
This article was downloaded by: [Korea University]

On: 05 January 2015, At: 04:42 Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House,

37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcar20">http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lcar20</a>

# CONJUGATE ADDITION OF AMINES TO SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS: SYNTHESIS OF GLYCOSYLATED AMINO ESTERS AS DNA TOPOISOMERASE-II INHIBITORS

A. R. Khan  $^a$  , R. P. Tripathi  $^b$  , V. K. Tiwari  $^b$  , R. C. Mishra  $^b$  , V.J. M. Reddy  $^c$  & J. K. Saxena  $^c$   $^a$  College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences , Florida A and M University , Tallahassee, FL, 32307, U.S.A.

To cite this article: A. R. Khan, R. P. Tripathi, V. K. Tiwari, R. C. Mishra, V.J. M. Reddy & J. K. Saxena (2002) CONJUGATE ADDITION OF AMINES TO SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS: SYNTHESIS OF GLYCOSYLATED AMINO ESTERS AS DNA TOPOISOMERASE-II INHIBITORS, Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 21:6, 591-604

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/CAR-120016857">http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/CAR-120016857</a>

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Division of Medicinal Chemistry, Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, 226001, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Division of Biochemistry , Central Drug Research Institute , Lucknow, 226001, India Published online: 16 Aug 2006.



## JOURNAL OF CARBOHYDRATE CHEMISTRY Vol. 21, No. 6, pp. 591–604, 2002

## CONJUGATE ADDITION OF AMINES TO SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS: SYNTHESIS OF GLYCOSYLATED AMINO ESTERS AS DNA TOPOISOMERASE-II INHIBITORS

A. R. Khan, 1,\* R. P. Tripathi,  $^{1,\dagger}$  V. K. Tiwari, R. C. Mishra, V. J. M. Reddy, and J. K. Saxena

<sup>1</sup>Division of Medicinal Chemistry and <sup>2</sup>Biochemistry, Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow-226001, India

## **ABSTRACT**

Conjugate addition of amines to olefinic esters derived from sugars leading to formation of glycosylated amino esters in a stereoselective manner is described. Some of the synthesized compounds possess DNA topoisomerase-II enzyme inhibitory activities at low concentrations.

Key Words: Conjugate addition; Glycosyl amino ester; DNA topoisomerase-II

## INTRODUCTION

The stereoselective introduction of amine functionality at a saturated carbon centre is becoming an important and challenging task for the synthesis of biomolecules. Among them, amino acids and amino sugars as synthetic precursors of  $\beta$ -lactams, peptido-mimetics and many antibiotics are most important. [1] Glycosylated aminoacid

<sup>\*</sup>Current address: College of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Florida A and M University, Tallahassee, FL 32307, USA.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: rpt\_56@yahoo.com


592 KHAN ET AL.

derivatives serve as scaffolds for combinatorial synthesis of glycopeptides and other glycoconjugates. [2,3]

In our ongoing study of glycoconjugates derived from glycosylated  $\beta$ -amino acids, a novel lead molecule has been developed for inhibition of filarial DNA topoisomerase-II, a target in filarial chemotherapy. The common methods for stereoselective synthesis of  $\beta$ -amino acids involve either nucleophilic addition of chiral amines to  $\alpha, \beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl or nitrile compounds followed by further chemical manipulation, or nucleophilic addition of amines to chiral olefinic ester derived from chiral molecules. Much information exists for the first approach while only limited information is available for the second one. In the present paper, conjugate addition of amines to the sugar derived olefinic esters and the effect of the resulting compounds on filarial DNA topoisomerase-II (isolated from *Setaria cervi*) are described.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To start with,  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated esters **2a** and **2b** were prepared either by Wittig olefination of D-glucose derived aldehydes **1a** and **1b** or reacting the latter with triethyl phosphonoacetate (Scheme 1). Thus reaction of aldehydes **1a** and **1b** with carbethxy-methylene triphenylphosphorane in refluxing toluene gave a mixture of E and E isomers in 9:1 ratio, in quantitative yield. However, the reaction of the above aldehydes



Scheme 1. Conjugate addition of amines to sugar derived olefinic esters.

## SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS

593

**1a** and **1b** with triethyl phosphonoacetate in tetrahydrofuran gave exclusively the E isomer in quantitative yield. The geometry of the double bond in the olefinic esters was determined on the basis of a <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectrum where the  $J_{5,6}$  in the major and minor isomers were about 18 Hz and 7 Hz, confirming the *trans* (E) and C (C) geometry of the double bond in major and minor isomer respectively.

The attractive feature of stereoselective intermolecular Michael addition of substituted amines to  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester derived from D-glucose is that the stereoselectivity at the prochiral  $\beta$ -carbon atom may be controlled either by making use of appropriately protected sugar derived  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated esters or appropriately substituted amines.

Thus, reaction of dimethylamine with compound 2a, having a 3-O-benzyl substituent, in anhydrous ethanol at room temperature gave compounds 3a and 4a as a diastereomeric mixture in a 68:32 ratio. Similarly, reaction of 2b, having a 3-O-methyl substituent, with dimethylamine gave a diastereomeric mixture of 3h and 4h in almost the same ratio (67:33). Ratios of isomers were determined on the basis of  $^1H$  NMR spectra where H-1 and H-2 have different chemical shifts in the major and minor isomers. Conjugate addition of amines with E and E isomers were studied separately and it was found that the reaction was sluggish with the E isomer in comparison to the E isomer and there was no improvement in stereoselectivity. Addition of amines to olefinic esters in methanol, THF and dichloromethane was also done. In methanol the reaction was fast but the trans esterified amino ester resulted. However, in acetonitrile, THF and dichloromethane the reaction was very slow. The lowering of the temperature of the reactions caused sluggishness in the reaction with no improvement in diastereoselection even with longer reaction times.

It is clear that 3-*O*-substitution here has only a very marginal effect on diastereoselectivity. Hence, we thought to use some more hindered amines as nucleophiles. The olefinic esters used were either of the *E* or *Z* stereochemistry or a mixture of the two. The effects of the size of amine substituent using *n*-butylamine, benzylamine, pyrrolidine, piperidine, morpholine and *N*-methylpiperazine were studied, both with bulky 3-*O*-benzyl and 3-*O*-methyl substituted olefinic ester derivatives. With *n*-butylamine, the ratio of major and minor isomers in both 3-*O*-benzyl and 3-*O*-methyl cases was almost equal (75:25, 65:35). Improved diastereoselection in the reaction of benzylamine with olefinic esters 2a and 2b was observed, as major to minor ratios were 80:20 and 65:35, respectively, indicating that both the amine as well as 3-*O*-substituent in the olefinic ester are important in diastereoselection.

With pyrrolidine, piperidine, morpholine and 1-methylpiperazine, additions to olefinic esters with 3-O-benzyl and 3-O-methyl substituents proceeded in very good diastereoselection. The best diastereoselection in these cases can be explained by formation of a nucleophilic iminium ion by protonation of amine with solvent to form a chelated transition state. Attack of nucleophile takes place only from the 'si' face to give the 'S' isomer.

During the course of this work,<sup>[3]</sup> a similar study of the conjugate addition of benzylamine to a 3-O-benzylated olefinic ester leading to formation of an azasugar was reported by Patil et al.<sup>[7]</sup> The stereochemistry at C-5 in the major and minor isomers was established as 'S' and 'R', respectively.

The stereochemistry at C-5 in compounds  $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{n}$  and  $4\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{n}$  (Table 1) was predicted on the basis of assignments made earlier. <sup>[7-9]</sup> It is known for a given C-5 epimeric pair, derived from D-glucofuranose, that the  $J_{4,5}$ , observed in a diastereoisomeric mixture is always higher in the L-ido-(C-5 with 'S' configuration) isomer than in the D-gluco-(C-5 with 'R' configuration) isomer. Further, comparison of the

594 KHAN ET AL.

Table 1. Compounds Synthesized

Comp. No.	R	$R_1R_2N$	Major:Minor
3a and 4a	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> N	68:32
3b and 4b	$CH_2Ph$	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> NH	75:25
3c and 4c	$\mathrm{CH_2Ph}$	PhCH <sub>2</sub> NH	80:20
3d and 4d	$\mathrm{CH_{2}Ph}$	N	91:9
3e and 4e	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	N	90:10
3f and 4f	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	√ N	80:20
3g and 4g	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	H <sub>3</sub> CN N	80:20
3h and 4h	$CH_3$	$(CH_3)_2N$	67:33
3i and 4i	$CH_3$	CH <sub>3</sub> (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> NH	65:35
3j and 4j	$CH_3$	PhCH <sub>2</sub> NH	65:35
3k and 4k	CH <sub>3</sub>	N	89:11
31 and 41	CH <sub>3</sub>	N	60:40
3m and 4m	CH <sub>3</sub>	√N	80:20
3n and 4n	CH <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> CN N	80:20

chemical shift of H-3 in both isomers is also reported to be diagnostic in deciding the stereochemistry in a given C-5 epimeric pair of D-glucofuranose derivatives, where it is significantly upfield in the L-*ido* isomer as compared to the D-*gluco* isomer. In the  $^{1}$ H NMR spectra of amino esters **3a-n** and **4a-n**, the signal for H-4 in all the diastereo-isomeric mixtures appears as 'dd', and  $J_{4,5}$  in the major isomer is around 9 Hz, while in the minor isomer it is around 7 Hz. The chemical shifts for H-3 in the major and minor isomers are about  $\delta$  3.94 and  $\delta$  4.16, respectively, confirming that the major isomer has the L-*ido* (S)- and the minor isomer has the D-*gluco*-(R)-configuration at C-5.


The assignment of the 'S' configuration to the major isomer and 'R' to the minor isomer could be rationalised by considering the Felkin-Anh transition state models (I–IV). [8] In models I and II, the furanose ring oxygen is placed at a right angle to the C=C, while in III and IV the 3-O-substituent is at a right angle to C=C. Considering the transition states I and II, 're' face attack in I and 'si' face attack in II are sterically hindered by the bulky 3-O-benzyl substituent. In transition state models III and IV, conformer III (gauche) has preference over IV due to the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction of alkene and aromatic system and thus would result in 'si' face attack, leading to formation of product having the 'S' stereochemistry at C-5 (Scheme 2).

With pyrrolidine and piperidine as nucleophiles the distereoselection in the 3-O-Me derivative is similar to that observed in the 3-O-CH<sub>2</sub>Ph derivative. This can be rationalised by considering a transition state (**V**) where the pyrrolidinium and piperidinium cation, generated by protonation of amines with alcohol, forms a chelated complex with a

595

©2002 Marcel Dekker, Inc. All rights reserved. This material may not be used or reproduced in any form without the express written permission of Marcel Dekker, Inc.

## SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS



**Scheme 2.** Transition state models I–V.

furanose ring oxygen and a carbonyl oxygen. In the latter case, the attack of amines is preferred from 'si' face giving product with the 'S' configuration at C-5.

## **DNA Topoisomerase-II Estimation**

The reaction catalyzed by DNA topoisomerase-II was measured as reported previously.  $^{[3]}$  The standard topoisomerase-II reaction mixture in a final volume of 20

596 KHAN ET AL.

Table 2. Effect of Sugar Derivatives on DNA Topoisomerase-II Inhibitory Activity of Filarial Parasite Setaria cervi

Compounds	% Inhibition at (20 μg/Reaction Mixture)	% Inhibition at (5 μg/Reaction Mixture)
3a and 4a	10	nd
3b and 4b	46	nd
3c and 4c	27	nd
<b>3d</b> and <b>4d</b>	14	nd
3e and 4e	Nil	nd
3f and 4f	27	nd
3g and 4g	30	nd
3h and 4h	>90	55
3i and 4i	>95	60
<b>3j</b> and <b>4j</b>	>95	67
3k and 4k	>80	36
31 and 41	>85	Nil
3m and 4m	>95	20
3n and 4n	>95	15
Novobiocin (100 nmol)	100	nd
NaldixicAcid (100 nmol)	90	nd

The enzyme was incubated with the compound at 37°C for 10 min and reaction started by addition of pBR322 DNA.

nd = not done.

 $\mu L$  contained 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM ATP, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DDT, 30  $\mu g$  /mL BSA, 0.25  $\mu g$  pBR322 and enzyme. The reaction was stopped by adding 5  $\mu L$  of stop buffer followed by electrophoresis of samples on 1% agarose-gel in Tris-acetate buffer for 18 h at 20 V. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5  $\mu g$ / mL) and visualized on a GDS 7500 UVP (Ultra Violet Products, UK) transilluminator. The effect of inhibitors on the enzyme activity was measured by incubating enzyme with inhibitor (20  $\mu g$ / reaction mixture) for 10 min at 37°C and starting the reaction by addition of pBR322. The percent inhibition was determined by measuring the microdensitometry of the gel with a Gel Base/Gel Blot Pro Gel analysis software program (Ultra Violet Products, U.K.). The results obtained for various glycosylated amino esters are summarized in Table 2 and compared with the lead compounds novobiocin and nalidixic acid.

## **Biological Activity**

The percent inhibition of DNA topoisomerase-II of filarial parasite  $S.\ cervi$  by glycosylated amino esters is shown in Table 2. Almost all the compounds showed inhibition ranging from more than 10% to 95% at 20  $\mu$ g/reaction mixture concentration. Compounds possessing more than 80% inhibition at this concentration were tested at 5  $\mu$ g/reaction mixture concentration. A careful examination of the inhibitory activities associated with the molecules indicates that compounds possessing hydrophobic and

## SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS

597

rigid amines at C-5 offer better enzyme inhibitory activity. One compound (3j) showing >95% inhibition at 20  $\mu$ g/reaction mixtures has been selected for further studies, which will be reported in due course.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

**General methods.** All melting points were uncorrected. The excess of the reagents or solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure at a bath temperature between the range 45–55°C. The optical rotations were measured in a 0.5 dm tube with Jasco dip-140 polarimeter in chloroform or ethyl acetate. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 II elemental analyzer. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (silica gel 60, 70–230 mesh, Merck). Thin-layer chromatography (silica gel) was used to monitor the reactions. TLC plates were developed in iodine vapors and also by spraying with 5% sulfuric acid in alcohol followed by heating at 100°C.

Ethyl[3-O-benzyl-5,6-dideoxy-5-dimethylamino-1,2-O-isopropylidene]-α-Dgluco and B-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3a, 4a). (E) (1R, 2R, 3S, 4R) Ethyl-(3-Obenzyl-1,2-O-isopropylidene-1,4-pentofuranose-4-yl)-hept-5-enoate 2a (5 g, 14.375 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (50 mL), was magnetically stirred with dimethylamine hydrochloride (2.4 g, 29.425 mmol) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.75 g, 8.925 mmol) at rt for 16-18 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure, and the syrup thus obtained was dissolved in ethyl acetate (100 mL) and washed with  $H_2O$  (2 × 25 mL). The organic layer was dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which on column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>) using CHCl<sub>3</sub>: CH<sub>3</sub>OH (96:04) as eluent, afforded compounds (3a and 4a) as an inseparable (68:32) diastereomeric mixture. Colourless oil. Rf 0.40 (EtOAc/hexane,1:4), yield 65%:  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 150.00 (c, 0.012, CHCl_3); MS (FAB); m/z 394 (M+H)^+; IR(KBr); v_{max} cm^{-1}$ 3055, 2980, 2862, (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching), 1720 (C=O). H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.35 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.91 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.86 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer, 4.66 (d, J=12 Hz, 1H,  $-\text{OC}H_A\text{Ph}$ ), 4.60 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.58(d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.44 (d, J=12)Hz, 1H,  $-OCH_BPh$ ), 4.28 and 4.23 (dd, J=8.6 Hz and 3.1 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.10 (q,  $J=7.1 \text{ Hz}, 2H, -OCH_2CH_3$ , 4.0 (d, J=3.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.72 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.67 and 2.61 (dd, J = 15.5Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.58 and 2.54 (dd, J = 15.5 Hz and 6.6Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 2.35[s, 6H,  $-N(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.53 and 1.34[each s, each 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.24 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H,  $-\text{OCH}_2\text{C}H_3$ ).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{21}H_{31}O_6N$  (393): C, 64.12; H, 7.88; N, 3.56. Found: C, 64.41; H, 7.68; N, 3.38.

Ethyl[3-*O*-benzyl-5-butylamino-5, 6-dideoxy-1, 2-*O*-isopropylidene]-α-D-*gluco* and β-L-*ido*-heptofuranuronates (3b, 4b). Rf 0.44 and 0.48 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), yield: 70%;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D - 31.25$  (*c*, 0.012, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 422 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3350(–NH), 3040, 2990, 2880(CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1730 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 7.35 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.95 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.87 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.72 (d, J=12 Hz,

598 KHAN ET AL.

1H,  $-OCH_APh$ ), 4.65 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.60(d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.45(d, J=12 Hz, 1H,  $-OCH_BPh$ ), 4.16–4.08 (m, 3H, H-4 and  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.90 (d, J=3.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.40 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.65 (m, 2H,  $-NHCH_2$ ), 2.38 and 2.32 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.25 and 2.19 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.72[bs, 1H,  $-NH(CH_2)_3CH_3$ ], 1.50[s,3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.42 (m, 2H,  $-NHCH_2.CH_2$ ), 1.32[s, 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.30 (m, 2H,  $-NH.CH_2.CH_2.CH_2$ ), 1.28 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 0.88 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H,  $-NH(CH_2)_3CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled,  $CDCl_3$ );  $\delta$  172.20 (C=O), 137.51, 129.10, 128.42 and 128.14 (each Ar–C), 111.90[ $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 105.21(C-1), 82.63 (C-2), 82.26 (C-4), 82.12 (C-3), 71.88 ( $-OCH_2Ph$ ), 60.68 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 54.44 (C-5), 47.34 ( $-NHCH_2$ ), 36.74 (C-6), 32.90 ( $-NHCH_2CH_2$ ), 27.14 and 26.73[2 ×  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 20.81[ $-NH(CH_2)_2CH_2CH_3$ ], 14.57 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 14.36[ $-NH(CH_2)_3CH_3$ ].

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{35}O_6N$  (421): C, 65.56; H, 8.31; N, 3.32. Found: C, 65.12; H, 8.81; N, 3.22.

Ethyl[3-*O*-benzyl-5-benzylamino-5, 6-dideoxy-1, 2-*O*-isopropylidene]-β-L-*ido*-heptofuranuronates (3c, major). Colourless solid mp 74°C, Rf 0.41 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:5), yield 96%.  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 38.94$  (c, 0.012, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 456 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR (KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3350(–NH), 3020, 2980, 2920(CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1710 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 7.25 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.94 (d, J= 3.89 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.69(d, J=11.82 Hz, 1H, -OCH<sub>A</sub>Ph), 4.64(d, J=3.93Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.44 (d, J=11.8Hz, 1H, -OCH<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.25 and 4.19(dd, J=8.8 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.10(q, J=7.14 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.94 (d, J=3.19 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.84 (s, 2H, -NHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 3.52(m, 1H, H-5), 2.46 and 2.40 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.34 and 2.28 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.81 (bs, 1H, -NHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 1.47 and, 1.31[each s, each 3H, C (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 1.24 (t, J=7.14Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 172.80 (C=O), 141.07, 137.97, 128.86,128.69, 128.42, 128.26, 128.12 and 127.27 (each Ar-C), 111.98[C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.20 (C-1), 82.60 (C-2), 82.40 (C-4), 82.22 (C-3), 72.49 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 60.66 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 53.07 (C-5), 51.67 (-NHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 36.26 (C-6), 27.22 and 26.78[2 × C (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 14.57 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{26}H_{33}O_6N$  (455): C, 68.57; H, 7.25; N, 3.07. Found: C, 68.92; H, 7.01; N, 3.41.

Ethyl[3-*O*-benzyl-5-benzylamino-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene]-α-D-*gluco*-heptofuranuronate (4c, minor). Rf 0.47 (EtOAc/ hexane, 1:5),  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 42.76$  (c, 0.012, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 456 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3350(–NH), 3020, 2980, 2920 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1710 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 7.26 (m, 10H, Ar-H), 5.89 (d, J=3.77 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.67 (d, J=11.72 Hz, 1H, -OCH<sub>A</sub>Ph), 4.59(d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.53 (d, J=11.69 Hz, 1H, -OCH<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.23 and 4.17 (dd, J=7.0 Hz and 2.7 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.12(q, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.08 (d, J=2.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.85 (d, J=12.8 Hz, 1H, -NHCH<sub>A</sub>Ph), 3.72 (d, J=12.8 Hz, 1H, -NHCH<sub>B</sub>Ph), 3.52(m, 1H, H-5), 2.85 and 2.78 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 4.4 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.62 and 2.54 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 6.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.68 (s, 1H, -NHCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 1.47 and 1.31[each s, each 3H, C (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 1.24 (t, J=7.14Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 172.15 (C=O), 141.07, 137.52, 128.92,128.73, 128.66, 128.47, 128.32 and 127.19 (each Ar-C), 112.01[C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.29 (C-1), 82.76 (C-2), 82.26 (C-4), 82.19

## SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS

599

(C-3), 71.91 ( $-OCH_2Ph$ ), 60.80 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 54.23 (C-5), 52.02 ( $-NHCH_2Ph$ ), 36.91 (C-6), 27.19 and 26.75[2 × C( $CH_3$ ) 2], 14.62 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).

Ethyl[3-O-benzyl-5, 6-dideoxy-1,2-O-isopropylidene-5-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)]- $\alpha$ -Dgluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3d, 4d). Rf 0.5 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7) yield: 67%;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 38.00$  (c, 0.005, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 420 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR (KBr);  $v_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 2970, 2950, 2900, 2850, 2800 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1720 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  7.35 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.95 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.90 (d, J = 3.7Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.71 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H,  $-OCH_APh$ ), 4.60 (d, *J*=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.58(d, *J*=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer),  $4.42(d, J=12 \text{ Hz}, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 4.22 \text{ and } 4.17 \text{ (dd, } J=8.6 \text{ Hz} \text{ and } 3.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H,$ H-4), 4.12 (q, J = 7.14 Hz, 2H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.71 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.60 (m, 4H,  $2 \times -N.CH_2$ , 3.50 (dd, J=12 Hz and 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-5), 2.87 (m, 2H, -pyrrolidine proton), 2.62 (m, 2H, -pyrrolidine proton), 2.25 2.19 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H,  $H-6_A$ ), 2.06 and 2.00 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 6.7 Hz, 1H,  $H-6_B$ ), 1.50 and 1.35[each s, each 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.28 (t, J = 7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled,  $CDCl_3$ );  $\delta$  173.01 (C=O), 137.62, 128.81, 128.33, and 128.17 (each Ar-C),  $111.79[C(CH_3)_2], 105.38(C-1), 82.6(C-2), 81.57(C-4), 80.92(C-3), 71.7(-OCH_2Ph),$  $60.56 \text{ (-OCH}_2\text{CH}_3), 55.93 \text{ (C-5)}, 48.95 \text{ (2} \times -\text{N}\text{CH}_2), 35.08 \text{ (C-6)}, 27.71 \text{ and}$  $23.94[2 \times C(CH_3)_2], 23.94(2 \times -NCH_2CH_2), 14.55(OCH_2CH_3).$ 

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{33}O_6N$  (419): C, 65.87; H, 7.87; N, 3.34. Found: C, 65.12; H, 7.81; N, 3.42.

Ethyl[3-O-benzyl-5, 6-dideoxy-1,2-O-isopropylidene-5-(piperidin-1-yl)]-α-Dgluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3e, 4e). Rf 0.52 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7) yield: 70%;  $[\alpha]^{20}_{D} - 31.49$  (c, 0.005, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 434 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR (KBr);  $v_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>2980, 2920, 2830,2790, (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1715 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 7.25 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.95 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.90 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.70 (d, J=12 Hz, 1H, -OCH<sub>A</sub>Ph), 4.67 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, -OCH<sub>A</sub>Ph), 4Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.60(d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.45(d, J=12 Hz, Hz, Hz)1H,  $-OCH_BPh$ ), 4.25 and 4.20(dd, J=8.6 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.12 (q, J=7.14 Hz, 2H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.95 (d, J=3.2Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.85 (m, 4H,  $2 \times -N.CH_2$ ), 3.53 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.85 and 2.79 (dd, J = 15.6 Hz and 4.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.37 and 2.30 (dd, J = 15.6Hz and 6.6Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.48 and 1.30[each s, each 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.25 (m, 6H, piperidine proton), 1.20 (t, J=7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$ 172.59 (C=O), 137.46, 128.85, 128.43, and 128.38 (each Ar-C),  $111.71[C(CH_3)_2]$ , 105.42(C-1), 82.54 (C-2), 81.48 (C-4), 80.26 (C-3), 71.75 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 60.48 (C-5),  $60.46 \; (-OCH_2CH_3), \; 50.59 \; (2 \times -NCH_2), \; 35.35 \; (C-6), \; 27.42 \; (2 \times -N.CH_2CH_2), \; 27.22$ and  $26.69[2 \times C(CH_3)_2]$ , 25.18 (piperidine carbon), 14.46 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{24}H_{35}O_6N$  (433): C, 66.51; H, 8.08; N, 3.23. Found: C, 66.81; H, 8.01; N, 3.48.

Ethyl[3-*O*-benzyl-5, 6-dideoxy1,2-*O*-isopropylidene-5-(morpholin-1-yl)]-α-D-gluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3f, 4f). Rf 0.52 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7) yield: 59%;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 31.49$  (*c*, 0.0429, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 436(M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR (KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 2960, 2920, 2880, 2840, 2800 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1740 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H

600 KHAN ET AL.

NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  7.32 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.95 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.91 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.70 (d, J=12 Hz, 1H, -OC $H_A$ Ph), 4.62 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.58(d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.40(d, J=12 Hz, 1H, -OC $H_B$ Ph), 4.21 and 4.16 (dd, J=8.6 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.10 (q, J=7.14 Hz, 2H, -OC $H_2$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.72 (d, J=3.2Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.60 (m, 4H, morpholine proton), 3.45 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.87 and 2.63 (m, 4H,  $2\times-N.CH_2$ ), 2.26 and 2.20 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.08 and 2.02 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.50 and 1.33[each s, each 3H, C(C $H_3$ )<sub>2</sub>], 1.26 (t, J=7.14 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>C $H_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  171.78 (C=O), 137.33, 128.88, 128.52, and 128.46 (each Ar-C), 111.92[C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.44 (C-1), 82.42 (C-2), 81.46 (C-4), 79.99 (C-3), 71.87 (-OC $H_2$ Ph), 68.19 (morpholine carbon), 60.59 (-OC $H_2$ C $H_3$ ), 60.07 (C-5), 49.81 (morpholine carbon), 35.23 (C-6), 27.23 and 26.68[2 × C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 14.75 (-OC $H_2$ C $H_3$ ).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>7</sub>N (435): C, 63.44; H, 7.58; N, 3.22. Found: C, 63.86; H, 7.56; N, 3.18.

Ethyl[3-*O*-benzyl-5, 6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene-5-(1-methyl) piperazin-1-yl)] -α-**D**-gluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3g, 4g). Rf 0.52 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7) yield: 70%;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D$  – 48.25 (*c*, 0.011, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z 449 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3042, 2983, 2941, 2867 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1721 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 7.32 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.89 (d, J= 3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.84 (d, J= 3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.71 (d, J= 12 Hz, 1H, -OC $H_A$ Ph), 4.61 (d, J= 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.58(d, J= 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.48(d, J= 12 Hz, 1H, -OC $H_B$ Ph), 4.22 and 4.17(dd, J= 8.6Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.15 (q, J= 7.14 Hz, 2H, -OC $H_2$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.56 (d, J= 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.48 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.96 (m, 2H, piperazenyl ring proton) 2.81-2.60 (m, 6H, piperazenyl ring proton), 2.48 (s, 3H, -NC $H_3$ ), 2.60 and 2.54 (dd, J= 15.6Hz, and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.29 and 2.23 (dd, J= 15.7 Hz and 6.6Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.48 and 1.31[each s, each 3H, C(C $H_3$ )<sub>2</sub>], 1.25 (t, J= 7.14 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{24}H_{36}O_6N_2$  (448): C, 64.28; H, 8.03; N, 6.25. Found: C, 64.18; H, 8.08; N, 6.28.

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5,6-dideoxy-5-dimethylamino-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene]-α-Degluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3h, 4h). Rf 0.40 and 0.42 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4), yield: 60%;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 35.5$  (*c*, 0.0113, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z 318 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr); ν<sub>max</sub> cm<sup>-1</sup> 2986, 2939, 2834, 2787 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching); 1725 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 5.91 (d, J=3.8Hz, 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.84(d, J=3.8Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.56 (d, J=3.8 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.52 (d, J=3.8 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.23 and 4.18(dd, J=8.6 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.12 (q, J=7.1Hz, 2H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.58 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.45 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.35 (s, 3H,  $-OCH_3$ ), 2.53 and 2.47 (dd, J=15.6Hz, and 4.7 Hz 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.49 and 1.30[each s, each 3H,  $2 \times C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.25 (t, J=7.10 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 172.20 (C=O), 112.16[ $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 105.39 (C-1), 83.99 (C-2), 82.30 (C-4), 81.79 (C-3), 60.74 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 54.76 (C-5), 41.39 and 41.09[ $2 \times -N(CH_3)$ ], 33.75 (C-6), 27.15 and 26.62[ $2 \times C$  ( $CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub>], 14.5 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).

## SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS

601

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{27}O_6N$  (317): C, 56.78; H, 8.52; N, 4.42. Found: C, 56.97; H, 8.48; N, 4.45.

Ethyl[3-O-methyl-5-butylamino-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-O-isopropylidene]-α-D-gluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3i, 4i). Rf 0.42 and 0.46 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), yield: 70%;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D - 38.93$  (c, 0.0113, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z 346(M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 2980, 2940, 2860, 2808 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1720 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  5.91 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.87 (d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.60 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.57 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.12-4.05 (m, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> and H-4), 3.80 and 3.71 (d, J=3.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.38 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.32 (m, 1H, H-5), 2.67 (m, 2H,  $-N.CH_2$ ), 2.50 and 2.44 (dd, J=15.6Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.40 and 2.33 (dd, J = 15.6Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.77[bs, 1H,  $-NH(CH_2)_3CH_3$ ], 1.49[s, 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.42[ m, 2H,  $-NH(CH_2CH_2)$ ], 1.32[m, 2H,  $-NH(CH_2)_2CH_2$ )], 1.30[s, 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ , 1.27 (t, J = 7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 0.90[t, 3H, J = 7.15Hz,  $-NH(CH_2)_3CH_3$ ]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  172.20 (C=O), 112.16[C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.39 (C-1), 83.99 (C-2), 82.30 (C-4), 81.79 (C-3), 60.74 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 54.76 (C-5), 46.60  $(-NHCH_2)$ , 33.24 (C-6), 31.18  $(-NHCH_2CH_2)$ , 27.12 and  $26.51[2 \times C(CH_3)_2]$ , 20.22  $(-NHCH_2CH_2CH_3)$ , 14.52  $(-OCH_2CH_3)$ , 13.91[ $-NH(CH_2)_3CH_3$ ].

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>6</sub>N (345): C, 59.13; H, 8.98; N, 4.05. Found: C, 59.42; H, 8.81; N, 4.12.

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5-benzylamino-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene]-β-L-*ido*-heptofuranuronate (3j, major). Rf 0.38 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:5), yield:  $[\alpha]^{20}_D$  – 41.28 (*c*, 0.014, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 380 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3355 (–NH); 3048, 2978, 2923 and 2845 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1721 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 7.32 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.90(d, J=3.7 Hz 1H, H-1), 4.57 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2.), 4.23 and 2.18 (dd, J=8.6 Hz and 3.1Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.14 (q, J=7.14 Hz, 2H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.90(d, J=12 Hz, 1H,  $-NHCH_APh$ ), 3.85(d, J=12 Hz, 1H,  $-NHCH_BPh$ ), 3.73 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.39 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.36 (s, 3H,  $-OCH_3$ ), 2.58 and 2.52 (dd, J=15.6Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.46 and 2.39(dd, J=15.6Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 2.02(m, 1H,  $-NHCH_2Ph$ ), 1.47 and 1.30[each s, each 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.25 (t, J=7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 172.12 (C=O), 140.96, 128.65, 127.18 (each Ar-C), 111.90[ $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 105.18 (C-1), 84.55 (C-2), 82.83(C-4), 81.59 (C-3), 60.77 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 57.62 ( $-OCH_3$ )54.33 (C-5), 51.96 ( $-NHCH_2Ph$ ), 36.89 (C-6), 27.14 and 26.94[ $2 \times C(CH_3)_2$ ], 14.62 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5-benzylamino-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene]-α-D-gluco-heptofuranuronate (4j, minor). Rf 0.44 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:5), yield:  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 38.15$  (*c*, 0.013, ethyl acetate); MS (FAB): m/z 380 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3351 (-NH); 3051, 2985, 2922 and 2848 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1721 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ 7.35 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.90 (d, J=3.7Hz 1H, H-1), 4.60 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2.), 4.28 and 2.23(dd, J=7.0 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.16 (q, J=7.14 Hz, 2H, -OC $H_2$ CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89(d, J=12 Hz, 1H, -NHC $H_4$ Ph), 3.80(d, J=12 Hz, 1H, -NHC $H_4$ Ph), 3.74 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.40 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.35 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.56 and 2.50 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.47 and 2.40 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and

602 KHAN ET AL.

4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.88(m, 1H,  $-NHCH_2Ph$ ), 1.49 and 1.30[each s, each 3H, C(C $H_3$ )<sub>2</sub>], 1.24 (t, J=7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$ 172.76(C=O), 141.15, 128.67, 128.51 and 127.28 (each Ar–C), 111.89[C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.13 (C-1), 84.17 (C-2), 82.36 (C-4), 82.26 (C3), 60.67( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 57.98 ( $-OCH_3$ ), 52.75(C-5), 51.94 ( $-NHCH_2Ph$ ), 36.57 (C-6), 27.18 and 26.73[ $2 \times C(CH_3)_2$ ], 14.63 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene-5-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)]-α-Degluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3k, 4k). Rf 0.5 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), yield: 72%;  $[\alpha]^{20}_D - 46.03$  (*c*, 0.030, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z 344 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3020, 2980, 2940, 2820 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1725 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 5.90 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.85 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.55 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.50(d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.25 and 2.20 (dd, J=8.6 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.12 (q, J=7.14 Hz, 2H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.62 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.55 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.38 (s, 3H,  $-OCH_3$ ), 2.70 (m, 4H, 2 ×  $-NCH_2$ ), 2.47 and 2.41 (dd, 1H, J=15.6 Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.33 and 2.26 (dd, J=15.6 Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.70 (m, 4H, pyrrolidine H), 1.46 and 1.30[each s, each 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.21 (t, J=7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 173.01(C=O), 111.73[ $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 105.45 (C-1), 83.4 (C-2), 81.87 (C-4), 80.96 (C-3), 60.86 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 57.93 ( $-OCH_3$ ), 55.49 (C-5), 48.81 (2 ×  $-NCH_2$ ), 35.14 (C-6), 27.70 and 24.7[2 ×  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 23.98 (2 ×  $-NCH_2CH_2$ ), 14.58 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{29}O_6N$  (343): C, 59.47; H, 8.45; N, 4.08. Found: C, 59.41; H, 8.38; N, 4.11.

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene-5-(piperidine-1-yl)]-α-Degluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3l, 4l). Rf 0.51 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), yield: 75%;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 56.25$  (*c*, 0.028, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): *m/z* 358 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr); v<sub>max</sub> cm<sup>-1</sup> 3040, 3020, 2960, 2860 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1720 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 5.92 (d, *J*=3.7Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.88 (d, *J*=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.67 (d, *J*=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.60(d, *J*=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.25 and 2.20(dd, *J*=8.6 Hz and 3.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.12 (q, *J*=7.14 Hz, 2H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.95 (d, *J*=3.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.85 (m, 4H, 2 ×  $-NCH_2$ ), 3.53 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.5(s, 3H,  $-OCH_3$ ), 2.80 and 2.74 (dd, *J*=15.6Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.46 and 2.39 (dd, *J*=15.6Hz and 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.48 and 1.30[each s, each 3H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 1.25 (m, 6H, piperidine proton), 1.20 (t, *J*=7.14 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 172.59 (C=O), 111.51[*C*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.20 (C-1), 84.99 (C-2), 82.26 (C-4), 81.87 (C-3), 60.53 (C-5), 60.30 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 57.46 ( $-OCH_3$ ), 50.44 (( $-NCH_2$ ), 35.61 (C-6), 27.07 (2 ×  $-NCH_2CH_2$ ), 26.52 and 26.42[2 × C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 26.34 (piperidine carbon), 14.47 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{31}O_6N$  (357): C, 60.50; H, 8.68; N, 3.92. Found: C, 60.48; H, 8.71; N, 3.45.

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5,6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene-5-(morpholin-1-yl)]-α-D-gluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3m, 4m). Rf 0.5 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), yield: 68%; [α]<sup>20</sup><sub>D</sub> – 29.78 (c, 0.014, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z 360 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3000, 2980, 2860 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1735 (C=O): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,

## SUGAR DERIVED OLEFINIC ESTERS

603

CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  5.98 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.91 (d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.64 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.57(d, J=3.7Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer ), 4.12-4.00 (m, 3H, H-4 and  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 3.62 (m, 5H, H-5 and morpholine protons), 3.48 (d, J=3.2Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.40(s, 3H,  $-OCH_3$ ), 2.85 (m, 2H, morpholine protons), 2.61 (m, 2H, morpholine protons), 2.46 and 2.40 (dd, J=15.6Hz and 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.30 and 2.24 (dd, J=15.6Hz and 6.5 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.48 and 1.32[each s, each 3H,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 1.25 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 3H,  $-OCH_2CH_3$ ).  $^{13}C$  NMR (proton decoupled,  $CDCl_3$ );  $\delta$  171.78 (C=O), 111.62[ $C(CH_3)_2$ ], 105.17 (C-1), 84.86 (C-2), 81.58 (C-4), 80.64 (C-3), 68.32( $CH_2O$ -morpholine), 60.52 ( $-OCH_2CH_3$ ), 60.13 (C-5), 57.57 ( $-OCH_3$ ), 49.67 (( $-NCH_2CH_2O$ -morpholine), 35.26 (C-6), 27.11 and 26.53[ $2 \times C(CH_3)_2$ ], 14.55 ( $-OCH_2 CH_3$ ).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>7</sub>N (359): C, 56.82; H, 8.07; N, 3.89. Found: C, 56.76; H, 8.12; N, 3.78.

Ethyl[3-*O*-methyl-5, 6-dideoxy-1,2-*O*-isopropylidene-5-(1-methyl) piperazin-1-yl)]-α-D-gluco and β-L-ido-heptofuranuronates (3n, 4n). Rf 0.51 (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7), yield: 65%;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 31.66$  (*c*, 0.038, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS (FAB): m/z 373 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; IR(KBr);  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 3020, 2980, 2960 and 2840 (CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching): 1720 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 5.90 (d, J = 3.7Hz 1H, H-1, major isomer), 5.84 (d, J = 3.7 Hz 1H, H-1, minor isomer), 4.50 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, major isomer), 4.52(d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2, minor isomer), 4.20–4.09 (m, 3H, H-4 and -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.54 (d, J = 3.2Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.45 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.37(s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.95 (m, 2H, piperazine protons), 2.48 (s, 3H, -NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.44 and 2.38(dd, J = 15.6H and 4.7Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>A</sub>), 2.29 and 2.23 (dd, J = 15.5Hz and 6.5Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.49 and 1.30[each s, each 3H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 1.28 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (proton decoupled, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ 171.95 (C=O), 111.67[C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 105.16 (C-1), 84.49 (C-2), 80.99 (C-3), 80.70 (C-4), 60.49 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 59.75 (C-5), 58.46 (-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 56.48 and 51.47(piperazine carbon), 46.22(-NCH<sub>3</sub>), 35.68(C-6), 27.53 and 27.13[2 × C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 14.47 (-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{32}O_6N$  (372): C, 58.08; H, 8.60; N, 7.52. Found: C, 58.14; H, 8.48; N, 7.58.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Authors are thankful to Director, CDRI, for his encouragement, and also ICMR, New Delhi and V. W. foundation for financial assistance. We thank RSIC staff for spectral data as well as for microanalysis.

## REFERENCES

- (a) Barret, G.C. Chemistry and Biochemistry of Amino Acids; Chapman and Hall: London, 1985.; (b) Duthaler, R.O. Recent developments in the stereoselective synthesis of α-amino acids. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 1539–1650.
- 2. (a) Houser, F.M.; Ellenberger, S.R. Synthesis of 2,3,6-trideoxy-3-amino and 2,3,6-trideoxy-3-nitrohexoses. Chem. Rev. **1986**, 86, 35–67.; (b) Horton, D.; Wandert, J.W. *The Carbohydrates, Chemistry/Biochemistry*; Pigman, W., Horton, D.,



604 KHAN ET AL.

Wandert, J.D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York; 1980; Vol. 1B, 643–760.; (c) Pelyvas, I.F.; Monneret, C.; Herzegh, P. Synthetic Aspects of Aminodeoxy Sugars of Antibiotics; Springer: Berlin, 1988.

- (a) Tripathi, R.P.; Rastogi, S.K.; Kundu, B.; Saxena, J.K.; Reddy, V.J.M.; Srivastava, S.; Chandra, S.; Bhaduri, A.P. Identification of inhibitors of DNA Topoisomerase-II from a synthetic library of glycoconjugates. Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screen. 2001, 4, 237–244.; (b) Pandya, U.; Saxena, J.K.; Kaul, S.M.; Murthy, P.K.; Chatterjee, R.K.; Tripathi, R.P.; Bhaduri, A.P.; Shukla, O.P. DNA Topoisomerases of filarial parasites: Effect of antifilarial compounds. Med. Sci. Res. 1999, 27, 103–105.; (c) Tripathi, R.P.; Saxena, J.K.; Bhaduri, A.P.; Shukla, O.P.; Srivastava, V.M.L.; Dwivedi, A.K.; Singh, S.; Murthy, P.K.; Bhattacharya, S.; Chatterjee, R.K. A Process for the Preparation of Novel 3-(Substituted and Unsubstituted) Amino-3-Glycosylated-Propanoates and Propanoic Acids Useful as Inhibitors of Helminthic and Protozoan DNA Topoisomerases 620/DEL/2001; IPMD: New Delhi, 2001.
- Scheider, C.; Borner, C. Novel and stereocontrolled synthesis of enantiopure and polyalkyl substituted piperidines. Synlett 1998, 6, 652–654.
- 5. Bruce, R.A.; Peoples, C.J.; Jones, P.B. Tandem SN<sup>2</sup>-Michael reactions for the preparation of five and six membered ring nitrogen and sulfur heterocycles. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 727–1733.
- Hirama, M.; Shigemoto, T.; Yamazaki, Y.; Ito, S. Intramolecular Michael addition of O-carbamates to α,β-unsaturated esters: A new diastereoselective amination in an acyclic system. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1797–1798.
- Patil, N.T.; Tilekar, J.N.; Dhavale, D.D. Intermolecular Michael addition of substituted amines to a sugar derived α,β-unsaturated ester: Synthesis of 1-deoxy-Dgluco and 1-ido homonojirimycin-1-deoxy-castanospermine and 1-deoxy-8a-epicastanospermine. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1065.
- 8. (a) Cerest, M.; Felkin, H.; Prudent, N. Torsional strain involving partial bonds. The stereochemistry of the LAH reduction of some simple open chain ketones. Tetrahedron Lett. **1968**, *18*, 2199–2204.; (b) Cerest, M.; Felkin, H. Torsional strain involving partial bonds. The steric course of the reaction between MgBr<sub>2</sub> and 4-t-butylcyclohexanone. Tetrahedron Lett. **1968**, *18*, 2205–2208.
- (a) Houk, K.N.; Paddon, R.; Row, N.M.; Rondon, N.G.; Wu, Y.D.; Brown, F.K.; Spellmeyer, C.D.; Metz, J.T.; Li, Y.L.; Loncharich, R.J. Theory and modeling of stereoselective organic reactions. Science 1986, 231, 1108–1117.; (b) Marco, J.L.; Martin, G.; Martin, N.; Angles, M.G.; Seoan, C.; Albert, A.; Felix, H.C. Michael addition of Malononitrile to chiral α-Acylates. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 7133–7144.

Received January 25, 2002 Accepted August 28, 2002