Highly Stereoselective Aldol Reaction of Chiral 3-(p-Tolylsulfinyl)furfural with Silyl Ketene Acetal Catalyzed by Lanthanide Triflate

Yoshitsugu Arai,* Tsutomu Masuda, Yukio Masaki Gifu Pharmaceutical University, 5-6-1 Mitahora-Higashi, Gifu 502, Japan FAX +81(58)237-5979; E-mail araiy@gifu-pu.ac.jp Received 28 August 1997

Abstract: The aldol reaction of optically active 3-(p-tolylsulfinyl)furfural with silyl ketene acetal catalyzed by a lanthanide triflate proceeded smoothly to give the syn- and anti-aldol products with high diastereoselectivities in high yield.

Since its pioneering work by Mukaiyama, Lewis acid-promoted crossed aldol reactions between aldehydes and silyl ketene acetals have widely been used to perform a variety of carbon-carbon bond formations. Owing to the importance of this reaction, a large number of attractive methodologies² in enantioselective aldol reactions have been developed: 1) enantioselective reaction by the use of a silyl ketene acetal having a chiral auxiliary, 2) the reaction promoted by a chiral Lewis acid, and 3) the reaction of a silyl ketene acetal with a chiral aldehyde. Among these reactions, the use of a lanthanide triflate³ as a catalyst has recently aroused much attention. In conjunction with a lanthanide-promoted asymmetric aldol reaction,⁴ we were interested in the use of the sulfinyl aldehyde 1.5 Previously, we have demonstrated that chiral sulfinyl aldehyde 1 undergoes stereoselective allylation^{5a} and hetero Diels-Alder reaction^{5b} mediated by such a Lewis acid as lanthanide triflates even if the chiral auxiliary may be remote from the reaction site. Despite chiral sulfoxides being useful auxiliaries in

asymmetric synthesis,⁶ aldol reaction between the aldehyde or ketone with the chiral sulfinyl auxiliary and a silyl ketene acetal has received much less attention.⁷ Central to the purpose of this subject was to explore the highly stereoselective aldol reaction with aldehyde 1. We describe herein the lanthanide triflate-catalyzed aldol reaction of 1 with a silyl ketene acetal.

To obtain chirally functionalized furyl hydroxy propanoates which have been utilized as a chiral building block for natural products synthesis,8 there have appeared mainly two approaches involving asymmetric aldol reactions⁹ of achiral furyl aldehydes with chiral oxazolidine derivatives developed by Evans¹⁰ and resolution of racemic furan derivatives.¹¹ Our approach relies on the stereoselective reaction of chiral sulfinyl furaldehyde 1 with a silyl ketene acetal followed by desulfinylation. At first we examined the reaction of 1 with silyl enol ether 2^{12} mediated by a Lewis acid under a variety of reaction conditions. Some of the results are summarized in Table 1. When the silyl enol ether 2 was treated with 1 in the presence of 2.0 equiv. of titanium(IV) tetrachloride at -78 °C, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the aldol products 3 and 4 with 47% de (diastereoisomeric excess). The use of other typical Lewis acids13 such as tin(IV) tetrachloride and borontrifluoride or the reactions with higher reaction temperature gave no better results. On the other hand, treatment of 1 in the presence of a lanthanide triflate

Table 1										
entry	silyl enol	reaction conditions		solvent	additive /	equiv.	product ^c	anti : syn	de / %	isolated
	ether	time / h	temp. / °C							yield / %
1	2	1	-78	CH ₂ Cl ₂	TiCl ₄	2.0	3 and 4	-	47	89
2	2	1.5	0	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Yb(OTf)3	1.0	3 and 4	-	96	89
3	2	5	0	CH ₂ Cl ₂	Yb(OTf)3	0.2	3 and 4	_	94	86
4	2	1	0	THF	Yb(OTf)3	0.05	3 and 4	-	92	94
5	2	1	0	THF	Nd(OTf) ₃	0.05	3 and 4	_	95	92
6	2	1	0	THF	Sm(OTf) ₃	0.05	3 and 4	_	96	99
7	5	5	0	THF	Nd(OTf)3	0.05	6 and 7	-	90	96
8	8a ^a	1	0	THF	Yb(OTf) ₃	0.05	9a, 10a, 11a and 12a	79:21	90d / 93e	97
9	8b ^b	1	0	THF	Yb(OTf)3	0.05	9b, 10b, 11b and 12b	75:25	≥99d / ≥99e	95

^a Contaminated by Z-isomer of **8a** (E:Z=5.3:1). ^b Contaminated by Z-isomer of **8b** (E:Z=7.3:1). ^c Product ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. ^d De value of *anti*-products indicates that **9** in excess is diastereoisomeric to **10**. ^e De value of *syn*-products indicates that **11** in excess is diastereoisomeric to **12**

1460 LETTERS SYNLETT

afforded 3 with high diastereoselectivity (92-96% de). Since lanthanide triflate was found to be an effective catalyst (5% mole used), other silyl enol ethers 5 and $8a-b^{12}$ were examined to evaluate the selectivity of the reaction. For the reaction of 1 with 5, the phenylester 6 was obtained as the major product with 90% de. In the case of 8, the reactions gave the *anti*-product 9 and *syn*-product 11 as the major isomers with high diastereoface selectivity (\geq 90% de) and with moderate stereoselectivity (*anti vs syn*).

The major products 3 and 6 were readily separated by recrystallization of the original mixture from 4 and 7, respectively. The ratios of these products were determined by ¹H NMR analysis since unsatisfactory separation was observed by HPLC analysis in some cases. The diastereoisomeric relationship (anti- and syn-isomers) of the products 9-12 was suggested on the basis of the vicinal coupling constants (antiisomers have larger coupling constants than those of the corresponding syn-isomers in β-hydroxy esters). 14 All possible isomers for determining the product ratio in the reaction by ¹H NMR analysis could be prepared as follows: in the case of 9a-12a, deoxygenation of the sulfinyl group in 9a and 11a (9a : 11a = ca. 7:1 mixture) with SmI₂ afforded the corresponding sulfides which were further reoxidized with 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid to give rise to a mixture of 9a, ent-10a (= the enantiomer of 10a), 11a, and ent-12a, in a rough ratio of 3.5:3.5:0.5:0.5. The absolute stereochemistry of four diastereoisomers 9a, 10a, 11a, and 12a was confirmed by comparison with known compounds 13-16,11a obtained in 62% yield by desulfinylation of a mixture of 9a-12a with Raney Ni (Scheme 1). The stereochemistry of 6 was finally established by single-crystal X-ray analysis¹⁵ of (±)-6 although optically active 6 was not obtained as suitable crystalline material. The stereostructure of other isomers were tentatively assigned.

Scheme 1

In these aldol reactions, it is probable that the sulfinyl group plays an important role not only as a chiral auxiliary but also as a chelating agent with the aldehyde carbonyl, resulting in fairly rigid transition state for effecting asymmetric induction. The results can reasonably be accomodated by the cyclic transition state model¹⁶ for this type of aldol condensation, which involves the least sterically hindered approach of the silvl ketene acetal. That is, the chelating model of 1 with a lanthanide metal (= Ln) might be preferable (Scheme 2). The organolanthanide metal, which has a long ion radii, could coordinate with the aldehyde carbonyl and the sulfinyl oxygen, resulting in favored 7-membered cyclic transition state. For the reaction of 8a, either (E)- or (Z)-silyl ketene acetal should thus attack from the Si face of the aldehyde carbonyl due to the steric repulsion with the p-tolyl group, giving the aldol products 9a and 11a respectively as the major products. Chan et al. 12a reported that the reactions of aldehydes with 8b produced anti-isomers with preference to syn-isomers. The observed anti/syn stereoselectivity might be compatible with their results. Application to natural product synthesis and further investigation to improve stereoselectivity by the use of other silyl enol ethers such as O-silyl ketene O,S-acetals are in progress.

Typical Procedure for Aldol Condensation: to a solution of Yb(OTf)₃ (40 mg, 0.06 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) (10 mL) at 0 °C was added the aldehyde 1 (300 mg, 1.3 mmol) in dry THF (2.5 mL). After being stirred for 10 min, it was treated with a solution of the silyl ketene acetal 2 (304 mg, 1.9 mmol) in dry THF (2.5 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by adding 3% hydrochloric acid (8 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL x 3). The combined organic phase was washed with saturated brine (15 mL), dried (anhydrous MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product ratio of 3 and 4 (3 : 4 = 96:4) was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude material. Isomerically pure 3 was separated from 4 by column chromatography on silica gel with hexane-ethyl acetate (3:2→1:1) followed by recrystallization of the resulting mixture (422 mg, 94%) from ethyl acetate-hexane. 3: mp 70-72 °C (hexane-ethyl acetate); $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -43.7° (c 1.03, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 270 MHz) δ_H 1.28 (3 H, s, Me), 1.29 (3 H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, Me), 1.33 (3 H, s, Me), 2.39 (3 H, s, Me), 4.22 (2 H, dq, J = 7.1, 0.7 Hz, CH₂), 4.72 (1 H, d, J = 8.1Hz, OH), 5.13 (1 H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, CH), 6.24 (1 H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, furan), 7.28 (1 H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, furan), 7.29 (2 H, d, J = 8.3 Hz, ArH), 7.56 (2 H, d, J = 8.3 Hz, ArH); IR (CHCl₃) v_{max} 3400, 3000, 1720, 1460, 1135, $1090, 1035 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Scheme 2

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 08672434) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, to which we are grateful.

References and Notes

- (1) Mukaiyama, T. Aldrichchimica Acta 1996, 29, 59.
- (2) Seyden-Penne, J. Chiral Auxiliaries and Ligands in Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1995; pp 271-365.
- (3) Forsberg, J. H.; Spaziano, V. T.; Balasubramanian, T. M.; Liu, G. K.; Kinsley, S. A.; Duckworth, C. A.; Poteruca, J. J.; Brown, P. S.; Miller, J. L. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1017.
- (4) Kobayashi, S.; Uchiro, H.; Fujishita, Y.; Shiina, I.; Mukaiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4247.
- (5) (a) Arai, Y.; Masuda, T.; Masaki, Y.; Koizumi, T. Heterocycles
 1994, 38, 1751. (b) Arai, Y.; Masuda, T.; Masaki, Y.; Shiro, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry
 1996, 7, 1199. (c) Girodier, L. D.; Rouessac, F. P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry
 1994, 5, 1203.
- (6) Carreño, M. C. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1717. Walker, A. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1992, 3, 961.
- (7) García Ruano, J. L.; Barros, D.; Carmen Maestro, M.; Araya-Maturana, R.; Fischer, J. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9462.

- (8) Martin, S. F.; Guinn, D. E. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5588.
- (9) Martin, S. F.; Gluchowski, C.; Campbell, C. L.; Chapman, R. C. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3171.
- (10) Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127.
- (11) (a)Akita, H.; Furuichi, A.; Koshiji, H.; Horikoshi, K.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 4051. idem Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1984, 32, 1333. (b) Kusakabe, M.; Kitano, Y.; Kobayashi, Y.; Sato, F. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2085.
- (12) (a) Chan, T. H.; Aida, T.; Lau, P. W. K.; Gorys, V.; Harpp, D. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 4029. (b) Colvin, E. W. Silicon Reagents in Organic Synthesis; Academic: London, 1988, pp 101-102. Slougui, N.; Rousseau, G. Synthetic Commun. 1987, 17, 1.
- (13) Heathcock, C. H.; Davidsen, S. K.; Hug, K. T.; Flippin, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3027.
- (14) Gaudemer, A. in Kagan, H. B. (ed.) *Stereochemistry, Vol. 1*, Thieme: Stuttgart, 1977, pp 62-70.
- (15) Details for X-ray data will be reported in full paper.
- (16) Gong, L.; Streitwieser, A. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6235.