The synthesis and structural characterization of bis(mercaptoimidazolyl)hydroborato complexes of lithium, thallium and zinc†

Clare Kimblin, Brian M. Bridgewater, Tony Hascall and Gerard Parkin*

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

Received 21st October 1999, Accepted 28th January 2000



Downloaded by FORDHAM UNIVERSITY on 17 December 2012 Published on 01 March 2000 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/A908411H Bidentate $[S_2]$ -donor bis(mercaptoimidazoly) ligands, $[Bm^{Me}]^-$ and $[Bm^{Mes}]^-$, have been synthesized by reaction of $[BH_4]^-$ with 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole and 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole, respectively. Subsequent treatment with ZnX_2 (X = Me, I, NO₃) yields a series of 1:1 $[Bm^R]ZnX$ complexes, namely $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$, $[Bm^{Me}]ZnI$ and $[Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3)$, each of which possesses 3-center–2-electron $[Zn \cdots H-B]$ interactions. The homoleptic complex, $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$, may be obtained by a redistribution reaction of $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$ in CHCl₃, and possesses a tetrahedral zinc center which is devoid of a 3-center–2-electron $[Zn \cdots H-B]$ interaction.

Introduction

Acetylacetonato and bis(pyrazolyl)borato are two of the most commonly employed monoanionic bidentate ligands with a multi-atom linker between the donor atoms, that respectively provide $[O_2]$ and $[N_2]$ coordination to a metal center.¹ In contrast, monoanionic bidentate $[S_2]$ donor ligands of this category have not found much application, although in zinc chemistry thiophosphorylamine ligands $\{N[PR_2(S)]_2\}^-$ have been studied in an effort to separate trace quantities of Zn^{II} from aqueous solutions containing $Fe^{III.^2}$ In this paper, we report the syntheses of bis(mercaptoimidazolyl)hydroborato ligands and describe their application to zinc chemistry.

Results and discussion

Reglinski and co-workers have recently reported the synthesis of the tripodal $[S_3]$ tris(2-mercapto-1-methylimidazolyl)borate ligand, $[Tm^{Me}]^{-,3-5}$ by reaction of $[BH_4]^-$ with 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole, a procedure similar to that used in the preparation of tris(pyrazolyl)borato derivatives.⁶ In view of the fact that $[S_2]$ donor analogs of bis(pyrazolyl)borato $[Bp^{RR'}]^-$ ligands are not common, we considered it worthwhile to synthesize related bis(mercaptoimidazolyl)borato ligands because they may provide access to an array of interesting chemistry akin to that for the $[Bp^{RR'}]^-$ system.⁶

Significantly, the bis(2-mercapto-1-methylimidazolyl)borate ligand $[Bm^{Me}]^-$ may be obtained by reaction of LiBH₄ with 2 equivalents of 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole in toluene at 50 °C (Scheme 1).⁷ Since thallium derivatives have proved to be useful reagents for transferring bis- and tris-(pyrazolyl)borate ligands,^{6,8} the thallium complex { $[Bm^{Me}]Tl$ }_x was prepared by reaction of $[Bm^{Me}]Li$ with Tl(O₂CMe), from which the zinc methyl and iodide complexes, $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$ and $[Bm^{Me}]ZnI$ were obtained by metathesis with Me₂Zn and ZnI₂, respectively (Scheme 2). The more sterically demanding mesityl-substituted $[Bm^{Mes}]^-$ ligand was also obtained in an analogous manner by reaction of LiBH₄ with 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole,⁹ from which the zinc nitrate derivative $[Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3)$ was obtained by treatment with Zn(NO₃)₂ (Scheme 3). H = Me

Scheme 1

The molecular structures of $\{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2, \{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x, [Bm^{Me}]ZnMe, [Bm^{Me}]ZnI and [Bm^{Mes}]ZnNO_3 have been determined by X-ray diffraction, as illustrated in Figs. 1–6.¹⁰ A common feature of each of these structures is that the bidentate coordination of the two sulfur donor atoms is supplemented, to varying degrees, by interaction with one of the B–H ligands (Table 1). For comparison, <math>Zn \cdots H$ –B interactions listed in the Cambridge Structural Database are observed in the range 1.78–1.98 Å.¹¹ Such 3-center–2-electron [M \cdots H–B] interactions are analogous to those observed in the structures of several bis(pyrazolyl)hydroborato complexes.^{12,13} Furthermore,

[†] Dedicated to Professor Heinrich Vahrenkamp, a connoisseur and practitioner of fine zinc chemistry, on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Happy birthday, Heinrich!



Scheme 2



Scheme 3



a $[Ag \cdots H-B]$ interaction has been reported for the tris(2mercapto-1-methylimidazolyl)borate complex $[Tm^{Me}]AgPCy_3$, within which only *two* of the sulfur atom donors coordinate to silver.^{5a,b}

While the zinc complexes $[Bm^R]ZnX$ are strictly monomeric in the solid state, the lithium and thallium complexes $\{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2$ and $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x$ are oligonuclear, with the metal centers being bridged by sulfur atoms of the mercaptoimidazolyl groups (Figs. 1–3). The lithium and thallium complexes have similar four membered $[M_2S_2]$ cores, but one of the sulfur atoms on each of the $[Bm^{Me}]$ ligands in the dinuclear thallium fragment also interacts with another thallium center, thereby resulting in a polynuclear structure (Fig. 3). Clearly, the structural motifs adopted by $\{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2$ and $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x$ are

different from those of bis(pyrazolyl)hydroborato counterparts since the nitrogen atom of the pyrazolyl group is incapable of bridging in such a manner. Thus, $[Bp^{RR'}]Tl$ complexes typically adopt monomeric structures.¹² {[Bp]Tl}₂, however, is a notable exception in that it is dimeric in the solid state,¹⁴ although the dinuclear nature is a result of a weak Tl···Tl interaction, and not due to a bridging pyrazolyl group. The Tl···Tl separation in { $[Bm^{Me}]Tl$ }_x (4.37 Å) is thus significantly greater than that in {[Bp]Tl}₂ (3.70 Å).

The structural characterization of the heteroleptic 1:1 $[Bm^R]ZnX$ complexes is of interest in light of the fact that only homoleptic L_2Zn complexes have been previously structurally characterized ¹¹ using related anionic bidentate $[S_2]$ donors, namely $[MeC(S)CH_2C(S)OEt]_2Zn$ ¹⁵ and $\{N[PPr_2(S)]_2\}_2Zn$.¹⁶ In this regard, the corresponding homoleptic complex, $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$,



Fig. 3 A portion of the polymeric structure of $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x$.



Fig. 4 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Bm^{Me}]ZnI: Zn-S(1) 2.287(2), Zn-S(2) 2.292(2), Zn-I 2.548(1), S(1)-C(11) 1.719(5), S(2)-C(21) 1.723(5); S(1)-Zn-S(2) 118.0(1), S(1)-Zn-I 116.6(1), S(2)-Zn-I 124.9(1).$



 $\label{eq:table1} \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Table 1} & [M \cdots H {-} B] \text{ interactions in } \{[Bm^R]M\} \text{ derivatives} \end{array}$

	$d(\mathbf{M}\cdots\mathbf{B})/\mathbf{\mathring{A}}$	$d(M \cdots H)/Å$
{[Bm ^{Mes}]Li},	2.80, 3.09 ^{<i>a</i>}	1.86, 2.34 <i>ª</i>
$\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_{r}$	3.50	2.69
[Bm ^{Me}]ZnI	2.94	2.06
[Bm ^{Me}]ZnMe	2.88	1.77
$[Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3)$	2.82	1.93 ^b
[Bm ^{Me}] ₂ Zn	3.78	3.51

^{*a*} Values for two independent molecules. ^{*b*} H atom not refined.



Fig. 6 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3)$: Zn–S(11) 2.267(3), Zn–S(21) 2.268(3), Zn–O(1) 2.241(5), Zn–O(2) 2.065(5), S(11)–C(11) 1.738(10), S(21)–C(21) 1.645(12); S(11)–Zn–S(21) 127.33(8), O(1)–Zn–O(2) 59.5(2), S(11)–Zn–O(1) 103.1(3), S(11)–Zn–(O2) 119.7(3), S(21)–Zn–O(1) 98.3(3), S(21)–Zn–(O2) 112.7(3).



Fig. 5 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe: Zn-S(1) 2.342(3), Zn-S(2) 2.382(3), Zn-C(1) 1.97(1), S(1)-C(11) 1.724(10), S(2)-C(21) 1.693(9); S(1)-Zn-S(2) 105.9(1), S(1)-Zn-C(1) 123.0(3), S(2)-Zn-C(1) 125.3(4).$

may be obtained by a redistribution reaction of $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$ in CHCl₃ (Scheme 4). The molecular structure of $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$ is illustrated in Fig. 7 and, as would be expected for a tetrahedral zinc center, the complex does not possess a 3-center–2-electron $[Zn \cdots H–B]$ interaction; thus, the $Zn \cdots B$ separation of 3.78 Å is substantially greater than those in the above $[Bm^R]ZnX$ complexes (2.82–2.94 Å). The principal distinction between

 $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$ and $[Bm^R]ZnX$ is that the $[Bm^R]$ ligand in the former adopts a configuration which results in a "chair-like" eightmembered ring, as opposed to the "boat-like" rings present in $[Bm^R]ZnX$ which allow for a closer proximity between the metal and B–H group. For comparison purposes the Zn–S bond lengths in these complexes are summarized in Table 2.¹⁷ Thus, the average Zn–S bond length in $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$ (2.34 Å) is comparable to the mean value for tetrahedral $[ZnS_4]$ coordination geometries listed in the CSD (2.35 Å),¹¹ and also the mean value for the structural site in LADH–NADH– DMSO (2.35 Å).^{20,21}



Fig. 7 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn: Zn-S(1) 2.336(1), Zn-S(2) 2.344(1), Zn-S(3) 2.330(1), Zn-S(4) 2.338(1), S(1)-C(11) 1.732(3), S(2)-C(21) 1.724(3), S(3)-C(31) 1.717(3), S(4)-C(41) 1.726(4); S(1)-Zn-S(2) 115.75(4), S(1)-Zn-S(3) 114.13(4), S(1)-Zn-S(4) 102.74(3), S(2)-Zn-S(3) 100.59(3), S(2)-Zn-S(4) 108.91(3), S(3)-Zn-S(4) 115.16(4).$

Table 2 Comparison of Zn–S bond lengths in zinc complexes with bidentate $\left[S_2\right]$ ligands

	$d(Zn-S_{av})/Å$	Ref.
[Bm ^{Me}]ZnI	2.29	This work
[Bm ^{Me}]ZnMe	2.36	This work
[Bm ^{Mes}]Zn(NO ₃)	2.27	This work
$[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$	2.34	This work
$\{N[PPr_{2}^{i}(S)]_{2}\}_{2}Zn$	2.35	18
[MeC(S)CH ₂ C(S)OEt] ₂ Zn	2.30	19

Experimental

General considerations

All manipulations were performed using a combination of glovebox, high-vacuum or Schlenk techniques.²² Solvents were purified and degassed by standard procedures. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Varian VXR-400 and Bruker Avance 400 DRX spectrometers. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian VXR-300 (75.43 MHz) and Bruker Avance 300wb DRX (75.47 MHz) spectrometers. ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to SiMe₄ ($\delta = 0$) and were referenced internally with respect to the protio solvent impurity or the ¹³C resonances, respectively. All coupling constants are reported in Hz. IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on Perkin-Elmer 1430 or 1600 spectrophotometers and are reported in cm⁻¹. C, H, and N elemental analyses were measured using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN Elemental Analyzer. 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole was obtained from Aldrich.

Synthesis of 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole

2-Mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole was prepared using a general procedure.²³ Specifically, a mixture of MesNCS²⁴ (Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂) (15 g, 0.085 mol) and amino acetaldehyde diethyl acetal [H₂NCH₂CH(OEt)₂] (12.3 mL, 0.085 mol) in toluene (*ca.* 60 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. After this period, HCl(aq) (3.2 mL of 14 M, 0.045 mol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 7 hours. The volatile components were removed *in vacuo* and water (*ca.* 300 mL) was added to the residue. The pH was adjusted to 8 by the addition of NaOH (0.1 M), resulting in the formation of a precipitate. The mixture was filtered and the precipitate was dried, extracted into CHCl₃ (*ca.* 500 mL), and filtered. The volatile components were removed *in vacuo*, and the residue obtained was washed with Et₂O giving 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole as a pale peach colored powder (13.6 g, 74%) (Calc. for C₃H₃N₂(S)[C₆H₂-

 $(CH_3)_3$]: C, 66.0; H, 6.5; N, 12.8. Found: C, 65.7; H, 6.4; N, 12.1%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 2.08 [s, 2 *ortho*-CH₃], 2.33 [s, *para*-CH₃], 6.63 [d, ³J_{H-H} = 2, 1 H of C₃H₃N₂S], 6.84 [d, ³J_{H-H} = 2, 1 H of C₃H₃N₂S], 6.99 [s, C₆H₂Me₃], 11–12 [br, 1 H of C₃H₃N₂S].

Synthesis of {[Bm^{Me}]Li}₂

A mixture of 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole (10.0 g, 87.6 mmol) and LiBH₄ (0.795 g, 36.5 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was heated overnight at 50 °C. After this period, the volatile components were removed *in vacuo* giving a white solid which was washed with Et₂O (2 × 50 mL) and with CHCl₃ (30 mL) to remove excess 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole. Yield of {[Bm^{Me}]Li}₂·CHCl₃: 6.0 g, 54% (Calc. for {[Bm^{Me}]Li}₂·CHCl₃: C, 33.4; H, 4.1; N, 18.3. Found: C, 33.6; H, 4.5; N, 18.4%). IR (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 3121 (s), 2945 (m), 2399 (m), 2379 (m), 2276 (w), 1654 (w), 1628 (w), 1560 (m), 1525 (vw), 1510 (vw), 1458 (s), 1412 (s), 1380 (vs), 1303 (m), 1193 (s), 1119 (vs), 1086 (m), 1040 (w), 1015 (vw), 959 (vw), 884 (vw), 755 (m), 727 (s), 699 (m), 678 (m), 615 (w), 512 (m), 460 (w). NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 3. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from chloroform.

Synthesis of {[Bm^{Me}]Tl}_x

A suspension of $\{[Bm^{Me}]Li\}_2 \cdot CHCl_3$ (7.0 g, 28.4 mmol) and $Tl(O_2CMe)$ (12.4 g, 47.1 mmol) in MeOH (85 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. After this period, the volatile components were removed *in vacuo* giving a sticky white solid which was washed with water (2 × 100 mL) and dried *in vacuo*. Yield of $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x$: 7.23 g, 71% (Calc. for $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x$: C, 21.7; H, 2.7; N, 12.6. Found: C, 21.6; H, 2.5; N, 12.0%). IR (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 3144 (w), 3116 (m), 3077 (w), 2934 (w), 2665 (vw), 2371 (s), 2078 (vw), 1547 (m), 1453 (s), 1402 (s), 1373 (vs), 1297 (s), 1203 (s), 1181 (s), 1165 (s), 1109 (vs), 1082 (s), 983 (m), 888 (m), 848 (vw), 828 (vw), 749 (s), 728 (s), 701 (m), 678 (m), 651 (w), 606 (vw), 518 (w), 502 (w), 458 (w). NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 3. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from chloroform.

Synthesis of [Bm^{Me}]ZnI

A mixture of { $[Bm^{Me}]Tl$ }_x (660 mg, 1.48 mmol Tl) and ZnI₂ (485 mg, 1.52 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was stirred at room temperature for *ca.* 3 hours, resulting in the formation of a yellow precipitate. After this period, the mixture was filtered. Removal of the volatile components from the filtrate *in vacuo* gave [Bm^{Me}]ZnI as a white solid. Yield of [Bm^{Me}]ZnI: 220 mg, 34% (Calc. for [Bm^{Me}]ZnI: C, 22.3; H, 2.8; N, 13.0. Found: C, 22.3; H, 2.8; N, 12.5%). IR (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 3155 (m), 3125 (m), 3087 (w), 2948 (w), 2865 (vw), 2708 (vw), 2439 (m), 2224 (m), 2067 (w), 1559 (s), 1463 (vs), 1439 (m), 1420 (s), 1390 (vs), 1326 (m), 1299 (m), 1261 (w), 1195 (vs), 1156 (m), 1130 (s), 1088 (s), 1049 (m), 1017 (m), 963 (m), 878 (m), 836 (w), 802 (w), 725 (s), 694 (s), 678 (w), 643 (w), 593.4 (w), 514 (m), 464 (vw). NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 3. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from chloroform.

Synthesis of [Bm^{Me}]ZnMe

A suspension of $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_{x}$ (0.75 g, 1.70 mmol Tl) in benzene (25 mL) was treated with a solution of ZnMe₂ (0.29 g, 3.0 mmol) in toluene (1.5 mL), thereby resulting in the slow formation of a black precipitate. The mixture was stirred for 2 hours, filtered and the volatile components were removed *in vacuo*. Yield of $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe 0.45 g (83\%)$. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3122 (vs), 2938 (s), 2409 (vs), 2293 (s), 2068 (m), 1578 (w), 1561 (s), 1460 (vs), 1418 (s), 1381 (vs), 1322 (m), 1304 (m), 1227 (m), 1198 (vs), 1120 (vs), 1087 (s), 1043 (m), 1017 (m), 957 (m), 878 (w), 749 (s), 732 (vs), 697 (s), 678 (s), 663 (m), 526 (s), 502 (m), 484 (m), 455 (m). NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 3. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from benzene.

7 December 2012	doi:10.1039/A908411H
ed by FORDHAM UNIVERSITY on 17	01 March 2000 on http://pubs.rsc.org d
Downloade	Published on

 Table 3
 NMR spectroscopic data for {[Bm^{Me}]M} derivatives

	$\left\{ \left[Bm^{Me} \right] Li \right\}_{2}^{a}$	${[Bm^{Me}]Tl}_{x}^{a}$	$[Bm^{Me}]ZnI^{b}$	$[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe^{b}$	$[Bm^{Me}]_2 Zn^c$
¹ H NMR H ₂ B(C ₃ N ₂ H ₂ CH ₅ S) ₂ H ₂ B(C ₃ N ₂ H ₂ CH ₃ S) ₂ H ₂ B(C ₃ N ₂ H ₂ CH ₃ S) ₂	3.32, s 6.69, d, ${}^{3}J_{H+H} = 2$ [2H] 6.97, d, ${}^{3}J_{H+H} = 2$ [2H] 3.19, br	3.42, s 6.74, d, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 7.00, d, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 3.94, br	3.62, s 6.81, $a_{3}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 6.90, d, ${}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 3.54, br	3.59, s 6.72 , $d^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 6.84 , $d^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 3.35, br -0.43, s, Zn-CH ₃	3.51, s 6.94, d. $^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 7.02, d. $^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [2H] 3.60, br
¹³ C NMR H ₂ B(C ₃ N ₂ H ₂ CH ₃ S) ₂ H ₂ B(C ₃ N ₂ H ₂ CH ₃ S) ₂	33.7, q, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 139$ 115.2, d, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 192$ [2C] 123.7, d, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 192$ [2C] 163.3, s	$\begin{array}{l} 34.1,q,^{1}J_{\rm CH}=140\\ 118.4,d,^{1}J_{\rm CH}=195[\rm 2C]\\ 122.5,d,^{1}J_{\rm CH}=193[\rm 2C]\\ 160.2,s\end{array}$	35.4, q, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 142$ 120.4, d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 194$ [2C] 123.2, d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 197$ [2C] 156.3, s	35.1, q, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 141$ 119.6, d, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 195$ [2C] 123.0, d, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 202$ [2C] 157.1, s -10.7 , q, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 121$, Zn-CH ₃	$\begin{array}{l} 36.0,q,{}^1J_{\rm C-H}=142\\ 121.3,d,{}^1J_{\rm C-H}=195[2{\rm C}]\\ 1246,d,{}^1J_{\rm C-H}=196[2{\rm C}]\\ 155.1,s\\ 155.1,s\end{array}$
^{<i>a</i>} In d ⁶ -Me ₂ SO. ^{<i>b</i>} In CDCl ₃ . ^{<i>c</i>} In CD ₃ C	CN.				

View Article Online

Synthesis of [Bm^{Me}]₂Zn

A solution of $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$ (100 mg, 0.19 mmol) in CHCl₃ (*ca.* 5 mL) was allowed to stand at room temperature for 4 days, depositing $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$ as an off-white precipitate which was separated by filtration, washed with pentane (*ca.* 5 mL) and dried. Yield of $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$: 54 mg (65%). IR data (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3147 (s), 3120 (s), 2946 (s), 2492 (m), 2393 (s), 2291 (m), 1687 (w), 1556 (s), 1459 (s), 1412 (s), 1379 (s), 1326 (m), 1302 (m), 1188 (s), 1171 (s), 1128 (s), 1087 (s), 1042 (m), 1014 (m), 909 (w), 886 (w), 804 (vw), 763 (m), 751 (s), 725 (s), 698 (s), 647 (w), 618 (vw), 601 (vw), 548 (vw), 507 (w), 450 (vw). NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 3. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from CHCl₃.

Synthesis of {[Bm^{Mes}]Li}₂

A stirred mixture of 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole (500 mg, 2.29 mmol) and LiBH₄ (50 mg, 2.29 mmol) in toluene, was heated at *ca*. 115 °C for two days in an ampoule (**CARE**!). The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered. The volatile components were removed from the filtrate *in vacuo* and the white product was washed with pentane (*ca*. 20 mL) and dried to give { $[Bm^{Mes}]Li$ }₂ as a white powder (365 mg, 70%). IR (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 2366 (m), 2345 (m), [*v*(B–H)]. NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 4. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from benzene.

Synthesis of {[Bm^{Mes}]Tl}_x

A mixture of 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole (7 g, 0.032 mol) and LiBH₄ (1.4 g, 0.07 mmol) in THF (*ca*. 50 mL) was heated at *ca*. 70 °C for 1 day in an ampoule (**CARE!**). The THF was removed *in vacuo* and the product was dissolved in methanol (*ca*. 30 mL). Tl(O₂CMe) (5.15 g, 0.020 mol) was added to the solution and the mixture was stirred for 1 hour, resulting in the formation of a white/beige precipitate. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour, and the supernatant was decanted. The residue was dried *in vacuo*, and washed with diethyl ether, giving {[Bm^{Mes}]Tl}_x as an off-white powder (4.99 g, 68%). IR data (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 2361 (s), 2212 (m) [*v*(B–H)]. ¹H NMR (*d*₆-DMSO, obtained immediately): δ 1.89 (s, 2 × CH₃), 2.27 (s, 1 × CH₃), 6.84 (d, 2H), 6.93 (d, 2H), 6.95 (s, 4H).²⁵

Synthesis of [Bm^{Mes}]ZnNO₃

A mixture of $\{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2$ (310 mg, 0.68 mmol) and $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (400 mg, 1.34 mmol) in MeOH (*ca.* 15 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The volatile components were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was extracted into C_6H_6 (10 mL). The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated. Colorless microcrystals of $[Bm^{Mes}]ZnNO_3$ were deposited and were isolated by filtration. The volatile components were removed from the filtrate giving $[Bm^{Mes}]ZnNO_3$ as a white powder (108 mg, 27%) (Calc. for $[Bm^{Mes}]ZnNO_3$: C, 50.2; H, 4.9; N, 12.2. Found: C, 50.3; H, 4.8; N, 12.0%). NMR spectroscopic data are listed in Table 4. Crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained from benzene.

X-Ray structure determinations

Crystal data, data collection and refinement parameters are summarized in Table 5. X-Ray diffraction data for $[Bm^{Me}]ZnI$ and $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$ were collected on a Siemens P4 diffractometer. The unit cells were determined by the automatic indexing of 25 centered reflections and confirmed by examination of the axial photographs. Intensity data were collected using graphite monochromated Mo-K α X-radiation $(\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å})$. Check reflections were measured every 100 reflections, and the data were scaled accordingly and corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects. X-Ray diffraction data for $\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x, \{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2, [Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3) and$

	$\{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2^a$	[Bm ^{Mes}]ZnNO ₃ ^{<i>a</i>}	
¹ H NMR			
$H_2B\{C_3N_2H_2[C_6H_2(C_6H_2$	$(H_3)_3]S_2$ 1.95, s, ortho 2 30 s para	1.94, s, ortho 2 34 s para	
$\begin{array}{l} H_{2}B\{C_{3}N_{2}H_{2}[C_{6}H_{2}(C)]\\ H_{2}B\{C_{3}N_{2}H_{2}[C_{6}H_{2}(C)]\\ \end{array}$	$H_{3}_{3}]S_{2}$ $H_{3}_{3}]S_{2}$ $H_{3}_{3}]S_{2}$ 6.93, s $6.90, d, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2[$ $6.60, d, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2[$	213 (s, 5, 5, 6, 6, 9), s 24] $6.82, d, {}^{3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [24] 24] $7.16, d^{-3}J_{H-H} = 2$ [24]	
$H_2B\{C_3N_2H_2[C_6H_2(CH_3)]$	$(H_3)_3]S_2$ Not observed	3.75, br	
¹³ C NMR			
$H_2B\{C_3N_2H_2[C_6H_2(C_1)]$	$(H_3)_3]S_2$ 17.9, q, ${}^1J_{C-H} = 12^{\circ}$ 21.1, q, ${}^1J_{C-H} = 12^{\circ}$	7 [o -CH ₃] 17.5, q, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 128$ [o - 7 [p -CH ₃] 21.1, q, ${}^{1}J_{C-H} = 127$ [p	·CH ₃] -CH ₂]
$H_2B\{C_3N_2H_2[C_6H_2(C)]$	$H_{3}_{3}[S]_{2}$ 129.0, d, $J_{C-H} = 1$: 134.1, s 135.8, s 138.7 s	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	*2
$H_2B\{C_3N_2H_2[C_6H_2(CH_2)]$	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{H}_{3}_{3}]\text{S}_{2} \\ \text{H}_{3}_{3}]\text{S}_{2} \\ 117.8, \text{ d}, {}^{1}J_{\text{C-H}} = 19 \\ 123.4, \text{ d}, {}^{1}J_{\text{C-H}} = 19 \\ 160.5, \text{ s} \end{array} $	95 $119.9, d, {}^{1}J_{C-H} = 198$ 86 $124.3, d, {}^{1}J_{C-H} = 197$ $155.6, s$	

^{*a*} In CDCl₃.

Table 5 Crystal, intensity collection and refinement data

	$\{[Bm^{Me}]Tl\}_x$	$\{[Bm^{Mes}]Li\}_2$	[Bm ^{Me}]ZnI	[Bm ^{Me}]ZnMe	$[Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3) \boldsymbol{\cdot} 3C_6H_6$	$[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$	
Formula Formula weight Crystal symmetry Space group <i>a</i> /Å <i>b</i> /Å <i>c</i> /Å <i>a</i> /° β/° γ/°	$\begin{array}{c} C_8H_{12}B_1N_4S_2Tl\\ 887.03\\ Monoclinic\\ P2_1/n \ (no.\ 14)\\ 8.5696(4)\\ 14.1642(7)\\ 11.3006(5)\\ 90\\ 111.966(1)\\ 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C_{48}H_{56}B_{2}Li_{2}N_{8}S_{4}\\ 908.75\\ Triclinic\\ P\bar{1}\ (no.\ 2)\\ 8.135(1)\\ 16.526(3)\\ 20.404(3)\\ 106.379(3)\\ 101.143(3)\\ 97.605(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C_8H_{12}BIN_4S_2Zn\\ 431.2\\ Monoclinic\\ P2_1/n \ (no.\ 14)\\ 11.362(2)\\ 9.937(2)\\ 13.483(3)\\ 90\\ 110.31(2)\\ 90 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C_9H_{15}BN_4S_2Zn\\ 319.6\\ Monoclinic\\ P2_1/c \ (no. \ 14)\\ 7.652(1)\\ 13.822(3)\\ 13.469(2)\\ 90\\ 102.588(7)\\ 90 \end{array}$	C ₄₂ H ₄₆ BN ₅ O ₃ S ₂ Zn 809.14 Orthorhombic <i>Pna2</i> ₁ (no. 33) 24.777(5) 9.451(2) 18.736(4) 90 90 90	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16}H_{24}B_2N_8S_4Zn\\ 543.66\\ Monoclinic\\ P2_1/n (no. 14)\\ 9.327(1)\\ 14.393(2)\\ 18.520(3)\\ 90\\ 93.811(2)\\ 90 \end{array}$	
V/Å ³	1272.1(1)	2530.8(6)	1427.6(5)	1390.3(4)	4387(2)	2480.6(6)	
Z Temperature/K Radiation, $\lambda/Å$ $D_c/g \text{ cm}^{-3}$ $\mu(\text{Mo-K}\alpha)/\text{mm}^{-1}$ $\theta_{\text{max}}/\circ$ No. of data No. of parameters R_1^a wR_2^a GOF	4 203 0.71073 2.316 13.00 28.3 2865 154 0.0292 0.0616 1.128	2 213 0.71073 1.193 0.23 28.3 10289 606 0.0718 0.1194 1.038	4 293 0.71073 2.007 4.16 24.0 2226 163 0.0353 0.0799 1.042	4 293 0.71073 1.527 2.05 22.5 1783 163 0.0579 0.1164 1.014	4 223 0.71073 1.225 0.70 28.3 9177 459 0.0838 0.0909 1.066	4 228 0.71073 1.456 1.348 28.2 5607 300 0.0416 0.0919 1.000	
${}^{a} R_{1} = \Sigma F_{o} - F_{c} / \Sigma F_{o} \text{ for } [I > 2\sigma(I)]; wR_{2} = \{\Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}] / \Sigma [w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}] \}^{1/2} \text{ for } [I > 2\sigma(I)].$							

 $[Bm^{Me}]_2Zn$ were collected on a Bruker P4 diffractometer equipped with a SMART CCD detector. The structures were solved using direct methods and standard difference map techniques, and were refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures on F^2 with SHELXTL (Version 5.03).²⁶ Hydrogen atoms on carbon were included in calculated positions.

CCDC reference number 186/1829.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/a9/a908411h/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Conclusion

In summary, the bidentate $[S_2]$ -donor bis(mercaptoimidazolyl) ligands, $[Bm^{Me}]^-$ and $[Bm^{Mes}]^-$, have been synthesized by reaction of $[BH_4]^-$ with 2-mercapto-1-methylimidazole and 2-mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole, respectively. Subsequent treatment with ZnX_2 (X = Me, I, NO₃) yields a series of 1:1 $[Bm^R]ZnX$ complexes, namely $[Bm^{Me}]ZnMe$, $[Bm^{Me}]ZnI$ and $[Bm^{Mes}]Zn(NO_3)$. The isolation of these 1:1 $[Bm^R]ZnX$ complexes provides a contrast with the homoleptic L_2Zn derivatives that have previously been obtained using related bidentate $[S_2]$

donor ligands. The [Bm^{Me}] ligand is, however, insufficiently bulky to prevent the formation of the homoleptic derivative, [Bm^{Me}]₂Zn, which may be obtained by a redistribution reaction of [Bm^{Me}]ZnMe in CHCl₃.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE 96-10497) for support of this research and also the National Science Foundation and the U.S. Department of Energy for support *via* the Environmental Molecular Sciences Institute at Columbia University (NSF CHE-98-10367). Dr Tauqir Fillebeen is thanked for technical assistance.

References

- 1 Bidentate donors with a single atom linker are also well known, and include: $[RC(O)_2]^-$, $[RC(NR')_2]^-$ and $[RC(S)_2]^-$.
- 2 J. O. Morley and M. H. Charlton, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 6871.
- 3 M. Garner, J. Reglinski, I. Cassidy, M. D. Spicer and A. R. Kennedy, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1975.

- ⁵ For other studies using the [Tm^{Me}]⁻ ligand, see: (a) C. Santini, C. Pettinari, G. G. Lobbia, R. Spagna, M. Pellei and F. Vallorani, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1999, **285**, 81; (b) C. Santini, G. G. Lobbia, C. Pettinari, M. Pellei, G. Valle and S. Calogero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 890; (c) J. Reglinski, M. D. Spicer, M. Garner and A. R. Kennedy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, **121**, 2317.
- 6 For reviews, see: S. Trofimenko, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, 93, 943;
 G. Parkin, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 42, 291; N. Kitajima and W. B. Tolman, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 43, 419.
 7 The syntheses of [Bm^{Me}]Li and [Bm^{Me}]ZnI have been commun-
- 7 The syntheses of [Bm^{Me}]Li and [Bm^{Me}]ZnI have been communicated: C. Kimblin, T. Hascall and G. Parkin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 5680.
- 8 C. Janiak, Main Group Met. Chem., 1998, 21, 33; Coord. Chem. Rev., 1997, 163, 107.
- 9 2-Mercapto-1-mesitylimidazole is monoclinic, space group P_{2_1}/c (No. 14), a = 7.5661(5), b = 20.740(1), c = 7.3572(5) Å, $\beta = 90.000(1)^\circ$, V = 1154.5(1) Å³, Z = 4, T = 223 K, $R_1 = 0.0394$, $wR_2 = 0.1119 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$.
- 10 An orthorhombic modification of $[Bm^{Me}]ZnI$ was also structurally characterized: a = 9.075(1), b = 10.800(1), c = 29.261(5) Å, V = 2868.0(8) Å³, Z = 8, T = 293 K, $R_1 = 0.0490$, $wR_2 = 0.1215$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$.
- 11 CSD Version 5.17. *3D Search and Research Using the Cambridge Structural Database*, F.H. Allen and O. Kennard, *Chem. Des. Automat. News*, 1993, **8**(1), 1, 31.
- 12 For example, the "two coordinate" Tl^I centers in a variety of [Bp^{RR}]Tl complexes are supplemented by weak intramolecular [Tl···H–B] interactions. T. Fillebeen, T. Hascall and G. Parkin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 3787; P. Ghosh, T. Hascall, C. Dowling and G. Parkin, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 3355; C. Dowling, P. Ghosh and G. Parkin, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 3469.
- 13 For examples of 3-center-2-electron [M····H-B] interactions in transition metal complexes, see: F. A. Cotton, M. Jeremic and A. Shaver, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1972, **6**, 543; J. L. Calderon, F. A. Cotton and A. Shaver, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1972, **42**, 419; M. O. Albers, S. F. A. Crosby, D. C. Liles, D. J. Robinson, A. Shaver and E. Singleton, *Organometallics*, 1987, **6**, 2014; D. L. Reger, R. Mahtab, J. C. Baxter and L. Lebioda, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 2046; C. A. Kosky, P. Ganis and G. Avitabile, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1971, **27**, 1859; R. B. King and A. B. Bond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 1338; D. L. Reger, C. A. Swift and L. Lebioda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 5343; J. C. Calabrese, P. J. Domaille, J. S. Thompson and S. Trofimenko, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 4429.

- 14 P. Ghosh, A. L. Rheingold and G. Parkin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 38, 5464.
- 15 R. Beckett and B. F. Hoskins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 908.
- 16 D. Cupertino, R. Keyte, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, 35, 2695.
- 17 For recent references to tetrahedral zinc complexes with sulfur ligation, see: B. Muller, A. Schneider, M. Tesmer and H. Vahrenkamp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 1900; U. Brand, M. Rombach and H. Vahrenkamp, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 2717; U. Brand and H. Vahrenkamp, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1996, **622**, 213.
- 18 D. Cupertino, R. Keyte, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, 35, 2695.
- 19 R. Beckett and B. F. Hoskins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 908.
- 20 S. Al-Karadaghi, E. S. Cedergren-Zeppezauer, S. Hövmoller, K. Petratos, H. Terry and K. S. Wilson, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D*, 1994, **50**, 793.
- 21 Furthermore, [Zn(mercaptomethylimidazole)₄]²⁺ has a similar coordination geometry. See: I. W. Nowell, A. G. Cox and E. S. Raper, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1979, **35**, 3047; A. Wilk, M. A. Hitchman, W. Massa and D. Reinen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 2483.
- 22 J. P. McNally, V. S. Leong and N. J. Cooper, in *Experimental Organometallic Chemistry*, ed. A. L. Wayda and M. Y. Darensbourg, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1987, ch. 2, pp. 6–23;
 B. J. Burger and J. E. Bercaw, in *Experimental Organometallic Chemistry*, ed. A. L. Wayda and M. Y. Darensbourg, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1987, ch. 4, pp. 79–98; D. F. Shriver and M. A. Drezdzon, *The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2nd edn., 1986.
- 23 K. Matsuda, I. Yanagisawa and Y. Isomura, Synth. Commun., 1997, 27, 3565.
- 24 MesNCS was obtained by a general literature method, see: C. J. Jochims, *Chem. Ber.*, 1968, **101**, 1746.
- 25 Note that ${[Bm^{Mes}]Tl}_x$ decomposes over the period of an hour in Me₂SO.
- 26 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL, An Integrated System for Solving, Refining and Displaying Crystal Structures from Diffraction Data; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany, 1981.

Paper a908411h