Identification of dihydropyridines that reduce cellular tau levels[†]

Christopher G. Evans,^{*a*} Umesh K. Jinwal,^{*b*} Leah N. Makley,^{*a*} Chad A. Dickey^{*b*} and Jason E. Gestwicki^{**a*}

Received 30th June 2010, Accepted 4th October 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0cc02253e

A series of dihydropyridines were identified that have an effect on the accumulation of tau, an important target in Alzheimer's disease. The dihydropyridine collection was expanded using the Hantzsch multicomponent reaction to develop preliminary structure-activity relationships.

Tau is a microtubule-binding protein that accumulates in a number of neurodegenerative disorders, including frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease (AD).¹ These diseases are collectively termed tauopathies and they are characterized by aggregation of hyperphosphorylated tau. The presence of abnormal tau correlates with neuron loss and memory deficits.² Therefore, selectively reducing its levels might be an effective strategy.

Efforts towards that goal have largely focused on either inhibitors of tau aggregation,³ inhibitors of its phosphorylation⁴ or compounds that stimulate its degradation.⁵ Each of these strategies is potentially promising, but many of the compounds identified to date have relatively modest activity. For example, methylene blue (MB), which both inhibits tau aggregation³ and stimulates its degradation through heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70),⁵ has an EC₅₀ value of approximately 10 μ M. Other promising compounds, such as the Hsp90 inhibitors 17-AAG and EC1012, reduce tau levels but they also produce a robust stress response, which is expected to diminish their long-term efficacy.^{5,6} Thus, new compounds that counteract tau accumulation are still of interest.

While conducting cell-based screens for small molecules that impact tau levels, we identified the 1,4-dihydropyridine **4a** (data not shown). Based on this finding, we sought to synthesize a focused collection to facilitate characterization of structure–activity relationships (SAR). Accordingly, we were attracted to the Hantzsch multicomponent reaction because of its high atom economy and suitability for combinatorial synthesis. This reaction produces the dihydropyridine core scaffold from an aldehyde, amine, and two 1,3-dicarbonyls in a single step (Scheme 1). Also, it has good functional group tolerance and there are known stereoselective routes.⁷

To generate a dihydropyridine collection, we first explored a series of aldehydes that were functionalized with ether bulky aromatics or smaller, alkyl groups (Scheme 1). To maintain the general structure of the initial compound, dimedone 1

0	R ¹ H 3a-r	0 II	Yb(OTf)	
\cap	J. J	<u></u>		
\nearrow	0		ACN, rt, 3-5 h	/ V N
1	NH ₄ OAc	2		4a-r
	entry	compound	R ¹	yield
	1	4a	2,4-CI-C ₆ H ₃ -	89-94%
	2	4b	C ₆ H ₅ -	82-85%
	3	4c	4-Br-C ₆ H ₄ -	80-84%
	4	4d	3,5-OMe-C ₆ H ₃ -	72-74%
	5	4e	3-F-C ₆ H ₄ -	89-92%
	6	4f	4-(CH ₃) ₂ N-C ₆ H ₄ -	75-78%
	7	4g	2-CF ₃ -C ₆ H ₄ -	79-84%
	8	4h	2,5-F-C ₆ H ₃ -	90-94%
	9	4i	4-tBu-C ₆ H ₄ -	84-88%
	10	4j	2-Naphthyl	81-83%
	11	4k	4-CN-C ₆ H ₄ -	72%
	12	41	3,4-OH-C ₆ H ₃ -	69%
	13	4m	C ₆ H ₄ -CH ₂ CH ₂ -	92-94%
	14	4n	2-CI-C ₆ H ₄ -	89-94%
	15	40	4-C ₆ H ₅ -C ₆ H ₅ -	72-77%
	16	4p	CH ₃ CH ₂ (CH ₃)CH-	66%
	17	4q	CH ₃ -	72%
	18	4r	C6H10-C2H3O2-	75%

Scheme 1 Variation of the aldehyde in the Hantzsch reaction to expand the diversity of the dihydropyridine collection.

(1.5 equiv.), ethylacetoacetate **2** (1 equiv.), and Yb(OTf)₃ (10 mol%) were mixed in acetonitrile. After stirring for 10 minutes, the aldehyde (1.0 equiv.) and ammonium acetate (1.0 equiv.) were added. The reactions then proceeded for 3–5 hours, after which they were poured into saturated NaCl, washed with ethylacetate and the products re-crystallized from 1 : 3 water : ethanol. Using this approach, compounds **4a–r** were obtained in moderate to good yields (69–94%).

To expand the diversity in this collection, we took advantage of published methods⁸ to exchange the ester for a thioester on compounds **4a** and **4b**. Briefly, these examples were refluxed in toluene with 2.2 equivalents of Lawesson's reagent for 1 h. The resulting products, **5a** and **5b**, were filtered through Celite and purified as above in good yield (Scheme 2).

To test whether modifications to the heterocyclic amine could be tolerated, we combined dimedone with aryl or alkyl amines in acetonitrile to form the enamine.⁹ After 30 minutes, ethylacetoacetate (1.0 equiv.), 2,4-dicholoro benzaldehyde **3a** (1.0 equiv.) and 10% Yb(OTf)₃ were added and the reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional 4–5 hours. This

^a Department of Pathology and the Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, 210 Washtenaw Ave., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. E-mail: gestwick@umich.edu; Tel: +1 734-615-9537

^b Department of Molecular Medicine, University of South Florida, 4001 E. Fletcher Ave., MDC 36, Tampa, FL 33613, USA. E-mail: cdickey@health.usf.edu; Tel: +1 813-396-0639

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and characterization of compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c0cc02253e



Scheme 2 Introduction of a thioester into the dihydropyridines.

procedure generated compounds **7a-d** with yields ranging from 71-82% (Scheme 3).

To further diversify the scaffold, we next varied the identity of the 1,3-dicarbonyls (8 and 9; Scheme 4). Specifically, we used indanedione and 2,4-pentanedione in place of dimedone to produce derivatives 10a and 10b in good yields. On the other side of the molecule, we substituted either methylacetoacetate or benzylacetoacetate for ethylacetoacetate to produce 10c and 10d in 82 and 85% yield, respectively.

Finally, to fully exploit the strengths of the Hantzsch reaction we varied multiple components simultaneously. Using the reaction conditions and starting materials employed earlier, we made derivatives 11a-11k (Scheme 5). These compounds include examples, such as 11b and 11c, which contain β -ketoamides. Together, these efforts produced a library of 39 functionalized dihydropyridines. At this stage, no attempt was made to separate the enantiomers.

With this collection in hand, we treated cultured IMR32 neuroblastoma cells for 24 h with 100 μ M compound and measured endogenous tau levels by Western blot. Some of the



Scheme 3 Substitutions of the amine in the dihydropyridine.



Scheme 4 Substitutions of 1,3-dicarbonyls to add diversity.



Scheme 5 Multiple components of the Hantzsch reaction were simultaneously exchanged to create dihydropyridines with increased diversity.

compounds, such as **11b–f**, were found to be toxic under these conditions and excluded from further analysis. We then compared the remaining examples to the benchmark compounds MB and 17-AAG, which reduced tau levels by approximately 50 to 70% (Fig. 1).^{5,6} Based on those values, we imposed an arbitrary threshold of 25% to focus on the most active compounds in the dihydropyridine collection. This analysis focused attention on compounds **4p**, **11a** and **11g**, which reduced tau levels by at least 25%. Interestingly, we also identified examples, such as **4a–b**, **4d**, **10b–c**, **11j** and **11k**, which *increased* tau levels by at least 25%. Previous efforts have also noted compounds that increase tau levels and both types of molecules have been useful probes of tau biology.^{5a–b}

An analysis of these results suggested some preliminary SAR. Specifically, large substitutions on the aldehyde such as naphthyl (**4**j) or *p*-diphenyl (**4**o) were not tolerated. Likewise, conversion of the ester to a thioester in **5a** and **5b** reduced activity, as did any modification of the heterocyclic amine (compounds **7a–d**). Modest substitutions of an ethyl to methyl group in the diketone (*e.g.* from **4a** to **10c**) had marginal effects

(A) Screen of dihydropyridines for effects on tau levels



Fig. 1 Screening results for the dihydropyridines. (A) IMR32 cells were treated for 24 h with 100 μ M compound, followed by Western blots for total tau. Quantification of these blots is shown *versus* a vehicle control (1% DMSO). Also shown are two positive controls, methylene blue (MB) and 17-AAG. Arbitrary activity cut-offs are shown at ±25% (dotted line). Inactive compounds are shown as open symbols. Active compounds. (B) Dose response analysis of **11g**. (C) Testing of the enantiomers of **11g**. The *S* enantiomer (open symbols) had activity while the *R* enantiomer (closed symbols) was inactive. Results are the average of triplicates and the error bars are SEM.

0₀

2

4 6 drug (μM) 8

10

but larger groups, such as the benzyl ester in **10d**, abolished activity. Interestingly, minimally functionalized benzyls in the aldehyde position, such as **4a**, **4b** and **4d**, produced the most potent stimulators of tau accumulation, while smaller alkyl groups, such as in **4p**, tended to produce compounds that reduced tau levels. This finding suggests a way of converting a compound from one that causes tau accumulation to one that leads to reductions. However, the impact of the aldehyde position also seemed to be influenced by the identity of the 1,3-diketone. For example, if dimedone was used, compounds **4a** and **4b** promoted tau accumulation, but replacing it for a methyl diketone, as in **11a** and **11g**, produced relatively strong inhibitors. Together, these findings reveal patterns of substitution that promote either tau degradation or accumulation.

Following this initial screening, we selected compound **11g** for in-depth studies. In dose dependence experiments, we found that this compound reduced tau levels by ~70% with an IC₅₀ of 7.0 \pm 1.5 μ M (Fig. 1B), a potency comparable to some of the best, known anti-tau compounds.⁵ To test whether **11g** activates a cellular stress response, we examined the levels of stress-inducible Hsp70 by Western blot and found that its levels were not significantly increased, suggesting that **11g** does not act through this pathway (Fig. 1B). Next, we generated the two enantiomers of **11g** (**11gS** and **11gR**), using an organocatalytic procedure.^{7a} In the IMR32 cells, only **11gS** had activity, with an IC₅₀ value of ~ 600 nM (Fig. 1C). The cellular target of these dihydropyridines is currently unclear, but the relatively potent activity of **11gS** suggests that further studies are warranted.

C.G.E. was supported by the NIH (GM008353). We also acknowledge support from the Abe and Irene Pollin CBD Fund, the Alzheimer's Association (IRG-09-130689) and NIH (NS059690 and AG031291).

Notes and references

- (a) T. L. Spires-Jones, W. H. Stoothoff, A. de Calignon, P. B. Jones and B. T. Hyman, *Trends Neurosci.*, 2009, **32**, 150;
 (b) S. Jeganathan, M. von Bergen, E. M. Mandelkow and E. Mandelkow, *Biochemistry*, 2008, **47**, 10526.
- 2 H. Braak and E. Braak, Acta Neuropathol., 1991, 82, 239.
- 3 (a) S. Taniguchi, N. Suzuki, M. Masuda, S. Hisanaga, T. Iwatsubo, M. Goedert and M. Hasegawa, J. Biol. Chem., 2005, 280, 7614; (b) C. M. Wischik, P. C. Edwards, R. Y. Lai, M. Roth and C. R. Harrington, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1996, 93, 11213.
- 4 M. P. Mazanetz and P. M. Fischer, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2007, 6, 464.
- 5 (a) J. Koren, 3rd, U. K. Jinwal, D. C. Lee, J. R. Jones, C. L. Shults, A. G. Johnson, L. J. Anderson and C. A. Dickey, J. Cell. Mol. Med., 2009, 13, 619; (b) U. K. Jinwal, Y. Miyata, J. Koren, 3rd, J. R. Jones, J. H. Trotter, L. Chang, J. O'Leary, D. Morgan, D. C. Lee, C. L. Shults, A. Rousaki, E. J. Weeber, E. R. Zuiderweg, J. E. Gestwicki and C. A. Dickey, J. Neurosci., 2009, 29, 12079; (c) S. Oddo, A. Caccamo, B. Tseng, D. Cheng, V. Vasilevko, D. H. Cribbs and F. M. LaFerla, J. Neurosci., 2008, 28, 12163.
- 6 C. A. Dickey et al., J. Clin. Invest., 2007, 117, 648.
- 7 (a) C. G. Evans and J. E. Gestwicki, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 2957;
 (b) J. C. Legeay, J. Y. Goujon, J. J. Vanden Eynde, L. Toupet and J. P. Bazureau, J. Comb. Chem., 2006, 8, 829; (c) A. M. Maurya and R. A. Kumar, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 1946.
- 8 B. A. Vigante, Y. Y. Ozols, B. S. Chekavichus and G. Y. Dubur, *Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin.*, 1988, 9, 1232.
- 9 (a) O. I. el-Sabbagh and H. M. Rady, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 44, 3680; (b) S. J. Tu, Y. Zhang, R. H. Jia, B. Jiang, Y. J. Zahang and S. J. Ji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, 47, 6521.

11gS