three-neck round-bottom flask, 4-ethynyl pyridine \cdot HCl (0.14 g, 1.00 mmole)

- (35) Kadish, K. M.; Phan, T. D.; Giribabu, L.; Shao, J.; Wang, L.-L.; Thuriere, A.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Bear, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 1012.
- (36) Han, B.; Shao, J.; Ou, Z.; Phan, T. D.; Shen, J.; Bear, J. L.; Kadish, K. M. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 7741.
- (37) Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Villagran, D.; Wang, X.; Wilkinson, C. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 8373.
- (38) Angaridis, P.; Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A.; Villagran, D.; Wang, X. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 8290.
- (39) Xu, G.-L.; Jablonski, C. G.; Ren, T. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2003, 343, 387.
- (40) Xu, G.-L.; Jablonski, C. G.; Ren, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 683, 388.
- (41) Kadish, K. M.; Wang, L.-L.; Thuriere, A.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Bear, J. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 834.
- (42) Kadish, K. M.; Wang, L.-L.; Thuriere, A.; Giribabu, L.; Garcia, R.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Bear, J. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 8309.
- (43) Hurst, S. K.; Xu, G.-L.; Ren, T. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4118.
- (44) Xu, G.; Campana, C.; Ren, T. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 3521.
- (45) Bear, J. L.; Wellhoff, J.; Royal, G.; Van Caemelbecke, E.; Eapen, S.; Kadish, K. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 40, 2282.
- (46) Yoshioka, D.; Mikuriya, M.; Handa, M. Chem. Lett. 2002, 1044.
- (47) (a) Murray, A. H.; Yue, Z.; Wallbank, A. I.; Cameron, T. S.; Vadavi, R.; MacLean, B. J.; Aquino, M. A. S. *Polyhedron* **2008**, *27*, 1270–1279. (b) Barral, M. C.; Gallo, T.; Herrero, S.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 10088–10095. (c) Barral, M. C.; Gallo, T.; Herrero, S.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. A *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 3639.
- (48) Barral, M. C.; Gonzalez-Prieto, R.; Herrero, S.; Jimenez-Aparicio, R.; Priego, J. L.; Royer, E. C.; Torres, M. R.; Urbanos, F. A. *Polyhedron* 2004, 23, 2637.
- (49) Shi, Y. H.; Chen, W. Z.; John, K. D.; Da Re, R. E.; Cohn, J. L.; Xu, G. L.; Eglin, J. L.; Sattelberger, A. P.; Hare, C. R.; Ren, T. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 5719.

was added and stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. Degassed THF was then introduced and the mixture was placed in an ice-bath at a temperature close to 0 °C for 10 min prior to adding dropwise 1.6 M MeLi (2 mL) as the solution color changed from green to purple. The solution was stirred for another 30 min prior to adding $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$ (0.91 g, 0.100 mmole), then gradually brought to room temperature and stirred overnight, after which the solvent was removed and the crude product extracted with ethyl acetate and water (1:1 v/v). The organic layer was then concentrated and subjected to silica gel column chromatography using acetone:hexanes (1:1 v/v) as eluent. A blue band was observed and collected to yield the title compound with a 70% yield ($R_{\rm f}$ = 0.4). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C, δ): 8.4 (dd, 4H), 8.3 (dd, 4H), 7.3 (m, 4H), 7.1 (m, 16H), 6.9 (m, 4H), 6.7 (m, 4H), 6.4 (m, 4H), 6.3 (m, 4H). Mass spectral data [m/e, (fragment)]: 1083.4 $[Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2]^+$, 982 $[Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)]^+$, 915.2 $[Ru_2(ap)_3(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2]^+$, 879.3 $[Ru_2(ap)_4]^+$. Anal. Calcd for C₅₈H₄₄N₁₀Ru₂: C, 64.31; H, 4.09; N, 12.93. Found: C, 64.25; H, 4.41; N, 12.21. UV-vis spectrum in CH₂Cl₂: λ_{max} , nm ($\epsilon \times 10^{-3}$, $M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$): 607 (8.9) 1040 (2.4). IR: $\nu_{C=C} = 2076 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Synthesis of (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl 2$. The title compound was prepared by mixing the (3,1) isomer of $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ with TBACl·H₂O in a 1:1000 molar ratio in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 18 h. The solvent was evaporated and the brown slurry crude product was dissolved in Et₂O before being extracted with water. The organic layer was collected and concentrated down to give a residue which was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using acetone as eluent. The solvent was then removed, and the brown green title compound was recovered in 95% yield. The spectral properties of the compound are identical to what has been previously reported for (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$.⁴¹

X-ray Crystallography of (3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1 and (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ 2. Single-crystal X-ray crystallographic studies were performed at the University of Houston X-ray Crystallographic Center. Single crystals of (3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ were obtained by slow diffusion of acetone in hexanes. Single crystals of (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ were formed by slow diffusion of dichloromethane in hexanes, and all collected data agree within experimental error with what has been reported in the literature.⁴¹

All X-ray data measurements of 1 were made with a Siemens SMART platform diffractometer equipped with a 1K CCD area detector. A hemisphere of data (1271 frames at a 5 cm detector distance) was collected using a narrow-frame method with scan widths of 0.30% in omega and an exposure time of 30 s/frame. The first 50 frames were remeasured at the end of data collection to monitor instrument and crystal stability, and the maximum correction on I was <1%. The data were integrated using the Siemens SAINT program, with the intensities corrected for Lorentz factor, polarization, air absorption, and absorption due to variation in the path length through the detector faceplate. A psi scan absorption correction was applied based on the entire data set. Redundant reflections were averaged. Final cell constants were refined using 4191 reflections having I > 10/s (I), and these, along with other information pertinent to data collection and refinement, are listed in Table 1. The Laue symmetry was determined to be 2/m, and from the systematic absences noted the space group was shown unambiguously to be $P2_1/n$. The two terminal pyridine acetylide groups were found to be disordered over two slightly different orientations, and this was treated by refinement of ideal pyridine rings at each location.

Table 1. Crystal Data, Data Collection, and Processing Parameters for $(3,1) \operatorname{Ru}_2(\operatorname{ap})_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2 \mathbf{1}$

-)2(-F)+()+)/2 -	
compound	$Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2 1$
mol formula	$C_{58}H_{44}N_{10}Ru_2$
fw (g/mol)	1083.17
space group	$P2_1/n$
cell constant	
a (Å)	10.6816(7)
b (Å)	19.1358(13)
<i>c</i> (Å)	23.4822(16)
α (deg)	90
β (deg)	98.163(1)
γ (deg)	90
$V(Å^3)$	4751.2(6)
Ζ	4
ρ_{calcd} (g/cm ³)	1.514
$\mu ({\rm mm}^{-1})$	0.688
λ (Mo K α) (Å)	0.71073
temp (K)	223(2)
$R (F_0)^a$	0.0650
$R_w (F_o)^b$	0.0340
$^{a} \mathbf{R} = \sum F_{o} - F_{c} / \sum F_{c} . ^{b} \mathbf{R}_{w} =$	$[\sum_{w}(F_{o} - F_{c})^{2}/\sum_{w} F_{o} ^{2}]^{1/2}.$

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. The complex $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ **1** was prepared by reacting 4-ethynylpyridine hydrochloride with CH₃Li/Et₂O prior to mixing with (4,0) Ru₂(ap)₄Cl as shown in eq 1.



After Ru₂(ap)₄Cl was allowed to react with the pyridyl acetylide anion, the solution was exposed to air and the color changed from brown-purple to deep blue. A blue product was previously observed upon air oxidation of the related Ru_2^{6+} complexes, $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ (L = 2-Fap, 2,3-F₂ap, 2,4- F_2ap , 2,5- F_2ap , or 2,4,6- F_3ap),³⁰ thus suggesting that air oxidation must also occur with the formation of Ru₂(ap)₄- $(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1. As earlier indicated, all previously synthesized $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ complexes retain the isomeric form of the starting $Ru_2(L)_4$ compound but this was not the case for 1. Because $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ and 1 are both obtained via air oxidation, it is thus likely that the isomeric conversion of 1 occurs before the sample was exposed to air. The diruthenium complex (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl 2 was prepared by reacting (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl with excess TBACl·H₂O (eq 2). Interestingly, the conversion of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ to (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ is not observed when TBACl·H₂O is replaced by TEACl in the experimental procedure. One plausible explanation is that TEACl is anhydrous and that water may be required; however, there was also no isomeric conversion when water had been added to a CH_2Cl_2 solution of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$, which had been left to react overnight with 0.5 M TEACl.

$$(3,1) \operatorname{Ru}_2(F_3 ap)_4 \operatorname{Cl} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{excess TBACl·H}_2 O} (4,0) \operatorname{Ru}_2(F_3 ap)_4 \operatorname{Cl} (2)$$

Molecular Structure. 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic unit cell with space group $P2_1/n$. The *ORTEP* diagram of **1** is illustrated in Figure 1, whereas selected bond lengths and



Figure 1. *ORTEP* diagram of (3,1) Ru₂(ap)₄(C=CC₅H₄N)₂ **1**. Hydrogen atoms are being omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Selected	Bond Lengths (Angstroms) and Bond Angles
(Degrees) for (3,1)	$Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1

Bond Lengths (angstroms)				
Ru-Ru	2.4543(5)	Ru-Caxial	1.96(2)	
Ru-N1	2.085(4)	Ru-N5	2.037(4)	
Ru-N2	2.003(4)	Ru-N6	2.064(4)	
Ru-N3	2.172(4)	Ru-N7	2.175(4)	
Ru-N4	1.985(4)	Ru-N8	1.977(4)	
Bond Angles (degrees)				
Ru-Ru-N1	84.32(10)	Ru-Ru-Caxial	162.7(5)	
Ru-Ru-N2	90.52(11)	Ru-C-C	174(2)	
Ru-Ru-N3	78.68(9)	C45-Ru-Ru-52	177(3)	
Ru-Ru-N4	93.26(10)	N1-Ru-Ru-C52	104(3)	
Ru-Ru-N5	88.81(11)	N1-Ru-Ru-N2	16.83(15)	
Ru-Ru-N6	83.20(10)	N3-Ru-Ru-N4	26.42(15)	
Ru-Ru-N7	78.81(10)	N5-Ru-Ru-N6	24.35(15)	
Ru-Ru-N8	94.42(11)	N7-Ru-Ru-N8	22.05(15)	

bond angles of the compound are summarized in Table 2. The ORTEP diagram of 1 shows that the compound has four ap ligands in an equatorial position and two $C \equiv CC_5H_4N^$ anions in axial positions, the latter of which are bound to the ruthenium atom via the terminal carbon of the acetylide group to complete a square-pyramidal geometry for both Ru1 and Ru2. As illustrated in Figure 1, Ru1 is coordinated to three pyridyl nitrogen atoms, one anilino nitrogen atom, and one axial carbon atom, whereas Ru2 is coordinated to one pyridyl nitrogen atom, three anilino nitrogen atoms, and one axial carbon atom. The structural data in Figure 1 shows that 1 adopts a (3,1) isomeric conformation, indicating that an isomeric transformation has occurred upon reaction with (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$. Remarkably, this is the only reported example of a Ru₂ complex bridged by four ap ligands, which exists in a (3,1) isomeric form. The Ru–Ru bond length in 1 is 2.4543(5) Å, and this value is much larger than the Ru-Ru bond length in the parent compound Ru₂(ap)₄Cl (2.275(3) Å) (Table 3). Such an elongation of the Ru-Ru bond distance has already been reported upon conversion of $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$ to the Ru_2^{6+} complex, $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ (where the Ru-Ru distance is 2.4707(8) Å). Other bond lengths of 1, the parent compound $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$, and $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ for comparison purposes are given in Table 3.

The average Ru–N_a (N_a = anilino nitrogen) bond length in **1** (2.008Å) is shorter than that in Ru₂(ap)₄(C \equiv CC₆H₅)₂ (2.050 Å), whereas the opposite trend occurs for the average Ru–N_p (N_p = pyridyl nitrogen) bond length. This might be accounted for by the fact that **1** has a (3,1) isomeric form, whereas Ru₂(ap)₄(C \equiv CC₆H₅)₂ retains a (4,0) isomeric conformation; however, an isomeric effect on the average

(3,1) and (4,0) Isomers of $Ru_2(L)_4X$ Complexes

	$(3,1) \operatorname{Ru}_2(a)$	$ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1	(4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl^{41}$	(4,0) Ru ₂ ($ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2^{30}$
		Ι	Bond Lengths (angstroms)		
Ru-Ru	2.4543(5	5)	2.275(3)	2.4707(3	8)
$Ru-N_a^a$	2.008		2.026	2.050	
$Ru-N_{p}^{a}$	2.118		2.104	2.067	
Ru-X	1.962	$(X=C_2C_5H_4N)$	2.437 (X = Cl)	1.988	$(X = C_2 C_6 H_5)$
			Bond Angles (degrees)		
$Ru-Ru-N_a/N_p^a$	90.35/82	2.66	87.90/89.00	85.60/87	7.00
Ru-Ru-X ^a	167.2	$(X=C_2C_5H_4N)$	180.0 (X = Cl), 162.4 (X = $C_2C_6H_5$)		
^a Averaged values.					

Ru-Na and Ru-Np bond lengths was not seen when the (3,1) and (4,0)comparing isomers of $\operatorname{Ru}_2(\operatorname{F_{5}ap})_4(C \equiv \operatorname{CC}_6\operatorname{H_5})_2^{23,30}$ The Ru–X bond length in 1 is 1.962 Å, a value similar to the Ru-X bond length in $Ru_2(F_5ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ (1.988 Å). In 1, the average Ru-Ru-Na bond angle is greater than the average Ru-Ru-N_p bond angle (Table 3). A different trend is seen in the case of $Ru_2(F_5ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$, but this might be explained in terms of the change in isomeric form of the compound since other (3,1) isomers of $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ also possess an average Ru-Ru-Na bond angle, which is greater than the average Ru-Ru-N_p bond angle. Finally, the Ru-Ru-C bond angle of 1 is 167.2°, a value close to the 161.0-164.3° values found in other (3,1) isomers of $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$.³⁰

Electrochemistry of (3,1) Ru₂(ap)₄(C=CC₅H₄N)₂ 1. Part a of Figure 2 shows a cyclic voltammogram of 1 in CH₂Cl₂, 0.1 M TBAP whereas Table 4 summarizes half-wave potentials for each redox reaction of this compound along with data for (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$ and (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ under the same solution conditions. (3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1 undergoes one reversible oxidation and two reversible reductions, and this is also the case for (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ ³⁰ The electron transfer processes of the newly synthesized 1 at $E_{1/2} = 0.69, -0.52, \text{ and } -1.44 \text{ V}$ are all metal-centered and assigned to the Ru27+/6+, Ru26+/5+, and Ru2^{5+/4+} redox couples, respectively. These assignments are based on what has been reported for related (3,1) and (4,0)derivatives of $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ where L = ap, Fap, F_3ap , or F_5ap^{30} and are consistent with the potential separation between the first reduction and oxidation of 1 (1.21 V), which is virtually identical to the HOMO-LUMO gap of 1.23 V for the parent compound, (4,0) Ru₂(ap)₄Cl (Table 4). The electroreduction process of 1 ($E_{1/2} = -1.44$ V) is more than 200 mV easier than the electroreduction of (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ ($E_{pc} = -1.67$ at 0.1 V/s), and this can be accounted for by the fact that the pyridyl groups decrease the electron density more on the diruthenium unit than do the phenyl groups.

UV-Vis Spectroelectrochemistry of (3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ 1. The UV-vis spectrum of 1 in CH_2Cl_2 , 0.2 M TBAP before and after oxidation or reduction at a controlled potential is shown in part b of Figure 2. The initial compound has a major band at 607 nm and a weaker band at ~1040 nm. This spectrum is similar to spectra of (3,1) or (4,0) $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$ derivatives with substituted ap bridging ligands, which have bands at 622–623 and 1030–1031 nm under similar solution conditions. As the first oxidation of **1** proceeds (the $Ru_2^{6+/7+}$ process), the 607 nm band decreases in intensity and a broad low intensity band grows in between 400 and 1000 nm. These spectral changes contrast with what occurs upon oxidation of (3,1) or (4,0) $Ru_2(L)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2^{30}$ where the singly oxidized products are characterized by three well-defined absorption bands between 400 and 1000 nm. These differences might be accounted for by the different type of axial ligands, pyridyl vs phenyl.

The spectral changes during reduction of (3,1)Ru₂(ap)₄(C=CC₅H₄N)₂ **1** at -0.8 V (the Ru₂^{6+/5+} process) are also shown in part b of Figure 2. Like in the case of oxidation, the 607 and 1040 nm bands decrease in intensity during reduction as new bands grow in at 358, 530, and 820 nm. Similar UV-vis spectral changes are observed during the Ru₂⁶⁺/Ru₂⁵⁺ reaction of Ru₂(ap)₄(C=CC₆H₅)₂.³⁰

Isomeric Change of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl to (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl Monitored by Electrochemistry and UV-Vis Spectroscopy. The stepwise addition of TBACl·H₂O to CH₂Cl₂ solutions containing (3,1)Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl and 0.1 M TBAP results in a change in the electro-oxidation behavior, which initially depends upon the concentration of Cl⁻ in solution and then, at higher concentrations of Cl⁻, upon the time elapsed since the preparation of the solution. Three distinctly different half-wave potentials are observed for oxidation of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl under the different experimental conditions (Figure 3). The one-electron oxidation of freshly prepared (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂ containing only 0.1 M TBAP is located at $E_{1/2} = 0.62$ V, as reported in the literature⁴¹ but immediately after adding high concentrations of TBACl·H2O to solution the potential shifts negatively from 0.62 to 0.29 V. The same current-voltage curve is reproducibly obtained in CH₂Cl₂ with 1000 eq TBACl·H₂O upon multiple scans over 25-30 min but changes begin to occur at longer times as a new reversible redox process grows in at $E_{1/2} = 0.10$ V, whereas currents for the process at 0.29 V decrease in magnitude.

In contrast to the oxidation, the potentials for reduction of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ and/or its reaction products after addition of TBACl·H₂O remain relatively constant at about -0.65 V under all experimental conditions. This is consistent with earlier reports that the $Ru_2^{5+/4+}$ process of $Ru_2(L)_4Cl$ or $Ru_2(L)_4X$ compounds is independent of the isomer



Figure 2. (a) Cyclic voltammogram of (3,1) Ru₂(ap)₄(C=CC₅H₄N)₂ **1** in CH₂Cl₂, 0.1 M TBAP at a scan rate of 0.10 V/s and (b) UV-vis spectral changes of **1** in CH₂Cl₂, 0.2 M TBAP upon controlled potential (i) oxidation at $E_{app} = 1.0$ V and (ii) reduction at $E_{app} = -0.8$ V.

Table 4. Half-Wave Potentials for Redox Reactions of (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$,⁴¹ (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$,³⁰ and (3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_4(C \equiv CC_5H_4N)_2$ **1** in CH_2Cl_2 , 0.1 M TBAP

		$E_{1/2}$ (V vs SCE)			
compound	ox state	Ru2 ^{7+/6+}	Ru2 ^{6+/5+}	Ru2 ^{5+/4+}	Δ^a
(4,0) $\operatorname{Ru}_2(ap)_4\operatorname{Cl}$ (4,0) $\operatorname{Ru}_2(ap)_4$ - ($C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$	${Ru_2}^{5+} R{u_2}^{6+}$	~1.33 0.55	0.37 -0.54	-0.86 -1.67^{b}	1.23 1.09
(3,1) $Ru_2(ap)_{4-}$ (C=CC ₅ H ₄ N) ₂ 1	Ru2 ⁶⁺	0.69	-0.52	-1.44	1.21

^{*a*} Potential gap in V between the Ru₂^{6+/5+} and Ru₂^{5+/4+} couples in the case of Ru₂(ap)₄Cl and the Ru₂^{7+/6+} and Ru₂^{6+/5+} couples in the case of the other two compounds. ^{*b*} E_{pc} at a scan rate of 0.1 V/s.

type^{23,41} and also independent of the anionic axial ligand X, which usually binds weakly to Ru_2^{5+} , the only exception being in the case of CN^{-24} .

The invariance of $E_{1/2}$ for the processes at $E_{1/2} = 0.29$ in Figure 3 with changes in [Cl⁻] is consistent with a mechanism where the neutral and singly oxidized forms of the

electroactive compound have the same number of coordinated Cl⁻ axial ligands.⁵¹ The electrooxidation mechanism in each case can then only be described by eq 3 because the alternate possibility of a $Ru_2^{5+/6+}$ process involving $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ and $[Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl]^+$ is only seen in the absence of added chloride.

$$(3,1) \left[\operatorname{Ru}_2(F_3 \operatorname{ap})_4 \operatorname{Cl}_2 \right]^- \rightleftharpoons (3,1) \operatorname{Ru}_2(F_3 \operatorname{ap})_4 \operatorname{Cl}_2 + e^- \quad (3)$$

As will be described below, the change in the reversible potential from 0.29 to 0.10 V occurs only after an air oxidation of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl_2$ at longer times and a following rearrangement of the bridging ligands on the singly oxidized species to give (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)Cl_2$ which is in its Ru_2^{6+} form.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Lin, X. Q.; Kadish, K. M. Anal. Chem. 1985, 57, 1489.

⁽⁵¹⁾ Crow, D. R. *Polarography of Metal Complexes*; Academic Press: London, 1969.



Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂ with added TBACl·H₂O and time-dependence in the presence of 1000 equiv TBACl·H₂O. Scan rate = 0.1 V/s.

Time-dependent changes are also observed in the UV-vis spectrum of the initial (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl complex as the (4,0) isomer is formed and this is shown in Figure 4. Immediately after addition of 1000 eq TBACl·H₂O to solution, the UV-vis spectrum is characterized by three absorption bands at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 418$, 478, and 777 nm, a spectrum that closely resembles the UV-vis spectrum of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in neat CH₂Cl₂.⁴¹ Over the first 25 min, the three absorption bands of the initial compound decrease slightly in intensity and a new shoulder peak develops at 895 nm. There are two isosbestic points at 615 and 816 nm, thus suggesting that over this time interval only two species are present in equilibria, the most likely of which are (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ and (3,1) $[Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl_2]^-$ on the basis of the electrochemical data that shows a reversible oxidation at 0.29 V, which is independent of [Cl⁻].

From 25 to 500 min, a more significant set of changes is observed in the UV-vis spectrum (Figure 4). Two new bands grow in at $\lambda_{max} = 446$ and 580 nm, while, at the same time, the lower energy absorption band decreases significantly in intensity. There is an isosbestic point at 630 nm, again



Figure 4. UV-vis spectral changes of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂, 1000 equiv TBACl·H₂O with respect to time for (a) time = 0-25 min and (b) 25-500 min.

suggesting that only two species are present in equilibrium from 25 to 500 min. The final spectrum after 500 min exhibits absorption bands at 446, 580, and 895 nm and is reminiscent of the 466, 634, and 895 nm bands for $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4(C \equiv CC_6H_5)_2$,³⁰ therefore suggesting that the final product contains a Ru_2^{6+} core and further suggesting that the process at 0.10 V is not an oxidation of Ru_2^{5+} but rather the reduction of a Ru_2^{6+} species generated in solution. This is indeed the case, as demonstrated by rotating disk voltammograms of a similar solution obtained as a function of time. These voltammograms are shown in Figure 5 and parallel what is observed in the time-resolved electrochemical and spectroscopic measurements of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ under the same solution conditions.



Figure 5. Rotating disk voltammograms of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂ containing 0.1 M TBAP and 1000 equiv TBACl·H₂O immediately after solution preparation (t = 0 min) and after 5 or 24 h of elapsed time. Rotation rate = 1600 rpm.

Scheme 1. Conversion of (3,1) Ru₂(L)₄Cl to (4,0) Ru₂(L)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂ Solutions Where $L = F_3ap^a$



 a The initial oxidation of (3,1) Ru₂(L)₄Cl or (3,1) [Ru₂(L)₄Cl₂]⁻ converts to a reduction of (4,0) Ru₂(L)₄Cl₂ after >10 hrs in the presence of TBACl·H₂O.

One key advantage of the RDE measurement is its ability to uniquely differentiate an oxidation from a reduction reaction, the former having maximum limiting diffusion currents below the i = 0 line and the later having currents above the i = 0 line, both of which will increase with the square root of rotation rate, $\omega^{1/2}$, for diffusion-controlled electron transfer processes.⁵² As seen in Figure 5, the RDE response of a freshly prepared (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl solution in the presence of high [Cl⁻] (top green curve in Figure 5) is that for a reversible electrooxidation at $E_{1/2} = 0.29$ V. A plot of the maximum peak current versus $\omega^{1/2}$ (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) is linear, consistent with the transfer of 1.0 electron to give the singly oxidized species and no other redox processes are observed between -0.2 and +0.6 V versus SCE.

The solution of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl was then left to stand for 5 h and the RDE measurements repeated (black curve in Figure 5). Under these conditions, the maximum oxidation currents for the process at $E_{1/2} = 0.29$ V decrease by about 50% and a new reversible reduction process is observed at $E_{1/2} = 0.10$ V. This parallels what is seen in Figure 3 and indicates an approximately equimolar mixture of the (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl isomer (in its bis-chloride form) and the newly generated Ru₂⁶⁺ product, the latter being formulated as (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl₂ on the basis of the spectroscopic and electrochemical data and a structural characterization of the isolated reaction product after workup (Experimental Section).

Finally, after 24 h in solution, there is a nearly complete conversion of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ to its singly oxidized (4,0) isomer and the RDE (red line in Figure 5) is then characterized by a reduction at $E_{1/2} = 0.10$ V with little to no oxidation currents at the more positive potential of 0.29 V. This is again consistent with what is observed by electrochemistry and UV-vis spectroscopy under the same solution conditions. The maximum current for reduction of the homogeneously generated species in solution, formulated as (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl_2$, is proportional to the square root of the rotation rate indicating diffusion control and the number of electrons transferred is calculated as n = 1 on the basis of a Levich plot shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information.

The Ru2⁶⁺ form of the diruthenium compound formed upon air oxidation is stable in solution for several days but all attempts to isolate this oxidized species for further characterization led only to a final product which was structurally characterized as (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl (Experimental Section). The X-ray structure of the isolated product is identical to that which was earlier published for (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl synthesized by another method.⁴¹ Electrochemical and spectroscopic properties of previously characterized (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ also match the properties of the product isolated and structurally characterized after air oxidation of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl in CH₂Cl₂ containing TBACl·H₂O. Thus electrochemical, spectroscopic, and structural data are self-consistent and proposed reaction pathways for the conversion of (3,1) Ru₂(F₃ap)Cl to (4,0) Ru₂(F₃ap)₄Cl are summarized in Scheme 1, where species in solution are indicated by a box around the formula and the other species are generated at the electrode surface.

⁽⁵²⁾ Bard, A. J.; Faulker, L. R. Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2000; 2nd Edition.

(3,1) and (4,0) Isomers of $Ru_2(L)_4X$ Complexes

It was earlier reported that only small differences are observed between the $\text{Ru}_2^{5+/6+}$ processes of (3,1) and (4,0) $\text{Ru}_2(\text{F}_3\text{ap})_4\text{Cl}$ in noncoordinating media, $E_{1/2}$ values for electrooxidation being 0.62 and 0.69 V, respectively, in CH₂Cl₂.⁴¹ Much larger differences in $E_{1/2}$ are seen for the $\text{Ru}_2^{6+/5+}$ process of (3,1) $\text{Ru}_2(\text{F}_3\text{ap})_4\text{Cl}_2$ and (4,0) $\text{Ru}_2(\text{F}_3\text{ap})_4\text{Cl}_2$, and this can be accounted for by structural differences between the two diruthenium compounds, which leads to different strengths of axial coordination to the Ru_2^{5+} form of the two isomers.

In summary, we have shown two examples of isomeric conversions among diruthenium complexes. One type of isomeric conversion involves a change in configuration from (4,0) to (3,1) and is observed when (4,0) $Ru_2(ap)_4Cl$ reacts with $LiC \equiv CC_5H_4N$. The other isomeric change involves a conversion of (3,1) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ to (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$ in the presence of excess TBACl·H₂O. The fact that (4,0) $Ru_2(F_3ap)_4Cl$, a minor product in the reaction between

 $Ru_2(CH_3CO_2)_4Cl$ and HF_3ap , can be subsequently formed as the sole product isolated from the major (3,1) isomer, thus eliminating the need for a time-consuming and costly separation process to obtain the (4,0) isomer, which can then be further studied as to its reactivity.

Acknowledgment. Support from the Robert A. Welch Foundation (J.L.B., Grant E-918; K.M.K., Grant E-680) is gratefully acknowledged. T.P. acknowledges support from a TSU Seed Grant and a Departmental Welch Grant. We also thank Dr. J. D. Korp for X-ray analyses.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data for compound 1 (CIF format) and Levich plots from RDE data for the $Ru_2^{5+/6+}$ reactions of the (3,1) and (4,0) isomers. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC800787P