CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article

Title: Deactivation of a Cobalt Catalyst for Water Reduction via Valence Tautomerism

Authors: Claudio N. Verani, Habib Baydoun, Shivnath Mazumder, and H. Bernhard Schlegel

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201701783

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201701783

Supported by ACES



Deactivation of a Cobalt Catalyst for Water Reduction *via* Valence Tautomerism

Habib Baydoun, Shivnath Mazumder, H. Bernhard Schlegel and Cláudio N. Verani*

Abstract: The activity of the water reduction catalyst $[Co^{III}(L^1)(pyr)_2]PF_6$ (1), where $(L^1)^2$ is a bis-amido pyridine ligand and pyr is pyrrolidine, is investigated. Catalyst 1 has an overpotential of 0.54 V and a high observed TOF of 23 min⁻¹, albeit for a relatively short time. Considering the significant activity of 1 and aiming to improve catalyst design, a detailed structural and electronic study is performed to understand the mechanisms of deactivation. Experimental and theoretical evidence support that the metal-reduced $[Co^I(L^1)]^-$ is in tautomeric equilibrium with the ligand-reduced $[Co^I(L^{10})^-]^-$ species. While $[Co^I(L^{10})^-]^-$ species leads to ligand protonation, structural distortions and, ultimatley, catalyst deactivation.

Substantial efforts have been directed towards the development of molecular catalysts for water reduction based on abundant and affordable 3*d* transition metals.^[1] Such catalysts must withstand drastic electronic and structural changes from high to low redox states required for hydride formation that precedes H₂ evolution. To this end, cobalt complexes have been extensively studied because of the energetically affordable stepwise conversions from 3*d*⁶ Co^{III} to 3*d*⁶ Co^{III} and back to Co^{III}-H⁻ and Co^{II}-H⁻ hydride species. ^[1b, 1c, 1g, 1j, 1k, 2] As such, mechanistic understanding of catalytic pathways —including those of deactivation— becomes a necessary condition to the development of robust catalysts.

Our group has studied the mechanisms of several proton and water reduction cobalt catalysts, including some phenolaterich Co^{III} [N₂O₃] catalysts that served as the stepping stone to much improved pyridine-rich $Co^{II/III}$ [N₂N^{py}₃] catalysts for water reduction that display TON > 7000 mol^{-1.[1c, 3]} We have gathered evidence that some molecular catalysts such as cobalt oximes [^{2d]} are converted into nanoparticulates through ligand hydrolysis triggered by radical-based mechanisms.^[1b] Therefore, although the involvement of ligands in the catalytic cycle has been reported,^[4] we conclude that radical formation may have deleterious effects on H₂ production.^[5] Here we examine this issue in detail and suggest that formation of energetically equivalent valence tautomers, *viz*. $[Co^{II}(L)]^- \leftrightarrow [Co^{III}(L•)]^-$ offers additional conversion pathways that lead to catalyst deactivation.

In order to evaluate this hypothesis we examined the electronic and redox structure of the pseudo-octahedral $[Co^{III}L^1(pyr)_2]PF_6$ (1) complex, where $(L^1)^{2^-}$ is the doubly deprotonated form of a bis-amido pyridine ligand and pyr denotes axially coordinated pyrrolidines, as shown in **Scheme 1**. Complex 1 was synthesized by adapting reported procedures,^[6] where the ligand was treated under aerobic conditions with 1 equiv. of $Co(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ in presence of pyrrolidine using methanol as the solvent. The formation of a microcrystalline precipitate was induced by the addition of NH₄PF₆. Complex 1 was thoroughly characterized using ¹H–NMR, FTIR, ESI-MS(+), and elemental analysis (See Experimental Section for details), as well as X-ray crystallography (*vide infra*). As it will be discussed, this species is capable of robust water reduction followed by rapid deactivation.

Scheme 1: Synthetic scheme of catalyst 1.

$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$

The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of **1** was taken in CH₃CN and shows five independent redox processes (Figure 1). The processes at $E_{1/2} = 1.34 V_{NHE}$ ($\Delta E = 0.10 V$, $||_{pa}/I_{pc}| = 1.08$) and $E_{pa} = 1.94 V_{NHE}$ are assigned as amido to amidyl radical oxidations^[7] (for potentials vs. Ag/AgCl and Fc⁺/Fc see Table S1).^[8] The process at $E_{pc} = -0.32 V_{NHE}$ is assigned to the Co^{III}/Co^{II} couple.^[6b, 9] The process at -1.08 V_{NHE} ($\Delta E_p = 0.16 V$, $||_{pa}/I_{pc}| = 0.84$) is tentatively assigned to a Co^{III}/Co^{II} couple, while the third process at -1.79 V_{NHE} ($\Delta E = 0.11 V$) is attributed to a pyridine-based reduction.

The CV of **1** in phosphate buffer (1 mol/L, pH 7, **Figure 2**) shows a catalytic wave at -0.95 V_{NHE} in presence of **1** with concurent evolution of gas at the surface of the electrode. This corresponds to an overpotential of 0.54 V. Moreover, the onset potential of -0.95 V_{NHE} closely resembles that of the Co^{II}/Co^I



[*] Mr. H. Baydoun, Dr. S. Mazumder, Prof. H. B. Schlegel, Prof. C. N. Verani Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University

5101 Cass Ave, Detroit, MI 48202 (USA) E-mail: cnverani@chem.wayne.edu

Homepage: http://chem.wayne.edu/veranigroup/

Dr. S. Mazumder Current address: Department of Chemistry, Hofstra University, Berliner Hall, Hempstead, NY 11549 (USA)

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.



WILEY-VCH

couple observed at $E_{1/2}$ = -1.08 V_{NHE} obtained in acetonitrile. This observation confirms that the active species in catalysis is the Co^I complex in accordance with the accepted mechanisms for proton reduction using cobalt metal complexes; catalysis is initiated by the reaction of Co^I with a proton to form a Co^{III}–H⁻ hydride intermediate.^[1b, 1c, 1g, 1j, 1k, 2]

The identity of the evolved gas was determined as H_2 by means of gas chromatography following a bulk electrolysis experiment that was performed in an air-tight H-type cell (See SI for details).



Figure 2: Polarization curve for 1 in phosphate buffer (1 mol/L, pH 7). Inset: Charge consumption over time for 1 (8 μ mol/L) at -1.16 V_{NHE}. The dotted line represents an idealized charge consumption. Electrodes: Hg-pool (w), Pt (aux), Ag/AgCI.

The catalyst showed significant initial activity yielding a TON of 675 \pm 30 after 30 min of electrolysis (TOF = 23 min⁻¹) with Faradaic efficiency of 97 ± 3%. However, this high activity persisted only for a short period of time. After ca. 30 minutes of catalysis, considerable decrease in charge consumption was observed (Figure 2 inset). The observed TON is only a lower limit of the maximum value as it was measured following significant deacivation. Similar catalytic behavior has been observed for certain polypyridine frameworks.^[10] Moreover, the solution changes color from green to colorless (Figure S1a inset). Compared to the UV-visible spectrum of the solution prior catalysis, the post-catalytic spectrum shows the to disappearance of charge transfer (CT) processes at ca. 413 nm associated with an $N_{\text{amido}} \rightarrow \text{Co}^{\text{III}}$ ligand-to-metal CT. However, the peaks associated with intraligand CT and observed below 300 nm persist (Figure S1). This suggests that the complex is undergoing demetallation. These observations prompted us to investigate the mechanism by which catalyst degradation takes place with the aim of providing guiding principles for future catalyst design.

Because the active form of the catalyst must contain Co^I, the elucidation of the deactivation pathways requires the investigation of the structural and electronic



properties of the complex in distinct reduced oxidation states. To this end we used experimental observations along with DFT calculations. The structural information was obtained using potassium graphite (KC₈) as a stoichiometric reducing agent in order to isolate chemically the Co^{II} and Co^I reduced forms of our catalyst. Starting from 1 we were able to isolate the singly reduced Co^{II} analogue [Co^{II}L¹(pyr)]^o (2) and the doubly reduced Co^{II} analogue [Co^{II}L¹]K (3). We were able to grow X-ray quality crystals for 1, 2, and 3 (Figure 3). The structure of the Co^{IIII} species 1 (Figure 3a) shows the expected pseudo-octahedral geometry, with the ligand (L)⁻² occupying the equatorial plane and the two pyrrolidines binding to the axial positions. Excellent agreement was observed between the obtained bond lengths and angles and that of structurally related complexes with a trivalent cobalt ion.^[6a, 6b]

The structure of the Co^{II} species 2 shown in Figure 3b, on the other hand, displays a square pyramidal geometry in which $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ = 0.018.^[11] This decrease from six- to five-dentate coordination upon reduction from Co^{III} to Co^{II} agrees with similar results from our group observed in oxime environments.^[2d] Similarly, it is interesting to note that when compared to 1, minor changes occur in the Co-L bond lengths, while a considerable 0.135 Å elongation takes place along the Co-N5 bond. The maintenance of the bond lengths within the equatorial plane suggests that upon metal-centered reduction from Co^{III} to Co^{II} the incoming electron is transferred to the unoccupied d_{z2} orbital, while the electrons in the dxy, dxz, and dyz orbitals remain largely unaffected, as previously proposed by our group.^[2d] The d_{x2-v2} orbital remains unoccupied. Moreover, the EPR spectrum of 2 (Figure 4a) shows a signal with a g value of 2.018 which is consistent with the presence of one unpaired electron. Hence, the Co^{II} ion is found in a doublet ${}^{LS}3d^{7}$ configuration. This proposition was further examined by DFT calculations that showed excellent agreement between the crystal structure of 2 and the optimized structure of a doublet ^{LS}3d⁷ Co^{II} ion (Figure 4b, 4c, and S2).



Figure 3: Crystal structures of 1 (a, CCDC 1533010), 2 (b, CCDC 1533009) and 3 (c, CCDC 1533008). Hydrogen atoms, solvents, and counter ions removed for clarity. Ellipsoids shown at 50% probability. Selected bond lengths for 1: Co1-N1 1.9861(18), Co1-N4 1.9998(17), Co1-N2 1.8887(18), Co1-N3 1.8887(18), Co1-N5 2.0154(18), Co1-N6 2.0047(18). For 2: Co1-N1 1.971(2), Co1-N4 1.993(2), Co1-N2 1.882(2), Co1-N3 1.882(2), Co1-N5 2.139(2). For 3: Co1-N1 1.889(4), Co1-N4 1.892(4), Co1-N2 1.874(4), Co1-N3 1.865(4).





Figure 4: (a) EPR spectrum of 2 taken at 110 K in MeCN. Comparison of the crystal structure with the optimized structure of the calculated LS (b), and HS (c) structures.

The structure of 3 warrants some detailed discussion; unlike its 5-coordinate Co^I oxime congener,^[2d] this doubly reduced derivative of 1 is composed of a tetracoordinate cobalt complex in a distorted square planar geometry, where the maximal distortion between any two opposing planes among the Co-N bonds deviates by 9.5° from the idealized 0° (Figure 3c).^[12] Compared to the previous two structures, the Co-Namide bond lengths remain unchanged while its Co-N_{pyridine} bond lengths are elongated by ca. 0.1 Å. Moreover, other bond lengths on the ligand framework remain largely unchanged (Figure S3). This observation implies that occupation of the d_{z2} orbital is favored in the solid state, and that the structure contains a bona fide $3d^8$ Co^l ion. Interestingly, DFT results indicate that two lowest lying isoenergetic states are possible for the nominal "Co¹ species": (i) a metal-centered singlet species [3d8Co¹L1]⁻ akin to the crystal structure, or (ii) a ligand-reduced and radical-containing triplet [^{3d7}Co^{ll}(L¹•)]⁻ species with the unpaired electron centered on the amido-pyridine moiety. These two states display a calculated energy difference of ca. 3 kcal/mol, thus within the limits of the method (**Figure S4**). Furthermore, both the $3d^8$ [Co^lL¹]⁻ and the coupled $(3d^7 - \frac{1}{2})$ [Co^{II}(L¹•)]⁻ species yield spin integers that are NMR active (Figure S5). However, comparison of the Co-N bond lengths, including those in the ligand framework, show better agreement with the metal-centered [Co^lL¹]⁻ than with the ligand-reduced [Co^{II}(L¹•)]⁻ species (**Figures S6-S8**).

Pivotal information necessary to probe the electronic nature of the reduced species comes from the UV-Visible-NIR spectra of 1, 2, and 3. Figure 5 displays the spectra for 1 and 3, while the spectrum of 2 is shown in Figure S9. As previously discussed, the spectrum of 1 shows a strong LMCT absorption at 413 nm. The spectrum of 2, on the other hand, is characterized by an absorpion at 336 nm with a shoulder at 452 nm assigned to a $\ \mbox{Co}^{II} \ \mbox{--} \ \ \mbox{N}_{\mbox{amidopyridine}} \ \ \mbox{MLCT}$ transition that confirms metal reduction. However, the spectrum of 3 also shows strong absorptions in the NIR region at 1028 and 1160 nm unquestionably attributed to ligand-stabilized radicals.^[13] Complex 3 was independently generated via electrochemical reduction, and a spectrum with identical features was obtained (Figure S10) indicating that the same species can be obtained chemically or electrochemically. conveniently Therefore, analysis of these results suggest that in the solid state the [Co^lL¹]K species prevails for **3**, while in an acetonitrile



Figure 5: Normalized UV-Visible-NIR spectra of **1** (gray trace) and **3** (black trace) in MeCN. Inset: Calculated natural transition orbitals (NTOs at isovalue = 0.05 au) showing π - π * ILCT transition at 1023 nm for **3** in acetonitrile solvent.

solution the species described as $[Co^{II}(L^{1\bullet})]K$ is accesible. This conclusion receives further support from time-dependent-DFT calculations shown in **Figures S11** and **S12** where the simulated UV-Visible-NIR spectrum of $[Co^{IL}^{1}]^{-}$ lacks significant absorption processes above 800 nm, while the simulated spectrum for $[Co^{II}(L^{1\bullet})]^{-}$ shows absorption peaks at 1023 and 1205 nm of the NIR region. These transitions are mainly due to intraligand π - π^{+} charge transfers centered on the amidopyridine moiety shown in **Figures 5** inset and **S13**, respectively.

The small calculated energy difference of 2.7 kcal/mol between the two species is well within the limit of the DFT method, and coupled with the detection of [Co^IL¹]K in the solid state and [Co^{II}(L¹•)]K in acetonitrile, suggests that there is an equilibrium between the two states. Ergo, it is conceivable that both species will coexist under catalytic aqueous conditions. Such an equilibrium is consistent with the formation of valence tautomers.^[14] As such, we propose that the difference in reactivity of the two tautomers with protons can be used to explain the deactivation of the catalyst. In presence of protons, the [Co^lL¹]⁻ tautomer significantly favors the formation of a Co^{III}-H⁻ species (-22 kcal/mol), which is the first step in the catalytic cycle for H_2 production (Figure 6 pathway *a* and Figure S14). Conversely, concomitant formation of the [Co^{II}(L¹•)]⁻ tautomer favors ligand protonation (Figure 6 pathways b-d). Upon such protonation of the ligand framework the structure deviates significantly from planarity (pathways b and c) with pathway b leading to a tridentate metal complex and from there to demetalation. These observations lead us to conclude that $[Co^{l}L^{1}]K$ is active in catalysis and leads to the formation of H₂, while [Co^{ll}(L¹•)]K is protonated, and eventually leads to the deactivation of the catalyst. This observation prompted us to hypothesize that running catalysis at a lower pH would favor protonation of the ligand and lead to deactivation. Indeed, catalytic runs at $pH \le 6$ led to a faster decay in the consumption of charge over time associated with an overall decrease in activity (Figure S15-S16).



Figure 6: Energetics of protonation of the $[Co^{I}L^{1}]^{-}$ and $[Co^{II}(L^{-1})]^{-}$ at different sites in water solvent. Free energies are reported in kcal/mol.

In summary, this work reports on a novel cobalt complex capable of performing water reduction at an overpotential of 0.54 V with TOF of 23 min⁻¹ following 30 min of electrolysis with Faradaic efficiency of ca. 97 %. This initial catalytic activity decreases significantly after 30 minutes, and structural and electronic evaluation revealed that valence tautomerization is possible. The "Co^I state" can afford either [Co^IL¹]⁻ or [Co^{II}(L¹•)]⁻ within less than 3 kcal/mol. While the [Co^IL¹]⁻ species supports the formation of a catalytically active Co^{III}-H⁻ species required for H_2 formation, the tautomer $[Co^{II}(L^{1\bullet})]^-$ favors ligand protonation accompanied by significant structural distortion that ultimatly leads to catalyst deactivation associated with demetallation. These results allow us to postulate that efficient catalytic water reduction based on square planar ligands must proceed exclusively by means of the metal center while carefully avoiding ligand protonation. Current work in our labs builds on these results for the design of systems where the [Co^IL¹]⁻ tautomer is energetically separated from its $[Co^{II}(L^{1\bullet})]^{-}$ tautomer.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of $[Co^{III}L^{1}(pyr)_{2}]PF_{6}$ (1). A MeOH solution of 1.6 g of Co(OAc)₂.4H₂O (6.2 mmol) was added dropwise to a MeOH solution containing 2.0 g of H₂L¹ (6.2 mmol) To this mixture an excess of 10 mL of pyrrolidine was added. The solution was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. Then oxygen was bubbled into the solution for 5 minutes. The reaction mixture was filtered and an excess of 1.5 g of NH₄PF₆ (9.2 mmol) were added to precipitate 1. X-ray quality crystals were grown *via* diethylether vapor diffusion into an acetonitrile solution of 1. Yield: 86%. ESI (m/z⁺) = 517 for $[Co^{III}L^{1}(pyrrolidine)_2]^{*}$. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3167 (v_{NH}), 1626 (v_{C=O}), 1599 and 1572 (v_{C=Ni}, and v_{C=C}), 844 (v_{PF6}). ¹H NMR, ppm (CD₃CN, 400 MHZ): δ 9.45 (d, 2H), δ 8.90 (m, 2H), δ 8.41 (t, 2H), δ 8.29 (d, 2H), δ 8.00 (t, 2H), δ 7.12 (m, 2H), δ 3.22 (2H), 2.11 (4H), δ 1.53 (4H), δ 1.29 (8H). Anal. Calc. for C_{2E}H₃₀CoN₆O₂PF₆: 47.14; H: 4.56; N: 12.69; Found: C: 47.05; H: 4.44; N: 12.49.

WILEY-VCH

Synthesis of [Co^{II}L¹(pyr)]° (2). $[Co^{II}L^1(pyr)]$ ° was isolated using standard glovebox techniques. A sample of 1 (108 mg, 0.16 mmol) was dissolved in THF and added into a vial containing of KC₈ (22 mg; 0.16 mmol). The solution immediately changed from green to red and was allowed to stir for 2h. The sample was filtered and a solution was obtained that yielded crude 2. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by recrystallization in acetonitrile. Anal. Calc. for $C_{22}H_{21}CoN_5O_2$: 59.20; H: 4.74; N: 15.69; Found: C: 57.27; H: 4.45; N: 14.50.

Synthesis of [Co^IL¹]K. (3). [Co^IL¹]K was isolated in a similar way as for 2 using 44 mg of KC₈ (2 equiv.; 0.32 mmol). The solution changed color from green to dark blue. X-ray quality crystals of **3** were obtained after filtration via slow evaporation from the THF solution. ¹H NMR, ppm (CD₃CN, 600 MHZ, **Figure S5**): $\bar{0}$ 9.36 (2H), $\bar{0}$ 8.37 (2H), $\bar{0}$ 8.26 (t, 2H), $\bar{0}$ 6.72 (2H), $\bar{0}$ 6.53 (2H), $\bar{0}$ 6.46 (2H).

Water Reduction Experiments: Turnover numbers were determined using a custom built H-type bulk electrolysis setup. The cell consisted of two airtight compartments separated by a fine frit. One compartment was used to house the auxiliary electrode (Pt coil) while the other compartment was used to house the reference electrode (Ag/AgCl) and the working electrode (mercury pool). Before the application of a potential the headspace was thoroughly purged with nitrogen gas. The amount of H₂ gas produced was determined by gas chromatography. In a typical experiment 100 μ L of headspace were injected into the GC to determine the total amount of H₂. Turnover numbers were determined by dividing the total number of moles of hydrogen produced by the number of moles of catalyst used. While the faradaic efficiency was determined by dividing the actual number of moles of hydrogen produced by the number of hydrogen that should have been produced based on the charge consumed.

Computational Methods: Electronic structure calculations were carried out using the B3LYP* functional $^{[15]}$ as implemented in a development version of Gaussian.^[16] The SDD basis set and effective core potential^[17] were used for the Co atom and the 6-31G(d,p) basis set^[18] was used for the other atoms. Solvation effects in acetonitrile and water were incorporated using the implicit SMD solvation model^[19] and were included during structure optimization. All of the optimized structures were confirmed as minima by harmonic vibrational frequency calculations and the converged wave functions were tested for the SCF stability. The zero-point energy and thermal corrections were included for the calculation of the free energies. The standard states of 1 M concentration were considered for all the reactants and products for calculating the free energies of reactions. The literature value of -270.3 kcal/mol is used for the free energy of proton in water.^[20] The spin density plots (isovalue = 0.004 au) were visualized using GaussView.[21] Vertical electronic excitation energies and intensities were evaluated using time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT)^[22] and the orbital transitions of each excited state were characterized using the natural transition orbital (NTO) method.[23]

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under award number DE-SC0001907 to CNV and HBS including financial support for HB (synthesis, catalysis & crystallography) and SM (calculations). The authors acknowledge Dr. Oleg Poluektov at Argonne National Labs for helpful EPR discussions. HB also acknowledges WSU-Chemistry for a Thomas C. Rumble Graduate Fellowship.

Keywords: Cobalt complex • valence tautomerism • amidopyridine ligands • water reduction • catalysis

WILEY-VCH

- [1] a) Y.-J. Yuan, J.-R. Tu, H.-W. Lu, Z.-T. Yu, X.-X. Fan, Z.-G. Zou, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 1359-1363; b) D. Basu, S. Mazumder, X. T. Shi, R. J. Staples, H. B. Schlegel, C. N. Verani, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 2015, 54, 7139-7143; c) D. Basu, S. Mazumder, X. Shi, H. Baydoun, J. Niklas O. Poluektov, H. B. Schlegel, C. N. Verani, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2105-2110; d) P. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Yang, D. Zheng, K. Han, L. Sun, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14153-14156; e) P. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Yang, T. Yao, L. Sun, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13803-13807; f) K. Kawano, K. Yamauchi, K. Sakai, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9872-9875; g) Z. Han, R. Eisenberg, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 2537-2544; h) A. Call, Z. Codolà, F. Acuña-Parés, J. Lloret-Fillol, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 6171-6183; i) P. Zhang, M. Wang, F. Gloaguen, L. Chen, F. Quentel, L. Sun, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 9455-9457; j) V. S. Thoi, Y. Sun, J. R. Long, C. J. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 2388-2400; k) M. Wang, L. Chen, L. Sun, Energy & Environmental Science **2012**, *5*, 6763-6778; l) P. H. A. Kankanamalage, S. Mazumder, V. Tiwari, K. K. Kpogo, H. Bernhard Schlegel, C. N. Verani, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 13357-13360; m) S. Mandal, S. Shikano, Y. Yamada, Y.-M. Lee, W. Nam, A. Llobet, S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15294-15297.
- [2] a) J. L. Dempsey, B. S. Brunschwig, J. R. Winkler, H. B. Gray, Acc Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1995-2004; b) J. L. Dempsey, J. R. Winkler, H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16774-16776; c) J. L. Dempsey, J. R. Winkler, H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chen. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 1060-1065; d) D. Basu, S. Mazumder, J. Niklas, H. Baydoun, D. Wanniarachchi, X. Shi, R. J. Staples, O. Poluektov, H. B. Schlegel, C. N. Verani, Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 3264-3278
- [3] D. Basu, M. M. Allard, F. R. Xavier, M. J. Heeg, H. B. Schlegel, C. N. Verani, Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 3454-3466.
- [4] a) A. Zarkadoulas, M. J. Field, C. Papatriantafyllopoulou, J. Fize, V. Artero, C. A. Mitsopoulou, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 432-444; b) C. S. Letko, J. A. Panetier, M. Head-Gordon, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9364-9376; c) L. M. A. Quintana, S. I. Johnson, S. L. Corona, W. Villatoro, W. A. Goddard, M. K. Takase, D. G. VanderVelde, J. R. Winkler, H. B. Gray, J. D. Blakemore, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2016, 113, 6409-6414.
- [5] a) N. Kaeffer, A. Morozan, J. Fize, E. Martinez, L. Guetaz, V. Artero, ACS Catalysis 2016, 6, 3727-3737; b) B. D. McCarthy, C. L. Donley, J. L. Dempsey, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 2827-2834; c) E. Anxolabéhère-Mallart, C. Costentin, M. Fournier, S. Nowak, M. Robert, J.-M. Savéant, *J. Am.* Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6104-6107.
- [6] a) M. Amirnasr, K. J. Schenk, S. Meghdadi, Inorg. Chim. Acta 2002, 338, 19-26; b) S. Meghdadi, M. Amirnasr, M. H. Habibi, A. Amiri, V. Ghodsi, A. Rohani, R. W. Harrington, W. Clegg, Polyhedron 2008, 27, 2771-2778; c) D. J. Barnes, R. L. Chapman, R. S. Vagg, E. C. Watton, J. Chem. Eng. Data 1978, 23, 349-350.
- a) S. K. Dutta, U. Beckmann, E. Bill, T. Weyhermüller, K. Wieghardt, Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 3355-3364; b) U. Beckmann, E. Bill, T. [7] Weyhermüller, K. Wieghardt, Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 1045-1056
- [8] R. R. Gagne, C. A. Koval, G. C. Lisensky, Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2854-2855

- R. Shakya, S. S. Hindo, L. Wu, M. M. Allard, M. J. Heeg, H. P. [9] Hratchian, B. R. McGarvey, S. R. P. Da Rocha, C. N. Verani, Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 9808-9818.
- [10] a) W. M. Singh, T. Baine, S. Kudo, S. Tian, X. A. N. Ma, H. Zhou, N. J. De Yonker, T. C. Pham, J. C. Bollinger, D. L. Baker, B. Yan, C. E. Webster, X. Zhao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5941-5944; b) M. Vennampalli, G. Liang, L. Katta, C. E. Webster, X. Zhao, Inorg. Chem. 2014. 53. 10094-10100.
- A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn, G. C. Verschoor, J. [11] Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349-1356.
- [12] An idealized square planar structure displays 0° between opposing angles, whereas an idealized tetrahedral structure displays those planes at 90 See e.g. S. Blanchard, F. Neese, E. Bothe, E. Bill, T. Weyhermüller, K. Wieghardt, Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 3636-3656.
- a) A. Kochem, H. Kanso, B. Baptiste, H. Arora, C. Philouze, O. Jarjayes,
 H. Vezin, D. Luneau, M. Orio, F. Thomas, *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, *51*, 10557-10571;
 b) M. M. Allard, J. A. Sonk, M. J. Heeg, B. R. McGarvey, [13] H. B. Schlegel, C. N. Verani, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 2012, 51, 3178-3182; c) C. C. Scarborough, S. Sproules, T. Weyhermüller, S. DeBeer, K. Wieghardt, Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12446-12462; d) T. Storr, P. Verma, Y. Shimazaki, E. C. Wasinger, T. D. P. Stack, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8980-8983; e) W. Kaim, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 2503-2513.
- a) D. A. Shultz, in Magnetism: Molecules to Materials, Wiley-VCH [14] Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2003, pp. 281-306; b) R. M. Buchanan, C. G Pierpont, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4951-4957; c) R. D. Schmidt, D. A. Shultz, J. D. Martin, P. D. Boyle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6261-6273
- [15] M. Reiher, O. Salomon, B. Artur Hess, Theor. Chem. Acc. 2001, 107, 48-55.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, [16] et_al, Revision H.35 ed., Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2014.
- [17] M. Dolg, U. Wedig, H. Stoll, H. Preuss, J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 86, 866-872 [18]
 - a) P. C. Hariharan, J. A. Pople, Theor. Chim. Acta 1973, 28, 213-222; b) M. M. Francl, W. J. Pietro, W. J. Hehre, J. S. Binkley, M. S. Gordon, D. J DeFrees, J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 3654-3665.
- [19] A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378-6396. [20]
 - C. P. Kelly, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 16066-16081
- [21] R. K. Pennington, T.; Millam, J. M., Semichem, Inc., Shawnee Mission, KS 2009.
- [22] a) L. Petit, P. Maldivi, C. Adamo, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2005, 1, 953-962; b) E. Runge, E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1984, 52, 997-1000; c) R. E. Stratmann, G. E. Scuseria, M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 8218-8224. [23]
 - R. L. Martin, J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 118, 4775-4777.

WILEY-VCH

Layout 2:

COMMUNICATION



A new Co-based water reduction catalyst displays a high TOF of 23 min⁻¹, albeit for a relatively short time. We perform a detailed structural and electronic study to understand the mechanisms of deactivation and conclude that tautomeric equilibrium between $[Co^{l}(L^{1})]^{-}$ and $[Co^{ll}(L^{1\bullet})]^{-}$ takes place. While the $[Co^{l}(L^{1})]^{-}$ state supports catalysis via $Co^{ll} - H^{-}$ formation, the $[Co^{ll}(L^{1\bullet})]^{-}$ state leads to catalyst deactivation. This knowledge is instrumental for the future design of robust catalysts. Habib Baydoun, Shivnath Mazumder, H. Bernhard Schlegel and Cláudio N. Verani*

Page No. – Page No.

Deactivation of a Cobalt Catalyst for Water Reduction via Valence Tautomerism