Optical resolution and racemization of areneseleninic acids

Toshio Shimizu, Yusuke Nakashima, Itaru Watanabe, Kazunori Hirabayashi and Nobumasa Kamigata *

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 12th July 2002, Accepted 6th August 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 3rd September 2002 PERKIN

Published on 03 September 2002. Downloaded by Christian Albrechts Universitat zu Kiel on 27/10/2014 11:40:14.

Various optically active areneseleninic acids (ArSeO₂H; Ar = 4-Me-C₆H₄; 4-MeO-C₆H₄; 2-MeO-C₆H₄; 2-MeO-C₆H₄; 2-MeO-C₆H₄; 2-MeO-C₆H₄; 2,4,6-Me₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Et₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Pr₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Bu₃-C₆H₂; 2,4-Bu₂-6-MeO-C₆H₄; 2-MeO-C₆H₄; 2-MeO-C₆H₄; 2,4,6-Me₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Pr₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Pr₃-Pr₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Pr₃-Pr₃-C₆H₂; 2,4,6-Pr₃

Introduction

Recently, our interest has been focused on the isolation and stereochemistry of optically active tricoordinated selenium and tellurium compounds.^{1,2} Many chiral tricoordinated selenium and tellurium compounds, such as oxides,^{3,4} onium salts,^{5,6} ylides,^{7,8} and imides^{9,10} have been isolated, and their properties have been clarified.^{1,2,11} Chalcogenic acids are also tricoordinated chalcogen compounds and are considered to be resolved into their enantiomers; however, optically active chalcogenic acids have not yet been isolated thus far, whereas chiral ¹⁶O, ¹⁸O-sulfinic acid salts {p-tol-S(¹⁶O)¹⁸O⁻M⁺; M⁺ = Li⁺, Na⁺} have been studied.¹² One reason for the lack of studies on chiral chalcogenic acids may be their facile racemization. The second reason is that there has been no superior technique for resolving these compounds into their enantiomers until recently. The third reason is that sulfinic acids readily undergo disproportionation to give the corresponding thiol sulfonates and sulfonic acids.¹³ However, areneseleninic acids do not disproportionate to the corresponding selenol selenonates and selenonic acids. Therefore, it would be possible to isolate optically active seleninic acids if the racemization were suppressed. We examined the optical resolution of various areneseleninic acids by means of liquid chromatography using an optically active column and found that seleninic acids could be optically resolved. However, they racemized in solution, particularly at high concentrations. Bulky alkyl and electron-donating groups on the benzene ring of areneseleninic acids were found to be effective for retarding the racemization.¹⁴ In this paper, we describe the optical resolution of various areneseleninic acids and their stability against racemization.



Results and discussion

Racemic areneseleninic acids 1–7 and 9 were prepared by oxidation of the corresponding diaryl diselenides with ozone followed by hydrolysis.¹⁵ Seleninic acid 8 was prepared by hydrolysis of the corresponding methyl seleninate.¹⁶

When toluene-4-seleninic acid (1) was subjected to highperformance liquid chromatography on an analytical scale at room temperature (propan-2-ol) using a chiral column (4.6 \times 250 mm) packed with amylose carbamate derivative-silica gel, two peaks corresponding to the two enantiomers were observed on the chromatogram, although their separation was not complete. This result indicates that the hydrogen atom in seleninic acid is fixed to a certain extent to one oxygen atom. In the cases of seleninic acids 2-5, unusual elution profiles, indicating that enantiomerization is occurring in the column, were observed in their respective chromatograms, although partial resolution was achieved, as shown in Fig. 1. When the chromatographic resolution of these seleninic acids was carried out at 0 °C, the elution profile of 5 was improved, and the two enantiomers could be optically resolved whereas no such improvement was observed for 2-4. In the cases of 6, 7, and 9 that have bulkier substituents, satisfactory separation was observed on an analytical scale. These results indicate that bulky alkyl substituents at the ortho position of the benzene ring are useful for retarding the enantiomerization in the column. However, the fact that the resolution of seleninic acid 8 was not complete may be due to insufficient recognition of the chirality because the two bulky alkyl substituents at the ortho position mask the chiral selenium center.

Optical resolution of the racemic areneseleninic acids 1-9 into their optical isomers on a preparative scale was examined on a larger column of the same type (10×250 mm) using medium-pressure liquid chromatography. In all cases, the firstand second-fractions containing the isomers were collected. However, concentration of the solutions by evaporation under reduced pressure yielded completely racemized seleninic acids. Therefore, specific rotation and circular dichroism spectrum of each enantiomer were measured in the eluate; concentrations were calculated based on the comparison of UV spectra with those of authentic racemic samples. In the cases of seleninic acids 5–9, their enantiomeric purities were determined by HPLC analysis. In these cases, enantiomerically pure seleninic

DOI: 10.1039/b206859c

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 2151–2155 2151

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2002



Fig. 1 Chromatographic resolution of racemic areneseleninic acids **1–9** on an optically active column packed with amylose carbamate derivative–silica gel by means of HPLC at an analytical scale at 25 °C. Eluent: (a) propan-2-ol; (b) hexane–propan-2-ol = 50 : 50; (c) propan-2-ol; (d) propan-2-ol; (e) hexane–propan-2-ol = 95 : 5; (f) hexane–propan-2-ol = 90 : 10 (at 0 °C); (g) hexane–propan-2-ol = 98 : 2; (h) hexane–propan-2-ol = 99 : 1; (i) hexane–propan-2-ol = 95 : 5.

 Table 1
 Optical purities and chiroptical properties of optically active areneseleninic acids

Compd	Order of elution	Optical purity ^a	[<i>a</i>] ₄₃₅ (conc)	CD λ_{max}/nm ([θ])
1	First	b	_	$255(+)^{c}$
2	First	_	_	224(-) 263(+) ^d
	Second	_		239(-) 263(-) ^d
	Second			239(+)
3	First	_	_	$291(-)^{c}$
	Second			$291 (+)^{c}$
4	First			$290 (+)^{c}$
	Second		_	$290 (-)^{c}$
5	First	100%	$+710 (c \ 0.01)^{e}$	$283 (+2.12 \times 10^4)^e$
				$229(-2.16 \times 10^4)$
	Second	58%	$-337 (c \ 0.01)^{e}$	$282(-1.54 \times 10^4)^e$
				$231 (+1.78 \times 10^4)$
6	First	100%	$+471 (c \ 0.01)^{j}$	$283 (+1.81 \times 10^4)^{7}$
	~ .	• • • • •		$239(-2.92 \times 10^{4})$
	Second	30%	$-132 (c \ 0.01)^{j}$	$284(-5.84 \times 10^3)^7$
_		1000/	100 (0.01) //	$238 (+9.06 \times 10^3)$
7	First	100%	$-493 (c \ 0.01)^{s}$	$282(-2.53 \times 10^{4})^{8}$
	0 1	(0)/	1 205 (0.01) 9	$240(+5.11 \times 10^{\circ})$
	Second	68%	$+395(c 0.01)^{\circ}$	$281(+1.89 \times 10^{-})^{\circ}$
0	Einst	260/	120(-0.02)h	$240(-5.88 \times 10)$
8	FIISt	30%0	-120(c 0.02)	$280(-5.10 \times 10^{3})$
	Second	100/	$\pm 80 (a 0 02)^{h}$	$248 (+7.00 \times 10^{-3})^{h}$
	Second	19/0	$\pm 80 (0.02)$	$263(+4.08 \times 10^{\circ})$ $247(-5.22 \times 10^{3})$
0	First	100%	$\pm 320 (c 0 02)^{j}$	$247(-3.22 \times 10^{\circ})$ 206 (+1.38 × 10 ⁴) ^j
,	1 11 50	10070	+ 520 (0 0.02)	$274(+1.18 \times 10^{4})$
				$243(-2.01 \times 10^4)$
				$217(-3.17 \times 10^4)$
	Second	98%	$-310 (c 0.01)^{j}$	$296 (-1.20 \times 10^4)^j$
	Second	2070	510 (0 0.01)	$274(-1.04 \times 10^{4})$
				$243(+1.78 \times 10^4)$
				$217(+2.67 \times 10^4)$

^{*a*} Optical purity was determined by HPLC analysis. ^{*b*} Optical purity was not determined due to rapid racemization and/or incomplete separation in HPLC analysis. ^{*c*} In propan-2-ol. ^{*d*} In hexane–propan-2-ol (50 : 50). ^{*e*} In hexane–propan-2-ol (90 : 10). ^{*f*} In hexane–propan-2-ol (98 : 2). ^{*s*} In hexane–propan-2-ol (99 : 1). ^{*h*} In hexane–propan-2-ol (95 : 0.5). ^{*i*} At 17% ee. ^{*f*} In hexane–propan-2-ol (95 : 5).

acids (+)-5, (+)-6, (-)-7, and (+)-9 were obtained in their respective first-fractions, as shown in Table 1. The failure of collection of enantiomerically pure seleninic acids from the later fractions is due to tailing off of the enantiomers that were eluted first on a preparative scale. Enantiomeric purities and specific rotations of optically active seleninic acids 1–4 could not be determined due to incomplete separation on the column and/or rapid racemization in the solution. All (+)-isomers of areneseleninic acids 5–9 show positive first Cotton effects in the range 281 to 296 nm in their circular dichroism spectra, and the (-)-isomers show negative first Cotton effects in the corresponding regions. The circular dichroism spectra are shown in Fig. 2.

The kinetics for racemization of the optically active seleninic acids were examined. The rates of racemization of the optically active seleninic acids, obtained from the first-fractions, showed good linear relationship with first-order rate plots under dilute conditions at 24 °C. The rate constants and half-lives for racemization are summarized in Table 2. The rate constant of **1** is $5.50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in propan-2-ol, and is larger than that of the less-acidic seleninic acid¹⁷ **2** ($3.38 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Comparing the *ortho* substituted areneseleninic acids **3** and **4**, the rate constant of **4** ($2.15 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$) is also larger than that of the less-acidic seleninic acid **3** ($3.64 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$). In the case of **9**, the addition of water accelerated the racemization, proving that water participates in the racemization. Vertex (pyramidal) inversion is also a possible pathway for the racemization of seleninic acid. In tricoordinated sulfur compounds, vertex inversion occurs frequently.¹⁸ However, the barriers for vertex inversion of the corresponding selenium analogues are too high to allow such



Fig. 2 Circular dichroism spectra of optically active areneseleninic acids 1-9. —: first-eluted enantiomer. ---: second-eluted enantiomer. Solvent: (a) propan-2-ol; (b) hexane–propan-2-ol = 50 : 50; (c) propan-2-ol; (d) propan-2-ol; (e) hexane–propan-2-ol = 90 : 10; (f) hexane–propan-2-ol = 98 : 2; (g) hexane–propan-2-ol = 99 : 1; (h) hexane–propan-2-ol = 99 : 5 : 0.5; (i) hexane–propan-2-ol = 95 : 5.

 Table 2
 First-order rate constants and half-lives for racemization of optically active areneseleninic acids^a

Compd	Solvent	$k/s^{-1}(t_{1/2})$
1	Propan-2-ol ^b	5.50×10^{-4} (21.0 min)
2	Propan-2-ol ^c	3.38×10^{-4} (35.2 min)
3	Propan-2-ol ^d	3.64×10^{-4} (31.7 min)
4	Propan-2-ol ^e	2.15×10^{-3} (5.37 min)
5	Propan-2-ol ^f	1.13×10^{-4} (1.70 h)
5	Hexane–propan-2-ol = $90:10^{g}$	6.97×10^{-5} (2.76 h)
6	Hexane–propan-2-ol = $98:2^{h}$	3.81×10^{-5} (5.05 h)
7	Hexane–propan-2-ol = $99:1^{i}$	$1.11 \times 10^{-5} (17.3 \text{ h})$
8	Hexane–propan-2-ol = $99.5 : 0.5^{j}$	2.85×10^{-5} (6.76 h)
9	Propan-2-ol ^{\hat{k}}	1.55×10^{-5} (12.4 h)
9	$Propan-2-ol-H_2O = 80: 20^{7}$	2.88×10^{-5} (6.70 h)

^{*a*} Each first-eluted enantiomer was used. Racemization was measured by circular dichroism spectra at 24 °C. ^{*b*} At 4.13 × 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹. ^{*c*} At 7.40 × 10⁻⁶ mol L⁻¹. ^{*d*} At 4.19 × 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹. ^{*e*} At 1.25 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹. ^{*f*} At 3.95 × 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹. ^{*g*} At 1.52 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹. ^{*h*} At 1.08 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹. ^{*i*} At 1.09 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹. ^{*j*} At 2.55 × 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹. ^{*k*} At 3.04 × 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹. ^{*l*} At 4.33 × 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹.

inversion to occur at room temperature.^{1,19} Thus, the vertex inversion mechanism is unlikely for the racemization of the seleninic acids. Therefore, the racemization of the seleninic acids is considered to proceed *via* the corresponding seleninate anions with the extrusion of a proton, at least under dilute conditions. In the racemization, propan-2-ol and/or water remaining in the solvent may play the role of a proton acceptor.



In the cases of 5-7, the rate constants decreased with an increase in bulkiness of the substituents. This means that the bulky alkyl substituents on the benzene ring of areneseleninic acids are effective for retarding the racemization whereas the rate constant of seleninic acid **8** with the bulkiest substituent was larger than that of **7**.

It was also found that the rate of racemization increased with an increase in concentration in the case of 7 (at 1.46×10^{-3} mol L^{-1} in propan-2-ol; initial $t_{1/2} = 2.06$ h), and the rate at high concentrations deviated from the first-order rate plot. These results may show that racemization by intermolecular proton exchange reaction *via* intermolecular associated structures also takes place at high concentrations. This can explain why the concentration of the eluate by evaporation of the solvent led to complete racemization of the seleninic acids.

Conclusion

Optically active areneseleninic acids were obtained as solutions by optical resolution on a chiral column using liquid chromatography. Their isolation as crystals was difficult due to the rapid racemization that occurred during concentration of the solutions. The mechanism for the racemization of optically active seleninic acids was clarified to proceed *via* the seleninate anion with the extrusion of a proton under dilute conditions. The electron-donating groups and the bulky substituents at the *ortho* position on the benzene ring of the areneseleninic acids were found to be effective for retarding the racemization.

Experimental

General

Hexane, dichloromethane, and propan-2-ol were distilled from calcium hydride before use. 1 H, 13 C, and 77 Se NMR spectra were measured with Me₄Si for 1 H and 13 C and Me₂Se for 77 Se as internal standard. Optical rotations are given in 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹.

Typical procedure for preparation of racemic areneseleninic acids

Ozone was bubbled into a dichloromethane solution (100 mL) of diaryl diselenide (2.0 mmol) at temperatures ranging from -30 to -40 °C. After disappearance of color for diselenide, water (50 mL) was added to the solution, and the solution was stirred vigorously for 1 h at room temperature. The organic layer was separated. The organic component remaining in the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (30 mL × 2), and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and resulting colorless solid was washed with hexane to give areneseleninic acid as colorless solid.¹⁵ 1: 46%; 2: 38%; 3: 60%; 4: 42%; 5: 64%; 6: 28%; 7: 72%; 9: 79%. Seleninic acid 8 was prepared by hydrolysis of the corresponding methyl ester according to the literature.¹⁶

Toluene-4-seleninic acid (1)^{17,20}

Mp 154 °C (decomp); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.91 (br, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 7.37 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.72 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 21.6, 127.5, 131.1, 142.7, 145.0; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1229; UV (propan-2-ol): λ_{max} 231 (ϵ 1.02 × 10⁴), 200 (ϵ 2.02 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) m/z 203 (⁶⁰Se, M⁺ - 1), 201 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ - 1), 187, 185.

4-Methoxybenzeneseleninic acid (2)¹⁷

Mp 110–111 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 7.04 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz), 7.75 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 56.2, 115.9, 129.4, 136.8,

2-Methoxybenzeneseleninic acid (3)²¹

Mp 132–134 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.92 (s, 3H), 6.20 (br, 1H), 6.97 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.17 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5, 7.5 Hz), 7.52 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5, 8.2 Hz), 8.01 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 56.2, 111.4, 121.7, 126.5, 134.2 (duplicate), 158.2; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1210; UV (propan-2-ol): λ_{max} 290 (ϵ 4.03 × 10³), 233 (ϵ 6.01 × 10³), 206 (ϵ 2.48 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) *m*/*z* 219 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ – 1), 217 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ – 1), 202, 200, 185, 183, 107, 77.

2-Methoxycarbonylbenzeneseleninic acid (4)^{21,22}

Mp 147–148 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.91 (s, 3H), 4.74 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.81 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 8.0 Hz), 8.07 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 8.0 Hz), 8.21 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 53.6, 126.4, 129.8, 132.0, 133.4, 134.7, 148.2, 168.3; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1220; UV (propan-2-ol): λ_{max} 280 (ε 2.30 × 10³), 235 (ε 7.92 × 10³), 206 (ε 2.98 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) *m*/*z* 246 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ – 2), 230, 228, 215, 213, 156, 77.

2,4,6-Trimethylbenzeneseleninic acid (5)

Mp 130–132 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.70 (s, 6H), 6.88 (s, 2H), 7.10 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 19.3, 21.2, 130.9, 139.2, 139.4, 142.7; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1245; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3200–2600, 2600–2000, 833, 804, 677 cm⁻¹; UV (hexane–propan-2-ol = 90 : 10): λ_{max} 274 (ε 2.53 × 10³), 241 (ε 9.23 × 10³), 213 (ε 2.02 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) *m*/*z* 231 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ – 1), 229 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ – 1), 199, 197, 119, 91. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₂O₂Se: C, 46.76; H, 5.23. Found: C, 46.67; H, 5.17%.

2,4,6-Triethylbenzeneseleninic acid (6)

Mp 111–112 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (t, 3H, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.32 (t, 6H, J = 7.6 Hz), 2.62 (q, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 3.15 (q, 4H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.97 (s, 2H), 9.18 (br, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 15.2, 17.0, 25.8, 28.7, 128.1, 139.1, 146.1, 149.0; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1276; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3100–2600, 2600–2200, 871, 826, 796, 682 cm⁻¹; UV (hexane–propan-2-ol = 98 : 2): λ_{max} 274 (ε 3.17 × 10³), 238 (ε 6.59 × 10³), 211 (ε 1.74 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) *m*/*z* 273 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ – 1), 271 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ – 1), 195, 161, 119, 91. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₈O₂Se: C, 52.75; H, 6.64. Found: C, 52.81; H, 6.48%.

2,4,6-Triisopropylbenzeneseleninic acid (7)

Mp 114–116 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (d, 6H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.33 (d, 12H, J = 6.7 Hz), 2.89 (septet, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.07 (septet, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz), 6.56 (br, 1H), 7.11 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.7, 24.7, 29.3, 34.5, 123.1, 139.3, 150.6, 153.4; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1200; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3300–2500, 833, 816 cm⁻¹; UV (hexane–propan-2-ol = 99 : 1): λ_{max} 275 (ε 3.18 × 10³), 238 (ε 1.09 × 10⁴), 211 (ε 2.66 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) m/z 315 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ – 1), 313 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ – 1), 284, 203, 117, 91. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₂Se: C, 57.14; H, 7.67. Found: C, 57.02; H, 7.54%.

2,4,6-Tri-tert-butylbenzeneseleninic acid (8)¹⁶

Mp 173 °C (decomp.); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 9H), 1.60 (br, 1H), 1.62 (br, 18H), 7.50 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.1, 34.1, 35.2, 38.9, 124.5, 144.6, 153.0, 153.7; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1211; MS (EI) *m/z* 357 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ - 1), 355 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ - 1), 342, 340, 244, 229, 81.

2,4-Di-tert-butyl-6-methoxybenzeneseleninic acid (9)

Mp 101–103 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.32 (s, 9H),

2154 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2002, 2151–2155

1.52 (s, 9H), 2.42 (br, 1H), 4.08 (s, 3H), 7.00 (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.14 (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 31.1, 33.3, 35.6, 36.9, 57.8, 110.3, 117.1, 133.6, 150.8, 156.4, 160.4; ⁷⁷Se NMR (95 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1213; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3220 (br), 2961, 2362, 1591, 1559, 1405, 1302, 1240, 1057, 847, 661 cm⁻¹; UV (hexane-propan-2-ol = 95 : 5): λ_{max} 294 (ε 4.65 × 10³), 242 (sh, ε 7.06 × 10³), 212 (ε 2.26 × 10⁴) nm; MS (EI) *m*/*z* 316 (⁸⁰Se, M⁺ - 16), 314 (⁷⁸Se, M⁺ - 16), 298, 296, 187, 71. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₃Se: C, 54.38; H, 7.30. Found: C, 54.73; H, 7.35%.

Typical procedure for optical resolution of areneseleninic acids

Racemic areneseleninic acid (50 mg) in eluent (0.3 mL) was charged to a chiral column packed with amylose carbamate derivative-silica gel (Daicel Chiralpak AS; 10 × 250 mm) and eluted with propan-2-ol (for 1, 3, and 4) or hexane containing 50 (for 2), 10 (for 5), 5 (for 9), 2 (for 6), 1 (for 7), and 0.5 (for 8) vol% propan-2-ol at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. About each 15 mg of optically active areneseleninic acid was collected as eluates from the fastest eluted portion and next portion, and the specific rotations and the circular dichroism spectra were measured in the eluates, and the concentrations of which were determined based on a comparison of the UV spectra with those of authentic racemic samples. Chiroptical properties of the optically active seleninic acids are summarized in Table 1, and the chemical structures were confirmed by the Mass spectra and ¹H NMR spectra after concentration, although racemization was occurring during the concentration.

Kinetic study for racemization of optically active areneseleninic acids

Kinetic studies on the racemization of optically active seleninic acids were examined in solutions $(10^{-3}-10^{-6} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ at 24 °C. The rates of racemization were calculated based on their circular dichroism spectra and were plotted to the first-order rate equation.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture, Japan.

References

- 1 T. Shimizu and N. Kamigata, Rev. Heteroat. Chem., 1998, 18, 11.
- 2 (a) T. Shimizu and N. Kamigata, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 1997, 29, 603; (b) T. Wirth, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 1.
- 3 (a) D. N. Jones, D. Mundy and R. D. Whitehouse, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1970, 86; (b) N. Zylber, J. Zylber and A. Gaudemer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1978, 1084; (c) T. G. Back and N. Ibrahim, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 4931; (d) T. G. Back, N. Ibrahim and D. J. McPhee, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 3283; (e) F. A. Davis, J. M. Billmers and O. D. Stringer, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 3191; (f) F. A. Davis, O. D. Stringer and J. P. McCauley, Jr., Tetrahedron, 1985, 41, 4747; (g) T. Shimizu and M. Kobayashi, *Chem. Lett.*, 1986, 161; (*h*) T. Shimizu and M. Kobayashi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, **52**, 3399; (*i*) M. Tiecco, M. Tingoli, L. Testaferri and D. Bartoli, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 3849; (7) T. Shimizu, M. Kobayashi and N. Kamigata, Sulfur Lett., 1988, 8, 61; (k) T. Shimizu, Y. Kikuchi, Y. Ishikawa, I. Ikemoto, M. Kobayashi and N. Kamigata, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I, 1989, 597; (1) T. Shimizu, M. Kobayashi and N. Kamigata, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1989, 62, 2099; (m) F. A. Davis, R. T. Reddy and M. C. Weismiller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5964; (n) F. A. Davis and R. T. Reddy, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 2599; (*o*) T. Takahashi, N. Kurose, S. Kawanami, Y. Arai, T. Koizumi and M. Shiro, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 3262; (*p*) T. Shimizu, M. Enomoto, H. Taka and N. Kamigata, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 8242; (q) H. Taka, A. Matsumoto, T. Shimizu and N. Kamigata, Chem. Lett., 2000, 726.
- 4 (a) T. Shimizu, Y. Yamazaki, H. Taka and N. Kamigata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 5966; (b) H. Taka, Y. Yamazaki, T. Shimizu and N. Kamigata, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 2127; (c) H. Taka,

A. Matsumoto, T. Shimizu and N. Kamigata, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2001, **12**, 227.

- 5 (a) W. J. Pope and A. Neville, J. Chem. Soc., 1902, 1552; (b) F. G. Holliman and F. G. Mann, J. Chem. Soc., 1945, 37; (c) M. Kobayashi, K. Koyabu, T. Shimizu, K. Umemura and H. Matsuyama, Chem. Lett., 1986, 2117.
- 6 (a) T. M. Lowry and F. L. Gilbert, J. Chem. Soc., 1929, 2867;
 (b) T. Shimizu, T. Urakubo and N. Kamigata, Chem. Lett., 1996, 297;
 (c) T. Shimizu, T. Urakubo and N. Kamigata, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 8032;
 (d) J. Zhang, S. Saito and T. Koizumi, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 5423.
- 7 (a) K. Sakaki and S. Oae, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, 19, 3703;
 (b) N. Kamigata, Y. Nakamura, H. Matsuyama and T. Shimizu, *Chem. Lett.*, 1991, 249; (c) N. Kamigata, Y. Nakamura, K. Kikuchi, I. Ikemoto, T. Shimizu and H. Matsuyama, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1992, 1721; (d) T. Takahashi, N. Kurose, S. Kawanami, A. Nojiri, Y. Arai, T. Koizumi and M. Shiro, *Chem. Lett.*, 1995, 379.
- 8 N. Kamigata, A. Matsuhisa, H. Taka and T. Shimizu, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 821.
- 9 (a) V. P. Krasnov, V. I. Naddaka and V. I. Minkin, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1981, **17**, 445; (b) N. Kamigata, H. Taka, A. Matsuhisa, H. Matsuyama and T. Shimizu, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1994, 2257; (c) T. Shimizu, N. Seki, H. Taka and N. Kamigata, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 6013; (d) H. Takada, M. Oda, Y. Miyake, K. Ohe and S. Uemura, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1557; (e) H. Taka, T. Shimizu, F. Iwasaki, M. Yasui and N. Kamigata, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 7433.
- 10 T. Shimizu, Y. Machida and N. Kamigata, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2002, 2265.
- 11 (a) Topics in Current Chemistry: Organoselenium Chemistry, ed. T. Wirth, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000, vol. 208.; (b) Organoselenium Chemistry – A Practical Approach, ed. T. G. Back, Oxford

University Press, Oxford, 1999; (c) The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds, eds. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 1987; (d) Organoselenium Chemistry, ed. D. Liotta, Wiley, New York, 1987; (e) C. Paulmier, Selenium Reagents and Intermediates in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1986.

- 12 J. Drabowicz, P. Lyzwa, B. Bujnicki and M. Mikolajczyk, *Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 1994, 95–96, 293.
- 13 J. L. Kice and K. W. Bowers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 605.
- 14 Some of our preliminary results in this area have been communicated previously; T. Shimizu, I. Watanabe and N. Kamigata, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2460.
- 15 G. Ayrey, D. Barnard and D. T. Woodbridge, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 2089.
- 16 H. J. Reich and C. P. Jasperse, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 2389.
- 17 The pK_a values for 1 and 2 are 4.88 and 5.05, respectively, in aqueous solution; J. D. McCullough and E. S. Gould, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 674.
- 18 (a) P. Metzner and A. Thuillier, Sulfur Reagents in Organic Synthesis, Academic Press, London, 1993; (b) The Chemistry of Sulfones and Sulfoxides, eds. S. Patai, A. Rappoport and C. J. M. Stirling, Wiley, New York, 1988; (c) The Chemistry of the Sulfonium Group, eds. C. J. Stirling and S. Patai, Wiley, New York, 1981; (d) M. Mikolajczyk and J. Drabowicz, Topics in Stereochemistry, eds. N. Allinger, E. L. Eliel and S. H. Wilen, Wiley, New York, 1982.
- 19 (a) T. Shimizu, M. Matsuhisa, N. Kamigata and S. Ikuta, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1995, 1805; (b) T. Shimizu, N. Kamigata and S. Ikuta, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1999, 1469.
- 20 O. Behaghel and H. Seibert, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1933, 66, 708.
- 21 H. Rheinboldt and E. Giesbrecht, Chem. Ber., 1955, 88, 666.
- 22 H. Rheinboldt and E. Giesbrecht, Chem. Ber., 1955, 88, 1037.