## SYNTHESIS OF BISABOL-10-ENE-3,7-OXIDE

J. de PASCUAL-T., M.L. HERNANDEZ, J. R. MORAN, C. CABALLERO\* J. ANAYA and V. ALCAZAR.

Department of Organic Chemistry, Salamanca University. 37008 Salamanca, Spain.

(Received in UK 27 April 1988)

ABSTRACT: The synthesis of bisabol-10-ene-3,7-oxide was accomplished using as the key intermediate a radical obtained in the reduction of an organomercuric compound, and starting either from limonene or  $\beta$ -terpineol.

Introduction: Bisabolenes (1) are sesquiterpenes frequently found in plants as secondary (2) metabolites. Many of them present pharmacologic activity, they can be antiinflamatory, spasmolytic, antiphlogistic and cosmetic or they could be useful in other ways, such as hernandulcine, a thousand times sweeter than sucrose (3). We, and others (4) have isolated a new bisabolene whose spectroscopic properties suggest that it is bisabol-10-ene-3,7-oxide 1. However, only spectroscopics evidence supports this structure, and no X-ray analysis can be carried out because it an oily substance. These facts encouraged us to work out the synthesis of this novel compound.

Our first strategy started from  $\beta$ -terpineol 2. We hoped that treatment of the terminal double bond of this compound with the right electrophiles (5) such as  $I_2$ , PhSCl or Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> could induce the intramolecular attack of the oxygen atom yielding the necessary oxide bridge.  $\beta$ -terpineol was obtained using the procedure by Mestres (6) epoxydizing limonene 3 with peracetic acid (scheme I).

The axial alcohol obtained in the reduction with  $\text{LiAlH}_4$  shows the necessary cis relationship with the propyl substituent carrying the double bond. However, after treatment of  $\beta$ -terpineol with the afore mentioned electrophiles, in no case could an oxygen intramolecular attack be obtained. When iodine was used, the result was complex Scheme i



iodine was used, the result was complex mixtures; in no case did we achieve either the opening of the T-complex by other nucleophiles or the desired oxygen bridge (scheme II).



We found this behavior surprising, because limonene  $\underline{3}$  itself can undergo intramolecular oxygen bridging easily when treated with Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, followed by reduction with NaBH<sub>4</sub>, as was already known (7). The explanation must be that an *A*-terpineol  $\underline{4}$ , intermediate is what produces the intramolecular bridge (scheme III).



The conformational flexibility of the cyclohexene ring in the (*k*-terpineol molecule probably facilitates the approach of oxygen and the 7complex, whereas the  $\beta$ -terpineol <u>2</u> molecule only allows the reaction in a much more energetic boat conformation. This handicap leads to a pre-

ference of other competitive reactions over these (scheme IV). This led us to change the synthetic strategy to obtain an (7-terpineol intermediate, from which the oxide bridge should be easy to achieve. The first approach started again from  $\beta$ -terpineol. Oxymercuration of the terminal double bond yielded an organomercuric compound 5. Its reduction with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in the presence of methyl acrylate allowed the primary radical to be trapped to afford the dihydroxy methyl ester 6 (scheme V).

Scheme U

HadAc



Hydrolysis and treatment with  $AcO_2$  permitted protection of one of the tertiary hydroxy groups such as the  $\delta$ -lactone derivative 7. If further heating of the reaction mixture takes place the free hydroxy group undergoes elimination to

yield the unsaturated lactone as an epimeric mixture 8 (scheme VI).

0H

Methyl

Acrylate

When the lactone reacts with MeLi, ring opening takes place and the two carbon atoms necessary to finish the carbon skeleton are introduced 9. The yield of this reaction is however somewhat low, probably because of extensive enolization of the lactone. The preceding reactions also showed disappointing yields. This and the fact that  $\beta$ -terpineol is not very

Hg(OAc)z

 $\underbrace{\underline{6}}_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Rc}_{2}0} \underbrace{\mathbf{0}}_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Rc}_{2}0} \underbrace{\mathbf{Mell}}_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Mell}} \underbrace{\mathbf{Mell}}_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{0}}_{0} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{0}}$ 

Scheme UI

easily available led us to decide to obtain this diol by using limonene as the starting material. Limonene has two double bonds which can compete in the oxymercuriation step. Furthermore the trisubstituted double bond should be more electrophilic than the terminal one. This is the case when the epoxydation takes place, only the more substituted olefin undergoes oxidation. However, when the very bulky mercury atom is used as the reactant, the terminal double bond seems to react faster (7). So we carried out the reaction of an excess of limonene with  $\text{Hg(OAc)}_2$  in THP.Reduction in the presence of methyl acrylate led us to a complex mixture from which chromatography yielded the desired hydroxymethyl ester <u>10</u>. Despite the low yield (10%), the ready availability of the starting materials makes us prefer this second way. As expected, the reaction also afforded (r-

7

terpineol 4 due to competitive reduction of the primary radical against trapping with methyl acrylate. MeLi transformed the methyl ester into the foregoing unsaturated diol 9 (scheme VII).

Now this (t-terpineol derivative should cyclize easily in the presence of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, as is in fact, the case. Formation of the oxide ring <u>11</u> competes however with the obtention of the triol <u>12</u>.

We have not been able to increase the yield of the oxidebridged product by reducing the amount of water in the reaction mixture. If the amount of water is too low no reaction takes place and the starting olefin is recovered unchanged. Finally phosphorus oxychloride effected dehydration to give the two possible double bonds 13 and 14. AgNO<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> chromatography allowed us to easily separate both olefins. The one with the trisubstituted double bond showed all the expected properties of the natural compound isolated from Anthemis alpestris, which confirms the proposed structure.



## EXPERIMENTAL

I.R. spectra were run as liquid films. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded at 200 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ , TMS as int. standard.<sup>13</sup>CNMR were recorded at 50.3 MHz. MS were operated at 70 eV.. Analytical TLC was performed on Si-gel G (Merck 7731), and CC on Si-gel 60 (Merck 7734).

Synthesis of 6 from  $\beta$ -terpineol: Methyl acrylate (10 ml.) was added to a soln of  $\beta$ -terpineol (1.5 g.) in THF (10 ml.), H<sub>2</sub>O (2ml.) and Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (4 g.). The mixture was spilled on methyl acrylate (10 ml.) with NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1 g.) and cooled to 0°C. This material was treated with Et<sub>2</sub>O-H<sub>2</sub>O and the organic layer (2.05 g.) was chromatograhed (si-gel) to afford 6 (900 mg.). IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400 (O-H), 1735 (C=O).<sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ ppm.): 3.50 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.1 (2H, t, J=6Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>-CO), 1.1 (3H, s, Me). Synthesis of 8 from 6: Compound 6 was hydrolyzed for 2 hr. with 2.5M NaOH/MeOH and the product was treated with NaAcO (200 ml.) and Ac<sub>2</sub>O (5 ml.), the soln was treated at reflux for 17 hr.. The evaporation of Ac<sub>2</sub>O and the chromatography of the reaction product gave the epimeric mixture 8 (350 mg.). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ ppm.): 5.3 (2H, m, CH=C), 1.5 (6H, s, 2He), 1.23 (3H, s, Me), 1.22 (3H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>CNMR in table 1.

<u>Synthesis of 10 from limonene</u>:  $Hg(OAc)_2$  (23 g.) was added to a soln of limonene (20 gr.) in THP (190 ml.) and  $H_2O$  (20 ml.), and the mixture was stirred for 1 hr.. Following this, methyl acrylate (45 ml.) was added and when the mixture was cooled to  $-80^{\circ}C$ . NaBH<sub>4</sub> (2.5 g.) was added. When the reaction had finished, the mixture was treated with  $Et_2O$ -HCl(aq.). From the organic layer were removed  $Et_2O$  and the excess of limonene, diazomethane was added to give a reaction product (11.3 g.), which was chromatographed over a Si-gel column using hexane with gradually increasing proportions of  $Et_2O$  as eluent. With hexane- $Et_2O$  14:1 *Q*-terpineol (2.2 g.) was eluted and with hexane- $Et_2O$  8:2, an epimeric mixture of 10 (1.5 g.). IR  $\nu_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3450 (O-H), 1745 (C=O), 3030, 1650 and 810 (C=C). <sup>1</sup>HNDLR (hpm.): 5.3 (2H, m, CH=C), 3.6 (6H, s, 2OMe), 1.6 (6H, s, 2Me), 1.1 (3H, s,

Me), 1.0 (3H, s, Ne). <sup>13</sup>CNNR in table 1. MS (m/e, v): 240 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.4); 222 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 32); 209 (M<sup>+</sup>-ONe, 50); 145 (20); 123 (100); 95 (96); 69 (30).

Synthesis of 9 from 10: 10 (1.5 g.) in T(P (10 ml.) was slowly added to a soln, cooled to  $-5^{\circ}C_{1}$ 1M (10 ml) of MeLi in Et<sub>2</sub>O, and the mixture was maintained under N<sub>2</sub> with stirring until no more  $CH_4$  was evolved. The reaction product, after treatment with  $Et_2O-H_2O$ , was chromatographed to afford an epimeric mixture of compound <u>9</u> (1.14 g.). M.p.: 96<sup>0</sup>C. IR (KBr)  $r_{\rm max} \ {\rm cm}^{-1}$ : 3400 (0-H), 3010, 1650 and 805 (C=C). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( ppm.): 5.3 (2H, m, CH=C), 1.6 (6H, s, 2Me), 1.2 (12H, s, 4Me), 1.1 (3H, s, Ne), 1.0 (3H, s, Ne). MS (m/e, %): 240 (M<sup>+</sup>, 0.6); 222 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 10); 204 (M<sup>+</sup>-2H<sub>2</sub>O, 33); 109 (75); 95 (34); 69 (40).

Synthesis of 11 from 9: A soln of Hg(OAc), (2 g.; 6.3 meq.) in H<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml.) was added to 9 (1.05 g.; 4.4 meq.) in THF (30 ml.) and the mixture was stirred for 72 hr.. Following this, NaBHa (0.25 g.) was added and the reaction product was separated by filtration and finally treated with  $Et_2O$ -H<sub>2</sub>O. Evaporation of Et<sub>2</sub>O gave 720 mg. of the reaction product, which by CC afforded <u>11</u> (300 mg.) and 12 (200 mg.).

Bisabol-11-hydroxy-3,7-oxide (11). IR "max cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400 (0-H), 1160, 1050 and 1020 (C-O). <sup>1</sup>HNMAR (Å ppm.): 1.2 (3H, s, Me), 1.18 (6H, s, 2Me), 1.0 (3H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>CNMR in table 1.

Bisabol-3,7,11-trihydroxy (12). IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3340 and 1150 (0-H). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( h ppm.): 1.3 (3H, s, Me), 1.1 (6H, s, 2Me), 1.0 (3H, s, Me).

Synthesis of 13 from 11: 0.5 ml. of POCl<sub>3</sub> were added to a soln of 11 (88 mg.) in pyr. to -5<sup>0</sup>C and the mixture was stirred under N $_2$  for 4 hr.. The reaction product was extracted with  $Et_2O$  and the ethereal fraction washed with 2N HCl and  $Na_2CO_3$ . Evaporation of solvent and the chromatography of the reaction product over a silicagel-AgNO<sub>3</sub>(20%) column using 14:1 hexane-Et<sub>2</sub>O as eluent gave <u>13</u> (38 mg.) and 14 (23 mg.).

Bisabol-10-ene-3,7-oxide (13). IR  $t_{max} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ : 3020, 1660 and 850 (C=C), 1160, 1050 and 1020 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR (δ ppm.): 5.2 (1H, m, CH=C), 1.6 (3H, s, Me), 1.5 (3H, s, Me), 1.2 (3H, s, Me), 1.0 (3H, s, Me). <sup>13</sup>CNMR, in table 1. MS (m/e, %): 222 (M<sup>+</sup>, 15); 204 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 30); 139 (100); 109 (40); 95 (70); 69 (45).

Bisabol-11-ene-3,7-oxide (14). IR V max cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3060, 1640 and 885 (CH<sub>2</sub>-C ), 1160, 1050 and 1020 (C-0). <sup>1</sup>HNMR (δ ppm.): 4.8 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>=C), 1.7 (3H, s, Me), 1.2 (3H, s, Me), 1.0 (3H, s, Me). HS  $(m/e, N): 222 (M^+, 9); 204 (M^+-H_{2}O, 13); 139 (100); 109 (20); 95 (50); 69 (27).$ 

(30.3	naz, un	13, Chem	. sairts are in	0-values irom	TMS).
C		8	10	11	13
1234567890111213	26.5 120.1 133.8 30.7 23.4 44.3 86.6 29.6 16.6 34. 171.	26.1 119.6 134.3 30.5 23.3 44.0 86.4 29.5 16.5 5 4	26.4 25. 120.5 120. 133.4 133. 30.7 23.6 23. 43.0 42. 73.3 39.2 38. 18.7 18. 34.0 173.6	8 22.7 3 31.9 1 75.8 0 22.7 8 30.5 69.6 5 42.5 5 19.5 44.7 71.0 29.4	22.7 31.9 75.6 31.9 22.7 30.5 69.5 41.9 23.5 124.9 131.1 1.7.6 25.7
14 15 OMe	23.3 23.9	23.2 23.8	22.8 22. 23.8 50.8	7 27.6 25.9	27.6 25.7

## Table 1. 13CNMR spectral data of compounds 8, 10, 11 and 13

## REFERENCES

(1) T. K. DEVON, A. I. SCOTT, "Handbook of Naturally Occurring Compounds", Vol. II, Academia Press (1972).
(2) (a) B. S. PANDE, S. KRISHNAPPA, S. C. BISARYA, S. DEV, <u>Tatrahedron</u>, 27, 841 (1971), (b)
O. P. VIG, B. VIG, J. C. KAPUR, J. INDIAN; <u>Chem. Soc.</u>, 46, 1078 (1969), (c) P. A. HEDIN, A. C. THONPSON, R. C. GUELDNER, J. P. MINYARD; <u>Phytochamistry</u>, 10, 1693 (1971).
(3) (a) G. OHLOFF, W. GIERSCH, R. NAF, P. DELCAS; <u>Hel. Chim. Acta.</u>, 69, 698 (1986), (b) O. STICHER; "New Natural Products and plant Drugs with Pharmacologic, Biological or Therapeutical Activity"; H. Magner and P. Wolff, Springer-Verlag (1977); (c) C. H. COMPADRE, J. M. PEZZUTO, A. DOUGLAS KINGHORN, S. K. KAMATH; <u>Science</u>, 227, 414 (1985).
(4) (a) J. de PASCUAL-T., E. CABALLERO, S. G. PASTOR, M. C. CABALLERO; <u>Studia Chemica</u>, 11, 475 (1986), (b) F. BOHLMANN, C. ZDERO; <u>Phytochamistry</u>, 21, 1697 (1982).
(5) F. A. CAREY, R. J. SUNDBERG; "Advanced Organic Chemistry", 2nd ed., Plenum, 1984.
(6) R. MESTRES, M. C. POLO, M. J. VALERO; Analeg de Ouinca, 75, 970 (1979).
(7) M. BARBAGIOTTI A., F. P. VINCIERI, S. A. CORAN, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>., 39, 680 (1974).
(8) B. GIESE; <u>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.</u>, 24, 553 (1985).