This article was downloaded by: [Massachusetts Institute of Technology] On: 04 November 2014, At: 09:31 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcar20

Linear Synthesis of the Methyl Glycosides of Tetra- and Pentasaccharide Fragments Specific for the Shigella flexneri Serotype 2a O-Antigen

Corina Costachel, Philippe J. Sansonetti & Laurence A. Mulard

^a Unité de Chimie Organique, BGM, and Unité de Pathogénie Microbienne Moléculaire, BGM, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris, Cedex 15, France

^b Unité de Chimie Organique, BGM, and Unité de Pathogénie Microbienne Moléculaire, BGM, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris, Cedex 15, France

^c Unité de Chimie Organique, BGM, and Unité de Pathogénie Microbienne Moléculaire, BGM, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris, Cedex 15, France Published online: 27 Feb 2008.

To cite this article: Corina Costachel , Philippe J. Sansonetti & Laurence A. Mulard (2000) Linear Synthesis of the Methyl Glycosides of Tetra- and Pentasaccharide Fragments Specific for the Shigella flexneri Serotype 2a O-Antigen, Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 19:9, 1131-1150, DOI: <u>10.1080/07328300008544139</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328300008544139

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable

for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions

LINEAR SYNTHESIS OF THE METHYL GLYCOSIDES OF TETRA- AND PENTASACCHARIDE FRAGMENTS SPECIFIC FOR THE *Shigella*

flexneri SEROTYPE 2a O-ANTIGEN¹

Corina Costachel,^{a,b} Philippe J. Sansonetti^b and Laurence A. Mulard^a*

^a Unité de Chimie Organique, BGM, and ^b Unité de Pathogénie Microbienne Moléculaire, BGM, Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France

Received April 10, 2000 - Final Form September 27, 2000

ABSTRACT

Starting from the known methyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ -2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside, the stepwise linear syntheses of methyl α -Lrhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (AB(E)C, 4), and methyl 2-acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl-(1- \rightarrow 4)]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (DAB(E)C, 5) are described; these constitute the methyl glycosides of a branched tetra- and pentasaccharide fragments of the O-specific polysaccharide of Shigella flexneri serotype 2a, respectively. The chemoselective Odeacetylation at position 2_B and/or 2_A of key tri- and tetrasaccharide intermediates bearing a protecting group at position 2_C was a limiting factor. As such a step occurred once in the synthesis of 4 and twice in the synthesis of 5, the regionselective introduction of residue A on a B(E)C diol precursor (12) and that of residue D on an AB(E)C diol precursor (19) was also attempted. In all cases, a trichloroacetimidate donor was involved. The latter pathway was found satisfactory for the construction of the target 4 using the appropriate tri-O-benzoyl rhamnosyl donor. However, attempted chain elongation of 12 using 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (8) resulted in an inseparable mixture which needed to be benzoylated to allow the isolation of the target tetrasaccharide. Besides, condensation of the corresponding tetrasaccharide acceptor and the N-acetylglucosaminyl donor was sluggish. As the target pentasaccharide was isolated in a poor yield, this route was abandoned.

1131

INTRODUCTION

Shigella flexneri serotype 2a is a common infective agent in humans that is responsible for the endemic form of shigellosis, or bacillary dysentery. Field studies as well as studies on experimental models showed that protection against infection by this Gram negative bacterium is specific for the serotype of the strain.²⁻⁴ The latter is defined by the structure of the *O*-specific polysaccharide (*O*-SP). It was suggested that immunization with protein conjugates of the *O*-SP of several enteropathogenic bacteria might offer protection against infection by the homologous strain.⁵ Indeed, such an approach has been evaluated in the case of *Shigella flexneri* serotype 2a.^{6,7} Nevertheless, optimal features for such conjugates are not well understood.

As part of a program aimed at the design of optimal vaccine conjugates based on the use of synthetic fragments of the O-SP, the study, at the molecular level, of the interaction between the bacterial antigen and homologous antibodies, was undertaken in our laboratory. For that reason, several oligosaccharides intended to elucidate the major conformational epitopes recognised by a panel of antibodies specific for this serotype were required in rather large quantities. Their synthesis is ongoing in the laboratory.

A B C D 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)- β -D-GlcNAcp-(1 \rightarrow (1⁴) E α -D-Glcp I

S. flexneri serotype 2a is defined by the branched pentasaccharide repeating unit^{8,9} I of its O-SP, containing α -linked L-rhamnose and D-glucose together with β -linked N-acetyl-D-glucosamine as the monosaccharide constituents. As part of this project, we reported earlier¹⁰ the synthesis of the methyl glycosides 1, 2, and 3 of the EC, B(E)C and ECD fragments, respectively. We describe herein the preparation of the tetra-(AB(E)C) and pentasaccharide (DAB(E)C) fragments. As before, they were synthesized as their methyl glycosides 4, and 5, to allow binding studies in solution. To our knowledge, compounds 4 and 5 are the first tetra- and pentasaccharides synthesized in this series.

 α -D-Glcp-(1 \rightarrow 4)- α -L-Rhap-OMe **1** α -L-Rhap-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[α -D-Glcp-(1 \rightarrow 4)]- α -L-Rhap-OMe

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha \text{-D-Glc}p\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 4)\text{-}\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 3)\text{-}\beta\text{-}D\text{-}GlcNAcp\text{-}OMe\\ & \mathbf{3}\\ \alpha \text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 2)\text{-}\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 3)\text{-}[\alpha\text{-}D\text{-}Glcp\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 4)]\text{-}\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}OMe\\ & \mathbf{4}\\ \beta \text{-}D\text{-}GlcNAcp\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 2)\text{-}\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 2)\text{-}\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 3)\text{-}[\alpha\text{-}D\text{-}Glcp\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 4)]\text{-}\alpha\text{-}L\text{-}Rhap\text{-}OMe\\ & \mathbf{5}\end{array}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As both the tetrasaccharide 4 and the pentasaccharide 5 were required, we reasoned that the most suitable approach was to combine heterofunctional monosaccharide intermediates in a stepwise manner. Besides, as the EC glycosidic bond corresponds to a 1,2-cis α -D-linkage, we anticipated that amongst all, it should be introduced first. Thus, the EC portion was constructed as described earlier¹⁰ from the known 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α/β -D-glucopyranosyl fluoride^{11,12} and methyl 2,3-O-isopropylidene- α -L-rhamnopyranoside¹³ to give, after appropriate transformation, the disaccharide acceptor 6 as the key precursor in the synthesis of the targets 4 and 5.



Synthesis of the tetrasaccharide 4.

L-Rhamnose: A unit. Based on the experience gained in the S. flexneri serotype 5a series¹⁴ as well as in the S. flexneri 2a series,¹⁰ the tri-O-benzoylated trichloroacetimidate^{14,15} 7 was used as a chain terminator precursor.

L-Rhamnose: B unit. Previous work had shown that trichloroacetimidates were suitable donors in the construction of the BC linkage. Based on this knowledge, the known 2-O-acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate^{16,17} (8) was considered as a suitable precursor to residue B. Having permanent protecting groups at position 3 and 4 and bearing an acetyl group at position 2 which is selectively cleaved in the presence of both benzyl and benzoyl groups, compound 8 allows chain elongation at position 2, as required. It was prepared in 7 steps from the commercially available L-rhamnose, using the orthoester intermediate 9 as described.¹⁷



In analogy with previous work,¹⁰ the monobenzoylated EC precursor 6 was condensed to the trichloroacetimidate 8 using diethyl ether as the solvent and a catalytic amount of trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf) as the promoter. The glycosylation proceeded smoothly to afford the fully protected trisaccharide 10 in 97% yield, which was deacetylated at position 2_B. Although the chemoselective O-deacetylation of an Obenzoylated compound is a well-known process, this latter transformation was not straightforward and had to be optimised. Several procedures have been described in the literature to perform such a transformation. Conditions previously recommended include mildly basic medium using Mg(OMe), in methanol,¹⁸ DBU in methanol¹⁹ or methanolic ammonia,²⁰ as well as acid catalysed methanolysis using methanolic hydrogen chloride in dichloromethane^{21,22} or HBF₄ in diethyl ether/methanol.²³ As a general observation, acetolysis was preferred to transesterification or basic treatment. In our hands, the best results were obtained using the HBF₄ procedure which resulted in the alcohol 11 (65%) together with the starting 10 (13%), when performed under very mild conditions. The kinetics of the deprotection was totally in favour of the monohydroxylated product 11. Although it was detected as a side-product in the reaction mixture, diol 12 was never present in amount large enough to be isolated. Anyhow, as the presence of multiple degradation products in the reaction mixture increased with time, the reaction had to be stopped before completion. Next, condensation of the B(E)C acceptor 11 with the trichloroacetimidate 7, was performed under TMSOTf promotion as described above, to give the tetra-O-benzoylated tetrasaccharide 13 (95%). The all α -interglycosidic linkages in compound 13 was indicated by the ¹J_{C,H} heteronuclear coupling constants for residues A, B, C and E, which values were of 171, 171, 169 and 169 Hz, respectively. Conventional hydrogenolysis using palladium on charcoal gave the partially protected 14 (88%) and subsequent Zemplén debenzoylation gave the target tetrasaccharide 4 (90%).



In order to circumvent the chemoselective deacylation step, condensation of the chain terminator 7 and diol 12, easily obtained upon Zemplén debenzoylation of 10 (95%), was attempted. Analogously to the conditions used with the benzoylated acceptor 11, a rather large amount of TMSOTf catalyst (0.5 eq) was required in order for the



reaction to proceed smoothly. Unexpectedly, the kinetics of the condensation of 12 and 7 was slow compared to that of 11 and 7, and degradation of 7 occurred first. The latter problem was overcome by portionwise addition of the donor, which resulted in the regioselective condensation product 15 in a satisfactory yield (69%). The 2_C-branched regioisomer was not isolated. However, the presence of a slight amount of the corresponding 2_B,2_C-di-branched pentasaccharide 20 was detected. The latter probably resulted from extensive glycosylation of the target 15. Indeed, using a larger amount of donor and running the reaction at higher temperature (see experimental) resulted in the bis-condensation leading to 20 as the major product (78%, not optimized). The distortion of several signals in its ¹³C NMR spectrum showed that compound 20 is sterically hindered. Basically, careful control of the portionwise addition of the donor 7 rendered this route to the target 15 satisfactory.



Synthesis of the pentasaccharide 5.

L-Rhamnose: A and B units. In the case of the construction of the target pentasaccharide 5, residues A and B are involved in identical linkages, thus the trichloroacetimidate 7 was used as a precursor common to both residues.



N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine: D unit. Our efforts to synthesize oligosaccharides representative of fragments of the *O*-antigen of *S*. *flexneri* serotype 5a have led us to test several D-glucosamine donors as potential precursors to residue $D^{.24}$ Variations concerned both the anomeric activating group and the *N*-protecting group. This study demonstrated that position 2_A was particularly poorly reactive, at least when involved in an analogous DA linkage. However, using the *N*-trichloroacetamide trichloroacetimidate donor²⁵ 21 in acetonitrile, the poor reactivity of the acceptor could be overcome. Thus, based on previous experience, 21 was used as a suitable precursor to residue D in the synthesis of 5. It was prepared, as described, in 4 steps from D-glucosamine.²⁵

The trisaccharide 11, which was described previously in the synthesis of 4, was also a crucial intermediate in the synthesis of the target 5. When condensed in diethyl ether with the trichloroacetimidate donor 8 in the presence of a catalytic amount of TMSOTf as the promoter, 11 afforded the fully protected tetrasaccharide 16 (95%).



	R	R^1	R ²	R ³
22	Bn	Ac	Bz	C(O)CCl ₃
23	Bn	H	H	H
24	Bn	H	H	Ac
25	Bn	Ac	H	C(O)CCl ₃

Repeating the above described procedure, acetolysis of 16 was performed in the presence of HBF₄ to give monohydroxylated 17 (83%). The chemoselectivity of the deprotection step was higher at this stage than in the case of trisaccharide 10. This was interpreted as position 2_A being more accessible than position 2_B when compared to their respective position 2_C. Next, condensation of 17 and the N-trichloroacetamide D-glucosaminyl donor 21 was performed in acetonitrile, using a catalytic amount of TMSOTf as the promoter. As expected, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the fully protected pentasaccharide 22 in 84% yield. The ¹J_{C,H} coupling constant for residues B and D was not accessible at this stage, thus the β interglycosidic linkage for residue D was ascertained by the ¹J_{C.H} heteronuclear coupling constant for the glucosamine unit of the partially deprotected 24 (159 Hz). Values of 168-170 Hz were obtained for residues A, B, C and E, which confirmed their α -anomeric orientation. Deacylation of 22 under Zemplén conditions proved to be sluggish, resulting in the formation of an unknown sideproduct of mobility close to that of 24. When deacylation of 22 was performed at rt in 1M methanolic sodium methoxide containing a slight amount of Et₃N, hardly any sidereaction occurred, and the intermediate 23 was isolated in a satisfactory yield (85%) probably following a two-step de-N-trichloroacetylation process, involving (i) intramolecular trans-acylation to HO-3 of residue D, and (ii) deacylation of the latter. Further in situ N-acetylation of 23 gave the target 24 (80%), which was hydrogenolyzed into the free pentasaccharide 5 (83%).

In an attempt to overcome the limitations caused by the chemoselectivity of the deacetylation steps, the regioselective condensation approach was attempted at two different stages. In analogy to the preparation of 15, condensation of the trisaccharide diol 12 and the rhamnopyranosyl donor 8 was performed. The condensation product 18 could

not be isolated at this stage. It was isolated as the corresponding 16 (77%), obtained upon benzoylation of the contaminated 18. Zemplén transesterification of the latter gave diol 19 (96%). Condensation of the glucosaminyl donor 21 and diol 19 was attempted using several reaction conditions (not described). Analogously to the condensation of the mono-O-benzoylated acceptor 17 and 21, the use of TMSOTf as the promoter and CH₃CN as the solvent was the best combination. As observed above, the diol acceptor 19 was less reactive than the corresponding 18. Even though TMSOTf (0.4 eq) was added portionwise, no real compromise between degradation and condensation of the starting materials was really reached. At best, the pentasaccharide 25 was extracted from the reaction mixture after benzoylation, which gave the fully protected 22, in an estimated yield of 25%. Considering the poor yield of the condensation, this route was abandoned.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Methods. General experimental methods not referred to in this section were as described previously.¹⁴ TLC on precoated slides of Silica Gel 60 F_{254} (Merck) was performed with solvent mixtures of appropriately adjusted polarity consisting of *A*, dichloromethane-methanol; *B*, cyclohexane-ethyl acetate, *C*, cyclohexane-diethyl ether, *D*, water-acetonitrile, *E*, 2-propanol-ammonia-water, *F*, cyclohexane-diethyl ether-ethyl acetate. Detection was effected when applicable, with UV light, and/or by charring with orcinol (35 mM) in 4N aq H₂SO₄. In the NMR spectra, of the two magnetically nonequivalent geminal protons at C-6, the one resonating at lower field is denoted H-6a and the one at higher field is denoted H-6b. Interchangeable assignments in the ¹³C NMR spectra are marked with an asterisk in listing of signal assignments. Sugar residues in oligosaccharides are serially lettered according to the lettering of the repeating unit of the *O*-SP and identified by a subscript in listing of signal assignments. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained by either chemical ionisation (CIMS) using NH₃ as the ionising gas, by electrospray mass spectrometry (ESMS), or by fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FABMS).

Methyl (2-O-Acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (10). A solution of the 2-O-benzoylated disaccharide acceptor¹⁰ 6 (25.2 g, 31.4 mmol) and the trichloroacetimidate donor¹⁷ 8 (24.9 g, 47.0 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (100 mL) was stirred at -50 °C for 20 min. TMSOTf (3.0 mL, 15.8 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h while the cooling bath was slowly coming back to -5 °C. As no starting acceptor could be detected (solvent *B*, 7:3), the reaction mixture was neutralised with Et₃N, and concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the

crude material (solvent B, 9:1) gave the fully protected trisaccharide 10 (35.6 g, 97%) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_D$ +25° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.07-7.10 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.72 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 2.3$ Hz, H-2_B), 5.40 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ 3.2 Hz, H-1_E), 5.03 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.7$ Hz, H-1_B), 5.00-4.82 (m, 5H, OCH₂), 4.81 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.67-4.36 (m, 7H, OCH₂), 4.18 (dd, 1H, J_{3.4} = 8.2 Hz, H-3_C), 4.10 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 4.05 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.3$, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.92 (bd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b} = 9.1$ Hz, H- $6a_E$), 3.81 (dd, 1H, $J_{5,6b}$ = 3.4 Hz, H- $6b_E$), 3.79-3.70 (m, 4H, H- 4_E , 4_C , 5_C , 3_B), 3.66 $(dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.5 Hz, H-5_B), 3.53 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.6 Hz, H-2_E), 3.41 (s, 3H, OCH_3),$ 3.35 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_B), 2.16 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.41 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6}$ = 5.6 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.01 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6}$ = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.0, 165.8 (C=O), 138.8-127.3 (Ph), 99.2 (bs, C-1_B, $J_{C,H}$ = 169 Hz), 98.2 (bs, C-1_E, $J_{C,H}$ = 170 Hz), 97.8 (C-1_C, $J_{C,H}$ = 170 Hz), 81.8 (C-3_E), 81.2 (C-2_E), 79.8 (C-4_B), 79.5 (C-3_C), 78.9 (C-4_C), 77.7 (C-4_E*), 77.3 (C-3_B*), 75.6, 75.1, 74.8, 73.9, 72.9 (5C, OCH₂), 71.9 (C-2_C), 71.6 (C-5_E), 70.5 (OCH₂), 68.6 (2C, C-2_B, 5_B), 68.5 (C-6_E), 67.1 (C-5_C), 55.1 (OCH₃), 21.2 (C(=O)CH₃), 18.7 (C-6_C), and 17.6 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₇₀H₇₆O₁₆ (M, 1172.51) m/z 1190.8 [M+NH4]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₇₀H₇₆O₁₆: C, 71.65; H, 6.53%. Found: C, 71.52; H, 6.56%.

Methyl (3,4-Di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (11). A 54% HBF₄ solution in Et₂O (1.5 mL, 20 mmol) was added at rt to a solution of the fully protected 10 (2.02 g, 1.72 mmol) in MeOH (35 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 days. Although some starting material remained (solvent B, 7:3), in order to avoid side-reactions, the reaction was quenched at this stage upon addition of Et₃N. The mixture was concentrated, and the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with 5% aq NaHCO3, water and satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 7:3) gave the starting material 10 (265 mg, 13%) as the first eluting product. Next the alcohol 11 was eluted (1.26 g, 65%) from the column as a colourless oil, $[\alpha]_D$ +21° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.08-6.90 (m, 35H, Ph), 5.32 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.8$, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.09 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.1$ Hz, H-1_E), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.5$ Hz, H-1_B), 4.98 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.77 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 1.6$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.89-4.45 (m, 11H, OCH₂), 4.21 (bd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.14 (dd, 1H, J_{3.4} = 8.7 Hz, H-3_C), 4.07 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 4.03 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.80 (m, 2H, H-5_C, 4_C), 3.69 (m, 3H, H-6a_E, 6b_E, 5_B), 3.64 (dd, 1H, H-4_E), 3.60 (dd, 1H, H-3_B), 3.56 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.5 Hz, H-2_E), 3.42 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_B), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.81 (d, 1H, $J_{OH,2} = 2.9$ Hz, OH-2_B), 1.40 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 5.5$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.15 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 165.9 (C=O), 138.7-127.4 (Ph), 102.8 (C-1_B), 98.2 (C-1_E), 98.0 (C-1_C), 81.7 (C-3_E), 81.0 (C-2_E), 79.9 (C-4_B), 79.4 (C-4_C), 79.1 (C-3_B), 78.5 (C-3_C), 77.7 (C-4_E), 75.7, 75.1, 74.2, 73.9 (4C, OCH₂), 73.2 (2C, OCH₂, C-2_C), 71.8 (OCH₂), 71.2 (C-5_E), 68.5 (C-6_E), 68.4 (C-2_B), 68.3 (C-5_B), 67.4 (C-5_C), 55.0 (OCH₃), 18.5 (C-6_C), and 17.6 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₆₈H₇₄O₁₅ (M, 1330.5) *m/z* 1148.8 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₆₈H₇₄O₁₅: C, 72.19; H, 6.59%. Found: C, 72.05; H, 6.65%.

Methyl (3,4-Di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-ben $zyl-\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (12). Methanolic 1M sodium methoxide was added dropwise, at rt, to a solution of the fully protected 10 (810 mg, 690 umol) in a 9:1 MeOH:dichloromethane mixture (5.0 mL) until pH 10 was reached. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h. After that time, only a single more polar product was present in the mixture (TLC, solvent B, 13:7). The mixture was neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺), filtered and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent *B*, 7:3) gave diol 12 (673 mg, 95%) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_D$ +7° (*c* 1.0), ¹H NMR: δ 7.36-7.10 (m, 30H, Ph), 5.06 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 2.3 Hz, H-1_B), 5.02 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.1 Hz, H-1_E), 4.97 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.67 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.87-4.57 (m, 9H, OCH₂), 4.47 (d, 2H, J = 12.2 Hz, OCH₂), 4.25 (dd, 1H, H-2_B), 4.03 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 3.99 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-3_E), 3.96 (bs, 1H, H-2_C), 3.86 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.2$, $J_{3,4} = 3.2$ 8.8 Hz, H-3_C), 3.84 (m, 1H, H-5_B), 3.77 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.6, J_{3,4} = 8.2 Hz, H-3_B), 3.72 (m, 3H, H-5_C, $6a_E$, $6b_E$), 3.62 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.5$, $J_{4,5} = 9.5$ Hz, H-4_E), 3.55 (dd, 1H, H-2_E), 3.53 (dd, 1H, H-4_C), 3.51 (dd, 1H, H-4_B), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.12 (d, 1H, J_{OH.2} = 1.6 Hz, OH-2_B), 2.29 (bs, 1H, $J_{OH,2} = 2.8$ Hz, OH-2_C), 1.49 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.34 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 165.9 (C=O), 138.7-127.7 (Ph), 102.1 (C-1_B), 100.0 (C-1_C), 98.3 (C-1_E), 81.5 (C-3_E), 81.2 (C-3_C), 81.0 (C-4_C*), 79.9 (C-4_B), 79.5 (C-2_E*), 79.1 (C-3_B), 77.6 (C-4_E), 75.6, 75.1, 74.8, 73.5, 73.1, 72.0 (6C, OCH₂), 71.2 (C-5_E), 70.7 (C-2_C), 69.0 (C-5_B), 68.4 (2C, C-2_B, 6_E), 66.8 (C-5_C), 54.1 (OCH₃), 18.5 (C-6_C), and 18.0 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₆₁H₇₀O₁₄ (M, 1026.5) m/z 1044.5 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₆₁H₇₀O₁₄: C, 71.33; H, 6.87%. Found: C, 71.43; H, 7.00%.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (13). A solution of the trisaccharide acceptor 11 (2.15 g, 1.9 mmol) and the tri-O-benzoyl donor^{14,15} 7 (1.48 g, 2.38 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (50 mL) was stirred at -50 °C for 15 min. TMSOTf (200 µL, 0.97 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h while the cooling bath was slowly coming back to -5 °C. As no starting acceptor could be detected (solvent *B*, 7:3), the reaction mixture was neutralised with Et₃N, and concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography of the crude material (solvent *C*, 3:1) gave the fully protected tetrasaccharide 13 (2.86 g, 95%) as a colourless foam; [α]_D +66° (*c* 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.10-7.03 (m, 50H, Ph), 5.91 (dd, overlapped, 1H, H-2_A), 5.87 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.3 Hz, H- 3_A), 5.68 (d, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.7, J_{4,5} = 9.7 Hz, H-4_A), 5.45 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.9, J_{2,3} = 3.0 Hz, H-2_C), 5.29 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.10 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.4 Hz, H-1_B), 5.07 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.2 Hz, H-1_E), 4.98-4.69 (m, 5H, OCH₂), 4.80 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.63 (d, 2H, OCH₂), 4.55 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.53-4.40 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 4.42 (m, overlapped, 1H, H-5_A), 4.32 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH₂), 4.10 (m, 1H, H-3_C), 4.08 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 4.01 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.3 Hz, H-3_E), 3.80 (m, 2H, H-5_C, 4_C), 3.74 (m, 2H, H-6a_E, 6b_E), 3.70-3.55 (m, 4H, H-4_E, 3_B, 4_B, 5_B), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 9.8 Hz, H-2_E), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.42 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A), 1.38 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.4 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.11 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.6 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 165.9, 165.8, 165.4, 165.1 (4C, C=O), 138.5-127.1 (Ph), 101.2 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 99.5 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 97.8 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 169 Hz), 97.7 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 169 Hz), 81.8 (C-3_E), 80.9 (C-2_E), 79.8 (bs, C-3_C), 79.7 (C-4_B), 78.8 (C-3_B), 77.9 (bs, C-4_C), 77.5 (C-4_E), 75.9 (C-2_B), 75.6, 75.0, 74.9, 73.9, 73.0 (5C, OCH₂), 72.2 (C-2_C), 71.9 (C-4_A), 71.2 (C-5_E), 70.9 (OCH₂), 70.6 (C-2_A), 70.0 (C-3_A), 69.2 (C-5_B), 68.1 (C-6_E), 67.3 (C-5_C), 67.2 (C-5_A), 55.1 (OCH₃), 18.9 (C-6_C), 18.1 (C-6_A), and 17.7 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₉₅H₉₆O₂₂ (M, 1589.79) *m/z* 1607.9 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₉₅H₉₆O₂₂: C, 71.77; H, 6.09%. Found: C, 71.68; H, 6.35%.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$]-2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (14). The fully protected tetrasaccharide 13 (1.07 g, 0.67 mmol) was dissolved in a 9:1 ethanol:acetic acid mixture (30 mL), treated with 10% Pd-C catalyst (1.13 g), and the mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere. After 24 h, TLC (solvent A, 19:1) showed the presence of one more polar major product. The suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. To eliminate any residual trace of the catalyst, the residue was chromatographed on a short column of silica gel (solvent A, 97:3) to give 14 (621 mg, 88%) as a colourless foam, $[\alpha]_D$ +128° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.05-7.15 (m, 20H, Ph), 5.92 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 5.79 (bd, 1H, H-3_A), 5.65 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.9, $J_{4,5} = 9.9$ Hz, H-4_A), 5.43 (bs, 1H, H-2_C), 5.30 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.15 (bs, 2H, H-1_E, 1_{B}), 4.79 (d, 1H, H-1_C), 4.46 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 8.9$ Hz, H-5_A), 4.16 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.10 (bd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.9$ Hz, H-3_C), 4.01 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.1$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.91 (m, 3H, H-6a_E, 6b_E, 5_E), 3.80 (m, 3H, 3_B, 5_C, 3_E), 3.59 (m, 3H, 4_B, 4_E, 2_E), 3.50 (m, 1H, H-5_B), 3.29 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.69 (bs, 1H, OH), 1.35 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.9 Hz, H-6_A), 1.29 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 5.5 Hz, H-6_C), and 0.83 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 5.1$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 166.1, 166.0, 165.9, 165.8 (C=O), 133.7-125.3 (Ph), 99.7 (C-1_B), 99.3 (C-1_A), 97.5 (2C, C-1_E, 1_C), 80.4 (C-2_B), 78.7 (C-3_C), 77.1 (C-3_E), 75.1 (bs, C-4_B*), 73.5 (C-2_E), 72.7 (C-4_C), 72.1 (C-2_C), 71.8 (C-4_A), 71.2 (C-3_A), 70.6 (2C, C-2_A, 5_E), 70.0 (C-4_E*), 69.6 (C-5_B), 67.5 (C-5_C), 67.1 (C-5_A), 61.4 (C-6_E), 55.5 (OCH₃), 18.8 (C-6_C), and 17.3 (2C, C-6_A, 6_B); CIMS for C53H60O22 (M, 1049.04) m/z 1071.4 [M+NH4]+.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{53}H_{60}O_{22}+1H_2O$: C, 59.66; H, 5.86%. Found: C, 59.77; H, 5.93%. Compound 14 could not be obtained solvent free.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (15). A solution of the diol acceptor 12 (195 mg, 161 μ mol) and the trichloroacetimidate donor^{14,15} 7 (147 mg, 242 µmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL) was stirred at -50 °C for 20 min. TMSOTf (16 µL, 81 µmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h while the cooling bath was slowly coming back to -30 °C. More donor 7 (100 mg, 164 μ mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 1 h while the cooling bath had reached 0 °C. Only very little 12 remained (solvent F, 10:7:3), and the reaction mixture was neutralised with Et₃N, then concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the crude material (solvent F, 83:12:5) gave the monohydroxylated tetrasaccharide 15 (187 g, 69%) as a colourless foam, together with a small amount of starting 12 (5 mg, 2.5%). Compound 15 had $[\alpha]_D$ +62° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.09-7.03 (m, 45H, Ph), 5.95 (dd, overlapped, 1H, H-2_A), 5.91 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_A), 5.70 (dd, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.8$ Hz, H-4_A), 5.34 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 0.9$ Hz, H- 1_A), 5.09 (bs, 1H, H- 1_B), 5.01 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.95 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.90 (d, 1H, H-1_E), 4.86-4.71 (m, 4H, OCH₂), 4.66 (d, 1H, J_{1,2} = 1.3 Hz, H-1_C), 4.56 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.58-4.33 (m, 6H, OCH₂), 4.38 (dq, overlapped, 1H, H-5_A), 4.05 (bs, 1H, H-2_C), 4.03 (bd, 1H, H-5_E), 3.96 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-3_E), 3.92-3.83 (m, 2H, $J_{2,3} = 3.1$ Hz, H-5_B, 3_C), 3.81-3.63 (m, 6H, H-3_B, 4_B, 6a_E, 6b_E, 5_C, 4_E), 3.50 (dd, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, H-4_C), 3.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 3.3, $J_{2,3}$ = 9.8 Hz, H-2_E), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.09 (d, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 3.9$ Hz, OH-2_C), 1.43 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_B), 1.41 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ 6.2 Hz, H-6_A), and 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_C); ¹³C NMR: δ 165.9, 165.5, 165.1 (3C, C=O), 138.5-127.3 (Ph), 100.9 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 167 Hz), 100.2 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 99.5 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 97.4 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 82.2 (C-3_C), 81.7 (C-3_E), 81.1 (C-2_E), 79.6 (C-4_B), 78.7 (C-3_B), 77.5 (C-4_E), 77.2 (C-4_C), 75.8 (C-2_B), 75.6, 75.0, 73.8, 73.0 (5C, OCH₂), 71.9 (C-4_A), 71.0 (C-5_E), 70.8 (OCH₂), 70.7 (C-2_A), 70.1 (C-2_C), 70.0 (C-3_A), 69.4 (C-5_B), 68.2 (C-6_E), 67.2 (C-5_A), 67.0 (C-5_C), 54.9 (OCH₃), 18.7 (C-6_C), 18.2 (C-6A*), and 18.1 (C-6B*); CIMS for C88H92O21 (M, 1484.60) m/z 1502.7 [M+NH4]+.

Anal. Calcd for C88H92O21: C, 71.14; H, 6.24%. Found: C, 71.12; H, 6.29%.

Methyl α -L-Rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (4). A solution of the tetra-O-benzoyl tetrasaccharide 14 (510 mg, 0.49 mmol) in methanol (50 mL) was treated with 1M methanolic sodium methoxide until pH 10 was reached, and the solution was stirred at rt for 3 days. At this time only one product could be seen on TLC (solvent *E*, 7:1:2). After

neutralisation with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺), filtration, and evaporation of the solvent, purification of the crude product was achieved by reverse phase chromatography. The column was eluted with solvent D (gradient 100:0 \rightarrow 97.5:2.5) to give, after lyophilization, the target tetrasaccharide 4 (276 mg, 90%), as a hygroscopic powder, $[\alpha]_D$ -13° (c 1.0, MeOH); ¹H NMR: δ 5.20 (d, 1H, J_{1.2} = 9.9 Hz, H-1_E), 5.06 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.96 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.64 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.0$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.07 (m, 2H, H-2_A, 2_B), 4.03 (bs, 1H, H-2_C), 3.96-3.84 (m, 6H, H-5_E, 3_C, 5_B, 5_C, 3_A, 6a_E), 3.82-3.71 (m, 5H, H-4_C, 6b_E, 3_E , 3_B , 5_A), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.9$ Hz, $H-2_E$), 3.48 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.8$ Hz, $H-4_B$), 3.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.42 (m, 1H, H-4_E), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.41 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_C), 1.29 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_A), and 1.28 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.0$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 103.4 (C-1_A, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 102.1 (bs, C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 171 Hz), 101.3 (C-1_C, $J_{C,H} = 171$ Hz), 98.6 (bs, C-1_E, $J_{C,H} = 172$ Hz), 80.4 (C-2_B), 80.0 (bs, C-3_C), 76.0 (bs, C-4_C), 73.4 (C-3_E), 72.9 (C-4_B), 72.8 (C-4_A), 72.7 (C-5_E), 72.1 (C-2_E), 70.8 (3C, C-3_B, 2_C, 2_A), 70.5 (C-3_A), 70.4 (C-4_E), 70.2 (C-5_B), 70.0 (C-5_A), 69.4 (C-5_C), 61.4 (C-6_E), 55.8 (OCH₃), 18.8 (C-6_C), 17.6 (C-6_A*), 17.4 (C-6_B*); CIMS for C25H44O18 (M, 632.25) m/z 650 [M+NH4]+.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{44}O_{18}+3H_2O$: C, 43.73; H, 7.34%. Found: C, 43.56; H, 7.06%. Compound 4 could not be obtained solvent free.

Methyl (2-O-Acetyl-3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-Obenzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-2-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (16). (a) A solution of the 2-O-benzoyl trisaccharide acceptor¹⁰ 11 (1.23 g, 1.09 mmol) and the trichloroacetimidate donor¹⁷ 8 (900 mg, 1.7 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (50 mL) was stirred at -50 °C for 20 min. TMSOTf (115 µL, 0.55 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h while the cooling bath was slowly coming back to -5 °C. As no starting acceptor could be detected (solvent *B*, 7:3), the reaction mixture was neutralised with Et₃N, then concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the crude material (solvent *B*, 9:1) gave the fully protected tetrasaccharide 16 (1.82 g, 95%) as a colourless foam.

(b) A solution of the diol acceptor 12 (208 mg, 172 μ mol) and the trichloroacetimidate donor¹⁷ 8 (137 mg, 259 μ mol) in anhydrous Et₂O (15 mL) was stirred at -50 °C for 20 min. TMSOTf (17 μ L, 86 μ mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h while the cooling bath was slowly coming back to -20 °C. More donor 8 (100 mg, 188 μ mol) was added, and stirring went on for 1.5 h. As very little starting acceptor remained (solvent *F*, 10:7:3), the reaction mixture was neutralised with Et₃N, then concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the crude material (solvent *F*, 50:83:12) gave the contaminated monohydroxyl tetrasaccharide 18 (226 mg).

Benzoyl chloride (50 μ L, 423 μ mol) was added to a solution of the contaminated 18 (220 mg) in pyridine (2.0 mL), and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Methanol

was added, and after conventional treatment, the crude material was column chromatographed (solvent B, 9:1) to give the fully protected 16 (225 mg, 77% from 12); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +31° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.07-7.10 (m, 45H, Ph), 5.55 (dd, 1H, J_{1.2} = 1.8, J_{2.3} = 3.0 Hz, H-2_A), 5.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.0$, $J_{2,3} = 3.0$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.07 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 3.3$ Hz, H- $1_{\rm E}$), 5.05 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.99 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.79 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.98-4.45 (m, 14H, OCH₂), 4.40 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.34 (d, 2H, OCH₂), 4.10-3.90 (m, 5H, H-3_C, 5_E, 3_E, 3_A, 5_A), 3.84-3.71 (m, 4H, H-4_C, 5_C, 6a_E, 6b_E), 3.68-3.55 (m, 3H, H-4_E, 3_B, 5_B), 3.49 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 9.4$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.44 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.7$, $J_{4,5} = 9.7$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.97 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.31 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.6, J_{4,5} = 9.4 Hz, H-4_B), 2.00 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.37 (d, 6H, $J_{5,6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_A, 6_C), and 0.99 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 169.9, 165.8 (C=O), 138.8-127.2 (Ph), 104.7 (C-1_E, $J_{C,H}$ = 168 Hz), 101.0 (C-1_A, $J_{C,H}$ = 171 Hz), 99.4 (C-1_B, J_{C,H} = 169 Hz), 97.8 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 169 Hz), 82.3 (C-3_E), 81.8 (C-2_E), 81.0 (C-4_A), 80.1 (C-4_B), 79.6 (bs, C-3_C), 78.9 (C-3_B), 77.9 (bs, C-4_C), 77.7 (C-3_A), 77.6 (C-4_E), 75.6, 75.3, 75.0 (3C, OCH₂), 74.8 (C-2_B), 74.5, 73.9, 72.9 (3C, OCH₂), 72.4 (C-2C), 71.7 (OCH₂), 71.3 (C-5_E), 70.7 (OCH₂), 69.1 (C-2_A), 68.8 (C-5_B), 68.2 (2C, C-5_A, 6_E), 67.3 (C-5_C), 55.1 (OCH₃), 21.0 (C(=O)CH₃), 18.8 (C-6_C*), 18.4 (C-6_A*), and 17.7 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₉₁H₁₀₂O₁₉ (M, 1498.70) m/z 1517.0 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C91H102O19: C, 72.88; H, 6.85%. Found: C, 72.89; H, 6.73%.

Methyl (3,4-Di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$]-2-Obenzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (17). A 54% HBF₄ solution in Et₂O (1.7 mL) was added dropwise, at rt, to a solution of the fully protected 16 (890 mg, 0.59 mmol) in MeOH (40 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 days. Although some starting material remained (solvent B, 7:3), the reaction was quenched at this stage upon addition of Et₃N. The mixture was concentrated, and the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with 5% aq NaHCO₃, water and satd aq NaCl, dried and concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 9:1) gave the alcohol 17 (720 mg, 83%) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_D$ +23° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.10-6.88 (m, 45H, Ph), 5.39 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.1$, $J_{2,3} = 2.8$ Hz, H-2_C), 5.12 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.10 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} =$ 3.3 Hz, H-1_E), 5.08 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.82 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 5.02-4.64 (m, 11H, OCH₂), 4.54-4.32 (m, 6H, 5 OCH₂, H-2_B), 4.13-4.09 (m, 3H, H-3_C, 5_C, 5_E), 4.05 (dd, 1H, J_{2.3} = 9.4, J_{3,4} = 9.4 Hz, H-3_E), 3.94 (dq, 1H, J_{4,5} = 9.4, J_{5,6} = 6.3 Hz, H-5_A), 3.87 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} $= 3.1, J_{3,4} = 9.2 Hz, H-3_A), 3.85-3.73 (m, 5H, H-4_C, 6a_E, 6b_E, 4_E, 2_A), 3.62 (dd, 1H, H-4_C), 3.82 (dd, 1H, H-4_C), 3.82$ 3_{B}), 3.58 (dq, 1H, H- 5_{B}), 3.51 (dd, 1H, H- 4_{A}), 3.50 (dd, 1H, H- 2_{E}), 3.40 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.28 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$, $J_{4,5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-4_B), 1.40 (d, 6H, H-6_A, 6_C), and 1.05 (d, 3H, J_{5,6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 165.8 (C=O), 138.8-127.3 (Ph), 101.1 (bs, C-1_B), 101.0 (C-1_A), 97.8 (C-1_C), 97.7 (C-1_E), 81.8 (C-3_E), 80.9 (C-2_E), 80.2 (C-4_A), 79.9 (C-4_B),

79.5 (2C, C-3_A, 3_C), 78.8 (C-3_B), 77.8 (bs, C-4_C), 77.6 (C-4_E), 75.7, 75.4, 75.2 (3C, OCH₂), 74.9 (C-2_B), 74.4, 73.9, 73.1 (3C, OCH₂), 72.5 (C-2_C), 72.1 (OCH₂), 71.2 (C-5_E), 70.7 (OCH₂), 68.8 (C-5_C), 68.7 (C-5_B), 68.2 (C-6_E), 68.0 (C-5_A), 67.4 (C-2_A), 55.1 (OCH₃), 18.9 (C-6_C*), 18.5 (C-6_A*), and 17.8 (C-6_B); CIMS for C₈₈H₉₆O₁₉ (M, 1456.65) m/z 1475.0 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₈H₉₆O₁₉: C, 72.51; H, 6.64%. Found: C, 72.57; H, 6.53%.

Methyl (3,4-Di-O-benzyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1→2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl-α-Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$]- α -Lrhamnopyranoside (19). Methanolic 1M sodium methoxide was added dropwise, at rt, to a solution of the fully protected 16 (1.41 g, 941 µmol) in a 17:3 MeOH:dichloromethane mixture (6.0 mL) until pH 10 was reached. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h. After that time, only one single more polar product was present in the mixture (TLC, solvent B, 7:3). The mixture was neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺), filtered and concentrated. Chromatography of the residue (solvent B, 17:3) gave diol 19 (1.2 g, 96%) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_{D}$ +3° (c 1.0), ¹H NMR: δ 7.40-7.13 (m, 40H, Ph), 5.11 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.02 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.96 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.93-4.84 (m, 5H, 4 OCH₂, H-1_E), 4.64 (bs, 1H, H-1_C) 4.75-4.10 (m, 11H, OCH₂), 4.39 (bd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-2_B), 4.09 (bd, 1H, H-2_A), 4.03 (m, 1H, H-5_E), 4.01-3.86 (m, 4H, H-2_C, 3_E, 5_A, 3_A), 3.81-3.67 (m, 7H, H-3_C, 6a_E, 6b_E, 5_B, 4_E, 3_B, 5_C), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.4, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_A), 3.47 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.3$, $J_{4,5} = 9.7$ Hz, H-4_C), 3.45 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2}$ = 3.9, $J_{2,3} = 10.1$ Hz, H-2_E), 3.39 (dd, 1H, H-4_B), 3.36 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 1.35 (d, 6H, $J_{5,6} =$ 6.2 Hz, H-6_A, 6_C), and 1.29 (d, 3H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 138.8-127.3 (Ph), 100.9 (C-1_A), 100.6 (C-1_B), 100.1 (C-1_C), 97.4 (C-1_E), 81.7 (2C, C-3_C, 3_E), 81.1 (C-2_E), 80.2 (C-4_A), 79.8 (C-4_B), 79.5 (C-3_A), 78.7 (C-4_E), 77.6 (C-3_B), 77.3 (bs, C-4_C), 75.6, 75.5, 75.4, 75.2 (4C, OCH₂), 74.7 (C-2_B), 73.8, 73.1, 72.0 (3C, OCH₂), 71.0 (C-5_E), 70.4 (OCH₂), 69.9 (C-2_C), 69.1 (C-5_B), 68.8 (C-2_A), 68.2 (C-6_E), 68.0 (C-5_A), 66.9 (C-5_C), 54.9 (OCH₃), 18.8 (C-6_C*), and 18.3 (2C, C-6_A*, C-6_B); CIMS for C₈₂H₉₆O₁₇ (M, 1352.65) m/z 1370.8 [M+NH4]+.

Anal. Calcd for C82H96O17: C, 72.76; H, 7.15%. Found: C, 72.73; H, 7.13%.

Methyl (2,3,4-Tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-[2,3,4-tri-O-benzoyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (20). Compounds 12 (428 mg, 355 µmol) and 7 (323 mg, 532 µmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (20 mL) were treated with TMSOTf (35 µL, 177 µmol) as described for the preparation of 15. After 2 h, when the bath temperature had reached -25 °C, more donor was added (345 mg, 567 µmol) and the mixture was stirred for another 1.5 h, to reach 15 °C. At this stage, TLC (solvent *F*, 10:7:3) showed that most of the intermediate 15 had turned into a

less polar product. The mixture was processed as described above to give, after repeated column chromatography, (solvent *F*, 83:12:15) the pentasaccharide **20** as a colourless foam (548 mg, 78%); $[\alpha]_D +72^\circ$ (*c* 1.0); relevant ¹H NMR data: δ 8.15-6.96 (m, 60H, Ph), 5.95 (dd, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.3, J_{3,4} = 9.7 Hz, H-3_A·), 5.91 (bs, partially overlapped, 1H, H-2_A), 5.89 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, J_{2,3} = 3.2 Hz, H-3_A), 5.83 (bs, 1H, H-2_A·), 5.76 (dd, 1H, J_{4,5} = 10.0 Hz, H-4_A·), 5.66 (dd, 1H, J_{3,4} = 9.6, J_{4,5} = 9.6 Hz, H-4_A), 5.38 (bs, 1H, H-1_A·), 5.34 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.20 (bd, 2H, H-1_E, 1_B), 4.90 (d, 1H, H-1_E), 4.85 (bs, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_C), 3.60 (dd, 1H, J_{1,2} = 3.2, J_{2,3} = 9.6 Hz, H-2_E), 3.42 (s, 3H, OCH₃), and 1.48-1.37 (m, 12H, H-6_A, 6_B, 6_C, 6_A·); relevant ¹³C NMR data: δ 165.9-165.0 (6C, C=O), 138.6-127.4 (Ph), 102.0 (bs, C-1_B), 99.5 (C-1_A), 99.3 (C-1_C), 99.0 (bs, C-1_A·), 96.7 (bs, C-1_E), 67.9 (C-6_E), 55.0 (OCH₃), and 19.2, 18.5, 18.2, 17.9 (4C, C-6_A, 6_A·, 6_B, 6_C); FABMS for C₁₁₅H₁₁₄O₂₈ (M, 1943.7) *m/z* 1966.7 [M+Na]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₁₅H₁₁₄O₂₈: C, 71.05; H, 5.91%. Found: C, 71.11; H, 5.99%.

Methyl (3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-β-D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-*O*-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-*O*-benzyl- α -Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]-2-Obenzoyl-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (22). TMSOTf (17 µL, 86 µmol) was added, at 0 °C, to a solution of trichloroacetimidate donor²⁵ 21 (386 mg, 0.65 mmol) and tetrasaccharide acceptor 17 (631 mg, 0.43 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at this temperature. At this time, TLC (solvent B, 7:3) showed that no starting acceptor remained. Et₃N was added, and the reaction mixture was concentrated. Chromatography (solvent B, 4:1) of the residue gave the fully protected pentasaccharide 22 (685 mg, 84%) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_D$ +13° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 8.06-7.11 (m, 45H, Ph), 6.83 (d, 1H, $J_{2,NH} = 8.5$ Hz, NH), 5.36 (dd, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.3$, $J_{2,3} = 2.8$ Hz, H-2C), 5.12 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 5.07 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 10.3$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 9.7$ Hz, H-3_D), 4.98 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.93 (m, 2H, H-4_D, 1_B), 4.89 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_D), 4.83 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.98-4.42 (m, 16H, OCH₂), 4.46 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-2_B), 4.16 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 4.05-3.91 (m, 8H, H-3_C, 3_E, 6a_D, 5_D, 2_D, 3_A, 6a_E, 5_A), 3.82- $3.72 \text{ (m, 4H, H-4}_{E}, 6b_{D}, 5_{C}, 6b_{E}), 3.69 \text{ (dd, 1H, } J_{3,4} = 9.1 \text{ Hz, H-4}_{C}), 3.65 \text{ (dd, 1H, H-}$ 3_B), 3.57 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, H- 5_B), 3.49-3.40 (m, 3H, H- 2_E , 4_A , 5_E), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.28 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = 9.4$ Hz, H-4_B), 2.03 (s, 6H, 2 C(=O)CH₃), 1.75 (s, 3H, $C(=O)CH_3$, 1.37 (d, 6H, H-6_A, 6_C), and 1.02 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.6, 170.4, 169.3, 165.8(OC(=O)), 161.8 (NC(=O)), 138.8-127.0 (Ph), 101.1 (C-1_D, $J_{C,H}$ not determined), 101.0 (bs, C-1_B, $J_{C,H}$ not determined), 100.7 (C-1_A, $J_{C,H} = 171$ Hz), 98.1 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 169 Hz), 97.8 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 92.2 (CCl₃), 81.8 (C-3_E), 81.3 (2C, C-2_E, 4_A), 80.1 (C-4_B), 80.0 (bs, C-3_C), 79.7 (C-3_A), 78.7 (C-4_C), 78.1 (C-3_B), 77.4 (C-4_E), 76.1 (C-2_A), 75.6, 75.5, 75.0 (3C, OCH₂), 74.5 (C-2_B), 74.3, 73.9, 73.8, 72.8

(4C, OCH₂), 72.6 (C-3_D), 72.2 (C-2_C), 72.1 (C-5_E), 71.5 (C-5_D), 70.0 (OCH₂), 68.6 (C-5_B), 68.4 (C-5_A), 68.1 (C-4_D), 68.0 (C-6_E), 67.1 (C-5_C), 61.7 (C-6_D), 55.8 (C-2_D), 55.1 (OCH₃), 20.7, 20.5 (3C, C(=O)CH₃), 18.7 (C-6_A*), 18.3 (C-6_C*), and 17.8 (C-6_B); FABMS for C₁₀₂H₁₁₂Cl₃NO₂₇ (M, 1887.65) m/z 1911.65 [M+Na]⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₂H₁₁₂Cl₃NO₂₇: C, 64.81; H, 5.97; N, 0.74%. Found: C, 64.81; H, 6.12; N, 0.71%.

Methyl (2-Amino-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]-2- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (23). Triethylamine (1.2 mL, 8.7 mmol) was added to a solution of the fully protected pentasaccharide 22 (309 mg, 164 µmol) in methanolic 1M sodium methoxide (10 mL), and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. At this time TLC (solvent A, 19:1) showed that the starting material had been transformed into a more polar product, which reacted with ninhydrin. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and 5% aq HCl was added dropwise until the solution reached neutral pH. The resulting solution was concentrated, and coevaporated repeatedly with toluene and cyclohexane. The residue was column chromatographed (solvent A; 49:1) to give the amino derivative 23 (211 mg, 85%) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_D$ +5° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.36-7.00 (m, 40H, Ph), 5.28 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.91 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.75 (d, overlapped, 1H, H-1E), 4.63 (bs, 1H, H-1C), 4.95-4.41 (m, 15H, OCH2), 4.26 (d, 2H, OCH₂, H-2_B), 4.10 (m, 2H, H-2_A, 1_D), 3.97-3.58 (m, 13H, H-3_A, 3_E, 2_C, 5_E, 5_A, 6a_E, 5_B, 3B, 6bE, 3C, 4E, 6aD, 5C), 3.52-3.31 (m, 9H, H-2E, 4A, 6bD, 4B, 4D, 4C, OCH3), 3.19 (dd, partially overlapped, 1H, H-3_D), 3.11 (m, 1H, H-5_D), 2.53 (bdd, 1H, J_{1,2} = J_{2,3} = 8.3 Hz, H-2_D), 1.39 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.1$ Hz, H-6_A), 1.35 (d, 3H, $J_{5.6} = 6.4$ Hz, H-6_C), and 1.32 (d, 3H, H-6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ138.7-127.3 (Ph), 106.1 (C-1_D), 101.8 (C-1_A), 100.1 (C-1_B), 100.1 (C-1_C), 98.4 (C-1_E), 82.2 (C-4_E), 81.6 (C-3_E), 81.3 (C-2_E), 80.3 (C-4_A), 80.1 (C-4B), 78.8 (3C, C-4C, 3C, 3A), 77.6 (C-2A), 77.1 (C-3B), 76.7 (C-3D), 76.0 (C-5D), 75.6, 75.5, 75.4 (3C, OCH2), 75.0 (C-2B), 74.9 (OCH2), 73.8, 72.7, 72.1 (3C, OCH2), 71.4 (C-5_E), 70.7 (OCH₂), 70.6 (C-4_D), 70.0 (C-2_C), 69.2 (C-5_B), 68.5 (C-5_A), 67.4 (C-6_E), 66.7 (C-5_C), 62.0 (C-6_D), 57.4 (C-2_D), 54.9 (OCH₃), 18.3 (2C, C-6_C, 6_A), and 17.8 (C-6_B); FABMS for C₈₇H₁₀₃NO₂₂ (M, 1513.77) m/z 1536.9 [M+Na]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₇H₁₀₃NO₂₂: C, 68.98; H, 6.85; N, 0.92%. Found: C, 68.92; H, 6.93; N, 0.90%.

Methyl (2-Acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-*O*-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-*O*-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3, 4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (24). (a) 1M methanolic sodium methoxide (3 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of the fully protected pentasaccharide 22 (201 mg, 106 μ mol) in methanol (5 mL). Triethylamine

(230 μ L, 1.67 mmol) was added to the mixture, which was stirred at rt for 4 days. At this time TLC (solvent *A*, 19:1) showed that the starting material had been transformed into a major more polar product which reacted with ninhydrin, namely the amino derivative 23. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and acetic anhydride was added dropwise until neutral pH was reached. At this stage, more acetic anhydride (250 μ L) was added, and the reaction was stirred at rt for 1 h. The mixture was concentrated under vacuum, coevaporated repeatedly with toluene and cyclohexane, and the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂. After conventional treatment, column chromatography (solvent *A*, 97:3) of the residue gave the tetraol 24 (132 mg, 80%) as a colourless foam.

(b) Acetic anhydride (500 µL, 5.3 mmol) was added dropwise, at 0 °C, to a solution of the amino derivative 23 (302 mg, 0.2 mmol). TLC (solvent A, 19:1) showed the total conversion of 23 into one less polar product. Volatiles were evaporated and coevaporated repeatedly with toluene and cyclohexane. Column chromatography of the residue gave the acetamido derivative 24 (284 mg, 92%); $[\alpha]_{D}$ +6° (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 7.44-7.07 (m, 46H, Ph, NH), 6.74 (bs, 1H, OH), 6.52 (bs, 1H, OH), 5.25 (bs, 1H, H-1_A), 4.91 (bs, 1H, H-1_B), 4.72 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_E), 4.64 (bs, 1H, H-1_C), 4.96-4.59 (m, 12H, OCH₂), 4.49 (d, 2H, OCH₂), 4.39 (m, 3H, H-2_B, 2 OCH₂), 4.31 (d, partially overlapped, 1H, H-1_D), 4.11 (dd, 1H, H-2_A), 4.00 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,3} = 3.3$, $J_{3,4} = 9.6$ Hz, H-3_A), 3.99 (dd, overlapped, 1H, H-2_C), 3.98-3.86 (m, 3H, H-3_E, 5_E , 5_A), 3.83 (dq, 1H, $J_{4.5} = 9.2$, $J_{5.6} =$ 6.0 Hz, H-5_B), 3.78-3.66 (m, 6H, H-6a_E, 6b_E, 3_B, 3_C, 4_E, 6a_D), 3.62 (dq, 1H, $J_{4,5} = 9.2$, $J_{5,6} = 6.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-5}_{\text{C}}$), 3.48-3.41 (m, 4H, H-6b_D, 4_B, 4_A, 2_E), 3.39-3.30 (m, 8H, H-3_D, 2_D, 5_D, 4_C, 4_D, OCH₃), 2.03 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz, OH), 1.74 (s, 1H, OH), 1.53 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.40 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.2 Hz, H-6_A), 1.35 (d, 3H, H-6_B), and 1.34 (d, 3H, H-6c); ¹³C NMR: δ 173.7 (NC(=O)), 138.8-127.5 (Ph), 103.3 (C-1_D, J_{C,H} = 159 Hz), 101.0 (2C, C-1_B, 1_A, J_{C,H} = 169 Hz), 100.1 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 98.2 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 168 Hz), 82.3 (C-3_C), 81.6 (C-3_E), 81.4 (C-4_B*), 81.2 (C-4_A*), 80.0 (C-2_E*), 79.9 (C-3_A), 79.0 (C-2_A), 78.8 (C-3_B), 78.5 (C-4_C), 77.2 (C-4_E), 77.1 (C-3_D), 75.9 (C-4_D), 75.8, 75.6, 75.5, 75.0, 74.3 (5C, OCH₂), 74.1 (C-2_B), 73.8, 72.8 (2C, OCH₂), 71.9 (C-5_D), 71.3 (C-5_E), 70.7 (OCH₂), 69.9 (C-2_C), 69.3 (C-5_B), 68.4 (C-5_A), 67.8 (C-6_E), 66.7 (C-5_C), 62.4 (C-6_D), 59.0 (C-2_D), 54.9 (OCH₃), 22.3 (C(=O)CH₃), 18.5 (C-6_C*), 18.3 (C-6_B*), and 17.8 (C-6_A); FABMS for C₈₉H₁₀₅NO₂₃ (M, 1555.71) m/z 1556.8 [M+H]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₈₉H₁₀₅NO₂₃: C, 68.67; H, 6.80; N, 0.90%. Found: C, 68.56; H, 6.86; N, 0.91%.

Methyl 2-Acetamido-2-deoxy- β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$]- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (5). The benzylated pentasaccharide 24 (205 mg, 132 μ mol) was dissolved in a 9:1 ethanol:acetic acid mixture (10 mL), treated with 10% Pd-C

catalyst (500 mg), and the suspension was stirred at rt for 4 days, under a hydrogen atmosphere. At this time TLC (solvent H, 7:1:2) showed that the starting material had been transformed into a major more polar product. The suspension was filtered on a bed of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. Reverse phase chromatography (solvent G, gradient) of the residue, followed by lyophilization, gave the target pentasaccharide 5 (91 mg, 83%) as a colourless foam; $[\alpha]_D - 6^\circ$ (c 1.0); ¹H NMR: δ 5.19 (d, 1H, J_{1.2} = 3.5 Hz, $H-1_E$), 5.13 (bs, 1H, $H-1_A$), 5.02 (bs, 1H, $H-1_B$), 4.67 (d, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 8.3$ Hz, $H-1_D$), 4.63 (bs, 1H, $J_{1,2} = 2.1$ Hz, H-1_C), 4.13 (bs, 1H, H-2_A), 4.08 (bs, 1H, H-2_B), 4.02 (bs, 1H, H-2c), 3.98-3.67 (m, 14H, H-5_E, 3_C, 3_B, 5_C, 6a_D, 6b_D, 6a_E, 5_B, 3_A, 6b_E, 4_C, 5_A, 3_E, 2_D), 3.57-3.41 (m, 6H, H-3_D, 2_E , 4_B , 4_D , 5_D , 4_E), 3.39 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.33 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5}$ = 9.7 Hz, H-4_A), 2.04 (s, 3H, C(=O)CH₃), 1.40 (d, 3H, J_{5.6} = 6.1 Hz, H-6_C), and 1.27 (d, 6H, $J_{5,6} = 6.2$ Hz, H-6_A, 6_B); ¹³C NMR: δ 175.6 (NC(=O)), 103.5 (C-1_D, J_{C,H} not determined), 102.1 (2C, C-1_B, 1_A, J_{C,H} = 172 Hz), 101.2 (C-1_C, J_{C,H} = 172 Hz), 98.4 (C-1_E, J_{C,H} = 170 Hz), 80.1 (2C, C-2_B, 3_C), 79.5 (C-2_A), 76.5 (C-4_D), 75.7 (C-4_C), 74.4 (C-3_D), 73.4 (C-3_E), 73.0 (C-4_A), 72.9 (C-4_B), 72.6 (C-5_E), 72.0 (C-2_E), 70.8 (C-2_C), 70.5 (4C, C-3_A, 3_B, 4_E, 5_D), 70.1 (2C, C-5_A, 5_B), 69.4 (C-5_C), 61.4 (2C, C-6_D, 6_E), 56.6 (C-2_D), 55.7 (OCH₃), 23.1 (C(=O)CH₃), 18.7 (C-6_C), 17.5 (C-6_A*), and 17.3 (C-6_B*); FABMS for C33H57NO23 (M, 835.33) m/z 858.6 [M+Na]+.

Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₅₇NO₂₃+3H₂O: C, 44.54; H, 7.14; N, 1.57%. Found: C, 44.78; H, 6.87; N, 1.84%. Compound 5 could not be obtained solvent-free.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Joël Ughetto-Monfrin (Unité de Chimie Organique, Institut Pasteur) for recording all the NMR spectra. We thank the C.A.N.A.M. and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale for the scholarships provided to one of us (C. C.).

REFERENCES

- 1. Part 5 of the series Synthesis of ligands related to the O-specific polysaccharides of Shigella flexneri serotype 2a and Shigella flexneri serotype 5a. For part 4, see ref. 10.
- 2. H. L. DuPont, R. B. Hornick, M. J. Snyder, J. P. Libonati, S. B. Formal, and E. J. Gangarosa, J. Infect. Dis., 125, 12 (1972).
- S. B. Formal, E. V. Oaks, R. E. Olsen, M. Wingfield-Eggleston, P. J. Snoy, and J. P. Cogan, J. Infect. Dis., 164, 533 (1991).
- A. Phalipon, M. Kauffmann, P. Michetti, J.-M. Cavaillon, M. Huerre, P. Sansonetti and J.-P. Krahenbuhl, J. Exp. Med., 182, 769 (1995).

- J. B. Robbins, R. Schneerson, and S. C. Szu in *New Generation Vaccines*, M. M. Levine, G. C. Woodrow, J. B. Kaper and G. S. Cobon, Eds: Marcel Dekker, New York, 1997, p 803.
- D. N. Taylor, A. C. Trofa, J. Sadoff, C. Chu, D. Bryla, J. Shiloach, D. Cohen, S. Ashkenazi, Y. Lerman, W. Egan, R. Schneerson and J. B. Robbins, *Infect. Immun.*, 61, 3678 (1993).
- G. Robin, Y. Keisari, R. Slepon, S. Ashkenazi and D. Cohen, *Vaccine*, 17, 3109 and references herein (1999).
- 8. A. A. Lindberg, A. Karnell and A. Weintraub, Rev. Infect. Dis., 13, S279 (1991).
- 9. D. A. R. Simmons, Bacteriol. Reviews, 35, 117 (1971).
- 10. L. A. Mulard, C. Costachel and P. J. Sansonetti, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 19, 849 (2000).
- 11. G. H. Posner and S. R. Haines, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 5-8 (1985).
- 12. W. Rosenbrook, D. A. Riley and P. A. Lartey, Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 3 (1985).
- 13. A. Hasegawa and H. G. Fletcher, Carbohydr. Res., 29, 209 (1973).
- 14. L. A. Mulard and J. Ughetto-Monfrin, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 18, 721 (1999).
- 15. T. Ziegler, F. Bien and C. Jurish, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 9, 765 (1998).
- 16. J. Zhang, J. Mao, H. Chen, and M. Cai, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 5, 2283 (1994).
- 17. J. C. Castro-Palomino, M. H. Rensoli, and V. V. Bencomo, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 15, 137 (1996).
- 18. J. Josephson and D. R. Bundle, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 297 (1980).
- L. H. B. Baptistelle, J. F. d. Santos, K. C. Ballabio and A. J. Marsaioli, Synthesis, 436 (1989).
- 20. T. Nielson and E. S. Werstiuk, Can. J. Chem., 49, 493 (1971).
- N. E. Byramova, M. V. Ovchinnikov, L. V. Bachinowsky, and N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res., 125, C8 (1983).
- 22. E. Petrakova and J. Schraml, Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun., 48, 877 (1983).
- 23. V. Pozsgay and B. Coxon, Carbohydr. Res., 257, 189 (1994).
- 24. L. A. Mulard and J. Ughetto-Monfrin, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 19, 503 (2000).
- 25. G. Blatter, J.-M. Beau and J.-C. Jacquinet, Carbohydr. Res., 260, 189 (1994).