

Published on Web 08/02/2008

Alkene Hydrogenation on an 11-Vertex Rhodathiaborane with Full Cluster Participation

Álvaro Álvarez,[†] Ramón Macías,^{*,†} Jonathan Bould,^{§,⊥} María José Fabra,[‡] Fernando J. Lahoz,[‡] and Luis A. Oro^{†,‡}

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Instituto Universitario de Catálisis Homogénea, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 50009-Zaragoza, Spain, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic 250 68 Husinec-Řež 1001, Czech Republic, and School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

Received April 23, 2008; E-mail: rmacias@unizar.es

Abstract: The facile synthesis of the metallaheteroborane $[8,8-(PPh_3)_2-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_{10}]$ (1) makes possible the systematic study of its reactivity. Addition of pyridine to 1 gives in high yield the 11-vertex *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane $[8,8,8-(PPh_3)_2H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_9]$ (2). 2 reacts with C_2H_4 or CO to form $[1,1-(PPh_3)(L)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-RhSB_9H_8]$ [$L = C_2H_4$ (3), CO (4)]. In CH₂Cl₂ at reflux temperature 2 undergoes a *nido* to *closo* transformation to afford $[1,1-(PPh_3)_2-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8]$ (5). Reaction of 2 with alkenes leads to hydrogenation and isomerization of the olefins. NMR spectroscopy indicates the presence of a labile phosphine ligand in 2, and DFT calculations have been used to determine which of the two phosphine groups is labile. Rationalization of the hydrogenation mechanism and the part played by the $2 \rightarrow 3$ *nido* to *closo* cluster change during the reaction cycle is suggested. In the proposed mechanism the classical hydrogen transfer from hydride metal complexes to olefins occurs twice: first upon coordination of the alkene to the rhodium centre in 2, and second concomitant with formation of a *closo*-hydridorhodathiaborane intermediate by migration of a BHB-bridging hydrogen atom to the metal. Reaction of H₂ with 3 or 5 regenerates 2, closing a reaction cycle that under catalytic conditions is capable of hydrogenating alkenes. Single-site versus cluster-bifunctional mechanisms are discussed as possible routes for H₂ activation.

Introduction

The high-yield synthesis of the metallaheteroborane [8,8-(PPh₃)₂-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₁₀] (1)¹ from [RhCl(PPh₃)₃] and Cs-[SB₉H₁₂] makes the systematic reaction chemistry of this compound accessible. For example, it has been observed that monodentate or bidentate phosphine ligands can replace the metal-bound PPh₃ groups in 1 and also fill the vacant coordination site on the formally 16-electron rhodium center. Alternatively, some phosphine ligands have been found to substitute the *exo*-terminal hydrogen atom on the boron vertex at the 9 position of 1.^{2–5} These reactions have resulted in the preparation of a series of 11-vertex *nido*-rhodathiaboranes of general

- (2) Macías, R.; Rath, N. P.; Barton, L. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3637– 3648.
- (3) Coughlan, S.; Spalding, T. R.; Ferguson, G.; Gallagher, J. F.; Lough, A. J.; Fontaine, X. L. R.; Kennedy, J. D.; Stibr, B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 2865–2871.
- (4) Adams, K. J.; McGrath, T. D.; Rosair, G. M.; Weller, A. S.; Welch, A. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 550, 315–329.
- (5) Ferguson, G.; Lough, A. L.; Coughlan, S.; Spalding, T. R. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1992, C48, 440–443.

formulae $[8,8,8-(L)_2(L')-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_{10}]$ (L, L' = PMe₂Ph; $L = PPh_3$, L' = CO; $L = PPh_3$, $L' = CH_3CN$; $L = \eta^2$ -dppm, $L' = \eta^1$ -dppm) and [8,8,8-H(η^2 -L₂)-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₉-9-(η^1 - L_2] ($L_2 = dppe$, dppp). Further observation made while studying these systems was a nido to closo transformation in the clusters with formal release of H₂ leading to 11-vertex *closo*-rhodathiaboranes with B-L bonds.^{2,3} Some of these metallathiaboranes have interesting structures and chemistry. For example, [8,8,8- $(\eta^2$ -dppm) $(\eta^1$ -dppm)-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₁₀] reacts with BH₃•THF to give an 11-vertex rhodathiaborane in which a BH₃ adduct coordinates to the rhodium atom in a bidentate fashion via its hydrogen atoms.⁶ Recently, we extended this family of 11-vertex metallathiaboranes with the development of an effective route to N-heterocyclic-ligated clusters from reactions of $[Rh(\eta^4$ diene)(L_2)] [diene = cod or nbd; L_2 = bpy, Me₂bpy, phen, (py)₂] with Cs[SB₉H₁₂].⁷ This synthetic procedure allows the preparation of hybrid salts $[Rh(\eta^4 diene)(L_2)][SB_9H_{12}]$ that afford unsaturated and saturated clusters of general formulation [8,8- (L_2) -nido-RhSB₉H₁₀] [L₂ = bpy, Me₂bpy, phen, $(py)_2$] and $[8,8,8-(L_2)(L')-nido-RhSB_9H_{10}]$ (L₂ = bpy, Me₂bpy, phen; L' = PPh_3 or CH_3CN), respectively. This group of 11-vertex rhodathiaboranes is an emerging example of the potential for

[†] Instituto Universitario de Catálisis Homogénea.

[‡] Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón.

[§] Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

 $^{^{\}perp}$ University of Leeds.

Ferguson, G.; Jennings, M. C.; Lough, A. J.; Coughlan, S.; Spalding, T. R.; Kennedy, J. D.; Fontaine, X. L. R.; Stibr, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 891–894.

⁽⁶⁾ Macías, R.; Rath, N. P.; Barton, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 162–164.

⁽⁷⁾ Alvarez, A.; Macías, R.; Fabra, M. J.; Martin, M. L.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 6811–6826.

novel chemistry that exists in metallaheteroboranes other than metallacarboranes.

The reactivity of metallaboranes and metallacarboranes with unsaturated organic molecules is well documented,8-14 and the outcomes are interesting and diverse. In some cases, the metallaborane clusters react with the unsaturated molecule, leading to insertion of heteroatoms,^{9,14} reduction of the organic substrate, or both.^{10,12} Some of the reported reactions are remarkable. For example, reaction of the iridaborane [6,6- $(PPh_3)H-\mu-6^P, 5^C-(Ph_2P-o-C_6H_4)-nido-6-IrB_9H_{12}]$ with acetylene affords [1,1,1-(C₄H₄)-µ-1^P,2^C-(Ph₂P-o-C₆H₄)-isocloso-1-IrB₉H₇-5-(PPh₃)] and [10-(PPh₃)-2,2,2-(PH₃)₂(Ph₂P-o-C₆H₄)-closo-2-IrB₉H₇-1].¹⁵ The former compound is the result of dimerization of HCCH at the metal center, whereas the latter is the result of the reductive stripping of PPh3 ligands and a nido to closo cluster transformation. Other reductions of alkynes by metallaboranes are better understood and lead to highly functionalized metallaboranes with organic substituents at the boron vertices.¹²

In polyhedral boron chemistry it has long been a goal to develop catalytic cycles by combining the oxidative and coordinative flexibility of transition-metal elements with the capability of boron clusters to exhibit oxidative/reductive flexibility in their classical *closo-nido-arachno* transformations. Some metallaboranes do exhibit catalytic activity in, for example, oligomerization of alkynes,¹⁶ but although significant examples exist,^{17–19} polyhedral boron chemistry shows a marked lack of reaction cycles either catalytic or stoichiometric. Of these, the catalytic hydrogenation and isomerization of olefins by 12-vertex rhodadicarboranes are probably the best-known catalytic processes in boron chemistry.^{20–26} These pioneering studies led to new mechanisms that later served as inspiration for the

- (8) Kalb, W. C.; Demidowicz, Z.; Speckman, D. M.; Knobler, C.; Teller, R. G.; Hawthorne, M. F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 4027–4036.
- (9) de Montigny, F.; Macías, R.; Noll, B. C.; Fehlner, T. P.; Costuas, K.; Saillard, J.-Y.; Halet, J.-F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3392–3401.
 (10) Yor, H.; Noll, B. C.; Enhan, T. B. J. Organization 2006, 601.
- (10) Yan, H.; Noll, B. C.; Fehlner, T. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 5060–5064.
 (11) Ethere T. P. Press Acad. Chem. 2006, 78, 1222, 1221.
- (11) Fehlner, T. P. Pure Appl. Chem. 2006, 78, 1323-1331.
- (12) Yan, H.; Noll, B. C.; Fehlner, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4831–4844.
- (13) Bould, J.; Bown, M.; Coldicott, R. J.; Ditzel, E. J.; Greenwood, N. N.; Macpherson, I.; MacKinnon, P.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Kennedy, J. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 2701–2720.
- (14) Bould, J.; Rath, N. P.; Barton, L. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4916–4929.
- (15) Bould, J.; Brint, P.; Fontaine, X. L. R.; Kennedy, J. D.; Thornton-Pett, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1763–1765.
- (16) Yan, H.; Beatty, A. M.; Fehlner, T. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4498–4501.
- (17) Kadlecek, D. E.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10868–10877.
- (18) Littger, R.; Englich, U.; Ruhlandt-Senge, K.; Spencer, J. T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2000, 39, 1472–1474.
- (19) Macías, R.; Fehlner, T. P.; Beatty, A. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3860–3862.
- (20) Long, J. A.; Marder, T. B.; Behnken, P. E.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2979–2989.
- (21) Behnken, P. E.; Busby, D. C.; Delaney, M. S.; King, R. E.; Kreimendahl, C. W.; Marder, T. B.; Wilczynski, J. J.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 7444–7450.
- (22) Baker, R. T.; Delaney, M. S.; King, R. E.; Knobler, C. B.; Long, J. A.; Marder, T. B.; Paxson, T. E.; Teller, R. G.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 2965–2978.
- (23) Knobler, C. B.; Marder, T. B.; Mizusawa, E. A.; Teller, R. G.; Long, J. A.; Behnken, P. E.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2990–3004.
- (24) Long, J. A.; Marder, T. B.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3004–3010.
- (25) Behnken, P. E.; Belmont, J. A.; Busby, D. C.; Delaney, M. S.; King, R. E.; Kreimendahl, C. W.; Marder, T. B.; Wilczynski, J. J.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 3011–3025.

development of new rhodacarboranes and metal complexes partnered with monocarborane ligands, which exhibit catalytic activity in the hydrogenation of olefins.^{27–33} These studies, however, have not given rise to fundamental new mechanisms for either activation of H₂ or hydrogenation and isomerization of olefins. Here we report on the reactivity of 11-vertex *nido*and *closo*-rhodathiaboranes with alkenes and H₂, which reveals new mechanisms for hydrogenation of olefins and activation of H₂ where the flexible structure of the metallaheteroborane cluster fully participates in the reactions.

Results and Discussion

Scheme 1 can be used as a guide to the sections that follow. The synthesis and selected reactivity of **2** and **3** have been described earlier.³⁴ Herein we discuss the structures and reactivities of these 11-vertex clusters in more detail and present the energy-optimized structures of these and some new rho-dathiaboranes as well as gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) NMR nuclear shielding predictions. Additionally, the behavior of **2** in its reaction with ethene is analyzed using DFT calculations, leading to new proposed mechanisms for the activation of dihydrogen and the catalytic isomerization and hydrogenation of olefins by these rhodathiaboranes.

[8,8,8-(PPh₃)₂H-9-(NC₅H₅)-nido-8,7-RhSB₉H₉] (2). Reaction of $[8,8-(PPh_3)_2$ -nido-8,7-RhSB₉H₁₀] (1) with a 4-fold excess of pyridine at room temperature affords the nido-hydridorhodathiaborane 2 in 75 % yield. The solid-state structure of 2 comprises an 11-vertex nido-RhSB₉ skeleton of the same type as the parent compound 1 (Figure 1). Electronically, however, 2 has an additional skeletal electron pair (13 sep), conforming to the electron counting formalism,^{35,36} and it is thus saturated with respect its precursor 1 (12 sep). This does not, however, lead to substantial differences of intramolecular distances and angles between 1 and 2 (Table 1). It is noteworthy, nevertheless, that 1 exhibits a larger disparity in the Rh-P distances than its pyridine-ligated derivative 2. The longest Rh-P distance between the two compounds corresponds to the PPh₃ trans to the B(3)-B(4) edge in 1 (see Figure 1 for cluster numbering). Interestingly, the disposition of the two PPh₃ ligands with respect to the $\{RhSB_{9}\}$ fragment changes upon reaction with the N-heterocyclic ligand, yielding a configuration with one PPh₃ group trans to the B(3)-B(4) edge and the other trans to the boron in the 9 position. The metal hydride occupies the third pseudo-octahedral metal position trans to the sulfur atom, which, in the unsaturated rhodathiaborane 1, is occupied by a PPh₃

- (26) Belmont, J. A.; Soto, J.; King, R. E.; Donaldson, A. J.; Hewes, J. D.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7475–7486.
- (27) Teixidor, F.; Nuñez, R.; Flores, M. A.; Demonceau, A.; Viñas, C. J. Organomet. Chem. **2000**, 614–615, 48–56.
- (28) Teixidor, F.; Flores, M. A.; Vinas, C.; Kivekas, R.; Sillanpaa, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 2251–2253.
- (29) Vinas, C.; Flores, M. A.; Nunez, R.; Teixidor, F.; Kivekas, R.; Sillanpaa, R. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2278–2289.
- (30) Douglas, T. M.; Molinos, E.; Brayshaw, S. K.; Weller, A. S. Organometallics 2007, 26, 463–465.
- (31) Moxham, G. L.; Douglas, T. M.; Brayshaw, S. K.; Kociok-Kohn, G.; Lowe, J. P.; Weller, A. S. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 5492–5505.
- (32) Molinos, E.; Kociok-Kohn, G.; Weller, A. S. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3609–3611.
- (33) Rifat, A.; Patmore, N. J.; Mahon, M. F.; Weller, A. S. Organometallics 2002, 21, 2856–2865.
- (34) Alvarez, A.; Macías, R.; Fabra, M. J.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2148–2149.
- (35) Wade, K. Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1976, 18, 1-66.
- (36) Mingos, D. M. P.; Wales, D. J. Introduction to Cluster Chemistry; Prentice Hall: London, 1990.

Scheme 1



ligand. The length of the N–B distance falls within the reported range of 1.230-1.978 Å found for this type of interaction (CSD search, version 5.29).

Although the two Rh–P distances in **2** are similar, the variable-temperature ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectra demonstrate that one PPh₃ ligand is labile, undergoing a fast dissociation at ambient temperature. In order to ascertain the position of the labile phosphine, we performed a relaxed scan of the potential-energy surface on the model [8,8,8-(PH₃)₂H-9-(NC₅H₅)-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₉] (**2a**) by varying the Rh–P distances of the phosphines. The results of these studies are summarized in Figure 2, which illustrates that elongation of the PPh₃ trans to the B(3)–B(4) edge [P(2) in Figure 2] leads to a higher destabilization of the cluster, and we therefore conclude that the PPh₃ ligand trans to the pyridine-ligated boron atom B(9) is the labile phosphine [P(1) in Figure 2]. Elongation of P(1) in the model



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $[8,8,8-(PPh_3)_2H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_9]$ (2).

compound **2a** from the energy-optimized Rh–P distance of 2.4–4.0 Å leads to an increase in energy of 56 kJ/mol. This energy difference is very similar to the experimentally determined $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{278}$ of 55 kJ/mol.

Table 2 gathers the measured NMR data of [8,8-(PPh₃)₂-nido- $8,7-RhSB_9H_{10}$] (1) together with those for $[8,8,8-(PPh_3)_2H-9-$ (NC5H5)-nido-8,7-RhSB9H9] (2). A comparison of GIAO NMR shift calculations with ¹¹B NMR measured data was used to assign individual boron resonances to structural positions as defined by X-ray crystallography. The calculated values in Table 2 are presented in brackets. The agreement between the computed ¹¹B chemical shifts for [8,8-(PH₃)₂-nido-8,7-RhSB₉- H_{10}] (1a) and $[8,8,8-(PH_3)_2H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_9]$ (2a) and the measured values for compounds 1 and 2 are satisfactory, indicating that the DFT-calculated structures are good models of the crystallographically determined structures. It is interesting to note that the ¹H NMR resonance of the BHB bridging hydrogen atom in the hydridorhodathiaborane exhibits a shift to high field of 0.07 ppm with respect to that of 1, a small change considering that the BHB resonance is very sensitive to substitution at either the rhodium center or the boron atom at the 9 position.⁷ Overall, the ¹¹B NMR spectrum of 2 exhibits a slight shielding with respect to that of 1. It is surprising however that the ¹¹B chemical shift of the pyridinesubstituted boron atom located at the 9 position in 2 does not significantly change with respect to the parent unsubstituted rhodathiaborane 1. These observations suggest that the higher electron density in 2 is delocalized over the whole framework, and we therefore attribute the labile nature of one of the PPh₃ ligands to the global effect of the N-substituted {RhSB₉} cluster rather than to localized trans effects.

Table 1. Selected Interatomic Distances (Å) for 2 and 3 with Estimated Standard Uncertainties (s.u.) in Parentheses^a

	2		3
Rh(8)-S(7)	2.431(2)[2.431]	Rh(1) - S(2)	2.3721(8) [2.453]
Rh(8)-P(1)	2.354(2)[2.387]	Rh(1)-P(1)	2.2980(8) [2.311]
Rh(8)-P(2)	2.341(2)[2.347]	Rh(1)-C(1)	2.171(4) [2.205]
Rh(8)-B(3)	2.201(10)[2.303]	Rh(1)-C(2)	2.166(4) [2.200]
Rh(8)-B(4)	2.217(11)[2.243]	Rh(1) - B(3)	2.080(4) [2.094]
Rh(8)-B(9)	2.220(9)[2.201]	Rh(1)-B(4)	2.503(4) [2.516]
S(7)-B(2)	1.980(9)[1.999]	Rh(1) - B(5)	2.427(4) [2.590]
S(7)-B(3)	2.059(11)[2.073]	Rh(1) - B(6)	2.365(4) [2.396]
S(7)-B(11)	1.953(9)[1.943]	Rh(1) - B(7)	2.376(4) [2.404]
N(1)-B(9)	1.547(11)[1.565]	C(1) - C(2)	1.383(5) [1.405]
B(2)-B(3) (longest)	1.906(14) [1.902]	N(1)-B(3)	1.539(5)[1.539]
B(6)-B(11) (shortest)	1.727(14) [1.737]	B(4)-B(8) (longest)	1.903(6) [1.904]
B-B (average)	1.7845(14)	B(3)-B(6) (shortest)	1.697(5) [1.702]
P(1) - Rh(8) - P(2)	100.27(7) [98.71]	B-B (average)	1.791(5)
P(1) - Rh(8) - S(7)	96.38(7)[97.91]	S(2) - Rh(1) - P(1)	112.86(3) [104.25]
P(2) - Rh(8) - S(7)	102.05(8) [101.36]	B(3) - Rh(1) - P(1)	109.12(11) [116.25]
Rh(8) - B(9) - N(1)	120.6(5) [117.4]	C(1) - Rh(1) - P(1)	93.39(10) [93.34]
P(1) - Rh(8) - B(9)	162.4(3) [159.3]	C(2) - Rh(1) - P(1)	89.33(10) [94.11]
P(2) - Rh(8) - B(9)	95.8(3) [99.82]	C(1) - Rh(1) - C(2)	71.60(14) [71.21]
S(7)-Rh(8)-B(9)	87.1(3) [87.5]	N(1) - B(3) - Rh(1)	127.8(2) [126.9]

^a Corresponding calculated distances for the model compounds 2a and 3a are enclosed in brackets.



Figure 2. DFT-calculated energies (kJ/mol) computed at the B3LYP/6-31G*/LANL2DZ level for elongation of the PH₃ ligands in the model [8,8,8-(PH₃)₂H-9-(NC₅H₅)-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₉] (**2a**).

As previously reported,² the formally unsaturated nidorhodathiaborane 1 contains two main centers of reactivity: the rhodium atom and the boron atom at the 9 position. The rhodium center undergoes ligand substitution reactions and, in some cases, addition of another ligand at the third available coordination site (Scheme 1, structures I-III). It is also known that some bidentate phosphines such as dppe and dppp can attack the B(9) vertex, engendering a concomitant shift of the B-H(terminal) hydrogen atom to the metal, which leads to hydridorhodathiaborane analogues of 2 (Scheme 1, structure I).² However, these phosphine-ligated derivatives exhibit labile P-B bonds, which in dilute solutions lead to mixtures of the corresponding free phosphine and the unsaturated rhodathiaborane chelates (Scheme 1). Thus, it is somewhat surprising that the pyridine attacks selectively the boron vertex in the 9 position to afford a high yield of the new hydridorhodathiaborane 2.

 $[1,1-(PPh_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8]$ (3). In an atmosphere of CH₂=CH₂ the hydridorhodathiaborane 2 affords CH₃-CH₃ and $[1,1-(PPh_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2 RhSB_{9}H_{8}$] (3). The solid-state structure of 3 is shown in Figure 3, where it can be seen that this rhodathiaborane is an 11-vertex cluster based on the canonical structure of an octadecahedron that may be rationalized by simple application of the Wade/ Mingos approach.^{35,36} The rhodium atom occupies the apical cluster position connected to six atoms in the $\{SB_9\}$ fragment with two exo-polyhedral metal coordination sites occupied by the PPh₃ and C_2H_4 ligands. Compound **3** is isoelectronic with previously reported 11-vertex rhodathiaboranes such as [1,1-(PPh₃)(CO)-3-(PPh₃)-closo-1,2-RhSB₉H₈],[1,1-(η^2 -Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh₂)- $3-(\eta^1-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2O)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8$ and others,^{2,3} which bear a phosphine substituent on B(3). The metrics do not differ significantly among these rhodathiaborane adducts,

Table 2. ¹¹B (96 MHz), ¹H (300 MHz), and ³¹P (162 MHz) NMR Data for 1 and 2 in CD₂Cl₂ Compared to the Corresponding DFT/GIAO-Calculated ¹¹B-Nuclear Shielding Values [in brackets] for the Related P-Hydryl models 1a and 2a

Cluster data at 300 K					
	1				
assignment ^a	δ(¹¹ B)	$\delta(^{1}H)$	δ(¹¹ B)	$\delta(^{1}H)$	¹ J(¹¹ B- ¹ H)
9	+12.6 [+18.7]	+3.59	+11.8[+17.7]	NC ₅ H ₅	
3	+16.3[+23.1]	+4.14	+7.9[+9.4]	+3.51	127 Hz
6	+4.5[+7.1]	+1.56	+3.2[+7.4]	$+2.61^{b}$	с
11	+6.0[+10.1]	+2.32	+0.3[+2.3]	+4.12	с
4	+2.5[+2.1]	+2.38	-3.7 [+0.0]	+2.84	с
5	-9.3 [-9.2]	+1.78	-10.0 [-10.7]	+1.84	133 Hz
10	-21.1 [-19.5]	+1.24	-18.1 [-23.0]	+0.91	С
1	-18.6 [-17.7]	+3.26	-25.6 [-24.9]	+1.49	142 Hz
2	-27.5 [-28.6]	+1.28	-28.5 [-28.3]	+1.12	с
μ (9,10)		-1.32		-1.39	

PPh_3 data for 1 at 223 K and 2 at 198 K						
1 2						
assignment	$\delta(^{31}P)$	¹ J(¹³⁵ Rh- ³¹ P)	² J(³¹ P ₁ - ³¹ P ₂)	$\delta(^{31}P)$	¹ J(¹³⁵ Rh- ³¹ P)	² J(³¹ P ₁ - ³¹ P ₂)
P(1) (br)	+43.0	160 Hz	36 Hz	$+37.0^{d}$	106 Hz	16 Hz
P(2)	+20.3	128 Hz	50 112	+29.7	128 Hz	10 112

^{*a*} Assignments based on ¹¹B selective experiments and DFT calculations. ^{*b*} Doublet, 25 Hz. ^{*c*} Coupling constants not measured due to broadness of peaks relative to ¹J. ^{*d*} At higher temperatures P(1) broadens losing the ¹J(¹³⁵Rh-³¹P) and ²J(³¹P₁-³¹P₂) couplings; coalescence temperature 278 K.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of $[1,1-(PPh_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8]$ (3).

which, as a common pattern, exhibit a Rh(1)-B(3) length shorter than the other four Rh–B distances;^{2,3} this is general to 11-vertex closo-metallaborane and binary borane clusters due to the geometry of the canonical octadecahedron. The C=C bond distance in 3 [1.383(5) Å] is similar to those in reported ethene-ligated organometallic compounds [CSD search (version 5.29) for the M(η^2 -C₂H₄) fragment; M = transition element]. The ¹H NMR spectrum at room temperature exhibits two multiplets at +2.27 and +2.05 ppm, which spilt into three signals of 1:1:2 relative intensity at lower temperatures and coalesce over the range 240-260 K (see Figure S5 in the Supporting Information). This temperature-dependent behavior demonstrates that the protons of the ethene ligand undergo exchange. The chemical nonrigidity of the Rh-C₂H₄ linkage has been previously reported, and the proposed mechanism consists of rotation of the ethene about the rhodium-carbon bonds.37

A plausible reaction pathway for formation of the etheneligated *closo*-rhodathiborane 3 from the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane 2 might start with substitution of the labile phosphine [P(1) in Figure 2] and subsequent coordination of ethene to the rhodium center. In order to identify the potential course of the reductive elimination, we carried out preliminary DFT calculations using the model $[8,8-(PH_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-$ 8,7-RhSB₉H₉] (3a) as a starting point in the search for intermediates that may play a part in this reaction. The calculations suggest that reduction of the coordinated ethene group by the metal hydride produces an ethyl-ligated intermediate Ia stabilized by 17 kJ/mol. Ia can be formed as the result of an intramolecular ethene migratory insertion into the Rh-H bond followed by rearrangement of the exo-polyhedral ligands. Reductive elimination of the ethyl group would then be mediated by an intermediate IIa, which lies 8 kJ/mol higher than the ethylligated intermediate Ia. Formation of IIa implies migration of the BHB hydrogen atom from the B(9)-B(10) edge to the rhodium atom and a nido to closo cluster oxidation. This transformation resembles the recently calculated transition state along the reaction coordinate for the observed fluxional process that interchanges the two enantiomeric 11-vertex nido cages of the parent compound 1.38 The final reductive elimination of ethane results in formation of a system IIIa that is stabilized by an agostic interaction between ethane and rhodium as illustrated in Figure 4 (Rh····H = 2.13 Å).³⁹ In this intermediate, ethane could be easily displaced by ethene, which is in excess in the reaction mixture.

We have not carried out a comprehensive mapping of the potential-energy surface, leading to characterization of the intermediate transition states between the potential-energy wells illustrated in Figure 4. However, these energy-optimized minima provide a useful guide for consideration of the reaction mechanism and a useful base for future experimental and calculational work. The results demonstrate that elimination of ethane from the ethene-ligated *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane model **3a** is theoretically exothermic by 57 kJ/mol (Figure 4), which conforms to the energy required for dissociation of the PPh₃ ligand in **2** (Figure 2).

Other possible reaction pathways for formation of 3 from 2 are (i) direct loss of dihydrogen upon displacement of PPh₃ by ethene and (ii) spontaneous dehydrogenation of 2 to give a bis-PPh₃-ligated *closo* derivative that could react with C₂H₄. In this regard, we found that bubbling CO(g) through a solution of 2 in dichloromethane at room temperature affords [1,1-(PPh₃)(CO)-3-(NC₅H₅)-*closo*-1,2-RhSB₉H₈] (4) in about 20 min. In addition, the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane **2** loses dihydrogen after reflux in CH₂Cl₂ for 48 h, leading to formation of [1,1-(PPh₃)₂-3- (NC_5H_5) -closo-1,2-RhSB₉H₈] (5). This suggests that both pathways are in principle possible for formation of the etheneligated *closo*-rhodathiaborane **3**. The second route may, however, be discounted because the *nido* compound 2 is stable in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for at least 5 days, whereas in an atmosphere of ethene it affords the *closo* derivative 3 in 2 h (see Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). However, we cannot discount that, similarly to the CO ligand, ethene could promote dihydrogen elimination upon displacement of the labile

⁽³⁷⁾ Cramer, R.; Kline, J. B.; Roberts, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2519–2524.

⁽³⁸⁾ Macías, R.; Bould, J.; Holub, J.; Kennedy, J. D.; Štibr, B.; Thornton-Pett, M. Dalton Trans. 2007, 2885–2897.

⁽³⁹⁾ Brookhart, M.; Green, M. L. H.; Parkin, G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007, 104, 6908–6914.



Figure 4. Potential-energy profile for the reductive elimination of CH₂=CH₂ from 3a to give CH₃-CH₃ and a *nido* to *closo* cluster transformation.

Table 3. ¹¹B (96 MHz) and ¹H (300 MHz) NMR Data for **3**–**5** in CD₂Cl₂ Compared to the Corresponding DFT/GIAO-Calculated ¹¹B-Nuclear Shielding Values [in Brackets] For the Related P-Hydryl Models **3b**, **4a**, and **5a**

	3		4			5			
assignment ^a	δ(¹¹ B)	$\delta({}^{1}H)^{b}$	δ(¹¹ B)	$\delta(^{1}H)$	δ(¹¹ B)	$\delta(^{1}H)$
3	+55.7	[+58.5]	NC ₅ H ₅	+55.4	[+58.5]	NC ₅ H ₅	+54.6	[+58.4]	NC ₅ H ₅
9	$+26.4^{\circ}$	[+28.5]	+4.36	$+28.1^{e}$	[+30.4]	+4.34	$+27.3^{g}$	[+30.1]	+4.09
5	+0.8	[+9.0]	+1.80	+1.1	[+8.9]	+2.39	-0.5	[+6.7]	
4	-0.1	[+4.2]	+2.06	-0.7	[+4.2]	+1.08	(2B)	[+5.4]	+1.27
8	-14.5	[-13.3]	+2.46	-14.4	[-13.4]	+2.37	-15.2	[-12.2]	+2.16
7	-22.2	[-16.8]	+0.54	-26.1	[-21.8]	+0.66	-24.2^{h}	[-18.1]	
6	-24.6	[-22.0]	-0.17	-24.4	[-17.1]	+0.08	(2B)	[-21.8]	-0.22
10	-30.3^{d}	[-27.4]	+0.42	-31.9 ^f	[-26.7]	+0.07	-30.4^{i}	[-29.4]	
11	(2B)	[-27.0]	-0.01	(2B)	[-29.2]	+0.00	(2B)	[-29.6]	-0.27

^{*a*} Assignments based on ¹H{¹¹B} selective experiments and DFT calculations. ^{*b*} Boron-bound proton resonances. ^{*c*} ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 124 Hz. ^{*d*} Accidentally coincident ¹¹B resonances; ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 97 Hz. ^{*e*} ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 118 Hz. ^{*f*} Accidentally coincident ¹¹B resonances; ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 137 Hz. ^{*s*} ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 144 Hz. ^{*h*} ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 129 Hz. ^{*i*} ¹J(¹¹B-¹H) = 139 Hz.

 PPh_3 and subsequent coordination to the rhodium atom: dihydrogen loss and ethene reductive elimination may be competing reactions in formation of **3**.

As shown in Table 3, the ¹¹B-calculated nuclear shieldings at the B3LYP/6-31G*/LANL2DZ level for the $[1,1-(PH_3)(L)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8]$ [L = C₂H₄ (**3b**), CO (**4a**), PH₃ (**5a**)] models are in good agreement with the measured ¹¹B chemical shifts for **3**–**5**. The lowest field ¹¹B resonance corresponds to the pyridine-substituted boron atom at the 3 position, which appears at around +55 ppm. The second ¹¹B resonance at low field corresponds to the boron atom in the 9 position adjacent to the B(3) atom, after which there is a significant gap (ca. 26 ppm) between these low-field resonances and the rest.

Reactions with H₂(g). The 11-vertex *closo*-rhodathiaboranes **3** and **5** react with dihydrogen (Figure 5), whereas the COligated analogue **4** does not. When the metal is ethene ligated, as in compound **3**, the outcome depends on the conditions, and thus, in CH₂Cl₂ solvent with 1 equiv of free PPh₃ the reaction regenerates the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane **2** with formation of ethane [Figure 5a]. However, if the reaction is carried out in the absence of free PPh₃, the *closo*-rhodathiaborane decomposes within several hours. By contrast, reaction of **3** with $H_2(g)$ in acetone leads to formation of the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane **2** together with decomposition of the *closo*-rhodathiaborane **3** [Figure 5c]. In the case of the bis-PPh₃-ligated *closo*-rhodathiaborane **5**, reaction with dihydrogen proceeds at the same initial rate as for **3** in the presence of PPh₃ [Figure 5b].

Hydrogenation of ethene upon reaction of $[(PPh_3)(\eta^2-C_2-H_4)-closo-RhS_9BH_8(NC_5H_5)]$ (3) with H₂(g) takes place most probably after formation of an ethene-ligated derivative of formulation $[(PPh_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)H-nido-RhS_9BH_9(NC_5H_5)]$ for which, according to calculation, elimination of ethane should be energetically favored. Hydrogenation of ethene would lead to a coordinatively unsaturated system (**IIIa** in Figure 4) that, in dichloromethane and the absence of free PPh₃, decomposes. However, in more coordinating solvents such as acetone, the unsaturated species appears to be stabilized by formation of solvent-ligated intermediates such as, for example, $[(PPh_3)(Me_2-CO)RhS_9BH_8(NC_5H_5)]$, which then reacts further with other molecules of **3**, sequestrating the PPh₃ ligand and leading to



Figure 5. Plots of reaction time vs conversion based on ¹H NMR signal intensities for reaction of **3** and **5** with $H_2(g)$: (a) in CD_2Cl_2 with a 1:1 ratio of **3** to free PPh₃, (b) **5** in CD_2Cl_2 , and (c) **3** in $(CD_3)_2CO$ (*closo* compounds **3** and **5**, dark blue diamonds; *nido*-rhodathiaborane **2**, magenta squares).

formation of the *nido* derivative **2** and sacrificial decomposition of the parent ethene-ligated rhodathiaborane **3**.

The closo-rhodathiaboranes 3-5 may be related to the cyclopentadienyl-containing complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2]$ and $[(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)Rh(PPh_3)(\eta^2 - C_2 H_4)]^{40,41}$ by regarding the {SB₉- $H_8(NC_5H_5)$ fragment in compounds 3-5 as a polyhedral analogue of the C5H5 ligand. On the basis of this comparison, these 11-vertex closo-rhodathiaboranes may be thought of as 18-electron complexes of $\{Rh(PPh_3)(L)\}^+$ [L = η^2 -C₂H₄ (3), CO(4), and $PPh_3(5)$ metal moieties with the { $SB_9H_8(NC_5H_5)$ } fragment as a hexahapto monoanionic ligand. It has been reported that $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2]$ undergoes photochemically initiated oxidative addition of H₂(g) producing $[(\eta^5 C_5H_5$)Rh(η^2 -C₂H₄)H₂], which eliminates ethane in a slow thermal process.⁴⁰ Thus, the η^5 -C₅H₅ organometallic analogue activates dihydrogen after formation of a coordinatively unsaturated 16-electron complex. At first sight, this single-site H₂ activation appears to be unlikely in the *closo*-rhodathiaboranes 3 and 5 since the rhodium centers are coordinatively saturated, and we have not observed *exo*-polyhedral ligand dissociation processes that could facilitate dihydrogen coordination at the metal center. On the other hand, it has been reported that heterometallic complexes of formula [Pt₃Re₂(CO)₆(PtBu₃)₃] and $[Pt_2Re_2(CO)_7(PtBu_3)_3(\mu-H)_2]$ activate H₂ by addition to a single metal site without generation of a vacant site.⁴² These mixedmetal clusters are highly unsaturated, and therefore, they facilitate coordination of H2 to a metal vertex and its consequent cleavage. Compounds 3 and 5 are saturated 11-vertex closo clusters with 12 sep, and a priori, H₂ addition to the rhodium vertex does not appear to be favorable. However, given the stereochemical flexibility of polyhedral boron-containing compounds, a slight rearrangement of the 11-vertex closo-rhodathiaboranes could facilitate addition of H₂, leading to its activation (Scheme 2). In this regard, it has been reported that the *closo*-rhodadicarboranes $[3-(\eta^3-C_3H_5)-closo-3,1,2-RhC_2B_9H_{11}]$ and $[3-(\eta^3-C_8H_{13})-1-SR-2-R'-closo-3,1,2-RhC_2B_9H_9]$ (R' = Ph, Me) activate H₂.^{43,44} As a tentative mechanism, reaction with H₂ was proposed to occur through a η^3 to η^1 rearrangement of the η^3 -allyl ligand followed by oxidative addition of H₂ to produce an unstable Rh(V) alkyl dihydride species or alternatively by a concerted four-center transition state involving the η^1 -allyl–Rh moiety and H₂.

Single-site H₂ activation could be possible in the *closo*rhodathiaboranes 3 and 5 through slippage of the $\{(L)_2Rh\}$ fragment above the boat-shaped $\{S(2)B(3)B(4)B(5)B(6)B(7)\}$ face, leading to a vacant metal site where H₂ could bind. However, given the bifunctional character of the ethene hydrogenation mechanism illustrated in Figure 4, it is tempting to speculate that H₂ activation takes place on the rhodathiaborane cluster in a concerted manner. A possible mechanism, depicted in Scheme 2, could start with a closo to isonido rearrangement, leading to a structure that features a quadrilateral [Rh(1)B(3)B(7)-B(4)] open face.³⁸ This *isonido* structure would accommodate the H₂ molecule in a bridging position between the Rh(1)-B(3)edge and either of the B(3)-B(7) or B(4)-B(7) edges (closo numbering). Cleavage of the H-H bond would then lead directly to formation of the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane 2, or it could afford an intermediate containing a Rh(1)-H-B(3) bridging hydrogen atom as in Ib, Scheme 2, which would then rearrange to 2. Calculations demonstrate that an intermediate such as Ib lies 15 kJ/mol below the [8,8,8-(PH₃)(L)H-9-(NC₅H₅)-nido-8,7-RhSB₉H₉] models [L = η^2 -C₂H₄ (**3a**) and CO (**4b**)] and 9 kJ/ mol above [8,8,8-(PH₃)₂H-9-(NC₅H₅)-nido-8,7-RhSB₉H₉] (2a) (Figure 6). On the basis of these energy differences, we suggest that a Rh(8)HB(9) hydrido intermediate with the structure illustrated by Ib in Scheme 2 may play a role in the dehydrogenative nido to closo transformation of 2 and in the reverse H_2 activation reaction by 5. The fact that the calculated energy

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Duckett, S. B.; Haddleton, D. M.; Jackson, S. A.; Perutz, R. N.; Poliakoff, M.; Upmacis, R. K. *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1526–1532.
(41) Campian, M. V.; Harris, J. L.; Jasim, N.; Perutz, R. N.; Marder, T. B.;

 ⁽⁴¹⁾ Campian, M. Y., Harris, J. E., Jashi, N., Ferdiz, K. N., Marder, T. B., Whitwood, A. C. Organometallics **2006**, *25*, 5093–5104.
 (42) Advance R. D.: Costein R. August, Cham. Int. *Ed.* **2009**, *47*, 252.

⁽⁴²⁾ Adams, R. D.; Captain, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 252– 257.

⁽⁴³⁾ Walker, J. A.; Zheng, L.; Knobler, C. B.; Soto, J.; Hawthorne, M. F. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 1608–1614.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Teixidor, F.; Flores, M. A.; Vinas, C.; Sillanpaa, R.; Kivekas, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1963–1973.

Scheme 2



of the intermediate **Ib** is higher than that of **2a** is consistent with the stability of the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane **2** at room temperature and its thermally promoted *nido* to *closo* transformation. Alternatively, a CO-ligated intermediate with the structure of **Ib**, which exhibits lower energy than a putative [8,8,8-(PPh₃)(CO)H-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₉(NC₅H₅)] (analogue of **2**), agrees with the facile H₂ elimination and *nido* to *closo* change upon reaction with CO to give **4**.

In the case of the ethene-ligated system, the PPh_3 -metalbound model with the structure of \mathbf{Ib} has a calculated energy similar to the ethyl-*nido*-intermediate in the reductive elimination of ethene (**Ia** in Figure 4). In line with the proposed H₂ activation mechanism (Scheme 2), the presumed intermediate [8,8,8-(PPh₃)(η^2 -C₂H₄)H-9-(NC₅H₅)-*nido*-8,7-RhSB₉H₉] could be another intermediate that, in the reductive elimination of ethane from the ethene-ligated *closo*-compound **3**, lies above its Rh–H–B isomer (**Ib**) and the ethyl-ligated intermediate (Figure 6).

Reduction of the 11-vertex *closo*-rhodathiaboranes **3** and **5** with H_2 is an interesting reaction since *closo* to *nido* transforma-



Figure 6. Energy comparisons for DFT-optimized isomeric structures of the model compounds (a) $[8,8-(PH_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_8]$ (3a), (b) $[8,8,8-(PH_3)_2H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_8]$ (2a), and (c) $[8,8,8-(PH_3)(CO)H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_8]$ (4b).

Scheme 3



tions in polyhedral boron-containing compounds usually require strong reducing conditions.^{45–49} An indication of the difficulty of this reaction is the fact that the CO-ligated *closo*-rhodathiaborane **4** does not react with H₂, and this suggests that the CO ligand stabilizes the 18-electron {RhPPh₃(CO)}⁺ center by means of its higher π -back-bonding capabilities, decreasing consequently the half-cell reduction potential of the cluster. In contrast, activation of H₂ by the bis-PPh₃-ligated *closo*-rhodathiaborane **5** occurs reversibly (Scheme 2) and is thus an example of a cluster-assisted H₂ activation and dehydrogenation.

Reaction with D_2(g). To gain further insight into the mechanism of activation of H_2 by the *closo* clusters 3 and 5, we carried out the reaction with D₂. A sample of **3** and 1 equiv of PPh₃ were placed in a screw-capped NMR tube, which was opened to an atmosphere of D_2 . After 4 days, the ¹¹B and ¹H{¹¹B} NMR spectra showed a 1:1 mixture of the *nido*hydridorhodathiaborane 2 and the bis-PPh₃-ligated *closo* compound 5. All the BH terminal resonances corresponding to the closo and the nido species were present in the ¹H{¹¹B} NMR spectrum, but the BHB and RhH proton resonances of the nido compound were absent. This indicates that there is no H/D exchange at a reasonable rate between the directly boron-bound hydrogen atoms and D_2 and that the deuterium gas is activated by the closo clusters, leading selectively to formation of the deuterated derivative [8,8,8-(PPh₃)₂(D)-nido-8,7-RhSB₉H₈D- (NC_5H_5)] containing a Rh(8)-D group and a B(9)-D-B(10) bridging deuterium atom. These results are consistent with the H₂ activation mechanism proposed above.

Catalytic Hydrogenation and Isomerization of Olefins. The reaction between ethene and the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane 2, combined with the activation of H_2 by 3 and 5, opens a new

door to the catalytic hydrogenation of olefins. To begin the study of the catalytic activity of the nido/closo rhodathiaborane system, we first investigated reactions of the *nido* cluster 2 with cyclohexene and 1-hexene. A 20-fold excess of cyclohexene in CH₂Cl₂ solvent at room temperature does not react with the nido-hydridorhodathiaborane after 3 days. However, under the same conditions 1-hexene afforded 17% of 2-hexene, 7% of 3-hexene, and 1% of hexane. This indicates that the system undergoes phosphine dissociation followed by alkene coordination and Rh-alkyl formation. Reductive elimination of the alkane is hindered by olefins higher than ethane: thus, with 1-hexene we observed formation of small amounts of hexane, but with cyclohexene no cyclohexane was formed. Steric effects may increase the energy barrier in the *nido* to *closo* transformation that, as calculated for ethene and depicted in Figure 4, may mediate on the final reductive elimination of the Rh-ligated alkyl group.

Hydrogenation experiments using **2** as the catalyst precursor and cyclohexene as substrate afforded cyclohexane. In refluxing CH₂Cl₂ the rhodathiaborane system reduces 19% of the olefin in 8 h (GC yield, unoptimized). Similarly, in catalytic amounts, the rhodathiaborane system promotes hydrogenation of 1-hexene and its isomerization to the 2- and 3-isomers. For this terminal olefin, after 5 h in CH₂Cl₂ at reflux, there is 4% formation of hexane together with 36% of the isomers 2- and 3-hexene.

On the basis of the computational and experimental studies described above we propose a mechanistic model depicted in Scheme 3 for isomerization and hydrogenation of alkenes by the 2/3/5 system. The initial step in the catalytic cycle is dissociation of the PPh₃ ligand trans to the N–B-substituted boron atom at the 9 position in 2 (Figure 2). This first step is performed by both lower and higher olefins, leading to formation of a Rh–alkyl group. At this point the system may evolve toward the final reductive elimination or β -elimination of the alkyl group. The latter reaction would be therefore responsible for the observed isomerization of terminal alkenes such as the studied 1-hexene.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Evans, W. J.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 869-874.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Evans, W. J.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 38–9.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Lopez, M. E.; Edie, M. J.; Ellis, D.; Horneber, A.; Macgregor, S. A.; Rosair, G. M.; Welch, A. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 2243–2245.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Xie, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 1-9.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Deng, L.; Xie, Z. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1832-1845.

According to the DFT calculations on the model **3a** final reductive elimination of the Rh–alkyl intermediate could proceed through a *closo*-hydridorhodathiaborane intermediate (Figure 4 **IIa**) formed by migration of the BHB bridging hydrogen atom to the metal center and subsequent *nido* to *closo* transformation. For alkenes higher than ethene, such as cyclo-hexene and 1-hexene, reductive elimination from the Rh–alkyl *nido* intermediate is hindered, suggesting that the *nido* to *closo* conversion is the rate-determining step in the hydrogenation process. After reductive elimination of the alkane, the resulting unsaturated rhodathiaborane can be stabilized by either free PPh₃ or the excess of olefin, forming the *closo* derivative **5** or alkene-ligated analogues of **3**, respectively, which, in an atmosphere of H₂, would regenerate the *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane system, thus closing the catalytic cycle (Scheme 3).

Catalytic hydrogenation and isomerization of olefins by 12vertex rhodacarboranes was first reported by Hawthorne and co-workers.^{20–26} The catalytic activity of these compounds was attributed to formation of B-Rh(III)-H species formed by oxidative addition of terminal B-H bonds to Rh(I) centers in exo-nido-rhodacarboranes. These exo-nido precursors are best described as 16-electron Rh(I) square-planar complexes comprising an organometallic {RhL₂} fragment bound to an 11vertex nido-carborane cluster, which is acting as a bidentate chelating ligand via two B-H bonds. In the proposed mechanism²⁰⁻²⁶ the B-H-Rh(I) species is in equilibrium with the catalytically active B-Rh(III)-H intermediates in which the *nido*-carborane is now bound in a monodentate fashion to the metal center through a boron atom. Hydrogenation of olefins by these metallacarboranes may therefore be regarded as a single-site catalytic process similar to the mechanism usually adopted by monohydride metal complexes.⁵⁰

According to the mechanism proposed here, reduction of the alkene takes place at the rhodium center in the 2/3/5 rhodathiaborane system, but supported by calculation, the cluster then promotes the final transfer of the second hydrogen atom to the Rh–alkyl group by means of a *nido* to *closo* transformation, conferring a rhodium/thioborane bifunctional character to the process. *Nido* to *closo* transformations are fairly common in polyhedral boron chemistry.^{8–14} This is, however, the first time that effective oxidation/reduction has been coupled catalytically with hydrogenation of olefins.

Conclusions

The labile nature of the PPh₃ ligand trans to the 9 boron in $[8,8,8-(PPh_3)_2H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-RhSB_9H_9]$ (2) promotes isomerization and hydrogenation of olefins. DFT calculations and experimental results support a rhodium thiaborane bifunctional mechanism that, for the transfer of two hydrogen atoms to the C=C bond, combines the first part of the classical single-site monohydride route with a *nido* to *closo* cluster conversion. The resulting 11-vertex *closo*-rhodathiaboranes **3** and **5** activate dihydrogen, regenerating the *nido* parent compound **2** and initiating a new catalytic cycle. The mechanism for activation of H₂ by the *closo* species is uncertain, but according to DFT calculations and our experimental observations, a concerted process with some bifunctional character involving interaction of H₂ with the metal center and thiaborane fragment is reasonable. Dehydrogenative *nido* to *closo* oxidation of the bis-

PPh₃-ligated *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane **2** can be thermally or chemically promoted. Not surprisingly, the nature of the exopolyhedral metal ligands change the reactivity of the clusters with dehydrogenation/H2-activation being reversible for the bis-PPh₃-ligated 2/5 system and irreversible for the CO-ligated *closo* compound 4. The reactivity of the clusters described here combines fundamental principles of organometallic chemistry and metallaborane chemistry, leading to new mechanisms for hydrogenation of olefins and activation of H₂. These results are an unusual overlap between polyhedral boron chemistry and organometallic chemistry, demonstrating that, similarly to transition-metal complexes, metallaheteroboranes may become useful reagents for functionalization of organic substrates. Although more mechanistic studies are needed in order to fully determine the kinetics of the alkene hydrogenation and activation of dihydrogen, the observed reactivity appears to be driven by the redox and structural flexibility of the 11-vertex rhodathiaboranes. These polyhedral compounds can be, in principle, tailored for chemical hydrogen storage and hydrogenation of olefins, suggesting a rich chemistry based on 11-vertex closo/ nido metallaheteroboranes.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk-line techniques.⁵¹ Solvents were obtained from an Innovative Technology Solvent Purification System. All commercial reagents were used as received without further purification. The 10-vertex arachno-thiaborane salt, CsSB₉H₁₂, was purchased from Katchem. The starting rhodathiaborane, [8,8-(PPh₃)₂-8,7-RhSB₉H₁₀] (1), was prepared by published methods.¹ C, H, N, and S analyses were carried out in a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHNS/O analyzer. Infrared spectra were recorded in KBr with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 MHz and AV 400 MHz spectrometers using ^{11}B , $^{11}B\{^{1}H\}$, ^{1}H , $^{1}H\{^{11}B\}$, $^{1}H\{^{11}B\}$ (selective)}, ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$, and $[{}^{1}H-{}^{1}H]$ -COSY techniques. ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR chemical shifts were measured relative to partially deuterated solvent peaks but are reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane. ¹¹B chemical shifts were measured relative to [BF₃(OEt)₂)]. ³¹P (121.48 MHz) chemical shifts were measured relative to H₃PO₄ (85%). The temperature of the probe was calibrated using the temperature dependence of the chemical shifts of methanol. Mass spectrometry data were recorded on a VG Autospec double-focusing mass spectrometer, a microflex MALDI-TOF, and a ESQUIRE 3000+ API-TRAP, operating in either positive or negative modes. GC analyses were performed on an Agilent 6890N Network System equipped with a flame ionization detector and a 30 m (0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) HP-Ultra-1 column. X-ray crystallographic files for 2 and 3 were deposited in the Supporting Information of the preliminary communication (ref 34).

Reaction of [8,8-(PPh₃)₂-*nido*-RhSB₉H₁₀] (1) with Pyridine. An 8 mg amount of 1 (0.0104 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL of CD₂Cl₂ in a 5 mm NMR tube, and then 3.37 mL of pyridine was added to the bright-red solution of the rhodathiaborane. The resulting solution was examined by NMR spectroscopy at room temperature. The data revealed the quantitative formation of [8,8,8-(PPh₃)₂H-9-(NC₅H₅)-*nido*-RhSB₉H₉] (2). Anal. Calcd for C₄₁H₄₅B₉-NP₂RhS•(CH₂Cl₂): C, 54.19; H, 5.09; N, 1.50; S, 3.44. Found: C, 54.24; H, 5.03; N, 1.60; S, 3.69. IR (KBr, disk): ν 2533 vs (BH), 2042 m (RhH). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K): δ 8.56 (m, 2H; NC₅H₅), 7.86 (m, 1H; NC₅H₅), 7.74 (t, 7.6 Hz, 1H; NC₅H₅), 7.67 (m, 1H; NC₅H₅), 7.37-7.02 (30H; 2PPh₃), -12.46 (apparent q, ²*J*(H,P) + ¹*J*(H,Rh) =18.3 Hz, 1H, Rh(PPh₃)₂H). ³¹P{¹H}NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K): δ 33.2 [d, ¹*J*(Rh,P) = 128 Hz; PPh₃].

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Oro, L. A.; Carmona, D. In *Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation*; De Vries, J. G., Elsevier, C. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2007; Vol. 1

⁽⁵¹⁾ Shriver, D. F.; Drezdzon, M. A. *The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986.

Table 4. Crystallographic Data and Structure Refinement Information for $[8,8,8-(PPh_3)_2H-9-(NC_5H_5)-nido-8,7-RhSB_9H_9]$ (2) and $[1-(PPh_3)-1-(\eta^2-C_2H_4)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8]$ (3)

	2	3
empirical formula	C41H45B9NP2RhS·2CHCl3	C ₂₅ H ₃₂ B ₉ NPRh S•CDCl ₃
mol wt	1084.71	730.12
cryst dimens (mm)	$0.07 \times 0.10 \times 0.16$	0.13×0.19×0.19
cryst syst	monoclinic	orthorhombic
space group	$P2_1$	Pbca
a (Å)	12.922(3)	13.0047(8)
b (Å)	15.436(3)	19.9544(12)
<i>c</i> (Å)	12.930(3)	24.4736(15)
β (deg)	109.481(3)	
$V(Å^3)$	2431.7(8)	6350.9(7)
Ζ	2	8
D_{calcd} (g/cm ³)	1.480	1.527
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.823	0.928
θ range (deg)	1.7-27.0	1.7-28.0
min and max trans	0.8795, 0.9469	0.8449, 0.8921
no. of reflns collected	15295	40397
no. of unique reflns (R_{int})	7878 (0.058)	7537
no. of refins obsd $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	6575	6324
no. of data/restraints/params	7878/25/587	7537/0/483
GOF	1.04	1.21
R indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	R1 = 0.0644 wR2 = 0.1306	R1 = 0.0512 wR2 = 0.1081
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0814 wR2 = 0.1387	R1 = 0.0644 wR2 = 0.1306
largest diff peak and hole (e/ $Å^3$)	0.95, -1.73	0.95, -0.85

³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃, 223 K): δ 36.4 [br dd, ¹*J*(Rh,P) = 128 Hz, ²*J*(P,P) \approx 14 Hz not well resolved due to the broadness of the peak], 30.6 [dd, ¹*J*(Rh,P) = 128 Hz, ²*J*(P,P) = 19 Hz]. LRMS (MALDI⁺): *m/z* 582 [M - (PPh₃) - 2H]⁺ (isotope envelope). Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are gathered in Table 4.

Compound **2** was also prepared on a larger scale from reaction of **1** (80 mg, 0.104 mmol) with pyridine (33 μ L, 0.4173 mmol) in dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, and the rhodathiaborane **2** was crystallized from CH₂Cl₂/hexane to give 61 mg of product (0.07 mmol, 75 %).

Reaction of [8,8,8-(PPh₃)₂H-9-(NC₅H₅)-nido-RhSB₉H₉] (2) with C₂H₄. In a 5 mm NMR tube, 8 mg of 1, dissolved in 0.7 mL of CD₂Cl₂, was treated with a four-fold excess of pyridine at room temperature to give the hydride-containing species 2. After bubbling ethene through the solution, the reaction mixture was studied by NMR spectroscopy, which showed, after several hours, the quantitative formation of the 11-vertex closo rhodathiaborane, [1,1- $(PPh_3)(\eta^2-C_2H_4)-3-(NC_5H_5)-closo-1,2-RhSB_9H_8$] (3). The nido to closo oxidation is concomitant with reduction of ethene to yield ethane (see Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₂B₉NPRhS • (CH₂Cl₂): C, 41.59; H, 4.65; N, 1.80; S, 4.11. Found: C, 41.33; H, 4.35; N, 1.88; S, 4.48. IR (KBr, disk, 4000-450 cm⁻¹): v 2514 vs (BH), 2468 vs (BH), 2033 w, 1619 m, 1479 s, 1458s s, 1433 s, 1263 m, 1156 m, 1090 s, 1004 m, 933 m, 744 m, 693 s, 527 s, 493 m. IR (neat, 480–200 cm⁻¹): ν 455 m (Rh-C₂H₄), v 424 m (Rh-C₂H₄), 329 (RhP). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , 300 K): δ 9.28 (m, 1H, NC₅H₅), 8.57 (m, 2H, NC₅H₅), 7.74 (t, 7.6 Hz, 1H, NC5H5), 7.67 (m, 1H, NC5H5), 7.79-7.02 (15H, 1P(C₆H₅)₃), 2.27 (m, 2H, C₂H₄), 2.05 (m, 2H, C₂H₄). ³¹P{¹H} NMR $(121 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 300 \text{ K}): 39.7 \text{ [d, } {}^1J(\text{Rh},\text{P}) = 139 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{PPh}_3\text{]}.$ ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 300 K): δ 48.9, br s, 2C, $H_2C = CH_2$ (correlates with the two broad multiples at $\delta_H 2.27$ and 2.05). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 193 K): δ 49.3, br d, ${}^{1}J(Rh,C) = 55$ Hz; 46.2, br d, ${}^{1}J(Rh,C) = 40$ Hz. LRMS (MALDI⁺): m/z 582 [M - C₂H₄]⁺ (isotope envelope). Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are gathered in Table 4.

Compound **3** may be prepared on a larger scale. For example, 45 mg of the ethene-ligated *closo*-rhodathiaborane was prepared by stirring of 80 mg (0.104 mmol) of **1** with four-fold excess of pyridine in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 for 2 h to form the hydridorhodathiaborane **2**. Exposure of the solution to an ethene atmosphere, by

means of a balloon attached to the Schlenk tube, then afforded compound **3** in 4 h. Purification of the product was accomplished by crystallization from CH_2Cl_2 /hexane in 70 % yield.

Reaction of 2 with 1-Hexene. In a Schlenk tube, 2 mg (0.0025 mmol) of **2** was dissolved in 0.6 mL of CH_2Cl_2 together with a 20-fold excess of 1-hexene. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by GC, and after 3 days, the mixture contained 17 % of 2-hexene, 7 % of 3-hexene, and 1 % of hexane. We did not observe formation of hexane or the associated *nido* to *closo* transformation of **2**.

Reaction of 2 with Cyclohexane. A 2 mg (0.0025 mmol) amount of **2** was dissolved in 0.6 mL of CD_2Cl_2 together with a 20-fold excess of cyclohexane. No products were observed after seven days.

[1,1-(PPh₃)(CO)-3-(NC₅H₅)-*closo*-1,2-RhSB₉H₈] (4). Method 1. An orange-red solution of 3 (100 mg, 0.130 mmol) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was stirred under an atmosphere of CO(g) at room temperature for 2 days during which time the initial bright-red solution became yellow. The final mixture was filtered through Celite, the solvent reduced in volume, and hexane added leading to precipitation of a yellow product, which was washed repeatedly with hexane to give 63 mg of 4 (0.104 mmol, 80 %). IR (KBr, disk, 4000–450 cm⁻¹): ν 2524 s (BH), 2474 vs (BH), 1980 vs (CO), 1619 m, 1480 m, 1456 m, 1433 m, 1167 m, 1095 m, 1005 s, 935 m, 745 m, 692 s, 526 s. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K): δ 9.12 (d, 6.0 Hz, 2H; NC₅H₅), 8.20 (t, 7.7 Hz, 1H; NC₅H₅), 7.64 (t, 7.7 Hz,2H; NC₅H₅), 7.40–7.26 (15H; P(C₆H₅)₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K): 37.9 [d, ¹J(Rh,P) = 132 Hz; 1PPh₃].

Method 2. A 25 mg (0.029 mmol) amount of 2 was dissolved in 8 mL of CH₂Cl₂; then, after three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, the frozen-evacuated solution was exposed to an atmosphere of CO(g) and stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The final orange solution was reduced in volume, and hexane was added, precipitating an orange-yellow product, which was washed repeatedly with hexane to give 15 mg (0.025 mmol; 85 %) of 4.

[1,1-(PPh₃)₂-3-(NC₅H₅)-*closo*-1,2-RhSB₉H₈] (5). A solution of 2 (30 mg, 0.035 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was heated at reflux in an argon atmosphere for 48 h. The final red solution was evaporated under vacuum to give a red residue, which was subsequently crystallized from dichloromethane/hexane to give 22 mg of product (0.026mmol; 74%). IR (KBr, disk, 4000–450 cm⁻¹): ν 2506 vs (BH), 1261 s (BH), 1093 s, 1022 s, 799 s, 694 m. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂,

300 K): δ 8.90 (d, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 5.4$ Hz, 2H; C₅H₅N), 8.26 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.6$ Hz, 1H; C₅H₅N), 7.66 (t, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 6.6$ Hz, 2H, C₅H₅N), 7.32–6.99 (30H, 2P(C₆H₅)₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (121 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 300 K): 39.6 [d, ${}^{1}J({}^{103}\text{Rh}{-}^{31}\text{P}) = 147$ Hz, 2PPh₃]. LRMS (MALDI⁺): m/z 571 [M – BH – PPh₃]⁺ (isotope envelope).

Reaction of 3–5 with H₂(g). In a typical reaction, around 10 mg (0.016 mmol) of the *closo*-rhodathiaborane was placed in a screw-capped NMR tube and dissolved in 0.6 mL of CD₂Cl₂. The NMR tube was cooled in liquid nitrogen, evacuated, and then filled with dihydrogen. The reaction was monitored at different times by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, and conversion was followed by integration of particular peaks in the ¹H{¹¹B} spectrum. The integrals for each experiment are listed in the Supporting Information. In addition, reaction of the C₂H₄-ligated *closo*-rhodathiaborane **3** with dihydrogen was studied under the following conditions: (i) In CD₂Cl₂ solvent, (iii) in CD₂Cl₂ solvent with 1 equiv of free PPh₃, and (iv) in (CD₃)₂CO.

Reaction of 3 with D₂(g). As in the reaction with H₂(g) above. **Catalytic Hydrogenation.** To test the catalytic activity of **2** for the hydrogenation of olefins, two reactions between *nido*-hydridorhodathiaborane **2** and cyclohexene or 1-hexene were conducted in screw-capped NMR tubes: (i) 5 μ L (0.050 mmol) of cyclohexane and 6 μ L mL of *iso*-octane were added to **2** (2 mg, 0.003 mmol) dissolved in 0.8 mL of CH₂Cl₂; (ii) 5 μ L (0.040 mmol) of 1-hexene and 6 μ L of *iso*-octane were added to a solution of **2** (1.7 mg, 0.002 mmol)in 0.8 mLof CHCl₃. Each sample was freeze—pump—thawed and then exposed, while still immersed in the liquid nitrogen, to an atmosphere of dihydrogen (rubber-gas balloon) for 1 min. The NMR tubes were then immersed in an oil bath at 60 °C, and the reactions were monitored by GC using the *iso*-octane peak as an internal reference.

Calculations. All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 98 and Gaussian 03 packages.⁵² Structures were initially optimized using standard ab initio methods with the STO-3G* basis sets for B, C, P, S, N, and H with the LANL2DZ basis set for the metal atoms. The final optimizations, including frequency analyses to

confirm the true minima, together with GIAO NMR nuclearshielding predictions, were performed using B3LYP methodology with the 6-31G* and LANL2DZ basis sets. GIAO NMR nuclear shielding predictions were performed on the final optimized geometries, and computed ¹¹B shielding values were related to chemical shifts by comparison with the calculated value for B₂H₆, which was taken to be $\delta(^{11}B)$ +16.6 ppm relative to the F₃B·OEt₂ = 0.0 ppm standard. In each case the compounds were modeled using hydrogen atoms rather than phenyl groups on the phosphine ligands in order to reduce computation time.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (MEC, Spain) (Factoría de Cristalización, CONSOLIDER INGENIO-2010 and grant CTQ2006-01629). R.M. thanks the MEC-Universidad de Zaragoza and the European Social Fund for his Research Contract in the framework of the "Ramón y Cajal" Program. A.A. thanks the IUCH for a fellowship. J.B. thanks professors J.D. Kennedy and M.J. Pilling for the use of facilities. J.B. was supported in part by the Czech Academy of Sciences Grant No. IAA400320601 and the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic Project No. 203 3982.

Supporting Information Available: Complete ref 52; figures for ¹H and ³¹P spectra of **2** and **3**; attached proton test spectrum of **2**; conversions of **2**, **3**, and **5** in their reaction with H₂; GC data; computational details, coordinates of optimized geometries, and calculated energies for all themodel compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

JA802993M

⁽⁵²⁾ Pople, J. A., et al. *Gaussian 03*, revision B.05; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.