

Diastereoselective *N*-Sulfonylaminoalkenylation of Azulenes from Terminal Alkynes and Azides via *N*-Sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles

Sangjune Park, Woo-Soon Yong, Sanghyuck Kim, and Phil Ho Lee*

Department of Chemistry, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, Republic of Korea

(5) Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The development of rhodium-catalyzed diastereoselective *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylation of azulenes using *N*-sulfonyltriazoles is described. This procedure can be successfully applied to rhodium-catalyzed diastereoselective *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylation of azulenes starting from terminal alkynes and *N*-sulfonylazides via a three-component semi-one-pot process.

A zulenes, which are known to be a class of nonbenzenoid aromatic hydrocarbons, have attracted notable attention because of their significance as natural products,¹ biologically active compounds,² and molecular materials.³ Thus, development of efficient synthetic methods of these compounds from readily available starting materials has been in continuous demand.⁴ Although Hafner and co-workers reported a novel synthetic approach of azulene in one pot,⁵ introduction of valuable substituents onto azulene have limitations due to its unusual reactivity caused by the polarized π -electron system. For this reason, synthetic strategies of azulene through an introduction of a new functional group and its transformation are extremely desirable for the preparation of azulene derivatives. Herein, we report diastereoselective introduction of an enamine functionality on the azulenes from terminal alkynes and azides via *N*-sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazoles (Scheme 1).⁶





First, triazoles 1 having a diversity of sulfonyl groups at the N1 were examined in the reaction with azulene (2a) (Table 1). A variation of the sulfonyl groups at the N1 of triazoles 1 did not affect the reaction efficiency. Methane-, *n*-butane-, and isopropanesulfonyl triazoles⁷ selectively afforded the *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylated azulenes at the C1-position in excellent yields ranging from 91% and 96% (entries 1–3). *N*-[(4-Methoxybenzene)sulfonyl]triazole 1e is slightly less reactive (entry 5). In contrast, (benzenesulfonyl)triazoles having electron-withdrawing chloro and trifluoromethyl groups gave the desired azulenes 3f and 3g in excellent yields and diastereoselectivities (entries 6 and 7). The optimal reaction

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a

						နုဝ	P₂R
P	SO N N 1	₂ R +		Rh ₂ (Oct) ₄ (1) DCE (0.2 M) 4-5 h, - <i>under a</i>	<u>.0 mol %)</u> , 60 °C Ph • N ₂ air 3 (<i>Z</i>) major	NH	\bigcirc
entry	R	3	yield (%)	entry	R	3	yield (%)
1	Me	3a	94 (8.3:1)	4	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	3d	96 (10:1)
2	<i>n-</i> Bu	3b	96 (10:1)	5	$4-MeO-C_6H_4$	3e	83 (10:1)
3	<i>i</i> -Pr	3c	91 (10:1)	6	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	3f	90 (12:1)
				7	$4-CF_3-C_6H_4$	3g	94 (12:1)

[&]quot;1 (0.2 mmol) and 2a (0.3 mmol) in the presence of $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ (1.0 mol %) were heated in DCE (1.0 mL) at 60 °C for 4–5 h under air. Ratios in parentheses are Z/E ratios.

conditions could be obtained with $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ (1.0 mol %) in DCE (0.2 M) at 60 °C after 2 h under air and selectively provided the *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylated product **3d** in 96% (*Z*/*E* = 10:1) yield (see the Supporting Information).

Having established the optimal reaction conditions, we next examined the scope of this new reaction with respect to variously substituted 1-tosyl-1,2,3-triazoles 1 to react with azulene (2a) (Scheme 2). Electronic variation of substituents at the arene moiety of 4-aryl-1-tosyl-1,2,3-trazoles 1 had little effect on the reaction efficiency. In fact, 1-[(N-sulfonylamino)alkenyl]azulenes 1h and 1i having electron-donating methyl groups on the phenyl ring were selectively obtained in good to excellent yields. Triazoles having methoxy substituents on the phenyl ring were selectively alkenylated to the desired azulenes 3j (84%) and 3k (94%). Halide functional groups commonly used in crosscoupling reactions were tolerated. For example, substrates possessing chloro (11 and 1m) and bromo (1n) groups were

Received: July 9, 2014

Scheme 2. Aminoalkenylation of Azulenes^a



^{*a*}**1** (0.2 mmol) and **2a** (0.3 mmol) in the presence of $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ (1.0 mol %) were heated in DCE (1.0 mL) at 60 °C for 3–12 h under air. Ratios in parentheses are Z/E ratios.

all smoothly aminoalkenylated in yields ranging from 77% and 86%. However, introduction of substituents such as bromide and methoxy at ortho position on the phenyl ring decreased the diastereoselectivities due to steric reason between phenyl and azulenyl groups. Electron-withdrawing trifluoromethyl-substituted triazole 10 underwent N-sulfonylaminoalkenylation in 94% yield. It is noteworthy that triazoles having electron-withdrawing chloro and trifluoromethyl groups at the C4 position on the phenyl ring gave excellent selectivities (Z/E = 20:1). 4-Nitrophenyl-substituted triazole 1p was less reactive, producing the desired product 3p in 71% yield (Z/E = 10:1). NOE experiments indicate that the major isomer of 3p is Z-form (see the Supporting Information). (Methanesulfonyl)triazole 1g having a 2-thiophenyl group was smoothly converted to the aminoalkenylated product 3q in 94% yield. When 4-n-butyl-1tosyl-1,2,3-triazole was treated with 2a in the presence of rhodium catalyst, the desired azulene 3r was obtained in 40% yield. [(4-Cyclohexenyl)methanesulfonyl]triazole 1s turned out to be compatible with the optimal reaction conditions, providing the desired azulene 3s in 89% yield.

Guaiazulene (1,4-dimethyl-7-isopropylazulene) was then investigated in the N-sulfonylaminoalkenylation using various triazoles (Scheme 3). Under the optimal reaction conditions, guaiazulene (**2b**) was readily aminoalkenylated with 4-phenyl-1tosyl-1,2,3-triazole (**1a**), producing exclusively (Z)-**4a** in 96% yield. The structure of (Z)-**4a** was unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography and NOE (see the Supporting Information). Likewise, the reaction efficiency was not influenced by the electronic properties of the triazoles. Triazoles having methyl and chloro groups on the phenyl ring worked equally well in the reaction with guaiazulene to give the corresponding azulenes **4b** (96%) and **4c** (85%). 4-Aryl-1-mesyl-1,2,3-triazoles did not effect the reaction efficiency. Guaiazulene was subjected to 1mesyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole, leading to the formation of **4d** in 93% yield. The aminoalkenylation of guaiazulene proceeded Scheme 3. Aminoalkenylation of Guaiazulenes^a



"1 (0.2 mmol) and 2b (0.3 mmol) in the presence of $Rh_2(Oct)_4$ (1.0 mol %) were heated in DCE (1.0 mL) at 60 °C for 2–6 h under air.

exclusively with 2-thiophenyl-substituted triazoles to deliver (*Z*)-4e (89%). Although 4-*n*-butyl-1-tosyl-1,2,3-triazole (1r) gave the desired product 4f in low yield (43%), cyclohexenyl-substituted triazole (1s) was subjected to 2b in the presence of rhodium catalyst, producing (*Z*)-4g in 87% yield.

To demonstrate the synthetic practicability of this *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylation, we next conducted a three-component one-pot synthesis of the azulene derivatives (3 and 4) starting from terminal alkynes 5, *N*-sulfonyl azides 6, and azulene 2a or guaiazulene 2b (Table 2).⁸ Although the aminoalkenylated product 3a was produced from phenylacetylene, tosyl azide, and azulene in the presence of copper(I) thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC) and Rh₂(Oct)₄ in DCE, it was slowly decomposed depending on reaction time under the optimal reaction

Table 2. Aminoalkenylation in a Semi-One-Pot Process^a

٥		1) CuTC (10 mc 2) Rh ₂ (Oct) ₄ (1.1 azulene (2a)	_ lí	SO ₂ R ¹		
Ai	5 6	DCE, 50 °C, 3 h - <mark>N</mark> 2	$\operatorname{Ar}_{3,4}$			
entry	Ar	\mathbb{R}^1	2	time (h)	3,4	yield (%)
1	Ph	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2a	2	3a	73 (10:1)
2	$3-Me-C_6H_4$	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2a	4	3h	74 (10:1)
3	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2a	4	3i	67 (10:1)
4	4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄	2a	3	3j	65 (10:1)
5	$3-Cl-C_6H_4$	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2a	10	31	56 (10:1)
6	$4-CF_3-C_6H_4$	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2a	10	30	78 (20:1)
7	Ph	CH ₃	2a	4	3b	76 (10:1)
8	Ph	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2b	4	4a	82(Z)
9	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄	2b	4	4b	83 (Z)
10	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	$4-Me-C_6H_4$	2b	3	4c	63 (Z)
11	Ph	CH_3	2b	6	4d	84(Z)

"Reactions were carried out in a semi-one-pot process (see the Supporting Information for detailed procedures). Ratios in parentheses are Z/E ratios.

Organic Letters

conditions. As a control experiment, when the azulene 3a was treated with CuTC, it was decomposed without isolable compounds, indicating that azulene is sensitive to Cu catalyst. Thus, we attempted synthesis of the azulene derivatives (3 and 4) via a three-component semi-one-pot method. Phenylacetylene 5a (0.20 mmol) and tosyl azide 6a (0.22 mmol) in the presence of CuTC (10 mol %) in DCE (1 mL) were placed in a reaction vessel, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C. After disappearance of both 5a and 6a on TLC for 3 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture, including a newly prepared Ntosyltriazole, was filtered via a short pad of silica and used as the crude product in the following Rh-catalyzed N-sulfonylaminoalkenylation with azulene to produce 3a in 73% (Z/E = 10:1) yield (entry 1). The three-component semi-one-pot method worked equally well with aromatic acetylene having 3-methyl, 4-methyl, 4-methoxy, 3-chloro, and 4-trifluoromethyl groups on the phenyl ring, producing a wide range of N-sulfonylaminoalkenylated azulenes (3h-o) in yields ranging from 56% to 78% (entries 2-6). We were pleased to obtain guaiazulene derivatives (4a-c) in good to excellent yields using guaiazulene (2b) and Ntosyltriazole (1a) generated in situ from terminal aromatic alkyne and tosyl azide 6a (entries 8-10). N-Mesyltriazole generated in situ from alkyne and mesyl azide 6b turned out to be compatible with the reaction conditions, producing 3b and 4d in good yields (entries 7 and 11).

Next, second *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylation of **3** obtained from triazole **1** and azulene **2** was attempted. When **3a** was again treated with **1a** (1.5 equiv) under the optimal reaction conditions, the desired compound **3t** was produced in 63% yield (eq 1). *N*-Sulfonylaminoalkenylated **3n** was also subjected



to triazole **1n** to provide **3u** in 66% yield. The structure of (Z,Z)-**3u** was unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography (eq 2, see the Supporting Information).

To our delight, when azulene **3n** (Z/E = 1.3:1) having a 2bromophenyl-substituted enamine moiety was treated with CuI (5 mol %), DMEDA (10 mol %), and K₃PO₄ (2 equiv) in toluene at 70 °C for 2 h, the cross-coupling product, 3-(azulen-1yl)indole (7a), was obtained in quantitative yield (eq 3).⁹ This result indicates that isomerization of the enamine double bond in **3n** easily occurred during the coupling reaction. Employment of this intramolecular cross-coupling reaction to 1,3-bis(*N*sulfonylamino)alkenyl-substituted azulene **3u** provided 1,3bis(indolin-3-yl)azulene **7b** in 74% yield (eq 4).

A plausible mechanism for the *N*-sulfonylaminoalkenylation of azulene derivatives is described in Scheme 4. First, a reversible ring—chain tautomerization of *N*-sulfonyl-1,2,3-triazole 1 provides α -diazo imine I.¹⁰ The sequential irreversible reaction of I with rhodium(II) affords α -imino rhodium(II) carbenoid II



Scheme 4. Plausible Mechanism



together with liberation of molecular nitrogen. Nucleophilic addition of azulene 2a to the electrophilic carbene center of II affords the rhodium-bound zwitterionic intermediate III (path A). Then, anionic rhodium of III releases an electron pair, which moves into the imine moiety to make the nitrogen atom basic enough to abstract an allylic proton to provide the (Nsulfonylamino)alkenylated azulene (3 and 4) with regeneration of the rhodium(II) catalyst. Alternatively, [2 + 1] cycloaddition of α -imino carbene II to 1,2-olefin in a five-membered ring of azulene 2a could give rise to the cyclopropylimine IV. After that, intramolecular proton transfer of IV furnishes the Nsulfonylaminoalkenylated azulene (path B). Another plausible mechanism is that the concerted directed insertion of II into a C-H bond of azulene would lead to the formation of V, which upon imine–enamine tautomerization affords 3 and 4 (path C). However, because the cyclopropyl imine IV and iminesubstituted azulene V were not observed in NMR studies in DCE- d_4 , paths B and C are ruled out in the catalytic cycle at the present stage (see the Supporting Information). There is no formation of VI via Clock rearrangement of cyclopropyl imine IV (path D). The elucidation of the detailed mechanism of the Nsulfonyl aminoalkenylation of azulene derivatives must wait further study.

In conclusion, we have reported an efficient rhodium-catalyzed diastereoselective N-sulfonylaminoalkenylation of azulenes with N-sulfonyl-1,2,3-azides, producing a myriad of aminoalkenylated azulenes together with N_2 as the single byproduct. This procedure can be successfully applied to rhodium-catalyzed diastereoselective N-sulfonylaminoalkenylation of azulene derivatives starting from terminal alkynes and N-sulfonylazides through a three-component semi-one-pot process.

Organic Letters

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures, characterization data, X-ray crystallographic data for **3u** and **4a** (CIF), and ¹H, ¹³C NMR, and NOE spectra for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: phlee@kangwon.ac.kr.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (2014001403).

REFERENCES

(1) Yanagisawa, T.; Kosakai, K.; Tomiyama, T.; Yasunami, M.; Takase, K. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1990**, *38*, 3355.

(2) (a) Treibs, W.; Schroth, W. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1954, 586, 202.
(b) Zhang, L.-Y.; Yang, F.; Shi, W.-Q.; Zhang, P.; Li, Y.; Yin, S.-F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 5722. (c) Rekka, E.; Chrysselis, M.; Siskou, I.; Kourounakis, A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 904. (d) Tomiyama, T.; Yokota, M.; Wakabayashi, S.; Kosakai, K.; Yanagisawa, T. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 791.

(3) (a) Estdale, S.; Bretle, R.; Dunmer, D. A.; Marson, C. M. J. Mater. Chem. 1997, 7, 391. (b) Cristian, L.; Sasaki, I.; Lacroix, P. G.; Donnadieu, B.; Asselberghs, I.; Clays, K. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 3543. (c) Amir, E.; Amir, R. J.; Campos, L. M.; Hawker, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 10046. (d) Koch, M.; Blacque, O.; Venkatesan, K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1580.

(4) (a) Crombie, A. L.; Kane, J. L.; Shea, K. M., Jr.; Danheiser, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 8652. (b) Aumüller, I. B.; Yli-Kauhaluoma, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5363. (c) Carret, S.; Blanc, A.; Coquerel, Y.; Berthod, M.; Greene, A. E.; Deprés, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5130. (d) Ito, S.; Okujima, T.; Kikuchi, S.; Shoji, T.; Morita, N.; Asao, T.; Ikoma, T.; Tero-Kubota, S.; Kawakami, J.; Tajiri, A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2256. (e) Shibasaki, T.; Ooishi, T.; Yamanouchi, N.; Murafuji, T.; Kurotobi, K.; Sugihara, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7971. (f) Aguilar, D.; Contel, M.; Navarro, R.; Urriolabeitia, E. P. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4604. (g) Maekawa, H.; Honda, J.; Akaba, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 6519. (h) Shoji, T.; Inoue, Y.; Ito, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 1493. (i) Shoji, T.; Ito, S.; Toyota, K.; Morita, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 791.

(5) Hafner, K. Organic Syntheses; Wiley: New York, 1990; Collect. Vol. VII, p 15.

(6) For reviews, see: Davies, H. M. L.; Alford, J. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5151 and references cited therein. (a) Horneff, T.; Chuprakov, S.; Chernyak, N.; Gevorgyan, V.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14972. (b) Chattopadhyay, B.; Gevorgyan, V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3746. (c) Shi, Y.; Gevorgyan, V. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5394. (d) Schultz, E. E.; Sarpong, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4696. (e) Chuprakov, S.; Kwok, S. W.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4652. (f) Parr, B. T.; Green, S. A.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4716. (g) Miura, T.; Tanaka, T.; Hiraga, K.; Stewart, S. G.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 13652. (h) Spangler, J. E.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6802. (i) Miura, T.; Funakoshi, Y.; Murakami, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2272. (j) Yadagiri, D.; Anbarasan, P. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2510. (k) Zibinsky, M.; Fokin, V. V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4870. (1) Jung, D. J.; Jeon, H. J.; Kim, J. H.; Kim, Y.; Lee, S.-g. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2208. (m) Parr, B. T.; Green, S. A.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4716. (n) Chuprakov, S.; Worrell, B. T.; Selander,

N.; Sit, R. K.; Fokin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, *136*, 195. (o) Alford, J. S.; Spangler, J. E.; Davies, H. M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 11712. (p) Kim, C.-E.; Park, S.; Eom, D.; Seo, B.; Lee, P. H. Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 1900. (q) Kim, S.; Mo, J.; Kim, J.; Ryu, T.; Lee, P. H. Asian J. Org. Chem. **2014**, DOI: 10.1002/ajoc.201402071.

(7) (a) Yoo, E. J.; Ahlquist, M.; Kim, S. H.; Bae, I.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B.; Chang, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 1730.
(b) Yoo, E. J.; Ahlquist, M.; Bae, I.; Sharpless, K. B.; Fokin, V. V.; Chang, S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, *73*, 5520.

(8) (a) Miura, T.; Tanaka, T.; Biyajima, T.; Yada, A.; Murakami, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3883. (b) Miura, T.; Tanaka, T.; Yada, A.; Murakami, M. Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 1308.

(9) (a) Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. Chem. Sci. 2010, 1, 13. (b) Klapars, A.; Huang, X. H.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7421.

(10) (a) Grünanger, P.; Finzi, P. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1963, 4, 1839.
(b) Harmon, R. E.; Stanley, F., Jr.; Gupta, S. K.; Johnson, J. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3444. (c) Mckinney, M. A.; Patel, P. P. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 4059. (d) Himbert, G.; Frank, D.; Regit, M. Chem. Ber. 1976, 109, 370.