

Stereoselective Synthesis of α(2,9) Di- to Tetrasialic Acids, Using a 5,4-*N*,*O*-Carbonyl Protected Thiosialoside

Hiroshi Tanaka,* Yuji Nishiura, and Takashi Takahashi*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan

thiroshi@apc.titech.ac.jp; ttak@apc.titech.ac.jp

Received January 28, 2009



An efficient stereoselective synthesis of $\alpha(2,9)$ tetra- to disialic acids 1–3, using the 5,4-*N*,*O*-carbonyl protected thiosialoside 4, is described. The cyclic protecting group was effective for α -sialylation without the need for acetonitrile as the solvent. The donor 4 enabled the formation of a tetramer in excellent yield and selectivity. Deprotection of the cyclic protecting groups of the protected di- to tetrasialica acids proceeded smoothly to give the fully deprotected $\alpha(2,9)$ tetra- to disialic acids 1–3.

Sialic acids are a family of the most complex monosaccharide units in naturally occurring oligosaccharides, and are frequently located at the nonreducing ends of oligosaccharides.¹ Recent progress in glycobiology suggests that $\alpha(2,8)$ and $\alpha(2,9)$ di/ oligosialic and polysialic acids may play important roles in biological events that occur on the cell surface.² The $\alpha(2,9)$ disialic acid unit is attached to lactosaminoglycan in human teratocarcinoma cells (PA1).³ The $\alpha(2,9)$ polysialic acids have been reported to be present in C-1300 mouse nurobrastoma cells (NB41A3).⁴ In addition, a glycoprotein carrying $\alpha(2,9)$ polysialic acids has been identified in sea urchin sperm flagella.⁵ A straightforward chemical synthesis of these oligosaccharides would be highly desirable, in that it would facilitate our understanding of their biological roles.

The synthesis of α -linked sialic acid derivatives represents one of the most difficult and challenging processes in the chemical synthesis of oligosaccharides.⁶ The carboxyl group at the C1 position reduces the reactivity of the anomeric position toward glycosidation. The lack of a participating auxiliary adjacent to the anomeric center makes it difficult to form thermodynamically and kinetically glycosylation-disfavored α -sialosides and promotes β -elimination with the production of a glycal. Acetonitrile and propionitrile are frequently used as effective solvents in direct α -sialylations because these solvents block the β face of the intermediate oxonium cation, thus promoting α -selective sialylation.⁷ The nitrile-promoted α -sialylation is more efficient when secondary alcohols are used in the glycosylation compared to primary alcohols. Several reports have appeared regarding the synthesis of $\alpha(2,9)$ disialic acid based on nitrile-promoted α -sialylation.⁸ The 8,9 diols were found to be effective acceptors for $\alpha(2,9)$ sialylation reactions.^{8b} The conversion of the acetamide group at the C5 position of the sialyl donor to N,N-diacetyl, azido, N-TFA, N-Troc, N-Fmoc, N-trichloroacetyl, and N-phthalimide groups has also recently been reported to be effective for improving the reactivity of the sialyl donor toward glycosidation.^{9,10} These donors undergo α -sialylation in nitrile solvents. Wong and co-workers reported on the synthesis of protected $\alpha(2,9)$ tetrasialic acids using 5-azido sialyl phosphates.^{10b} Lin and co-workers successfully prepared a protected $\alpha(2,9)$ pentasialic acid based on an iterative glycosidation strategy using an N-TFA protected sialyl donor.^{10f} However, although dimerization proceeded in excellent yield and selectivity, the formation of tetra- and trimers as donors resulted in a significant reduction in α -selectivity. We recently reported on a new and effective method for α -sialylation using the 5,4-N,O-carbonyl protected sialyl donor.¹¹ The donors undergo α -sialylation and a nitrile solvent is not required in

⁽¹⁾ Angat, T.; Varki, A. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 439-470.

⁽²⁾ Sato, C.; Kitajima, K. Trends Glycosci. Glycotechnol. 1999, 11, 371-390.

⁽³⁾ Fukuda, M. N.; Dell, A.; Oates, J. E.; Fukuda, M. J. Biol. Chem. 1985, 260, 6623–6632.

⁽⁴⁾ Inoue, S.; Poongodi, G. L.; Suresh, N.; Jennings, H. J.; Inoue, Y. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 8541–8546.

 ⁽⁵⁾ Miyata, S.; Sato, C.; Kumita, H.; Toriyama, M.; Vacquier, V. D.; Kitajima,
 K. *Glycobiology* **2006**, *16*, 1229–1241.

^{(6) (}a) Boons, G.-J.; Demchenko, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 4539–4565.
(b) Ando, H.; Imanura, A. Trends Glycosci, Glycotechnol. 2004, 16, 293–303.

^{(7) (}a) Murase, T.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M.; Hasegawa, A. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1988, 184, c1-c4. (b) Hasegawa, A.; Nagahama, T.; Ohki, H.; Hotta, K.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. *J. Carbohydr. Chem.* 1991, 10, 493–498.

^{(8) (}a) Ogawa, T.; Sugimoto, M. Carbohydr. Res. 1984, 128, c1-c4. (b) Shimizu, C.; Achiwa, K. Carbohydr. Res. 1987, 166, 314-316. (c) Okamoto, K.; Kondo, T.; Goto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 5229-5232. (d) Okamoto, K.; Kondo, T.; Goto, T. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 1291-1298. (e) Hasegawa, A.; Ogawa, M.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 393-414. (f) Demchenko, A. V.; Boons, G. J. Chem.-Eur. J. 1999, 5, 1278-1283. (g) Lu, K.-C.; Tseng, S.-Y.; Lin, C.-C. Carbohydr. Res. 2002, 337, 755-760. (h) Ando, H.; Koike, Y.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6883-6886.

⁽⁹⁾ De Meo, C.; Priyadarshani, U. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 1540–1552.
(10) (a) Demchenko, A. V.; Boons, G.-J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3065–3068. (b) Yu, C.-S.; Niikura, K.; Lin, C.-C.; Wong, C.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2900–2903. (c) De Meo, C.; Demchenko, A. V.; Boons, G.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5490–5497. (d) Ando, H.; Koike, Y.; Ishida, H.; Kiso, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6883–6886. (e) Adachi, M.; Tanaka, H.; Takahashi, T. Synlett 2004, 609–614. (f) Lin, C.-C.; Huang, K. T.; Lin, C.-C. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4169–4172. (g) Takeda, Y.; Horito, S. Carbohydr. Res. 2005, 340, 211–220. (h) Tanaka, H.; Nishiura, Y.; Adachi, M.; Takahashi, T. Heterocycles 2006, 67, 107–112. (i) Tanaka, K.; Goi, T.; FukaseK. Synlett 2005, 2958–2962. (j) Crich, D.; Wenju, L. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 959–962. (k) Hanashima, S.; Castagner, D. L. Dens, K. D. C., Marka, K.; Con, T. 7, 770.

<sup>B.; Esposito, D.; Nokami, T.; Seeberger, P. H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1777–1779.
(11) (a) Tanaka, H.; Nishiura, Y.; Takahashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7124–7125. (b) Tanaka, H.; Nishiura, Y.; Takahashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17244–17245.</sup>

IOCNote

SCHEME 1. Strategies for Synthesis of the $\alpha(2,9)$ Di- to Tetrasialic Acids 3, 2, and 1





0 °C, 92%,



 $CH_2Cl_2, 0 \ ^\circ C, \rightarrow 14 : R = Ac$

the reaction.^{12,13} The 5,4-N,O-carbonyl protection permitted α -sialylation without use of nitrile solvents, but the mechanism for this unique α -sialyaltion was not examined in detail. The method described herein is particularly useful in glycosylation reactions involving the use of a primary alcohol in terms of both yield and stereoselectivity, compared to nitrile-promoted α -sialylation. Because of the above findings, we initiated a study of the synthesis of $\alpha(2,9)$ oligosialic acids using 5,4-N,Ocarbonyl protected sialyl donors.

Our initial approach to this synthesis was a one-pot glycosylation involving the chemoselective sialylation of thioglycoside 5 with the S-benzoxazolyl (S-Box) sialyl donor 4 and the subsequent use of disialic acid 7 as a glycosyl donor (Scheme 1).¹⁴ This approach was effective in that manipulation of the protecting group in the oligosaccharide synthesis could be minimized. However, although the formation of disaccharide 7 from donor 4 and acceptor 5 proceeded in excellent yield and with α -selectivity, disaccharide donor 7 underwent glycosylation of the sialyl acceptor **6** with reduced α -selectivity. These results suggest that glycosylation with di/oligosialic acid units would be difficult to carry out in an α stereoselective manner. The second approach to the synthesis of $\alpha(2,9)$ oligosialic acids involves glycosylation of oligosialic acids 9, which contain an 8,9 diol at the nonreducing end of the sialic acid with the monosialic acid unit 8. The 8,9 diols 9-11 would be effective as acceptors in $\alpha(2,9)$ sialylation reactions, because the C8 hydroxyl group does not adversely interfere with glycosylation at the C-9 position.^{8b} The 8-O-chloroacetyl and 9-O-benzyl β -thiosialoside 8 were used as donors because the protecting groups at the C8 and C9 hydroxyl groups can be removed chemoselectively.

Preparation of the building blocks 8 and 9 is shown in Scheme 2. The known tetraacethyl thiosialoside 12 was used as the starting material. The tetraacetates and the acetamide were removed under acidic conditions. 5,4-N,O-Carbonyl protection, followed by regioselective acetalization with benzyl aldehyde at the C8 and C9 positions afforded benzyliden acetal 13 in 64% yield as a diastereomixture (1:1). Acetylation of the

^{(12) (}a) Farris, M. D.; De Meo, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1225-1227. (b) De Meo, C.; Farris, M.; Ginder, N.; Gulley, B.; Priyadarshani, U.; Woods, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 21, 3637–3677

^{(13) (}a) Crich, D.; Li, W. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2387-2391. (b) Crich, D.; Li, W. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7794-7797

⁽¹⁴⁾ Tanaka, H.; Tateno, Y.; Nishiura, Y.; Takahashi, Y. Org. Lett. 2008, 10. 5597-5600.





hydroxyl group at the C7 position, followed by the regioselecitve reductive opening of the acetal provided the benzyl ether **15** in 74% yield. The remaining hydroxyl groups at the C8 position were protected by reaction with chloroacetic acid to yield the donor **8** in 92% yield. Treatment of donor **8** and *n*-octanol with NIS and a catalytic amount of TfOH in CH₂Cl₂ provided the α -sialoside **16** in 80% yield with $\alpha:\beta = 97:3$. The chloroacetyl group was removed by treatment with thiourea to give alcohol **17** in 84% yield. Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether **17** at the C9 position provided diol **9** in quantitative yield.

The synthesis of tetra- to di- $\alpha(2,9)$ sialic acids 1-3 was examined. Treatment of α -thiosialoside 8 and 1.0 equiv of diol 9 with NIS and a catalytic amount of TfOH in the presence of MS-3A in CH₂Cl₂ stereoselectively provided α -sialoside 20 in 92% yield with $\alpha:\beta = >95:5$. On the other hand, sialylation of the alcohol acceptor 18 under the same reaction conditions resulted in a significantly reduced yield of α -disialic acid 21 and α -selectivity (53% yield with $\alpha:\beta = 83:17$). These results indicate that 8,9 diol would be an excellent choice for use in α -sialylation preparations with use of the 5,4-N,O-carbonyl protected sialyl donor 8. In addition, the 8,9 dichloroacetyl donor **19** was adapted for use in $\alpha(2,9)$ sialyaltion. The coupling product 22 requires only one additional step for the synthesis of the next 8,9 diol acceptor.¹⁵ However, the glycosylation of acceptor 9 with donor 19 resulted in the formation of sidereaction products that were difficult to separate from the coupling product 22. The preparation of the disialoside acceptor 10 was achieved as follows: (1) removal of the chloroacetyl

group with thiourea and (2) hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether at the C9 position. Sialylation of the disialoside **10** at the 9 position was achieved by using a slight excess (1.2 equiv) of donor **8** to provide the protected $\alpha(2,9)$ trisialic acid **23** in 83% yield with $\alpha:\beta = >95:5$. Deprotection of the chloroacetyl group and the benzyl ether afforded the tetraol acceptor **11** in 62% yield. Tetrasialic acid saccharide formation from **11** with 2.0 equiv of donor **8** under the same reaction conditions provided tetrasaccahride **24** in 58% yield with $\alpha:\beta = >95:5$. The coupling constants ${}^{3}J_{C1-H3ax}$ (7.3, 6.5, 5.0, and 4.8 Hz) for **24** indicated that the configuration of all of the glycosidic linkages was α .¹⁶ The regioselectivity for each glycosylation step was confirmed by ¹H NMR analysis of the acetylated product **25** (Scheme 3).

Deprotection of the protected di- to tetrasialic acids **19**, **23**, and **24** is shown in Scheme 4. The protected tetrasialic acids **20**, **23**, and **24** were exposed to basic conditions, to remove the 5,4-*N*,*O*-carbonyl protecting group as well as ester groups, followed by acetylation of the resulting amines. The partially generated *O*-acetyl groups were then removed by hydrolysis under basic conditions. Finally, removal of the benzyl ether at the C9 hydroxyl group was achieved by hydrogenolysis by using a palladium catalyst to afford the fully deprotected di- to tetrasialosides **3**, **2**, and **1** in 87%, 89%, and 69% overall yields, respectively.

In conclusion, we describe an efficient method for the stereoselective synthesis of $\alpha(2,9)$ di- to tetrasialic acids 3, 2, and 1 via the use of a simple glycosylation and deprotection procedure. The 5,4-*N*,*O*-carbonyl protection of donor 8 was effective for the α sialylation of the primary alcohol and acetonitrile was not required for the reaction to proceed. The 8,9 diols 9, 10, and 11 possessing a 5,4-*N*,*O*-carbonyl protecting

⁽¹⁵⁾ The 8,9-benzilidene thiosialoside **14** represents an alternative candidate donor, which can be converted to 8,9 acceptors in a single operation. However, the use of a mixture of stereoisomers derived from the benzilidene acetal makes it difficult to analyze the structure of the coupling product. In addition, the selective synthesis of the acetal derivative as a single diastereomer or separation of these isomers was difficult. Therefore, thiosialoside **14** was not used as a donor in the synthesis of these complex oligosaccharides.

⁽¹⁶⁾ ${}^{3}J_{C-1,H-3ax}$ of α -sialosides is >4 Hz: Haverkamp, J.; Spoormaker, T.; Dorland, L.; Vliegenthart, J. F. G.; Schauer, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 4851–485.

SCHEME 4. Deprotection of the $\alpha(2,9)$ Di- to Tetrasialic Acids 19, 23, and 24



group acted as an effective acceptor for the synthesis of di- to tetra- $\alpha(2,9)$ -sialic acids 3, 2, and 1.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for Glycosylation with the 5,4-N,O-Carbonyl Protected Thiosialoside. A mixture of donor 8 (40.7 mg, 88.2 μ mol, 1.00 equiv), acceptor **9** (53.7 mg, 88.2 μ mol, 1.00 equiv), and pulverized activated MS-3A (44.1 mg, 0.50 g/mmol) in anhydrous CH2Cl2 (900 µL, 10.0 mL/mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min under argon to remove traces of water. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78 °C. N-Iodosuccinimide (23.8 mg, 102 μ mol, 1.20 equiv) and a catalytic amount of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (0.80 μ L, 8.82 μ mol, 0.10 equiv) were added to the reaction mixture at -78 °C. The reaction temperature was gradually increased to -60 °C. After completion of the reaction, as evidenced by TLC, the reaction mixture was neutralized with triethylamine and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was poured into a mixture of saturated aq NaHCO₃ and saturated aq $Na_2S_2O_3$ with cooling. The aqueous layer was extracted with two portions of ethyl acetate. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aq NaHCO₃, saturated aq Na₂S₂O₃, and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with 98:2 chloroform-methanol as the eluent and further purified by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) to give disaccharide 20 (77.4 mg, 80.7 μ mol, 92%, α/β = >95/5). The α/β ratio was determined by ¹H NMR analysis. $[\alpha]^{21}_{D}$ -6.33 (c 0.43, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.28 (m, 10H), 1.54 (br dt, 2H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 2.08 (dd, 1H, J = 12.1, 13.0 Hz), 2.09 (dd, 1H, J = 12.1, 13.0 Hz), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.92 (dd, 1H, J = 3.9, 12.1 Hz), 3.01 (dd, 1H), 3.02 (dd, 1H, J = 9.6, 12.6 Hz), 3.08 (dd, 1H, J = 9.7, 10.6 Hz), 3.24(dt, 1H, J = 6.8, 8.7 Hz), 3.40 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 9.7 Hz), 3.52-3.59(m, 2H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.74 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.92 (m, 1H), 3.93 (m, 1H), 4.07 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 9.7 Hz), 4.16 (m, 1H), 4.18 (d, 1H, J = 15.5 Hz), 4.23 (dd, 1H, J = 1.4, 9.7 Hz), 4.38 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz), 4.39 (d, 1H, J = 15.5Hz), 4.61 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz), 4.98 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 9.2 Hz), 5.33 (dd, 1H, J = 1.4, 10.1 Hz), 5.39 (br s, 1H), 5.41 (m, 1H), 5.46 (br s, 1H), 7.25-7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 20.7, 20.9, 22.7, 25.9, 29.2, 29.3, 29.5, 31.8, 37.1, 37.4, 41.5, 53.2, 53.6, 57.8, 57.9, 65.3, 65.5, 67.3, 67.3, 68.6, 69.3, 71.0, 73.5, 74.0, 74.3, 76.6, 99.9, 100.2, 128.1, 128.1, 128.6, 137.1, 159.2, 159.3 166.7, 168.7, 169.5, 171.2, 171.4; IR (KBr) 772, 1023, 1376, 1742, 2856, 2924, 3399, 3527 (cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for $C_{43}H_{59}N_2O_{20}CINa [M + Na]^+$ 981.3242, found 981.3242.

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists DC2.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO900176E