

Tetrahedron Letters 39 (1998) 6827-6830

TETRAHEDRON LETTERS

First Experimental Evidence for the Formation of a Silicate Anion by Intramolecular Addition of a Persulfoxide to a Trimethylsiloxy Group.

Edward L. Clennan,* David L. Dillon

Department of Chemistry University of Wyoming Laramie WY 82071

Received 21 May 1998; revised 26 June 1998; accepted 10 July 1998

Abstract: The photooxidation of an α -trimethylsiloxysulfide has been studied. The reaction generates a persulfoxide which can be trapped by diphenylsulfoxide, decompose to generate triplet oxygen and substrate, or rearrange to a silicate product via a silicate intermediate. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Persulfoxides, (e.g. 1 in the Scheme), were first suggested as discrete bound species by Schenck and Krausch¹ during their pioneering study of the photooxidations of dialkyl sulfides. Subsequent computational² and experimental studies^{3,4} have verified this suggestion and have provided compelling evidence that 1 is a ubiquitous intermediate in the reactions of singlet oxygen with a wide range of organosulfur compounds.⁵⁻⁷ Persulfoxides have never been directly observed at room temperature as a result of the availability of several facile inter- and intramolecular reaction pathways.⁷⁻¹² We now report that the photooxidation of [1-(ethylthio)-1-methylethoxy]trimethylsilane, 2, provides the first compelling evidence for the formation of silicate intermediate, 3, and silicate product 4, via a persulfoxide as depicted in the following Scheme.



Irradiation of an oxygen saturated 0.012 M solution of 2, 0.3 M pyridine, and 1 x 10^4 M tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP), in toluene-d₈ at -60°C for 20 minutes through 1cm of a saturated sodium nitrite

filter solution resulted in the complete disappearance of 2, formation of acetone, a trace of diethyldisulfide, several unidentified products, and 4 as the major product. Conspicuously absent were the sulfoxide 5 and the corresponding sulfone. Participation of singlet oxygen in these reactions was verified by demonstrating that 2 quenched the emission of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ at 1270nm, that 5 x 10⁻³ M DABCO completely quenched the reaction, and that the rate of the reaction was much faster in toluene-d₈ than in toluene-h₈.

In order to verify the absence of either sulfoxide 5 or the corresponding sulfone, 6, they were independently synthesized by treatment of 2 at -60°C with one or two equivalents of MCPBA in the presence of pyridine, respectively. Their structures were verified by both low temperature ¹H and ¹³C NMR. [5 ¹H NMR (toluene-d₈) δ 0.06(s, 9H), 1.15(t, J = 7Hz, 3H), 1.29(s, 3H), 1.30(s, 3H), 2.15(m, 1H), 2.24(m, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.0, 8.3, 20.7, 26.0, 38.6, 88.2; 6 ¹H NMR (toluene-d₈) δ 0.10(s, 9H), 1.10(t, J = 7Hz, 3H), 1.34(s, 6H), 2.42(q, J = 7Hz, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.9, 5.3, 23.7, 39.0, 92.0]. Sulfoxide, 5, decomposes at temperatures at or above -20°C in a clean first order process with activation barriers at the 95% confidence level of $\Delta H^* = 19.6 \pm 2.3$ kcal/mol, $\Delta S^* = -6 \pm 8$ cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, and $\Delta G^*(298.16) = 21.3 \pm 4.6$ kcal/mol.

The identity of silicate 4 was established by a combination of ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, DEPT, and C,H-COSY experiments (Figure 1). The observation of a methoxy peak at 3.27 ppm in the proton NMR which correlated to a methyl peak at 49.7 ppm in the ¹³C NMR was especially revealing. In addition, the observation of overlapping gem-dimethyl groups in the ¹H NMR which are well separated in the ¹³C NMR, two very closely spaced Si-Me groups in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR's, and a quaternary carbon at 88.0 ppm in the ¹³C NMR, are compelling evidence for the structure of 4.





Two intermediates formed prior to the silicate, 4, are also required by the following experimental evidence. Incrementally increasing the concentration of 2 in this experiment from 0.002 molar to 0.3 molar, resulted in the appearance and increase of sulfoxide 5. However, silicate 4 is unreactive towards 2 as demonstrated by addition of authentically prepared 2 at the end of the photooxidation. Consequently, a fleeting intermediate trapped by 2 must have been intercepted. We suggest that this trapped intermediate is silicate 3. The peroxide unit in silicate 3 is likely to be susceptible to nucleophilic attack by 2 and its rearrangement by migration of an apical methyl group to form 4 has ample precedent in migrations from silicon to carbon.¹³ Increasing the concentration of 2 from 0.01 to 0.50 M had no effect on the extent of non productive physical quenching. (i.e. 2 does not trap an intermediate which dissociates to starting material and ${}^{3}O_{2}$ since this would increase the efficiency of the reaction)² The extent of physical quenching was determined by comparing the rate constant for chemical reaction of 2, k,, which was measured by NMR in CDCl₃ in competition with tetramethylethylene using the method of Higgins, Foote, and Cheng,¹⁴ to the rate constant for disappearance of singlet oxygen, k_T , which was measured by examining the ability of 2 to quench the time-resolved emission of singlet oxygen at 1270 nm as described earlier.¹⁵ The ratio $k_r/k_T = [(1.46 \pm 10^{-10} \text{ m})^{-10}]$ 0.62) x $10^{6}M^{-1}s^{-1}/[(2.67\pm 0.30) \times 10^{6}M^{-1}s^{-1}]$ reveals that k_r is only 55% of k_r and is independent of concentration. Consequently, nonproductive physical quenching must account for 45% of all interactions of singlet oxygen with 2 over the entire range of 0.01 to 0.50M. Considerable precedent exists which suggests that it is decomposition of a persulfoxide (k_a in the Scheme) which is responsible for the inefficiency of sulfide photooxidations.2,3

Additional evidence for the persulfoxide was obtained by cophotooxidation of 2 and diphenylsulfoxide (Ph_2SO). An intermediate is implicated since Ph_2SO is unreactive in the absence of 2, but is converted to diphenylsulfone (Ph_2SO_2) when cophotooxidized with 2. In addition, bis-(p-chlorophenyl)sulfoxide, (pClPh)₂SO, is approximately 3 times more reactive than Ph_2SO consistent with the nucleophilic character of the persulfoxide but inconsistent with the anticipated electrophilic character of 3.

The persulfoxide in the reaction of 2 was 10 times more difficult to trap than the persulfoxide formed in the photooxidation of diethylsulfide. In addition, physical quenching accounts for 95% of the interactions of singlet oxygen with diethylsulfide but only 45% of the interactions with 2. Both these observations point to a reduced lifetime of the persulfoxide formed in the reaction of 2 in comparison to diethylpersulfoxide. This suggests that formation of 3 is considerably more rapid than formation of the putative second intermediate in diethylsulfide photooxidation. In conclusion, the photooxidations of appropriately substituted sulfides can now be added to a growing list of reactions which have been demonstrated to proceed via hypervalent silicon intermediates.¹⁶ In addition, the formation of 4 and the absence of rearrangement of 5 to its silicate isomer reveals a remarkable stabilization of the trigonal bypyramidal structure by the apocophilic methoxy group.

Acknowledgment: We thank the National Science Foundation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for their generous support of this research.

References

- 1. Schenck, G. O.; Krausch, C. H. Angew. Chem. 1962, 74, 510.
- Jensen, F. In Advances in Oxygenated Processes; A. L. Baumstark, Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, CT, 1995; Vol. 4; pp 1-48.
- Clennan, E. L. In Advances in Oxygenated Processes; A. L. Baumstark, Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, CT., 1995; Vol. IV; pp 49-80.
- Ando, W.; Kabe, Y.; Kobayashi, S.; Takyu, C.; Yamagishi, A.; Inaba, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4526-4528.
- 5. Clennan, E. L.; Zhang, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 809-810.
- 6. Clennan, E. L.; Chen, M.-F. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 6444-6447.
- 7. Liang, J.-J.; Gu, C.-L.; Kacher, M. L.; Foote, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 4717-4721.
- 8. Clennan, E. L.; Yang, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4044-4046.
- 9. Clennan, E. L.; Yang, K. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4477-4487.
- 10. Corey, E. J.; Ouannes, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 4263-4266.
- 11. Foote, C. S.; Denny, R. W.; Weaver, L.; Chang, Y. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1970, 171, 139-148.
- 12. Bhardwaj, R. K.; Davidson, R. S. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 4473-4479.
- 13. Hijji, Y. M.; Hudrlik, P. F.; Hudrlik, A. M. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1213-1214.
- Higgins, R.; Foote, C. S.; Cheng, H. In Advances in Chemistry Series; R. F. Gould, Ed.; American Chemical Society: Washington D. C., 1968; Vol. 77; pp 102-117.
- 15. Clennan, E. L.; Noe, L. J.; Szneler, E.; Wen, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5080-5085.
- 16. Denmark, S. E.; Griedel, B. D.; Coe, D. M.; Schnute, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7026-7043.