## Palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids with arylboronic acids<sup>†</sup>

Chao Feng and Teck-Peng Loh\*

Received 16th March 2010, Accepted 28th April 2010 First published as an Advance Article on the web 20th May 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0cc00403k

A highly efficient and mild palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling of aryl boronic acids and alkynyl carboxylic acids for the synthesis of unsymmetrical substituted alkynes is described for the first time.

The unsymmetrically substituted alkyne moiety is an important structural motif in many biologically active natural products and pharmaceutical compounds.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the development of efficient methods to construct unsymmetrical alkynes is highly desirable. In recent years, many methods have been developed to target the synthesis of the unsymmetrical alkyne moiety.<sup>2</sup> Among them, the Sonogashira reaction is one of the most powerful and straightforward methods involving the coupling of sp C-H and sp<sup>2</sup> C-X.<sup>3</sup> Generally, one of the byproducts formed in the Sonogashira reaction is butadiyne, a homo-coupling product resulting from the oxidative dimerization of the terminal alkyne.<sup>4</sup> For the synthesis of unsymmetrical alkynes many modifications of the Sonogashira reaction have been made, for example, using silane protected alkynes<sup>5</sup> or 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol<sup>6</sup> as coupling reagents, which allow further elaboration after the first coupling, thus producing the desired unsymmetrical alkynes. Inspired by the work of Lee et al. on the decarboxylative coupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids with aryl halides,<sup>7</sup> we envisage that the carboxylic acid could serve as a latent protecting group for the coupling reaction which allows access to a wide variety of unsymmetrical alkynes. However, reported coupling reactions employing carboxylic acids are conducted under harsh reaction conditions such as high temperature, need of phosphine ligands and high catalyst loading.8 Therefore, it will be a challenge to develop a new type of coupling reaction involving alkynyl carboxylic acid for the efficient synthesis of unsymmetrical alkynes under mild reaction conditions. Herein, we report the first example of palladium-catalyzed highly efficient synthesis of substituted alkynes via decarboxylative cross-coupling of carboxylic acids and arylboronic acids under mild reaction conditions.

Initially, the coupling reaction between phenylboronic acid and phenylpropiolic acid was chosen as model reaction to optimize the reaction conditions. Different catalysts, solvents, oxidants and bases were screened. As shown in Table 1, when copper(1) iodide was used, no reaction occurred at room temperature (Table 1, entry 1). When the catalyst was changed 
 Table 1
 Optimization of reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

$Ph-B(OH)_2 + Ph-1a$		<b>─</b> ─CO <sub>2</sub> H <b>2a</b>	catalyst, base		Ph———Ph <b>3a</b>	
Entry	Catalyst	Solvent	Oxidant	Base	Yield $(\%)^b$	
1	CuI	MeCN	02		NR	
2	$Cu(OAc)_2$	toluene	$\overline{O_2}$		$0^c$	
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	_	KOAc	$0^d$	
4	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	$Ag_2O$	KOAc	93	
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$	MeCN	$Ag_2O$	KOAc	69	
6	$Pd(OAc)_2$	THF	$Ag_2O$	KOAc	55	
7	$Pd(OAc)_2$	MeCN	$Cu(OTf)_2$	KOAc	$0^c$	
8	$Pd(OAc)_2$	MeCN	BQ	KOAc	$0^c$	
9	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	$Ag_2O$	NaOAc	85	
10	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	$Ag_2O$	$K_2CO_3$	80	
11	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	$Ag_2O$	KO <sup>t</sup> Bu	73	
12	PdCl <sub>2</sub>	$CH_2Cl_2$	$Ag_2O$	KOAc	90	
13	$Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	$Ag_2O$	KOAc	84	
14	_	$CH_2Cl_2$	Ag <sub>2</sub> O	KOAc	Trace	
15	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$CH_2Cl_2$	Ag <sub>2</sub> O	KOAc	$92^e$	

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless otherwise noted, the reactions were carried out at room temperature using phenylboronic acids (0.2 mmol), phenylpropiolic acid (0.24 mmol), base (0.3 mmol), oxidant (0.3 mmol), catalyst (5 mol%), dichloromethane (1 mL), 4 Å MS (0.1 g) for 12 h under air atmosphere. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup> No desired product was obtained and 1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3-diyne was detected as the major product. <sup>*d*</sup> One equiv. palladium acetate was used and biphenyl was obtained as the major product. <sup>*e*</sup> Using 1 mol% of catalyst.

to copper(II) acetate, still no desired product was obtained while the homo-coupling product, 1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3-diyne, was detected as the major product (Table 1, entry 2). No product was furnished when one equiv. palladium acetate was used, and the major product was biphenyl, indicating that the decarboxylation process was suppressed under the sole effect of palladium acetate (Table 1, entry 3). Gratifyingly, when Ag<sub>2</sub>O was added, almost quantitative yield of the target product was obtained (Table 1, entry 4). After screening various solvents, it was found that dichloromethane is the best solvent for the reaction (Table 1, entries 4-6). The use of other oxidants, such as copper(II) triflate, p-benzoquinone, resulted in homo-coupling product (Table 1, entries 7-8). Further examination of various bases and catalysts proved that KOAc and  $Pd(OAc)_2$  is the optimal combination(Table 1, entries 9-14). In addition, it is noteworthy that when the catalyst loading was decreased from 5 mol% to 1 mol%, there was almost no deleterious effect on the yield, which further demonstrates the high efficiency of this reaction (Table 1, entry 15). However, from a consideration of the scale of the reaction and convenience of handling, we continued with 5 mol% of catalyst for the subsequent investigations.

Division of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371. E-mail: teckpeng@ntu.edu.sg; Fax: +65 6791 1961; Tel: +65 6316 8899

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures, analytical data. See DOI: 10.1039/c0cc00403k

**Table 2** Decarboxylative coupling of phenylpropiolic acid with arylboronic acids<sup>a</sup>

Ar-	B(OH) <sub>2</sub>	$Pd(OAc)_2, Ag_2O$	), KOAc	
Ph-	+ $2a$ $CO_2H$	$4\text{\AA}$ MS, CH <sub>2</sub> C	$\mathbb{Cl}_2, \mathbb{RT}$	Ar Ph 3
Entry	Product	3		Yield $(\%)^b$
1		=-	<b>3</b> a	93
2	Ś	≡-{\]>	3b	83
3		≡-{\]>	3c	87
4	-		3d	91
5	MeO-		3e	94
6	MeO	<u>}</u> =√⊃	3f	89
7	Ph-		3g	86
8	F-	$= \langle \rangle$	3h	99
9	сі—	<u>}</u> = √>	3i	90
10	O <sub>2</sub> N	<u>}</u> =√	Зј	79
11	$\rightarrow$	≡-⟨͡>	3k	84
12	-C		31	41
13	Ĉ		3m	92
14	$\bigcirc$	=√>	3n	81
15	$_{Ac}\mathcal{I}_{S}$		30	76

be invariant to the electronic properties of the substrates as the reactions with both electron-rich and electron-poor arylboronic acids all proceeded efficiently. When *m*-nitrophenylboronic acid (Table 2, entry 10) and 5-acetyl-2-thiopheneboronic acid (Table 2, entry 15) were employed as coupling partners, slight decreases in yields were revealed, which may due to competitive complexation with the palladium catalyst. In addition, arylboronic acids with differing positions of substituent also exhibited different reactivities, which is mainly due to steric hindrance.

Next, the scope of this decarboxylative cross-coupling reaction was explored by using different alkynyl carboxylic acids with 4-methoxyphenyl boronic acid under the aforementioned optimized conditions. As shown in Table 3, all the reactions using various alkynyl carboxylic acids proceeded efficiently to afford the desired arylated alkyne **3** in good to excellent yields. Aromatic alkynyl carboxylic acids substituted at the phenyl ring with MeO, Ac, NO<sub>2</sub>, CN, I and Br were all converted into the corresponding products efficiently, indicating no remarkable electronic effects of the substituents on the reaction (Table 3, entries 1–6). It is noteworthy that the reaction using 4-halophenyl propiolic acid occurred selectively at the carboxylic acid group, without any coupling product at the aromatic ring detected (Table 3, entries 5, 6). With regard to aliphatic

**Table 3** Decarboxylative coupling of alkynyl carboxylic acids with<br/>4-methoxyphenyl boronic  $acids^a$ 

MeO-	$ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & + & 1e \\ \hline & & \\ & - & CO_2H \\ & & 2 \end{array} $	Pd(OAc) <sub>2</sub> , Ag <sub>2</sub> O, KOAc 4Å MS, CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> , RT	MeO-	<b>}</b> —≡–R
Entry	Product 3			Yield $(\%)^b$
1	MeO-	) — OMe	3p	99
2	Ac-		3q	99
3		- OMe	3r	72
4	NC-	OMe	3s	70
5		<b>────</b> → OMe	3t	81
6	Br -	- OMe	3u	89
7	-=-{	• OMe	3v	50
8	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	- OMe	3w	89
9	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> -	- OMe	3x	94
10	⊳≡∢	-OMe	3у	87

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless otherwise noted, the reactions were carried out at room temperature using arylboronic acid (0.2 mmol), phenylpropiolic acid (0.24 mmol), KOAc (0.3 mmol), Ag<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), dichloromethane (1 mL), 4 Å MS (0.1 g) for 12 h under air atmosphere. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yields.

<sup>*a*</sup> Unless otherwise noted the reactions were carried out with 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (0.2 mmol), alkynyl carboxylic acids (0.24 mmol), KOAc (0.3 mmol), Ag<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), dichloromethane (1 mL), 4 Å MS (0.1 g) for 12 h under air atmosphere. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yields.



Scheme 1 Consecutive reactions for the synthesis of unsymmetrical diarylalkyne.

alkynyl carboxylic acids, both cyclic and acylic substrates can be effectively coupled to furnish the desired products in good to excellent yields (Table 3, entries 7–10). Owing to the difficulty of direct introduction of the 1-propynyl group, it is worth mentioning that the reaction employing 2-butynoic acid as the coupling partner can also afford the corresponding product, albeit in moderate yield (Table 3, entry 7).

To demonstrate the efficiency of this method for the synthesis of unsymmetrical alkynes, Sonogashira reaction followed by our decarboxylative coupling method was attempted using iodobenzene, propiolic acid and 4-methoxyphenyl boronic acid as substrates. After simple workup of the reaction between iodobenzene and propiolic acid (without purification), the intermediate was subjected directly to the decarboxylative coupling to provide the desired unsymmetrical alkyne in 70% overall yield (Scheme 1).

A proposed mechanism based on our results is shown in Scheme 2.<sup>9</sup> First, transmetalation between arylboronic acids and palladium acetate occurs to form aryl palladium species **I**. Then the alkynyl group is transfered from the metal–silver species to the metal–palladium species to form the intermediate **II** which contains two organic ligands in the coordination sphere of the palladium center. Reductive elimination ensues



Scheme 2 Proposed reaction mechanism.

to form the product and palladium( $_0$ ) species, which is then oxidized by silver(I) to regenerate the palladium(II) to complete the catalytic cycle. As can be seen in Scheme 2, the silver oxide plays two different roles in the catalytic cycle: first as the oxidant to oxidize the palladium( $_0$ ); and second as the decarboxylative agent to generate the desired alkynyl metal species.

In conclusion, an efficient palladium-mediated decarboxylative coupling of aryl boronic acids with alkynyl carboxylic acids was successfully established. The reaction described here is mild, general, and efficient. This method provides easy access to a wide variety of unsymmetrical alkynes.

We gratefully acknowledge the Nanyang Technological University, the Ministry of Education (No. M45110000), and A\*STAR S.E.R.C. (No. 0721010024) for the funding of this research.

## Notes and references

- (a) R. R. Tykwinski, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1566;
   (b) E. Negishi and L. Anastasia, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1979;
   (c) R. Chinchilla and C. Najera, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 874.
- (a) E. Negishi, M. Kotora and C. Xu, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 8957;
   (b) D. Gelman and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5993;
   (c) A. Kollhofer, T. Pullmann and H. Plenio, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 1295;
   (d) M. Feuerstein, H. Doucet and M. Santelli, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 1717.
- 3 (a) K. Sonogashira, in *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*, ed. F. Diederich and P. J. Stang, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, pp. 203–229; (b) K. Sonogashira, J. Organomet. Chem., 2002, 653, 46; (c) E. Negishi and L. Anastasia, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1979; (d) K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger and D. Sarlah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4442.
- 4 (a) A. S. Hay, J. Org. Chem., 1960, 25, 1275; (b) A. S. Hay, J. Org. Chem., 1962, 27, 3320; (c) P. Siemsen, R. C. Livingston and F. Diederich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 2632.
- 5 (a) Y. Nishihara, K. Ikegashira, K. Hirabayashi, J. Ando, A. Mori and T. Hiyama, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 1780; (b) Y. Nishihara, K. Ikegashira, A. Mori and T. Hiyama, Chem. Lett., 1997, 1233; (c) D. A. Shultz, K. P. Gwaltney and H. Lee, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 4034.
- 6 (a) H. F. Chow, C. W. Wan, K. H. Low and Y. Y. Yeung, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 1910; (b) S. K. Kang, S. K. Yoon and Y. M. Kim, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 2697.
- 7 (a) J. Moon, M. Jeong, H. Nam, J. Ju, J. H. Moon, H. M. Jung and S. Lee, Org. Lett., 2008, **10**, 945; (b) J. Moon, M. Jang and S. Lee, J. Org. Chem., 2009, **74**, 1403.
- 8 For decarboxylative coupling, see: (a) A. G. Myers, D. Tanaka and M. R. Mannion, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, **124**, 11250; (b) D. Tanaka, S. P. Romeril and A. G. Myers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 10323; (c) L. J. Goossen, G. Deng and L. M. Levy, Science, 2006, **313**, 662; (d) L. J. Goossen, B. Zimmermann and T. Knauber, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, **47**, 7103; (e) L. J. Goossen, N. Rodriguez, B. Melzer, C. Linder, G. Deng and L. M. Levy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 4824; (f) L. J. Goossen, N. Rodriguez and C. Linder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, **130**, 15248; (g) H. P. Bi, L. Zhao, Y. M. Liang and C. J. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, **48**, 792.
- 9 (a) T. Satoh, S. Ogino, M. Miura and M. Nomura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 5063; (b) F. Yang and Y. J. Wu, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 3476; (c) L. J. Goossen, B. Zimmermann and T. Knauber, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7103.