



Hypervalent Compounds

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201602137 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201602137

Rhodium-Catalyzed Geminal Oxyfluorination and Oxytrifluoro-**Methylation of Diazocarbonyl Compounds**

Weiming Yuan, Lars Eriksson, and Kálmán J. Szabó*

Abstract: A new reaction for the rhodium-catalyzed geminaldifunctionalization-based fluorination is presented. The substrates are aromatic and aliphatic diazocarbonyl compounds. As the fluorine source a stable and easily accessible benziodoxole reagent was used. A variety of alcohol, phenol, and carboxylic acid reagents were employed to introduce the second functionality. The reaction was extended to trifluoromethylation using a benziodoxolon reagent. The fluorination and trifluoromethylation reactions probably proceed by a rhodium-containing onium vlide type intermediate, which is trapped by either the F or CF_3 electrophiles.

Organofluorine compounds have widespread use as pharmaceuticals,[1] agrochemicals,[2] and as ligands for medicinal imaging.^[3] This widespread use is based on the advantageous pharmacokinetic and metabolic properties of organofluorine species, as well as the nuclear properties of the artificial ¹⁸F isotopes incorporated into bioactive substances. However, in most cases fluorine is just one of the important functionalities in bioactive molecules. In bioactive organofluorines other functional groups interacting with the macromolecules of the leaving organisms are also necessary. Therefore, development of difunctionalization (or polyfunctionalization) based fluorination/fluoroalkylation methods are very important.^[4]

The group of Sodeoka^[5] and our group^[6] independently published the first catalytic vicinal (Cα-β) oxytrifluoromethylation method using the Togni reagent. [4a] This study was followed by a large number of excellent studies on oxytrifluoromethylation, [7] aminotrifluoromethylation, [8] carbotrifluoromethylation, [9] and related difunctionalizations. [10] Similarly, development of oxyfluorination, [11] aminofluorination, [11a,d,e,12] carbofluorination, [11a,13] and similar methodologies^[14] have attracted a lot of recent interest. Most of the methods are based on vicinal fluoro functionalization of π systems, such as alkenes. Recently, several difunctionalization methods appeared to introduce fluorine together with another functional group at the geminal $(C\alpha-\alpha)$ carbon atom. [15] However, trifluoromethylation-based geminal difunctionalization is a largely unexplored area in organic synthesis.[16]

An interesting approach for geminal-difunctionalizationbased fluorination [15c-g] involves use of diazocarbonyl compounds.[17] The first studies on halofluorination of diazocarbonyl compounds were reported by Olah and co-workers, [18] and was followed by several recent studies.[15c-e] in which either selectfluor or hypervalent iodine reagents were used for the introduction of fluorine. Geminal oxyfluorination is a much less explored area than halofluorination. Wakselman and Leroy reported^[19] a method for electrophilic oxyfluorination of diazocarbonyl compounds with trifluoro (fluorooxy)methane (CF₃OF). The synthetic scope was limited by application of CF₃OF, which contained both the alkoxy and fluorine component in the same reagent. We have now found an alternative approach for oxyfluorination of diazocarbonyl compounds (such as 2a) by using the fluoro-benziodoxole reagent **1a**^[20] and various alcohols (see Table 1).

The attractive feature of this method is the separation of the fluorine and alkoxide sources into two reagents (1a and the alcohol component), thus potentially resulting in broad synthetic scope. In addition, the electrophilic fluorination reagent 1a is very stable[11b] and easily accessible from nucleophilic fluorinating sources, and is potentially important for the development of late-stage fluorination methods.^[21] Very recent synthetic applications demonstrated that **1a** is an excellent fluorinating reagent[22] in copper-, zinc-, palladium, and acid-catalyzed reactions. [11b,f,14a,15a,20c,d] However, as far as we know this reaction is the first rhodium-catalyzed fluorination with 1a. After extensive optimization, we found (Table 1) that the best reaction conditions comprised 2.0 equivalents of 2a and 3.0 equivalents of the alcohol, and 1 mol % of the rhodium catalyst 3. The reaction in DCM was complete within 15 minutes at room temperature (entry 1). Use of less than 2.0 equivalents of 2a afforded an acceptable, but lower yield (entry 2). Probably the reaction intermediate formed from 2a is unstable, thus using excess 2a with respect to 1a is beneficial for the yield. Increase of the catalyst loading (entry 3) did not lead to significantly higher yield. [Rh₂(OAc)₄] proved to be the best catalyst, as [Rh(PPh₃)₃Cl] and [{Rh(COD)Cl}2] gave only trace amounts of the 4a (entries 4 and 5). The reaction catalyzed with [Rh₂(esp)₂] proceeds with 56 % yield (entry 6). We could not observe any formation of the oxyfluorinated product in the absence of the rhodium catalyst (entry 7). Using -20°C instead of room temperature did not change the yield significantly (entry 8). The solvent screening showed that DCM was the best solvent

[*] Dr. W. Yuan, Prof. K. J. Szabó Department of Organic Chemistry Stockholm University (Sweden) E-mail: kalman@organ.su.se

Homepage: http://www.organ.su.se/ks/

Dr. L. Eriksson

Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry Stockholm University (Sweden)

Supporting information for this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201602137.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Wiley Online Library



Table 1: Oxvfluorination of 2a under various reaction conditions. [a]

Entry	Deviation from the optimal reaction conditions	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	none	62
2	1.2 equiv of 2a	50
3	5 mol % of 3	65
4	[Rh(PPh ₃) ₃ Cl]	5 ^[c]
5	[{Rh(COD)Cl} ₂]	6 ^[c]
6	[Rh ₂ (esp) ₂]	56
7	without 3	NR
8	-20°C instead of RT	60
9	THF	32
10	toluene	47
11	acetonitrile	44
12	Selectfluor instead of 1 a	0
13	NFSI instead of 1 a	60

[a] Optimal reaction conditions: **1a** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.2 mmol), **5a** (0.3 mmol), [Rh₂(OAc)₄] (**3**; 0.001 mmol) was reacted in DCM (2.0 mL) for 15 minutes at RT. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] ¹⁹F NMR yield using trifluoromethyl benzene as internal standard. COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, DCM = dichloromethane, NFSI = N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide, THF = tetrahydrofuran.

(entries 9–11). Selectfluor was not a suitable fluorine source (entry 12). Huang and co-workers^[15g] have shown that diazoacetates react with NFSI to give geminal amino fluorination products under metal-free conditions. We found that NFSI with **2a** and **5a** also gave **4a** in the rhodium-catalyzed reaction (entry 13). In this process, we did not find the aminofluorination product. Formation of **4a** was not observed from a reaction of **2a**, **5a** and NFSI under metal-free conditions.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we studied the synthetic scope of the reaction. First we investigated the reactivity of various diazo carbonyl substrates. Methylsubstituted diazocarbonyl compounds (2b-d) reacted to give a slightly lower product yield than 2a (Table 2, entries 2-4). However, in the presence of an electron-withdrawing fluoro substituent (2e) the yield was higher than with 2a (entry 5). The diazoketone 2f, having an electron-donating para-methoxy substituent, reacted with a significantly lower yield than 2e (entry 6). Heteroaryls, such as the furylsubstituted diazoketone 2g, reacted to give a similar yield to that obtained with 2a (entry 7). Not only aromatic but also aliphatic diazoketones could be employed. The reaction with 2h and 2i proceeded smoothly (entries 8 and 9). In case of 2i the reaction gave the product 4i with a fluorine substituent at the quaternary carbon center. In the presence of a carbethoxy substituent in the diazo compound 2j the reaction was slower than for the parent compound 2a (entry 10). The reaction of the diazoester 2k and 1a gave a complex reaction mixture from which **4k** could be isolated in only 35 % yield (entry 11). Apparently diazoketones are more reactive substrates in the geminal oxyfluorination reaction than diazoesters. NFSI proved to be useful for oxyfluorination of 2h but was inefficient for the synthesis of quaternary fluorines 4i-j

Table 2: Reaction of various diazocarbonyl compounds. [a]

[a] For reaction conditions see caption [a] in Table 1. Yield is that of the isolated product. [b] Reaction performed on 0.5 mmol scale. [c] NFSI (0.1 mmol) was used as a fluorine source. [d] The reaction time was 2 h. [e] Yield determined by ¹⁹F NMR analysis using trifluoromethylbenzene as an internal standard.

4k

2k

(entries 9 and 10). The presented oxyfluorination method could easily be scaled up to five times the original scale without a significant change in yield (entry 1).

A variety of alcohols could be employed in the rhodiumcatalyzed geminal oxyfluorination reaction (Table 3). Electron-deficient benzyl alcohol reacted with high yield to give 41. The substrate scope could be extended to naphthyl (4m) and heteroaromatic (4n) alcohols. The product 4m was crystalline, so we were able to obtain an X-ray structure for this compound. Linear or branched aliphatic alcohols includ-



Table 3: Variation of the different oxygen nucleophiles. [a]

[a] For reaction conditions see caption [a] of Table 1. Yield is that of the isolated product. [b] Used 6.0 equiv of iPrOH. [c] Used 12.0 equiv of tBuOH. [d] Without added alcohol. [e] NFSI (0.1 mmol) was used as fluorine source.

ing tBuOH also reacted, albeit with moderate yields $(4\mathbf{o}-\mathbf{q})$. For the synthesis of oxyfluorinated products with $iPr(\mathbf{4p})$ and tBu (4q) groups the amount of the alcohol used had to be increased to obtain acceptable yields. The reaction easily could be carried out with allyl (4r) and propargylic alcohols (4s). Interestingly, fluorination^[11a,b,14a,15a] of the alkene and alkyne functionalities was avoided. In the absence of any external alcohol the product 4t incorporated the alcohol component of 1a. The substrate scope of the geminal oxyfluorination was extended to phenol and carboxylic acids. Phenol reacted with the cyclic diazo compound 2i under the standard optimized reaction conditions, thus affording the quaternary phenoxyfluorination product 4u. The reaction of benzoic and acetic acid afforded the acyloxyfluorinated products 4v and 4w, respectively, with moderate yields. NFSI was inefficient for acyloxyfluorination.

In the presented oxyfluorination method fairly complex bioactive alcohols can also be used for transformation of the hydroxy functionality. For example, cholesterol reacted with **2a** in the presence of **1a** and the catalyst **3** in a rapid process (15 min) to afford **4x** in 68 % yield with a diasteromeric ratio of 1:1 (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Oxyfluorination of cholesterol.

Figure 2. Rhodium-catalyzed oxytrifluoromethylation of 2a.

As a part of our fluorine chemistry program, $^{[6,9a,11a,14a,15a,23]}$ we compared the reactivity and selectivity features of $\mathbf{1a}$ and its CF₃ counterpart $\mathbf{1b}$ (Figure 2). To our delight $\mathbf{1b}$ reacted with $\mathbf{2a}$ and $\mathbf{5a}$ under basically the same reaction conditions as $\mathbf{1a}$, thus resulting in $\mathbf{6a}$, the CF₃ analogue of $\mathbf{4a}$. However, the yield of $\mathbf{6a}$ was poor (30%), therefore we used the benziodoxolon analogue $\mathbf{1c}$ in the presence of 5 equivalents of benzyl alcohol ($\mathbf{5a}$) to improve the yield to 85%. The Umemoto reagent $^{[24]}$ $\mathbf{1d}$ could also be used albeit with low yield (11%).

It is remarkable that under basically the same catalytic conditions **1a** and **1b/1c** gave the same type of geminal difunctionalized products, **4a** and **6a**, respectively. According to our experience with copper- and palladium-catalyzed reactions the F and CF₃ benziodoxole(on) reagents give different types of products. [6,9a,11a,14a,15a,23c] The same outcome for the rhodium-catalyzed geminal difunctionalization of **2a** with either **1a** or **1b/1c** indicates a similar mechanism is operative. This assumption is a noteworthy, because in the previously reported studies substantially different mechanisms have been postulated for the F and CF₃ group transfer from benziodoxole(on) systems. [5,6,8a,9a,11a,bf,14a,15a,20d,23c]

As 1c gave the highest yield in the oxytrifluoromethylation reactions, we used this reagent in further studies. Similar to the fluorination (Tables 2 and 3), the synthetic scope of the trifluoromethylation reaction is also very broad (Table 4). Variation of the diazoketone components gave a pattern similar to that we observed for the fluorination reaction (upper part of Table 4). The reaction with different substituents at the para-position of the diazoketone gave the products 6a-d with good to high yields. Similar to the fluorination reaction (Table 2, entry 6), the para-methoxy product 6d (Table 4) was formed with lower yield than the other analogues (6a-c). The yield is somewhat decreased, when the aryl group is replaced with naphthyl (6e) or furyl (6f) substituents. However, cyclic diazoketones reacted smoothly with high yield to give the quaternary CF₃ products 6 g-h. The hydroxy component could also be successfully varied (lower part of Table 4). An aliphatic alcohol with a Br handle reacted smoothly to give 6i. However, the product 6j, from 2a and propargylic alcohol, was formed with low yield. The possible reason is a lower tolerance of the propargyl group in trifluoromethylation than in the analogous fluorination reaction (Table 3, 4s). Similarly to the analogous fluorination reactions, phenoxytrifluoromethylation and acyloxytrifluoromethylation reactions were easily performed and resulted in 6k-n (Table 4). The iodo and Bpin handles in 6k and 6l, respectively, were nicely tolerated. When 2-iodobenzoic acid



Table 4: Oxytrifluoromethylation of diazo compounds. [a]

[a] The reaction conditions are the same as for fluorination (see caption [a] in Table 1) except that 5 equivalents of ROH were used. [b] The reaction was performed on a 0.5 mmol scale. [c] With addition of 1.5 equiv of 2-iodobenzoic acid.

was added, 6m was formed in high yield, apparently involving the rest part of 1c (see Table 3, 4t). Apparently, the acyloxy functionality reacts faster than the phenoxy one, as the internal competition reaction resulted in solely 6n (Table 4). This reaction could also be scaled up to five times the original scale without a significant change in yield.

To obtain more insight into the electron demand of the process we reacted **2e** and **2f** with **1a** (one equivalent of each) under our standard reaction conditions. This competitive reaction resulted in 4e and 4f in a 1.8 to 1 ratio [Eq. (1)], thus indicating that an electron-deficient diazoketone (2e) reacts faster than an electron-rich one (2 f). The fluorination with 1a proceeded about twice as fast as the trifluoromethylation with 1b [Eq. (2)]. In many cases TEMPO inhibits the trifluoromethylation reactions with 1c, and often leads to postulation of a radical CF3 transfer mechanism. [4a] Yet, the rhodiumcatalyzed oxytrifluoromethylation of 2a is not affected at all by the addition of TEMPO [Eq. (3); Table 4, 6a]. When 2a was reacted with BnOH (5a) in the absence of 1a, the reaction resulted in 7 [Eq. (4)]. This product suggests that the C-O bond formation is very easy and it probably occurs before the fluorination step.

Based on the above experiments and literature data^[17a,b,g] we postulate a mechanism for the fluorination process (Figure 3). Considering the reported analogous rhodium-catalyzed reactions of diazoketones, the first step is probably formation of the rhodium carbenoid^[25] **8** from **2a** and **3**. The insertion of the hydroxy group into the carbenoid gives onium ylide **9**. Formation of onium ylides are known for OH insertion into rhodium carbenes formed from diazocarbonyl precursors.^[17a,b,g] The onium ion is probably formed more easily from electron-deficient rhodium carbenoids than from

Figure 3. Plausible mechanism for rhodium-catalyzed 1,1-difunctionalization.

electron-rich ones. This reactivity may explain the faster reaction of 2e versus 2f in the competitive experiment [Eq. (1)]. The next step is electrophilic trapping of the onium ylide 9 with fluorine (1a) to give 11. Similar trapping of aldehydes, imines, and other electrophiles with onium ylides has been suggested for related reactions.^[17a,b,g] In the present fluorination reaction the electrophilic trapping may take place by oxidative addition of rhodium followed by reductive elimination of the fluoride with the oxygen-substituted carbon atom. Alternatively, the fluorine transfer from 1a to **9** may occur by σ -bond metathesis. Proton transfer from **11** to 10 affords the final product 4 with regeneration of the catalyst. Considering this mechanism, other amine or sulfide nucleophiles could also be used for the ylide formation (such as 9). However, 1a is sensitive to nucleophilic displacement of the fluorine. Thus, when we used nitrogen or sulfur nucleophiles 1a decomposed, and the reactions did not result in fluorinated products (such as 4).

The reaction with the CF₃ reagents 1b/1c probably follows the same mechanism as 1a. The $2a\rightarrow 9$ steps could obviously be the same, while in the trifluoromethylation with 1b/1c undergo the electrophilic trapping. The competitive

Communications





experiments [Eq. (2)] indicate that the oxidation power/ electrophilicity of the benziodoxole reagent is important in the trapping of the onium ylide 9, as 1a reacts about twice as fast as 1b.

Based on the above observations for fluorination and trifluoromethylation reactions, as well as on recently published studies, [26] we hypothesize that, the onium ylide formation/ylide trapping mechanism can be generalized for a broad variety of metal-catalyzed difunctionalizations of diazocarbonyls with benziodoxole(on) reagents.

In summary, we have shown that the benziodoxole reagents 1a and 1c undergo efficient oxyfluorination and oxytrifluoromethylation reactions, respectively, with alcohols, phenols, and carboxylic acids. In oxyfluorination NFSI can also be used as a fluorine source in some processes but 1a has a much broader synthetic scope, including cyclic substrates (such as 2i) and carboxylic acids, as coupling components. As far as we know this is the first study on rhodium-catalyzed geminal-difunctionalization-based fluorination and trifluoromethylation reactions. The reactions are fast (15 minutes) at room temperature (even with fairly complex alcohol substrate, such as cholesterol). Therefore, these processes are suitable for rapid late-stage fluorination and trifluoromethylation reactions. In addition, the above methods open new routes for the synthesis of organofluorine compounds for drug design and new fertilizers.[1,2] Further studies including the exploration of new difunctionalization reactions with various nucleophiles and benziodoxole(on) reagents, as well as mechanistic/modelling studies are ongoing in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

Typical procedure: The fluoroiodane reagent 1a (28 mg, 0.1 mmol), $[Rh_2(OAc)_4]$ (3; 0.4 mg, 0.001 mmol), and the corresponding hydroxy compound (0.3 mmol) are mixed in DCM (1 mL). Then the diazo compound 2 (0.2 mmol), dissolved in DCM (1 mL), was added dropwise within 5 minutes. After a 15 min reaction time at RT the reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue purified by silica gel chromatography.

Acknowledgements

Support from the Swedish Research Council and the Knut och Alice Wallenbergs Foundation are greatly acknowledged.

Keywords: diazo compounds · fluorine · hypervalent compounds · reaction mechanisms · rhodium catalyst

- a) Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. Gu, S. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Aceña, V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa, H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.* 2016, 116, 422; b) J. Wang, M. Sánchez-Roselló, J. L. Aceña, C. del Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok, H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 2432; c) K. Müller, C. Faeh, F. Diederich, *Science* 2007, 317, 1881; d) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow, V. Gouverneur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 37, 320.
- [2] P. Jeschke, ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 570.

- [3] a) S. Preshlock, M. Tredwell, V. Gouverneur, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 719; b) M. Tredwell, S. M. Preshlock, N. J. Taylor, S. Gruber, M. Huiban, J. Passchier, J. Mercier, C. Génicot, V. Gouverneur, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7751; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 7885; c) J.-L. Zeng, J. Wang, J.-A. Ma, Bioconjugate Chem. 2015, 26, 1000.
- [4] a) J. Charpentier, N. Früh, A. Togni, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650;
 b) X. Yang, T. Wu, R. J. Phipps, F. D. Toste, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 826;
 c) W. Kong, E. Merino, C. Nevado, Chimia 2014, 68, 430;
 d) J. R. Wolstenhulme, V. Gouverneur, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 3560;
 e) T. Liang, C. N. Neumann, T. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 8372;
 f) C. Alonso, E. Martínez de Marigorta, G. Rubiales, F. Palacios, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1847;
 g) S. R. Chemler, M. T. Bovino, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1076;
 h) P. Chen, G. Liu, Synthesis 2013, 2919;
 i) A. Prieto, O. Baudoin, D. Bouyssi, N. Monteiro, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 869;
 j) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1513.
- [5] H. Egami, R. Shimizu, M. Sodeoka, Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 5503.
- [6] P. G. Janson, I. Ghoneim, N. O. Ilchenko, K. J. Szabó, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2882.
- [7] a) Y. Li, A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8221; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 8345; b) R. Zhu, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12655; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 12887; c) X.-Y. Jiang, F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14177; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 14427.
- [8] a) S. Kawamura, H. Egami, M. Sodeoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4865; b) F. Wang, N. Zhu, P. Chen, J. Ye, G. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9356; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 9488; c) F. Wang, X. Qi, Z. Liang, P. Chen, G. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1881; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 1912.
- [9] a) N. O. Ilchenko, P. G. Janson, K. J. Szabo, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11087; b) F. Wang, D. Wang, X. Mu, P. Chen, G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10202; c) Z. Li, A. García-Domínguez, C. Nevado, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11610.
- [10] a) W. Yu, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 2039; b) Z. He, P. Tan, J. Hu, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 72.
- [11] a) W. Yuan, K. J. Szabó, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8533; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 8653; b) G. C. Geary, E. G. Hope, A. M. Stuart, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14911; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 15124; c) V. Rauniyar, A. D. Lackner, G. L. Hamilton, F. D. Toste, Science 2011, 334, 1681; d) O. Lozano, G. Blessley, T. Martinez del Campo, A. L. Thompson, G. T. Giuffredi, M. Bettati, M. Walker, R. Borman, V. Gouverneur, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8105; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 8255; e) D. Parmar, M. Rueping, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13928; f) A. Ulmer, C. Brunner, A. M. Arnold, A. Pöthig, T. Gulder, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 3660.
- [12] a) T. Wu, G. Yin, G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16354;
 b) H. P. Shunatona, N. Früh, Y.-M. Wang, V. Rauniyar, F. D. Toste, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7724; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 7878;
 c) W. Kong, P. Feige, T. de Haro, C. Nevado, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2469; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 2529.
- [13] a) S. Kindt, M. R. Heinrich, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 15344;
 b) J. R. Wolstenhulme, J. Rosenqvist, O. Lozano, J. Ilupeju, N. Wurz, K. M. Engle, G. W. Pidgeon, P. R. Moore, G. Sandford, V. Gouverneur, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9796; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 9978; c) M.-G. Braun, M. H. Katcher, A. G. Doyle, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1216; d) J. Nie, H.-W. Zhu, H.-F. Cui, M.-Q. Hua, J.-A. Ma, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3053; e) L. Wang, W. Meng, C.-L. Zhu, Y. Zheng, J. Nie, J.-A. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9442; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 9614.
- [14] a) N. O. Ilchenko, M. A. Cortés, K. J. Szabo, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 447; b) J. Barluenga, J. M. González, P. J. Campos, G. Asensio, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 319; Angew. Chem. 1985,

Communications





- 97, 341; c) Z. Yuan, H.-Y. Wang, X. Mu, P. Chen, Y.-L. Guo, G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2468.
- [15] a) N. O. Ilchenko, B. O. A. Tasch, K. J. Szabó, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12897; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 13111; b) T. Kitamura, K. Muta, J. Oyamada, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 10431; c) J. Tao, R. Tran, G. K. Murphy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16312; d) E. Emer, J. Twilton, M. Tredwell, S. Calderwood, T. L. Collier, B. Liégault, M. Taillefer, V. Gouverneur, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6004; e) N. Yasui, C. G. Mayne, J. A. Katzenellenbogen, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5540; f) M. Hu, C. Ni, L. Li, Y. Han, J. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14496; g) G. Chen, J. Song, Y. Yu, X. Luo, C. Li, X. Huang, Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 1786.
- [16] a) M. Hu, C. Ni, J. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15257; b) B. T. Ramanjaneyulu, S. Mahesh, R. Vijaya Anand, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 6.
- [17] a) A. Ford, H. Miel, A. Ring, C. N. Slattery, A. R. Maguire, M. A. McKervey, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9981; b) X. Guo, W. Hu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2427; c) G. K. Murphy, C. Stewart, F. G. West, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 2667; d) H. M. L. Davies, J. R. Denton, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3061; e) Q. Xiao, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 236; f) M. P. Doyle, R. Duffy, M. Ratnikov, L. Zhou, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 704; g) A. DeAngelis, R. Panish, J. M. Fox, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 115; h) Y. Xia, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 2586.
- [18] a) G. A. Olah, J. Welch, Synthesis 1974, 896; b) G. A. Olah, J. T. Welch, Y. D. Vankar, M. Nojima, I. Kerekes, J. A. Olah, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3872.

- [19] J. Leroy, C. Wakselman, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1978, 1224.
- [20] a) C. Y. Legault, J. Prévost, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E 2012, 68, o1238; b) V. Matoušek, E. Pietrasiak, R. Schwenk, A. Togni, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6763; c) G. C. Geary, E. G. Hope, K. Singh, A. M. Stuart, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9263; d) G. C. Geary, E. G. Hope, K. Singh, A. M. Stuart, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 16501.
- [21] E. Lee, A. S. Kamlet, D. C. Powers, C. N. Neumann, G. B. Boursalian, T. Furuya, D. C. Choi, J. M. Hooker, T. Ritter, Science 2011, 334, 639.
- [22] Y. Li, D. P. Hari, M. V. Vita, J. Waser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4436; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 4512.
- [23] a) J. M. Larsson, S. R. Pathipati, K. J. Szabo, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7330; b) P. G. Janson, N. O. Ilchenko, A. Diez-Varga, K. J. Szabó, Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 922; c) N. O. Ilchenko, P. G. Janson, K. J. Szabó, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6614; d) T. S. N. Zhao, K. J. Szabó, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3966.
- [24] T. Umemoto, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1757.
- [25] C. Werlé, R. Goddard, P. Philipps, C. Farès, A. Fürstner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3797.
- [26] D. P. Hari, J. Waser, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2190.

Received: March 1, 2016 Revised: April 1, 2016

Published online: ■■ ■■, ■■■



Communications



Communications



Hypervalent Compounds

W. Yuan, L. Eriksson, K. J. Szabó* _

Rhodium-Catalyzed Geminal Oxyfluorination and Oxytrifluoro-Methylation of Diazocarbonyl Compounds

All functional: A new reaction for the rhodium-catalyzed geminal-difunctionalization-based fluorination is presented. The substrates are aromatic and aliphatic diazocarbonyl compounds, and the fluo-

rine sources are either benziodoxole or benziodoxolon reagents. A variety of alcohol, phenol, and carboxylic acid reagents were employed to introduce the second functionality.