Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 25 (2015) 3039-3043

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl

Biaryls as potent, tunable dual neurokinin 1 receptor antagonists and serotonin transporter inhibitors



Andrew P. Degnan^{*}, George O. Tora, Ying Han, Ramkumar Rajamani, Robert Bertekap, Rudolph Krause, Carl D. Davis, Joanna Hu, Daniel Morgan, Sarah J. Taylor, Kelly Krause, Yu-Wen Li, Gail Mattson, Melissa A. Cunningham, Matthew T. Taber, Nicholas J. Lodge, Joanne J. Bronson, Kevin W. Gillman, John E. Macor

Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Research and Development, 5 Research Parkway, Wallingford, CT 06492, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 February 2015 Accepted 30 April 2015 Available online 2 June 2015

Keywords: Neurokin 1 NK₁ SERT SSRI Serotonin transport inhibitors Neuroscience discovery

ABSTRACT

Depression is a serious illness that affects millions of patients. Current treatments are associated with a number of undesirable side effects. Neurokinin 1 receptor (NK₁R) antagonists have recently been shown to potentiate the antidepressant effects of serotonin-selective reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in a number of animal models. Herein we describe the optimization of a biaryl chemotype to provide a series of potent dual NK₁R antagonists/serotonin transporter (SERT) inhibitors. Through the choice of appropriate substituents, the SERT/NK₁R ratio could be tuned to afford a range of target selectivity profiles. This effort culminated in the identification of an analog that demonstrated oral bioavailability, favorable brain uptake, and efficacy in the gerbil foot tap model. Ex vivo occupancy studies with compound **58** demonstrated the ability to maintain NK₁ receptor saturation (>88% occupancy) while titrating the desired level of SERT occupancy (11–84%) via dose selection.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Major depressive disorder is a serious and debilitating illness that has a lifetime prevalence in the United States of >16%.¹ It is a leading cause of disability as measured by years lost to disability and is the fourth leading contributor to the global burden of disease as measured by disability-adjusted life years.² The treatment of depression was revolutionized in 1988 with the approval of fluoxetine, the first serotonin-selective reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), in the United States.³ Despite the improvements in safety and tolerability made by the advent of this and other SSRIs, these agents have been associated with a number of negative side effects, including sexual dysfunction, weight gain, nausea, insomnia, and somnolence.³ As such, there remains a serious unmet medical need that awaits the identification of new pharmacological approaches for the treatment of depression.

Neurokinin 1 receptor (NK₁R) antagonists were first reported to have anti-depressant activity in clinical trials in 1998 by Kramer and co-workers.⁴ In these trials, aprepitant (**1**) showed improvement in symptoms of anxiety and depression that was comparable to the well-established SSRI, paroxetine. Aprepitant was found to be well-tolerated at all doses, with adverse events comparable to those of placebo. For example, sexual side effects occurred in 26% of study participants given paroxetine versus 3% given aprepitant. Despite this early promising result, a subsequent dose-finding study with aprepitant failed to show superior efficacy to placebo and development for depression was discontinued.⁵

More recently, it has been suggested that NK₁R antagonists potentiate the antidepressant effects of SSRIs.⁶ For instance, it has been shown that combination of sub-active doses of citalopram or paroxetine with an inactive dose of NK₁R antagonist GR205171 reduces immobility of Swiss mice in the forced swim test.⁷ A similar result was observed in our own labs using paroxetine and aprepitant in the gerbil forced swim test.⁸ As a part of our studies, ex vivo occupancy of both the NK₁ receptor and the serotonin transporter (SERT) was measured. It was found that by maintaining high NK₁ receptor occupancy, the SERT occupancy could be reduced while retaining activity in the gerbil forced swim test. This result offered the hope of delivering an antidepressant with fewer side effects than with an SSRI alone.

It was in this context that we undertook the development of a dual NK₁R/SERT antagonist.⁹ From the occupancy experiments described above, it appeared that high NK₁ receptor occupancy would allow us to reduce SERT occupancy and, theoretically, reduce the potential for SSRI-related side effects. Our studies suggested a SERT inhibition/NK₁R antagonism IC₅₀ ratio of approximately 10

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 203 677 5750; fax: +1 203 677 7702. *E-mail address:* andrew.degnan@bms.com (A.P. Degnan).

or greater would allow us to achieve the desired receptor/transporter occupancy profile.

In an earlier paper,^{9b} we reported that **2** was a potent dual NK₁R antagonist and SERT inhibitor. We sought to improve the NK₁R potency of this series (and consequently increase the SERT/NK₁R ratio) and focused our initial work around modification of the bis-trifluoromethylphenyl ring. We were inspired in this endeavor by the work of Ward and co-workers,¹⁰ who showed that the 3,5-bistrifluoromethylphenyl of NK₁R antagonists such as aprepitant (**1**) could be replaced with a 2-methoxy-5-tetrazolylphenyl to afford compounds such as **3** (Fig. 1). Importantly, this substitution gave dramatic improvements in both metabolic stability and oral bioavailability. In a subsequent disclosure,¹¹ it was reported that substitution of C-5 of the tetrazole with small alkyl groups was also well-tolerated to afford compounds **4** and **5**. We sought to apply this modification to our series.

The first compound prepared in this endeavor was **6** (Table 1). Although this analog retained nanomolar potency against both targets, we were disappointed to find that it was significantly less potent than the parent bis-trifluoromethylphenyl derivative, 2. Additionally, this analog was somewhat more potent against SERT than NK₁R, contrary to our desired profile (vide supra). Given this result, we investigated the possibility of replacement of the 2-methoxy substituent with the 3-trifluoromethyl group of our earlier lead series. Unfortunately, compound 7 was less potent than our earlier lead (2) and had an unfavorable SERT/NK₁R ratio. In earlier work,¹¹ substitution of the tetrazole with a trifluoromethyl group gave maximum NK₁R potency, however on our substrate, just the opposite trend was observed with compound 8 having a still lower SERT/NK₁R ratio. Our most favorable balance of potency and ratio was realized with the C-linked tetrazole 10, which had an NK₁R antagonism and SERT inhibition ratio of \sim 1. Interestingly, the desmethyl tetrazole 9 and the isomeric Nmethyltetrazole 11 achieved a desirable potency for SERT inhibition but did not have sufficient potency for NK₁R antagonism to achieve the targeted potency profile.

Encouraged by the result with **10**, we sought to more extensively explore SAR around biaryl ring systems, focusing most of our work on C-linked aryl and heteroaryl substituents. The results of these studies are summarized in Table 2. In general, 6-membered aromatic systems gave the best combination of potency and reasonable SERT/NK₁R ratios. We were especially encouraged by phenyl-containing **12**, having single digit nanomolar potency at both targets and 4-pyridyl **13**, having single digit nanomolar potency against NK₁R and an ideal SERT/NK₁R ratio (10). Smaller heterocycles such as furan (**15**), thiazole (**16**), and those in Table 1 tended to have lower NK₁R potency and lower SERT/NK₁R ratios. Larger bicyclic aromatics (compounds **17** and **18**) tended toward lower affinities at both targets and were not pursued further.

Table 1

SAR studies: in vitro potency of tetrazoles **6–11**^{a,b}





^a Binding assays performed by methods described in Ref. 8.

^b All binding values represent a minimum of 2 replicates.

Encouraged by these results, we expanded our understanding around the SAR of 6-membered aryls through the preparation of an extensive biaryl library using a combination of Suzuki and Stille coupling approaches. The requisite precursors were prepared



Figure 1. Structures of literature NK₁R antagonists.

Table 2 SAR studies: in vitro potency of diverse biaryls 12–18



Compound	Aryl	NK1R IC50 (nM)	SERT IC ₅₀ (nM)	SERT/NK1R ratio
12	ξ	6.3	3.7	0.6
13	ξΝ	1.6	16	10
14	ξ	41	7.4	0.2
15	ξ-(47	18	0.4
16	ξ-(N) S	70	4.1	0.06
17	ξ-	120	110	0.9
18		540	120	0.2

as outlined in Schemes 1 and 2. To begin, nitro acid 19 was reduced in two steps to hydroxy aniline 20. A modified Sandmeyer reaction¹² converted the aniline to the corresponding aryl bromide 21. Conversion of the hydroxyl group to the benzyl bromide provided intermediate 22 (Scheme 1) for coupling to the piperidine. Preparation of the piperidine began with the commercially available piperidine acid 23 (Scheme 2). Protection of the nitrogen as the tert-butyl carbamate and reduction of the acid by the action of borane-THF yielded alcohol 24. Benzyl bromide 22 and alcohol 24 were efficiently coupled by the action of sodium hydride in DMF to afford the corresponding ether in 96% yield (Scheme 2). Aryl bromide **25** proved itself to be a competent coupling partner in Suzuki reactions with arylboronic acids. Alternately, the bromide could be converted to the corresponding stannane for use in Stille couplings. This greatly increased the number of commercially available coupling partners by expanding the potential coupling partners from arylboronic acids to arylhalides. Removal of



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) BH₃-THF, THF (85%); (b) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH (85%); (c) CuBr₂, *t*-butylnitrite, MeCN (69%); (d) NBS, PPh₃, THF (76%).



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) Boc₂O, Et₃N, THF (98%); (b) BH₃–THF, THF (97%); (c) NaH, **22**, DMF (96%); (d) ArB(OH)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄, 1 N KOH; (e) 33% TFA in DCM; (f) *n*-BuLi, THF then Bu₃SnCl (79%); (g) ArBr, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, MeCN.

the *tert*-butyl carbamate by treatment with TFA/DCM completed the synthesis. In all, approximately 150 compounds were prepared by these methods.

Following up on lead **13**, a variety of substituted 4-pyridines were prepared. While several retained useful levels of potency against both targets (data not shown), none gave a better combination of potency and SERT/NK₁R ratio than that of the unsubstituted 4-pyridyl (**13**). On the other hand, a number of phenyl substituents were found to have beneficial effects on both potency and SERT/NK₁R ratio in the biphenyl-containing series (Table 3). In general, substitution of the 4-position was preferred relative to substitution of other positions. Substitution of the 4-position with either cyano or alkoxy groups gave compounds with potent NK₁R

Table 3

SAR studies: effect of biphenyl substitution on potency



Compound	R	$NK_1R IC_{50}$ (nM)	SERT IC ₅₀ (nM)	SERT/NK1R ratio
27	2-Cl	68	6.4	0.1
28	2-F	23	7.6	0.3
29	2-Me	130	13	0.1
30	$2-NO_2$	6.5	3.5	0.5
31	2-OMe	54	4.2	0.1
32	3-CH ₃	24	14	0.6
33	3-CN	49	10	0.2
34	3-F	5.0	6.3	1
35	3-NH ₂	25	30	1
36	3-NO ₂	5.2	4.2	0.8
37	3-0H	13	27	2
38	3,4-	7.1	45	6
	OCH ₂ O			
39	4-CF ₃	30	36	1
40	4-Cl	2.8	1.8	0.6
41	4-CO ₂ Me	17	25	1
42	4-F	2.2	1.5	0.7
43	4-Me	8.4	6.4	0.8
44	4-NMe ₂	18	3.5	0.2
45	4-NO ₂	3.8	7.3	2
46	4-OEt	3.4	14	4
47	4-0H	3.6	27	8
48	4-OMe	0.93	15	16
49	4-CN	0.45	4.0	9

antagonism and favorable SERT/NK₁R ratios. The most attractive compound identified was the 4-cyanophenyl analog **49**, which had potent NK₁R antagonism and SERT inhibition (NK₁R IC₅₀ = 0.45 nM; SERT IC₅₀ = 4.0 nM) and a nearly ideal ratio (9).

Additional analogs were prepared around the 4-alkoxy and 4cyano 6-membered aryls to further refine our leads. No analogs were identified within the 4-alkoxy series that showed any improvements in potency over those outlined in Table 3 (data not shown). A number of compounds in the 4-cyano series were found to have attractive potencies and ratios and led to the identification of a number of related compounds with varying SERT/NK1R profiles (Table 4). Incorporation of fluorine onto C-2 (50), gave a compound with a profile very similar to that of 4-cyanophenyl lead 49. Replacement of both the C-2 and C-6 protons with fluorine (51), boosted the SERT/NK₁R ratio to 22 while retaining single digit nanomolar potency against SERT. Alternately, substitution with 2.5-difluoro (52), 2-chloro (54), 2-methyl (55), or 2-aza (57) gave compounds with high potency (<10 nM) against both NK₁R and SERT, but lower levels of NK₁R selectivity (SERT/NK₁R IC₅₀'s = 2-5). In this series, only compound 56 was found to be more selective for SERT. This compound had low nanomolar potency against both targets with a SERT/NK₁R ratio of 0.5.

Table 4

SAR studies: impact of cyanobiphenyl functionalization on SERT/NK1R ratio



Compound	Aryl	NK1R IC50 (nM)	SERT IC ₅₀ (nM)	SERT/NK1R ratio
50	ξ-CN	1.1	8.9	8
51	ξCN F	0.32	7.0	22
52	ξCN F	0.91	3.7	4
53	ξ F F F	4.8	47	10
54	ξ	1.1	3.1	3
55	ξ- (-CN	1.2	2.7	2
56	ξ	8.1	4.0	0.5
57	ξ- (N CN	1.9	10	5

Given its excellent potency and its near ideal SERT/NK₁R ratio, **49** was prioritized for advancement into behavioral studies. NK₁R radioligand binding studies have shown significant species differences. Whilst mouse and rat receptor binding have proven poor predictors for human pharmacology, the gerbil and guinea pig have demonstrated good receptor homology with human.¹³ As such, our screening tier utilized the gerbil foot tap model¹⁴ as an in vivo target engagement model. In this assay, the ability of an NK₁R antagonist, dosed orally, to block foot tapping induced by i.c.v. administration of an NK₁R agonist (GR-73632) was measured. We were disappointed to find that **49**, despite its excellent in vitro potency, failed to give a significant reduction in foot tapping. Measurement of drug concentration in the plasma and brain indicated poor brain uptake (Table 6), and suggested that poor brain penetrance was to blame for the lack of behavioral efficacy.

It was hypothesized that brain penetration could be improved by removal of a hydrogen bond donor via substitution of the nitrogen of the piperidine. To this end, a small library of N-alkylated piperidines was prepared (Table 5). Although substitution had little effect on NK₁R potency, it was found that SERT potency was exquisitely sensitive to the steric bulk of the substituent. Only substitution with a methyl group (**58**) was tolerated, affording only a small (ca. 2 fold) loss in potency at SERT. Substitution with larger groups (compounds **59–62**) was accompanied by dramatic reductions in SERT potency (>100 fold).

Compound 58 was advanced into the gerbil foot tap model. We were gratified to find that 58, differing by only a single methyl group, gave a robust reduction in foot tapping (Table 6). Again, plasma and brain concentrations were measured. They indicated greatly enhanced brain uptake ([brain] = 1.3μ M; B:P = 2.5) relative to unmethylated derivative **49** ([brain] = 0.19μ M; B:P = 0.52). Activity in the gerbil foot tap model, suggested significant occupancy of the NK₁ receptor, but said nothing about the occupancy of serotonin transporters. To assess the absolute and relative level of occupancy at both targets, ex vivo occupancy experiments were performed with compound 58 across a range of doses in gerbil (Fig. 2). ¹²⁵I-substance P and ³H-citalopram were used to assess NK₁R and SERT occupancy, respectively. To our delight, NK₁ receptor occupancy was high (88-102% across all doses) while serotonin transporter occupancy increased in a dose-dependent manner from 11% (@3 mg/kg) to 84% (@30 mg/kg). This target profile suggested the ability to maintain NK1 receptor saturation while titrating (via dose selection) SERT occupancy up to a level which achieves clinical efficacy without the mechanism-based side effects that can occur at high SERT occupancies.

 Table 5

 SAR studies: impact of piperidine alkylation on potency



Compound	R	$NK_{1}R\ IC_{50}\ (nM)$	SERT $IC_{50}(nM)$	SERT/NK ₁ R ratio
49	Н	0.45	4.0	9
58	Me	0.29	9.5	33
59	Et	0.25	420	1700
60	<i>i</i> -Pr	0.39	450	1200
61	c-Pr	1.8	2100	1200
62	Bn	2.0	800	400

Table 6

Impact of piperidine alkylation on activity in gerbil foot tap model and brain uptake

Compound	49	58
$hNK_1R IC_{50} (nM)$	0.59	0.20
Foot Tapping ^a (% inh @ 10 mpk, po)	29% (ns) ^b	73%
Plasma Conc. (nM)	365	526
Brain Conc. (µM)	0.19	1.3
Brain:Plasma	0.52	2.5

^a Gerbils treated with test compound (10 mg/kg p.o.). After 4 h, animals anesthetized with isoflurane and treated with GR-73632 (3 pmol, i.c.v.). Foot tapping recorded for 5 min after animal regains righting reflex (2–3 min post-injection).
 ^b ns = not significant.



Figure 2. Ex vivo NK₁R and SERT occupancy in gerbils: Dose response of compound **58**. Gerbils treated with test compound (po). Ex vivo occupancy assessed at 4 h.

In conclusion, it was found that biaryls are suitable replacements for the bis-trifluoromethyl group of **1**. Replacement of one of the trifluoromethyl groups with a 4-cyanophenyl gives a compound with significantly improved potency against NK₁R to afford a compound with an improved SERT/NK₁R ratio. By selection of appropriate substitutions around the 4-cyanophenyl ring, a number of highly potent compounds were identified which were differentiated primarily by their SERT/NK₁R ratio. Methylation of the piperidine afforded a compound that enhanced oral bioavailability and greatly improved brain penetration. Ex vivo occupancy studies with compound **58** demonstrated the ability to maintain NK₁ receptor saturation (>88% occupancy) while titrating the desired level of SERT occupancy (11–84%) via dose selection. Additional characterization of compound **58** will be disclosed in due course.

References and notes

- Kessler, R. C.; Berglund, P.; Demler, O.; Jin, R.; Koretz, D.; Merikangas, K. R.; Rush, A. J.; Walters, E. E.; Wang, P. S. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 2003, 289, 3095.
- 2. World Health Organization website: http://www.who.int/mental_ health/management/depression/definition/en/. Downloaded 2/22/2010.
- 3. Ferguson, J. M. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2001, 3, 22.
- Kramer, M. S.; Cutler, N.; Feighner, J.; Shrivastava, R.; Carman, J.; Sramek, J. J.; Reines, S. A.; Liu, G.; Snavely, D.; Wyatt-Knowles, E.; Hale, J. J.; Mills, S. G.; MacCoss, M.; Swain, C. J.; Harrison, T.; Hill, R. G.; Hefti, F.; Scolnick, E. M.; Cascieri, M. A.; Chicchi, G. G.; Sadowski, S.; Williams, A. R.; Hewson, L.; Smith, D.; Carlson, E. J.; Hargreaves, R. J.; Rupniak, N. M. J. *Science* **1998**, *281*, 1640.
- (a) Rupniak, N. M. J.; Kramer, M. S. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 1999, 20, 485; (b) Herpfer, I.; Lieb, K. CNS Drugs 2005, 19, 275.
- Gobert, A.; Brocco, M.; Dekeyne, A.; Di Cara, B.; Bouchez, G.; Lejeune, F.; Gannon, R. L.; Millan, M. J. Neuropsychopharmacology 2009, 34, 1039.
- Chenu, F.; Guiard, B. P.; Bourin, M.; Gardier, A. M. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2006, *172*, 256.
 Lelas, S.; Li, Y.-W.; Wallace-Boone, T. L.; Taber, M. T.; Newton, A. E.; Pieschl, R.
- Leids, S.; El, Y.-W.; Wallace-Boolie, T. L.; Taber, M. T.; Newton, A. E.; Pieschi, K. L.; Davis, C. D.; Molski, T. F.; Newberry, K. S.; Parker, M. F.; Gillman, K. W.; Bronson, J. J.; Macor, J. E.; Lodge, N. J. Neuropharmacology **2013**, 73, 232.
- For other efforts to identify dual-acting NK,R/SERT antagonists, see: (a) Wu, Y.-J.; He, H.; Gao, Q.; Wu, D.; Bertekap, R.; Westphal, R. S.; Lelas, S.; Newton, A.; Wallace, T.; Taber, M.; Davis, C.; Macor, J. E.; Bronson, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2014, 24, 1611; (b) Wu, Y.-J.; He, H.; Bertekap, R.; Westphal, R.; Lelas, S.; Newton, A.; Wallace, T.; Taber, M.; Davis, C.; Macor, J. E.; Bronson, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013, 21, 2217; (c) Gillman, K. W.; Parker, M. F.; Silva, M.; Degnan, A. P.; Tora, G. O.; Lodge, N. J.; Li, Y.-W.; Lelas, S.; Taber, M.; Krause, R. G.; Bertekap, R. L.; Newton, A. E.; Pieschl, R. L.; Lengyel, K. D.; Johnson, K. A.; Taylor, S. J.; Bronson, J. J.; Macor, J. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 23, 407; (d) Millan, M. J.; Dekeyne, A.; Gobert, A.; Mannoury Ia Cour, C.; Brocco, M.; Rivet, J.-M.; DiCara, B.; Lejeune, F.; Cremers, T. L; Flik, G.; de Jong, T. R.; Olivier, B.; de Nanteuil, G. Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol. 2010, 20, 599.
- Ward, P.; Armour, D. R.; Bays, D. E.; Evans, B.; Giblin, G. M. P.; Heron, N.; Hubbard, T.; Liang, K.; Middlemiss, D.; Mordaunt, J.; Naylor, A.; Pegg, N. A.; Vinader, M. V.; Watson, S. P.; Bountra, C.; Evans, D. C. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 4985.
- Armour, D. R.; Chung, K. M. L.; Congreve, M.; Evans, B.; Guntrip, S.; Hubbard, T.; Kay, C.; Middlemiss, D.; Mordaunt, J. E.; Pegg, N. A.; Vinader, M. V.; Ward, P.; Watson, S. P. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 1015.
- 12. Doyle, M. P.; Siegfried, B.; Dellaria, J. F., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2426.
- (a) Beresford, I. J. M.; Birch, P. J.; Hagan, R. M.; Ireland, S. J. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1991, 104, 292; (b) Gitter, B. D.; Waters, D. C.; Bruns, R. F.; Mason, N. R.; Nixon, J. A.; Howbert, J. J. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1991, 197, 237.
- 14. Bristow, L. J.; Young, L. Eur. J. Pharm. 1994, 253, 245.