Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. CXL.^{1,2} Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Inhibitors Derived from 1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-s-triazine

B. R. BAKER AND GERHARDUS J. LOURENS³

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received July 24, 1968

4,6-Diamino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-1-phenyl-s-triazines bearing the following substituents on the para position were not irreversible inhibitors of dihydrofolic reductase from L1210 mouse leukemia: OCH₂CONH-C₆H₄SO₂F-p (2a), O(CH₂)₂OC₆H₄SO₂F-p (3a), O(CH₂)₃OC₆H₄SO₂F-p (7), (CH₂)₂C₆H₄SO₂F-p (11), and OCH₂-CONHC₆H₄SO₂F-m (16). These results contrast with the (CH₂)₂CONHC₆H₄SO₂F-p derivative (1a) which is an active-site-directed irreversible inhibitor of L1210 dihydrofolic reductase, the difference being rationalized by the difference in allowable ground-state conformations. By limiting the number of ground-state conformations of 2a, 3a, 7, 11, and 16 by insertion of a chloro atom on the meta position of the 1-phenyl-s-triazine moiety, the resultant compounds (2b, 3b, 8, 12, and 15, respectively) were converted into irreversible inhibitors of the enzyme. Of these five irreversible inhibitors, 8 and 3b could also inactivate the enzyme from mouse liver; since 2b, 12, and 15 did not inactivate the mouse liver enzyme appreciably, these three compounds showed the desired specificity pattern needed for chemotherapy.

The first active-site-directed irreversible inhibitor⁴ of dihydrofolic reductase from L1210 mouse leukemia discovered in this laboratory was the 1-phenyl-s-triazine bearing a *p*-propionylsulfanilyl fluoride side chain (1a);⁵ 1a could also inactivate the dihydrofolic reductase from Walker 256 rat tumor⁵ as well as a number of normal tissues from the rat⁵ and mouse,⁶ thus showing no specificity. In a study on R-bridged variants of 1a, it was observed that the oxyacetamido bridge



(2a) and ethylenedioxy bridge (3a) gave compounds that were as good reversible inhibitors of dihydrofolic reductase as 1a, but failed to show irreversible inhibition of the enzyme from L1210/FR8 mouse leukemia or Walker 256 rat tumor.⁷

The failure of 2a and 3a to inactivate the enzyme clearly showed that their SO₂F group was not positioned the same as 1a within the rate-limiting reversible enzyme-inhibitor complex;⁴ the difference in these complexes was rationalized on the basis that 2a had one extra degree of free rotation (shown in 6) and 3atwo extra degrees compared to the parent 1a (shown

(2) For the previous paper of this series see B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, J. Med. Chem., **12**, 92 (1969).

 $(3)\,$ G, J. L. wishes to thank the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Republic of South Africa, for a tuition fellowship.

(4) B. R. Baker, "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. The Organic Chemistry of the Enzymic Active-Site," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1967.

(5) B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, J. Med. Chem., 10, 1123 (1967), paper CV of this series.

(6) B. R. Baker, G. J. Lourens, R. B. Meyer, Jr., and N. M. J. Vermeulen, *ibid.*, **12**, 67 (1969), paper CXXXIII of this series.

(7) B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, *ibid.*, **11**, 666 (1968), paper CXXVII of this series.

in 5); these extra degrees of freedom of rotation would allow 2a and 3a to assume a better conformation for reversible binding which could be different from the conformation 1a needed for covalent bond formation within the enzyme-inhibitor complex. These different binding conformations can be illustrated with the following examples.

The substrate, dihydrofolate (4), can be assigned an arbitrary positioning of its pteridine ring as indicated in 4 by allowing the enzyme to position around this



conformation.⁸ The dihydro-s-triazine ring of **5** and **6** rotates in the active site in order to give optimum hydrophobic bonding of the 1-phenyl group to a hydrophobic bonding region on the enzyme that resides near the position assumed by the 4-oxo group (or 8-N) of dihydrofolate.⁸ The substituent on the *para* position of the benzene ring will then take a conformation allowing maximum net reversible binding energy, that is, if an unfavorable conformation must be assumed by

(8) For a review on the binding to dihydrofolic reductase and its hydrophobic region see ref 4, Chapter 10.

⁽¹⁾ This work was generously supported by Grant CA-08695 from the National Cancer Institute, U. S. Public Health Service.

TABLE I

Inhibition" of Dihydrofolic Reductase by



No.		\mathbf{R}_2		$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Reversible}^{\ell} - \mu M} = \frac{\operatorname{Reversible}^{\ell}}{K_{1}} \frac{\ell}{\mu} M$		Irreversible ^d			
	Ri		Enzyme source ^b			Inhib, µM	% [EI]#	Time. min	Co inactvu
		OUL CONTROLLEO E	T 1910 (TD 8	0.090	0.012	0.070	50	<0.10	, , , , , , ,
1.1	11"	$(CH_2)_2CONHC_6H_4SO_2r-p$	L1210/FR8	0.080	0.015	0.070	80	<2,10	
			141410/0	0.012	0.0020	0.070	98	60	90. 107
			1 1910 /0700	0.095	0.0041	0.055	9 1	00	
			L1210/DF8	0.025	0.0041	0.070	94	00	10/
			Liver	0.015	0.0025	0.40	99	<2,60	
			0.1			0.070	96	<2,60	38/-2
lb			Spleen			0,10		60	731
			Intestine			0.10		20	857
	Cl	$(CH_2)_2 CONHC_6H_4SO_2F$ -p	L1210/FR8	0.048	0.0080	0.048	87	4, 60	85, 854
						0.010	56	10, 60	$10, 10^7$
			$L1210/0$ _	0.014	0.0023	0.096	98	60	957
			L1210/DF8	0.024	0.0040	0.096	96	60	954
						0.014	74	60	07
			Liver	0,015	0.0025	0.096	98	60	304
			Spleen			0.096		60	94^{7}
			Intestine			0.13		20	75/
2a	Н	$OCH_2CONHC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	$ m L1210/FR8^k$	0.048	0.0080	0.24	97	60	0
			L1210/0			0.10		60	$0-12^{j}$
			L1210/DF8	0.025	0.0042	0.10	96	60	0~17/
$2\mathbf{h}$	Cl	OCH ₂ CONHC ₆ H ₄ SO ₂ F-p	L1210/DF8	0.037	0.0062	0.074	92	60	$76 \cdot$
			L1210/0			0.074		60	447
			Liver			0.16		60	07
20	ы	$O(CH_{2}) \circ OC_{2}H_{2}SO_{2}F_{2}n$	$L1210/FR8^{k}$	0.052	0.0087	0.26	97	60	0
	13		1.1210/0			0.10		60	07
			L1210/DF8			0.10		60	07
91.	CI	$O(CH, AOC, H, SO, F_n)$	1.1210/FR8	0.062	0.013	0.31	97	60	95
90	C4	$O(C_{112})/O(C_{6114})O(2) = p$	111210/1110	0.002	() () ()	0.01	87	8 60	50 57/
			L 1910/0	0.038	0.0060	0.12	06	60	
			111210/0		0.0000	0.026	87	2 60	
			11910/DES	0.026	0.0060	0.000		60	20, 20
			Lizzov	0.050	0,0000	0.12	00	60	117
_		OVER LACE HERE F	1 1940 /EDS	0.012	0.0020	0.12	80 07	60	
7	H	$O(CH_2)_3OO_6H_4SO_2F - p$	1.1210/F NS	0.10	0.020	0.60	.97	80	47 41 -
			L1210+0 L1210+0E9			0.50		00	1.57
	~	OCTOR	L1210/DF8	0.00-	0.014	0.60	0 -	20	101
8	Cl	$O(CH_2)_3 OC_6 H_4 SO_2 F_p$	L1210/DF8	0.085	0.014	0.085	01	00	012
			1121070			0,080		00	0.02
			Liver			0.18		00	
			Spleen			0.18		00	1.57
			Intestine	0.000	0.011	0.18	0=	20	07
9	Н	$O(CH_2)_4 OC_6 H_4 SO_2 F-p$	L1210/FR8	0.068	0.011	0.34	97	60 20	0 00
			L1210/0			0.34		60	0-10-
			L1210/DF8		0.0=	0.34		60	8-19/
10	Н	$\mathrm{OC_6H_4SO_2F}$ - p	L1210/FR8	1.6	0.27	7.9	97	60	0
			L1210/0			3.2		60	0
			L1210/DF8			3.2	-	60	47
11	Н	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4SO_2F-p$	$L1210/FR8^k$	0.039	0.0065	0.19	97	60	0
			L1210/0			0.16		60	07
			L1210/DF8			0.16		60	02
12	Cl	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4SO_2F$ -p	L1210/DF8	0.014	0.0023	0.07	97	60	93-
						0.028	92	60	11-
			L1210/0			0.070		60	664
						0.028		60	47/
			Liver			0.070		60	174
13	Н	$(CH_2)_4C_6H_4SO_2F$ -p	L1210/DF8	0.020	0.0033	0.10	97	60	69/
			L1210/0			0.10		60	52/
			Liver			0.10		60	277
1-1	Cl	$OCH_2CONC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	L1210/DF8	0.034	0.0057	0.068	92	60	477
			L1210/0			0.068		60	357
		CHI_3	Liver			0.068		60	() ·

TABLE I (Continued)

						~	Irrev	ersible"	
			Enzyme	Reve	ersible ^c ———	Inhib,	%	Time,	%
No.	\mathbf{R}_1	\mathbf{R}_2	source ^b	$\mathrm{I}_{^{50}},^e \mu M$	K_{i} , $f \mu M$	μM	$[EI]^{g}$	min	inactvn
15	Cl	$OCH_2CONHC_6H_4SO_2F-m$	L1210/DF8	0.019	0.0032	0.095	97	60	61^{j}
						0.038	92	60	42^{j}
			L1210/0			0.095			62^{j}
			Liver			0.095			13^{j}
16	Н	$OCH_2CONHC_6H_4SO_2F-m$	$L1210/FR8^k$	0.069	0.012	0.22	93	60	0
			L1210/0			0.14		60	07

^{*a*} The technical assistance of Diane Shea, Sharon Lafler, and Carolyn Wade with the assays is acknowledged. ^{*b*} The L1210/0 is the parent wild strain, while the /FR8 and /DF8 are strains resistant to amethopterin with a high level of dihydrofolic reductase; liver, spleen, and intestine were from normal BDF₁ mice.⁶ ^{*c*} Assayed with 6 μ M dihydrofolate and 30 μ M TPNH in pH 7.4 Tris buffer containing 0.15 M KCl as previously described.^{5,6} ^{*d*} Incubated at 37° in pH 7.4 Tris buffer in the presence of 60 μ M TPNH as previously described.^{5,6} ^{*e*} I₅₀ = concentration for 50% inhibition. ^{*f*} Estimated from K₁ = K_m[I₅₀]/[S] which is valid since [S] = 6K_m = 6 μ M dihydrofolate; see ref 4, p 202. ^{*e*} Calculated from [EI] = [E_t]/(1 + K₁/[I]) where [EI] is the amount of total enzyme (E_t) reversibly complexed; see ref 4, Chapter 8. ^{*h*} Data from ref 5 and 6. ^{*i*} From time-study plot.⁵ ^{*j*} The zero point was determined by adding the inhibitor to the assay cuvette.^{5,6} ^{*k*} Data from ref 7.

the substituent, it does so at the expense of binding energy. Suppose the optimum reversible binding occurs in conformation 6; the oxyacetamido bridge of 2a can allow 2 to assume this conformation 6 with little or no loss of energy. In contrast, for **1a** to assume this 6 conformation, the ethane moiety would have to be eclipsed which would require about 2 kcal/ mole of energy. Suppose the second best binding conformation is 5; 1a can assume this conformation 5with no loss in binding since no change in conformation is required from the ground state. It is assumed that in this 5 conformation, **1a** can rapidly form a covalent bond with the enzyme. It follows that 2a could assume conformation 5 for optimum binding if a proper substitution would no longer allow the ground-state conformation 6. The proper substituent was chosen on the following basis.

A *m*-chloro substituent on the benzene ring of a 1-phenyl-1,2-dihydro-2-triazine complexes directly with the enzyme giving about a tenfold increment in binding.⁹ That this binding by the Cl atom is toward the active site on the right as indicated by R = Cl in 5 and 6 has previously received experimental support.¹⁰ Since the Cl atom binding to the enzyme on the right was indicated, the chlorophenyl moiety would prefer not to assume a conformation when binding to the enzyme that projects the Cl to the left. If the Cl atom is complexed to the right as in conformation 5 and 6, the conformation 6 for the oxyacetamido bridge of **2b** will not be allowable due to an *ortho* steric effect; thus, the second most favorable conformation (5) for complexing the sulfanilyl fluoride will be assumed for complexing. Thus **2b** should be an irreversible inhibitor comparable to **1a**; furthermore, a similar Cl substitution (1b) on 1a should still allow 1b to be an irreversible inhibitor since both 1a and 1b can assume conformation 5 when complexing with the enzyme. The experimental support of this hypothesis on the effect of allowable ground-state conformations on irreversible inhibition¹¹ is the subject of this paper.

Enzyme Results.—It was previously reported that

the phenoxyacetamidosulfanilyl fluoride (2a) was not an irreversible inhibitor of the dihydrofolic reductase from the L1210/FR8 strain of mouse leukemia;⁷ it has now been observed that **3a** also does not show appreciable irreversible inhibition of the enzyme from L1210/0, the parent strain of mouse leukemia, nor the enzyme from mouse liver. Insertion of a Cl atom (**2b**) *ortho* to the oxyacetyl group of **2a** resulted in no change in reversible binding. As predicted by the hypothesis described above, **2b** was an irreversible inhibitor of the dihydrofolic reductase from L1210; however, **2b** still failed to inactivate this enzyme from mouse liver, thus showing specificity.

The hypothesis also predicts that substitution of a Cl atom (1b) on the parent **1a** should cause little change in the irreversible inhibition pattern. That both 1a and 1b were irreversible inhibitors of dihydrofolic reductase from three strains of L1210 and three normal tissues of the mouse is shown in Table I. Furthermore, there was no change in reversible binding between 1a and 1b. The fact that there is no change in reversible binding between **1a** and **1b** indicates that there is no *net* binding by the chlorine atom, in contrast to a tenfold increment that might be expected.⁹ Thus, it is unlikely that **1a** assumes the extreme conformation 5^{11} when complexing to the enzyme, else a tenfold increment should have been seen with 1b. It is likely that 1a and 1b assume a conformation when complexed to the enzyme that causes a slight steric interaction between the Cl and side chain of 1b, which in turn causes a loss in binding of about 1 kcal/mole, thus resulting in no *net* binding by the chloro atom; such intermediate conformations between the extremes of **5** and **6** can be drawn.

The hypothesis also suggested that chloro substitution (**3b**) on the ethylenedioxysulfonyl fluoride (**3a**) might convert **3a** to an irreversible inhibitor of dihydrofolic reductase. It was previously reported that **3a** was not an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from L1210/FR8;⁷ **3a** is also not an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from L1210/0 and mouse liver. In contrast, the chloro derivative (**3b**) was an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from all three sources; note that there was little change in reversible inhibition of the L1210/FR8 enzyme with **3a** and **3b**.

Since **3b** was an irreversible inhibitor of dihydrofolic reductase, but showed insufficient specificity toward the enzyme from L1210 and mouse liver, additional

^{(9) (}a) B. R. Baker and B.-T. Ho, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2, 335 (1965); (h)
B. R. Baker, J. Med. Chem., 11, 483 (1968); (c) B. R. Baker and M. A. Johnson, *ibid.*, 11, 486 (1968), paper CXVIII of this series.

⁽¹⁰⁾ B. R. Baker, P. C. Huang, and R. B. Meyer, Jr., *ibid.*, **11**, 475 (1968), paper CXVI of this series.

⁽¹¹⁾ Conformations **5** and **6** are extremes to demonstrate the hypothesis; conformations intermediate between **5** and **6** are allowable with **1a**, but the same argument on a decrease in allowable binding conformations of **2b** compared to **2a** still holds.

homologs of **3a** and **3b** with varying oxygenated bridges were investigated. The higher trimethylene homolog (7) gave no inhibition of the enzyme from L1210, but showed a low order of irreversible inhibition of the mouse liver enzyme. Insertion of the Cl atom (8) gave a compound showing irreversible inhibition of the dihydrofolic reductase from L1210, but unfortunately 8 was also an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from mouse liver, spleen, and intestine.

The tetramethylenedioxy homolog (9) was a fast, but poor, irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from L1210; the total irreversible inhibition by such a fast, poor inhibitor is difficult to measure accurately due to the variation in zero point.⁶ Unfortunately the chloro derivative of 9 could not be measured due to failure of the synthetic route. When only an oxy bridge was present (10), the compound was too poor a reversible inhibitor to warrant synthesis of its chloro derivative; 10 was not an irreversible inhibitor. It was previously reported that the ethane bridge gave a compound (11) that was not an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from L1210/FR8;⁷ as shown in Table I, 11 was also not an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from the other two strains of L1210. However, when a chloro substituent was introduced, the resultant 12 was a good irreversible inhibitor of the L1210 enzyme, but a barely detectable irreversible inhibitor of the mouse liver enzyme.

The candidate irreversible inhibitor with a butane bridge (13) was an irreversible inhibitor of the L1210 enzyme when assayed at a concentration of about $30K_i$; however, at this concentration it also showed a lower amount of irreversible inhibition of the mouse liver enzyme. The effectiveness of 13 as an irreversible inhibitor of dihydrofolic reductase compared to 3a again demonstrates that the SO₂F group of 13 and 3a is not positioned identically within their reversible enzyme–inhibitor complexes. The butane analog of 3b would be worthy of synthesis and evaluation.

Some studies were then performed on variation of the structure of the oxyacetamidosulfanilyl fluoride irreversible inhibitor (2). When the SO_2F moiety of 2a was moved to the *meta* position, the resultant 16^7 was still not an irreversible inhibitor of dihydrofolic reductase from L1210. However, insertion of a chloro atom gave 15 that was an irreversible inhibitor of the enzyme from L1210, but not mouse liver.

When an N-methyl group was inserted on **2b**, the resultant **14** was still an irreversible inhibitor, but was less effective than the parent **2b**. This N-methylation is known to make a profound change in the ground-state conformation of acetanilide¹² and presumably the same change between **2b** and **14**,⁷ thus, it is possible that **2b** and **14** do not form a covalent bond with the same amino acid of the enzyme.

None of the compounds in Table I meet the three arbitrary enzyme criteria set for whether or not a compound is worthy of *in vivo* studies in mice.⁶ Several of the compounds (**2b**, **12**, and **14**) meet all but the second criterion. Since these criteria are arbitrary, these compounds will be tested *in vivo* to check on the criteria.

Further bridge variants of **2b**, **3b**, and **12** with additional substituents on the benzenesulfonyl fluoride

(12) B. F. Pedersen and B. Pedersen, Tetrahedron Letters, 2995 (1965).

moiety are worthy of study to see if compounds can be found that meet all of the arbitrary criteria.

Chemistry. Alkylation of 2-chloro-4-nitrophenol (17) with *t*-butyl chloroacetate in DMF in the presence of K_2CO_3 afforded the crystalline ester (18) in 67% yield of analytical purity (Scheme I). When 18 was



refluxed in toluene containing a trace of TsOH, isobutylene was evolved and the desired acid (19) was obtained in 92% yield. Conversion of 19 to the acid chloride with SOCl₂, then condensation with sulfanilyl fluoride in boiling toluene,^{5,7} gave the desired amide (21a) in 71% yield. Similarly, 19 was converted to 21b and 21c by condensation with N-methylsulfanilyl fluoride¹³ and metanilyl fluoride, respectively, in good yield. The NO₂ group of 21 was reduced catalytically to 20 with a PtO₂ catalyst since Raney Ni^{5,14} caused considerable dehalogenation; the crude amines (20) were condensed¹⁵ with cyanoguanidine in acetone in the presence of ethanesulfonic acid to give the triazines (2b, 14, 15).

The candidate irreversible inhibitors with an alkylenedioxy bridge (3, 7-10) can be generalized by structure 27. The synthesis of one member of this series was previously described, namely 3a (= 27a).⁷ The key reaction was the chlorosulfonation of an α -(nitrophenoxy)- ω -phenoxyalkane (23) in CHCl₃ to give 25 (Scheme II). That a phenyl ether chlorosulfonates

⁽¹³⁾ B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, J. Med. Chem., **11**, 672 (1968), paper CXXVIII of this series.

 ⁽¹⁴⁾ A. H. deCat and R. K. vanPoucke, J. Org. Chem., 28, 3426 (1963).
 (15) E. J. Modest. ibid., 21, 1 (1956).



para to the ether linkage has been previously demonstrated;¹⁶ the nitrophenoxy ring would be considerably less reactive, due to the deactivation by the NO₂ group, than the other phenoxy ring. Similarly, **28** could be chlorosulfonated to **29**. The NO₂ group of **26** and **30** was reduced catalytically with a Raney nickel catalyst if a Cl atom was absent and with a PtO₂ catalyst if present.

The next group of candidate irreversible inhibitors (11-13) contained an alkane bridge. The synthesis of one member (11) of this series had been described previously;⁷ the key reaction was a Wittig condensation between *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde (**32a**) and the *p*-fluoro-sulfonylphosphonium salt $(31)^7$ to give **34a** (Scheme III). In a similar fashion, **34b** and **35** were synthesized from **31** by Wittig condensation with **32b** and **33**, respectively. The remainder of the sequence was the same as for the synthesis of **11**.⁷

The last candidate irreversible inhibitor (1b) was synthesized¹⁷ by the conversion of **32b** diacetate to 2-chloro-4-nitrocinnamic acid; the remainder of the sequence was the same as the conversion of **19a** to **2b**.

Experimental Section

Melting points were taken in capillary tubes on a Mel-Temp block and are uncorrected. All analytical samples gave a single



spot on the and had ir and uv spectra in agreement with their assigned structures; each gave combustion values for C, H, and N or F within 0.4% of theoretical.

t-Butyl 2-Chloro-4-nitrophenoxyacetate (18).—A mixture of 8.7 g (50 mmoles) of 17, 8.28 g (55 mmoles) of *t*-butyl chloroacetate, 6.9 g (50 mmoles) of K₂CO₃, and 30 ml of DMF was stirred at 55-60° for 24 hr, then poured into a stirred mixture of 500 ml of ice-water and 50 ml of petroleum ether (bp 60-110°). The product was collected on a filter, washed (H₂O), and dried. Recrystallization from petroleum ether gave 9.65 g (67%) of beige crystals, mp 95-96°. Anal. (C₁₂H₁₄ClNO₅) C, H, N.

2-Chloro-4-nitrophenoxyacetic Acid (19).—A solution of 8.5 g (30 mmoles) of 18 and 50 mg of TsOH in 25 ml of toluene was refluxed with stirring for 1 hr during which time part of the product separated. The cooled mixture was filtered and the product was washed with toluene. Recrystallization from EtOH-H₂O gave 6.3 g (92%) of crystals, mp 177–179°; mp 175–176 was recorded for this compound prepared by a different route.¹⁶

2-Chloro-4-nitrophenyl Phenoxypropyl Ether (23e) (Method A).—A mixture of 17.4 g (0.1 mole) of 17, 23.8 g (0.11 mole) of 3-bromopropyl phenyl ether, 13.6 g (0.1 mole) of K_2CO_3 , and 90 ml of DMSO was stirred in a bath at 95–100° for 48 hr. The mixture was poured with stirring into 800 ml of H_2O and 100 ml of petroleum ether. The product was collected on a filter and washed (H_2O). Recrystallization (EtOH) gave 25.8 g (84%) of product, mp 89–90°. See Table II for additional data and compounds prepared by this method.

1-(p-Fluorosulfonylphenoxy)-3-(2-chloro-4-nitrophenoxy)propane (26e) (Method B).—To a stirred mixture of 12 g of 23e in 100 ml of CHCl₃ cooled to -5 to 0° was added dropwise 48 ml of ClSO₃H over 30 min with protection from moisture. After being stirred for an additional 90 min at 0°, the mixture was poured into 500 g of crushed ice. The mixture was diluted with 150 ml of CHCl₃, then the separated organic layer was washed (300 ml of ice-cold H₂O, 200 ml of ice-cold 5% NaHCO₃, 300 ml

⁽¹⁶⁾ E. H. Huntress and F. H. Carter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 62, 603 (1940).
(17) This synthesis was performed in this laboratory by M. A. Johnson, M.S. thesis, 1967.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Ilford. Ltd., Belgian Patent 662,316 (1962); Chem. Abstr., 59, P14146e (1963).



^{*a*} C: by condensation of the appropriate acid chloride and aminobenzenesulfonyl fluoride by the method previously described.^{*b*} Yield of analytically pure material analyzed for C, H, N. ^{*c*} Recrystallized from MeOEtOH. ^{*d*} Recrystallized from MeOEtOH-H₂O. ^{*b*} Recrystallized from EtOH. ^{*f*} Attempts to chlorosulfonate **23f** caused cleavage to **17**. ^{*d*} Recrystallized from EtOH-H₂O. ^{*b*} Yield from corresponding sulfonyl chloride prepared according to V. H. Dermer and O. C. Dermer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **64**, 3056 (1942). ^{*f*} Attempts to reduce the NO₂ group led to mixtures.

TABLE III Physical Properties of

NH₂·EtSO₃H

	$N \xrightarrow{N} Me_2 \xrightarrow{NH_2} R_2$								
No.	\mathbf{R}_{1}	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}}$	Method	S∂ yield°	Mp, °C dee	Formula	Analyses		
$1\mathrm{b}$	Cl	$(CH_2)_2CONHC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	D	59^{b}	213 - 214	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{CIFN}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{3}\mathrm{S}\cdot\mathrm{Et}\mathrm{SO}_{3}\mathrm{H}$	C, H, N		
2b	C1	$OCH_2CONHC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	D	531	224 - 226	$C_{19}H_{20}ClFN_6O_4S\cdot EtSO_3H$	C, H, F		
3b	Cl	$O(CH_2)_2OC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	D	58^{d}	218 - 220	$C_{19}H_{21}ClFN_5O_4S \cdot EtSO_3H$	C, H, F		
7	Н	$O(CH_2)_3OC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	E	60^d	216 - 217	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{24}\mathrm{FN}_{5}\mathrm{O}_{4}\mathrm{S}\cdot\mathrm{Et}\mathrm{SO}_{8}\mathrm{H}$	C, H, F		
8	C1	$O(CH_2)_3OC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	D	51^d	211 - 212	$C_{20}H_{23}ClFN_5O_4S$ EtSO ₃ H	C, H, F		
9	Н	$O(CH_2)_4OC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	Е	52^d	218-219	$\mathrm{C}_{21}\mathrm{H}_{26}\mathrm{FN}_5\mathrm{O}_4\mathrm{S}\cdot\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{SO}_3\mathrm{H}$	С, Н, Г		
10	Н	$OC_6H_4SO_2F-p$	Е	32^d	202-203	$C_{17}H_{18}FN_5O_4S\cdot EtSO_3H$	C, H, F		
12	C1	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4SO_2F-p$	()	64^{J}	226 - 227	$C_{19}H_{21}ClFN_5O_2S \cdot EtSO_3H$	C, H, F		
13	П	$(CH_2)_4C_6H_4SO_2F-p$	E	43^{c}	210-212	$C_{21}H_{26}FN_5O_2S\cdot EtSO_3H$	C, H, F		
14	Cl	$OCH_2CON(CH_3)C_6H_4SO_2F-p$	1)	540	201 - 203	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{ClFN}_6\mathrm{O}_4\mathrm{S}\cdot\mathrm{Et}\mathrm{SO}_3\mathrm{H}$	С, Н, F		
15	\mathbf{Cl}	OCH2CONHC6H4SO2F-m	D	65°	208-210	$C_{19}H_{20}ClFN_6O_4S\cdot EtSO_8H$	С, Н, F		

"Yield of analytically pure material. ^{*b*} Recrystallized from EtOH. CRecrystallized from EtOH- H_2O . ^{*d*} Recrystallized from MeOEtOH.

of ice-cold H_2O). Dried with MgSO₄, the CHCl₃ solution was evaporated *in vacuo*.

To the residual sulforyl chloride (**25e**) were added 25 ml of DMF and 4.8 g of KF. After being stirred at 90–95° for 45 min, the cooled reaction mixture was poured into 500 ml of H₂O. The mixture was extracted with 250 ml of CHCl₈. Dried with MgSO₅ the CHCl₃ solution was evaporated *in vacuo*. Recrystallization of the residue from MeOEtOH-H₂O gave 2.4 g ($16C_{c}$) of product, mp 116–118°. See Table II for additional data and compounds prepared by this method.

2-Chloro-4'-fluorosulfonyl-4-nitrostilbene (34b).—To a stirred mixture of 1.95 g (10 mmoles) of 32b, ¹⁹ 5.16 g (10 mmoles) of 31,⁷ and 6 ml of reagent MeOH cooled in an ice bath and protected from moisture was added 1.22 g (40 mmoles) of Et₈N dropwise over a period of 10 min. After being stirred 30 min in an ice bath and 3.5 hr at ambient temperature, the mixture was filtered and the product was washed (cold MeOH). The small amount of unchanged 32b, the presence of which was indicated

(19) B. R. Baker, B.-T. Ho, and G. J. Lourens J. Pharm. Sci., 56, 737 (1967), paper LXXXVI of this series. by the with C_6H_6 , was removed by leaching the product with hot 50% EtOH; yield 1.89 g (55%), mp 118–190°, which was a mixture of *cis-trans* isomers suitable for the next step. Recrystallization of a sample from MeOEtOH gave the analytical sample, mp 238–239°. Anal. ($C_{14}H_9ClFNO_4S$) C, H, N.

2-Chloro-4-nitrocinnamic Acid (38).¹⁷—A stirred mixture of 14.7 g of 2-chloro-4-nitrobenzaldehyde diacetate (prepared¹⁹ by the method of Spalding, *et al.*,²⁰ for an isomer), 5.30 g of NaOAc, and 15 ml of HOAc was refluxed for 20 hr. The cooled mixture was diluted with 75 ml of H₂O, then the product was collected on a filter and washed (H₂O). The crude product was dissolved in 150 ml of H₂O by adding sufficient concentrated NaOH to bring to pH 10–11. The solution was callefted with HOAc. The product was collected on a filter and washed with HOAc. The product was collected on a filter and washed with H₂O; yield 7.46 g (64 $\frac{0}{6}$), mp 193-196°. Anal. (C₈H₆ClNO₄) C, H, N.

N-[2-Chloro-4-(4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-s-

(20) D. P. Spalding, G. W. Moersch, H. S. Mosher, and F. C. Whitmore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 68, 1596 (1946). triazin-1-yl)phenoxyacetyl]sulfanilyl Fluoride Ethanesulfonate (2b) (Method D).—A mixture of 777 mg (2 mmoles) of 21a (Table II), 65 mg of PtO₂, and 100 ml of EtOH was shaken with H₂ at 2-3 atm until reduction was complete. To the filtered mixture was added 225 mg of EtSO₈H then the solution was evaporated *in vacuo*. To the residual 20a \cdot EtSO₈H were added 20 ml of reagent Me₂CO and 177 mg (2.2 mmoles) of cyanoguanidine.

After being refluxed with stirring for 18 hr, the cooled mixture was filtered and the product was washed (Me₂CO). Recrystallization from EtOH-H₂O gave 630 mg (53%) of white crystals, mp 224-226° dec. See Table III for additional data and compounds prepared by this method.

Method E was the same except Raney nickel was used as a catalyst.⁵

Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. CXLI.^{1,2} Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Inhibitors of Dihydrofolic Reductase Derived from 1-[p-(p-Fluorosulfonylphenylureidomethyl)phenyl]-4,6-diamino-1,2-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-s-triazine

B. R. BAKER AND GERHARDUS J. LOURENS³

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106

Received July 24, 1968

The title compound (1) showed a poor order of active-site-directed irreversible inhibition of dihydrofolic reductase from mouse L1210 leukemia, liver, spleen, or intestine since too high a concentration of inhibitor had to be used to show good inactivation. Substitution of a methyl group *meta* to the SO₂F moiety of 1 gave compound 6 that was still a relatively poor irreversible inhibitor of the L1210 enzyme, but now showed tissue specificity by its failure to inactivate the liver enzyme. More effective irreversible inhibitors (5, 8, 9) were obtained by substitution of a chloro atom *meta* to the junction of the 1-phenyl to the *s*-triazine ring; however, these compounds did not show specificity since the liver enzyme was still inactivated.

The title compound $(1)^4$ was found to be an activesite-directed irreversible inhibitor⁵ of the dihydrofolic reductase from L1210/0 and L1210/DF8 mouse leukemia.⁶ However, 1 was not as good an irreversible inhibitor as the prototype irreversible inhibitor $2^{6.7}$ since the total amount of inactivation by 1 was lower than 2 (Table I). Furthermore, neither 1 nor 2 showed specificity toward the L1210 enzyme with minimal effect on the enzyme from normal tissues such as liver, spleen, and intestine.⁶ Therefore, additional synthetics related to 1 were made and evaluated to see if a better



and more selective irreversible inhibitor could be designed. The results are the subject of this paper.

Enzyme Results.—As pointed out in the earlier summary paper,⁶ assay of 1 for irreversible inhibition of dihydrofolic reductase was difficult due to a medium order of total, but fast, irreversible inhibition that sometimes gave low zero-time points. Similar difficulties were encountered with $3,^4$ which showed 0-30%irreversible inhibition of the L1210 enzyme depending upon how low the zero-time point for enzyme concen-

(7) B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, $ibid.,\, {\bf 10},\, {\bf 1123}$ (1967), paper CV of this series.

tration was; in repeated runs **3** failed to show any irreversible inhibition of mouse liver dihydrofolic reductase. Thus, **3** showed selectivity, but a poor order of irreversible inhibition of the L1210 enzyme; the latter was most probably due to extensive enzymecatalyzed hydrolysis of the SO₂F moiety.^{7,8} When the side chain was moved to the *meta* position (4), irreversible inhibition was lost.⁹

The effect of substituents on either or both benzene rings of 1 on irreversible inhibition was then studied. There is only one position on the phenyl group next to the triazine that can be substituted without loss of binding, and that is the position meta to the triazine junction; ortho substitution leads to a large loss in reversible binding.^{10,11} The 3-chloro atom was selected since this could have a beneficial effect on both reversible binding¹⁰ and irreversible inhibition.² The 3-chloro substituent 5 on 1 gave a fourfold increment in reversible binding. Furthermore, 5 was a better irreversible inhibitor of the L1210 enzyme than 1 when compared at a similar concentration of reversible EI complex;¹² however, **5** still lacked specificity since poor irreversible inhibition of the mouse liver enzyme was still observed.

Substitution of CH_3 meta (6) to the SO_2F moiety of 1 also gave about a fourfold increment in reversible binding. Although 6 was not a better irreversible inhibitor of the L1210 enzyme at equal EI concentration,¹² 6 was more specific than 1 since 6 did not inactivate the liver enzyme. Introduction of CH_3 (7) ortho to the SO_2F moiety of 1 gave about a twofold increment in reversible binding; however, 7 was

⁽¹⁾ This work was generously supported by Grant CA-08695 from the National Cancer Institute, U. S. Public Health Service.

⁽²⁾ For the previous paper of this series see B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, J. Med. Chem., **12**, 95 (1969), paper CXL of this series.

 $^{(3)\,}$ G. J. L. wishes to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Republic of South Africa, for a tuition fellowship.

⁽⁴⁾ B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, J. Med. Chem., $\mathbf{11},\,666$ (1968), paper CXXVII of this series.

⁽⁵⁾ B. R. Baker, "Design of Active-Site-Directed Irreversible Enzyme Inhibitors. The Organic Chemistry of the Enzymic Active-Site," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1967.

⁽⁶⁾ B. R. Baker, G. J. Lourens, R. B. Meyer, Jr., and N. M. J. Vermeulen, J. Med. Chem., **12**, 67 (1969), paper CXXXIII of this series.

 $^{(8)\,}$ B. R. Baker and J. A. Hurlbut, $ibid.,\,\mathbf{11},\,233$ (1968), paper CXIII of this series.

⁽⁹⁾ B. R. Baker and G. J. Lourens, $ibid.,\, {\bf 11},\, 39$ (1968), paper CXII of this series.

 ^{(10) (}a) B. R. Baker, *ibid.*, **11**, 483 (1968); (b) B. R. Baker and M. A. Johnson, *ibid.*, **11**, 486 (1968); (c) B. R. Baker and B.-T. Ho, J. Pharm. Sci., **53**, 1137 (1964).

⁽¹¹⁾ E. J. Modest, J. Org. Chem., 21, 1 (1956).

⁽¹²⁾ For the kinetics of irreversible inhibition see ref 5, Chapter 8.