

Journal of Fluorine Chemistry 119 (2003) 59-63



www.elsevier.com/locate/jfluchem

A new one-pot synthesis of difluoro(organylsulfinyl)acetic acid esters

Lev M. Yagupolskii*, Andrej V. Matsnev, Nataliya V. Kondratenko

Institute of Organic Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 5 Murmanskaya Street, 02094 Kiev, Ukraine Received 9 July 2002; received in revised form 5 September 2002; accepted 8 September 2002

Dedicated to Professor Tatlow on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

Abstract

A novel single-step method for the synthesis of esters of difluoro(organylsulfinyl)acetic acids, by reacting aromatic compounds with isopropyl chlorosulfinyldifluoroacetate, was developed. The feasibility of transforming the sulfoxide intermediates into corresponding sulfides and sulfones was demonstrated.

© 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Sulfoxides; Sulfones; Sulfinylation; Difluoro(organylsulfinyl)acetates

1. Introduction

Fluoroalkyl sulfoxides are much less known compared with the corresponding sulfides or sulfones. However some of them have already found wide application, for example, the insecticide Fipronyl [1]. Fluorinated sulfoxides can be obtained by oxidation of corresponding sulfides [2], by nucleophilic trifluoromethylation of sulfinyl halides and esters of sulfinic acids with CF₃SiMe₃ in the presence of fluoride ion [3], or by the direct introduction of the group – S(O)CF₃ into aromatic compounds by the action of sodium triflinate, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride [4]. Optically active sulfoxides, with a fluorinated alkyl substituent in the α -position relative to the sulfinyl group, have been obtained for the first time by us [5] through separation of the diastereomeric salts of the corresponding arylsulfinyldifluoroacetic acids. Previously, we prepared arylsulfinyldifluoroacetic acids and their esters by oxidation of the corresponding sulfides [5], but sulfones were formed therewith as by-products.

2. Results and discussion

Now, we report a one-pot procedure for the synthesis of arylsulfinyldifluoroacetic acid esters from aromatic compounds and isopropyl chlorosulfinyldifluoroacetate (1);

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +380-44-559-03-49;

fax: +380-44-573-26-43.

E-mail address: lev@fluor-ukr.kiev.ua (L.M. Yagupolskii).

compound (1) is prepared from its sodium derivative described earlier [6] (Scheme 1).

The sulfinyl chloride (1) turned out to be a convenient reagent to introduce –S(O)CF₂COOR groups into aromatic compounds in the presence of Friedel-Crafts catalysts. It was found that, the higher electron density in the ring of the aromatic substrate 2, the less active catalyst should be used and in some cases the reaction proceeds in the absence of any catalyst (Table 1).

N,*N*-Dimethylaniline and *N*,*N*-diethylaniline react with **1**, exothermally and without a catalyst, to give sulfoxides **3a** and **3b**, respectively. The corresponding sulfinylated derivatives of *N*-methylindole and *N*-methylpyrrole were obtained in a similar way, without catalysts, but the second substrate gave a 4:1 mixture of 2- and 3-isomer.

Anisole does not give the desired product under the above conditions and its reaction with 1 was conducted in the presence of AlCl₃, FeCl₃, SnCl₄ or trifluoromethanesulfonic acid. Heavy tarring occurred with AlCl₃ even if the reaction was initiated at -7 °C (at a lower temperature no reaction was observed). Iron(III) chloride is also too active and the yield of the expected product 3e is as low as 40%. Also the use of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid as the catalyst did not produce the desired result.

The optimum catalyst for the sulfinylation of anisole with 1 was found to be $SnCl_4$. The reaction when carried out at 0–20 °C afforded isopropyl 4-methoxyphenylsulfinyldifluoroacetate (3e) in 85% yield. Also in the sulfinylation of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene, $SnCl_4$ is the best catalyst.

In contrast to anisole and 1,3-dimethoxybenzene, unsubstituted benzene enters into the sulfinylation reaction only in

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{XCF}_2\mathsf{COOPr}\text{-}i & & \mathsf{Na}_2\mathsf{S}_2\mathsf{O}_4 \,/\, \mathsf{NaHCO}_3 \\ \hline \mathsf{CH}_3\mathsf{CN} \,/\, \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O} & & \mathsf{SOCI}_2 \\ \mathsf{X=Br}, \, \mathsf{I} & & & \mathsf{Cl}(\mathsf{O})\mathsf{SCF}_2\mathsf{COOPr}\text{-}i \\ \hline & & \mathsf{1} \\ \end{array}$$

Scheme 1.

the presence of $FeCl_3$ and the substrate is transformed into isopropyl phenylsulfinyldifluoroacetate (**3g**) in 65% yield (Table 1).

Toluene, in the presence of different catalysts, gives a difficult-to-separate mixture of products. Chlorobenzene and nitrobenzene do not react with ${\bf 1}$ even in the presence of AlCl₃.

Esters **3a**–**g** were hydrolyzed with alkali into corresponding arylsulfinyldifluoroacetic acids (**4a**–**g**) (Table 2).

Table 1 Preparation	n of arylsulfinyldiflu	oroacetates ArH	► ArS(O)CF ₂ COO 3a−g	ArS(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>i</i> + HCl 3a-g		
Entry	Arene (2)	Time (h)	Product (3)	Isolated yield (%)		
				No catalyst	FeCl ₃	SnCl ₄
a	NMe ₂	3	NMe ₂	90		
b	NEt ₂	3	S(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>i</i> NEt ₂	85		
c	N Me	4	S(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>i</i> S(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>i</i> N Me	80		
d	N Me	3	S(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>i</i>	78 ^a		
e	OMe	2	S(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>i</i> MeO		40	85
f	OMe	1.5	OMe OMe S(O)CF ₂ COOPr- <i>j</i>			80
g	NO ₂	2.5	S(O)CF ₂ COOPr-j		65	
h		24	No reaction			

^a A 4:1 mixture of 2- and 3-isomer.

$$CH_3O \longrightarrow SCF_2COOPr-i$$

$$SCF_2COOPr-i$$

$$CH_3O \longrightarrow SCF_2COOPr-i$$

$$CrO_3/CH_3COOH$$

$$CH_3O \longrightarrow SCF_2COOPr-i$$

$$CH_3O \longrightarrow SCF_2COOPr-i$$

$$SCF_2COOPr-i$$

$$SCF_2COOPr-i$$

Scheme 2.

*S (O)CE COOL

Table 2
Preparation of arylsulfinyldifluoroacetic acids
1. 40% NaOH, 40 °C

Ar\$(O)CF_COOPri —

Ars (U)CF	₂ COOPr -/ 2. H ⁺	——→ AIS(0)CF ₂ COOH 4a-g		
3a–¢				
Product (4)	R	Melting point (°C)	Yield of 4 (%)	
4a	4-Me ₂ NC ₆ H ₄	170–171 ^a	75	
4b	$4-\text{Et}_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4$	179–180 ^a	70	
4c	1-Methyl-3-indolyl	180–181 ^a	72	
4d	1-Methyl-2-pyrrolyl	178–179 ^a	81	
4e	$4-MeOC_6H_4$	135–136 ^b	80	
4f	$2,4-(MeO)_2C_6H_3$	162–163 ^b	84	
4 g	Ph	75–76 ^c	78	

^a Crystallized from benzene-ethyl acetate.

Fluorine atoms in compounds 1, 3a–g and 4a–g are diaster-eotopic, owing to the close proximity to the chiral sulfur center [5], and magnetically nonequivalent in ¹⁹F NMR spectra.

Using 3e as an example, the feasibility was demonstrated of transforming the sulfoxides into the corresponding sulfides and sulfones (Scheme 2). Sulfoxide 3e was converted into sulfide 5e by the reaction with PCl_5 , previously used for reduction of aryl trifluoromethyl sulfoxides into aryl trifluoromethyl sulfides [7]. The oxidation of 3e into sulfone 6e was performed with CrO_3 in glacial acetic acid.

3. Conclusion

Simple and convenient methods were developed for the synthesis of arylsulfinyldifluoroacetic acids and their esters and for transformation of the latter into corresponding sulfides and sulfones.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

Boiling and melting points are uncorrected. ¹H NMR: Varian VXR-300 (300 MHz) (TSM as internal standard). ¹⁹F

NMR: Varian VXR-300 (288 MHz) (CFCl₃ as internal standard).

4.2. Preparation isopropyl chlorosulfinyldifluoroacetate (1)

Sodium salt of isopropyl difluoro(sulfino)acetate (4 g, 17.8 mmol) was added in small portions to thionyl chloride (5 ml) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The mixture was stirred, until the evolution of gas ceased, allowed to warm up to RT and the stirring was continued for a further 6 h. The mixture was filtered, the unreacted SOCl₂ was distilled off and the residue was purified by distillation to give 3.1 g (65%) **1**.

The bp is 85–87 °C (18 Torr); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37 (d, J=6 Hz, 6H), 5.3 (spt, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta=-106.4$ (d, J=223 Hz, 1F), -111.0 (d, J=223 Hz, 1F).

4.3. Typical experimental procedure for the reaction of (1) to give 3a-d

To a stirred solution of N,N-dimethylaniline (2.83 g, 23.4 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 ml) cooled to 0 °C was added agent **1** (1.1 g, 5.4 mmol). The mixture was warmed to RT in 30 min and stirred at this temperature for a further 2 h. The solvent was removed by distillation and the residue was extracted with diethyl ether. The extract was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (benzene:hexane 2:1) to give **3a** as white crystals.

4.3.1. $4-(Me)_2NC_6H_4S(O)CF_2COOPr-i$ (3a)

Melting point 81–82 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.26$ (d, J = 6 Hz, 6H), 3.04 (s, 6H), 5.06 (spt, 1H), 7.14 (A₂B₂m, 4H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -111.2$ (d, J = 224 Hz, 1F), -112.3 (d, J = 224 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₃H₁₇F₂NO₃S: C, 51.13; H, 5.61; N, 4.58; S, 10.50. Found: C, 51.24; H, 5.73; N, 4.61; S, 10.48.

4.3.2. $4-(Et)_2NC_6H_4S(O)CF_2COOPr-i$ (3b)

White crystals, mp 63–64 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.17$ –1.29 (m, 12H), 3.39 (q, 4H), 5.05 (spt, 1H), 7.12 (A₂B₂m, 4H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/

^b Crystallized from benzene.

^c Crystallized from benzene-hexane.

CCl₃F): $\delta = -111.3$ (d, J = 226 Hz, 1F), -112.4 (d, J = 226 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₅H₂₁F₂NO₃S: C, 54.03; H, 6.35; N, 4.20; S, 9.62. Found: C, 53.62; H, 6.15; N, 4.41; S, 9.74.

4.3.3. 1-Methyl-3-indolyl $S(O)CF_2COOPr$ -i (3c)

White crystals, mp 102–103 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.70$ (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6H), 3.45 (s, 3H), 4.58 (spt, 1H), 6.87–7.52 (m, 5H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -109.8$ (d, J = 222 Hz, 1F), -111.3 (d, J = 222 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₄H₁₅F₂NO₃S: C, 53.49; H, 4.49; N, 4.45; S, 10.20. Found: C, 53.50; H, 4.70; N, 4.41; S, 9.98.

4.3.4. 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolyl S(O)CF₂COOPr-i (3d)

Oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO): δ = 1.30 (m, 6H); 3.80, 3.94 (s, 3H); 5.00 (m, 1H), 6.29–7.21 (m, 3H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO/CCl₃F): 2-isomer, δ = -106.7 (d, J = 220 Hz, 1F), -110.8 (d, J = 220 Hz, 1F); 3-isomer, δ = -109.6 (d, J = 223 Hz, 1F), -115.2 (d, J = 223 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₀H₁₃F₂NO₃S: C, 45.27; H, 4.94; N, 5.28; S, 12.08. Found: C, 45.32; H, 4.92; N, 5.32; S, 12.30.

4.4. Typical experimental procedure for the reaction of (1) to give 3e-g

To anisole (1 ml) was added agent **1** (1.1 g, 5.4 mmol) at 0 °C followed by SnCl₄ (1.3 g, 5 mmol). The temperature was raised to RT and the mixture was stirred until the evolution of gas ceased. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was poured onto crushed ice and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extract was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated and the residue was purified by chromatography (benzene:hexane 4:1) to give **3e** as an oily substance.

4.4.1. $4-MeOC_6H_4S(O)CF_2COOPr-i$ (3e)

Oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.27 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 6H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 4.87 (spt, 1H), 7.25 (A₂B₂m, 4H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): δ = -110.7(d, J = 226 Hz, 1F), -112.8 (d, J = 226 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₂H₁₄F₂O₄S: C, 49.31; H, 4.83; S, 10.97. Found: C, 49.27; H, 4.65; S, 10.78.

4.4.2. $2,4-(MeO)_2C_6H_3S(O)CF_2COOPr-i$ (3f)

White crystals, mp 56–57 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.28$ (d, J = 6 Hz, 6H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 5.07 (spt, 1H), 6.46 (d, ⁴J = 2 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, ³J = 9 Hz, ⁴J = 2 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, ³J = 9 Hz, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -109.02$ (d, J = 217 Hz, 1F), -110.01 (d, J = 217 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₃H₁₆F₂O₅S: C, 49.44; H, 5.00; S, 9.95. Found: C, 49.84; H, 5.00; S, 9.85.

4.4.3. $PhS(O)CF_2COOPr-i$ (3g)

Oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.22$ (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 6H), 4.12 (spt, 1H), 7.28–7.92 (m, 5H). ¹⁹F

NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -110.1$ (d, J = 227 Hz, 1F), -112.3 (d, J = 227 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₁H₁₂F₂O₃S: C, 50.37; H, 4.61; S, 12.22. Found: C, 50.10; H, 4.43; S, 11.98.

The reaction mixture containing **3e** turned red, whereas in the case of **3f** it was violet apparently due to protonation of the sulfoxide oxygen atom. This suggestion was confirmed by formation of the same color on bubbling hydrogen chloride through a solution of **3e** in CH₂Cl₂.

4.5. Typical procedure for preparation of acids 4a-g

To a 40% aqueous solution of NaOH (5 ml) was added ester $\bf 3a$ (0.9 g, 3 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at 40 °C until complete dissolution then it was cooled and filtered. The filtrate was acidified to pH 5 and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extract was washed with a saturated solution of NaCl and concentrated to leave $\bf 4a$ which was purified by crystalization from benzene-ethyl acetate (Table 2). Compounds $\bf 4e$ and $\bf 4g$ show no depression of mixed mp with authentic samples of the compounds obtained by another method [5].

4.5.1. $4-(Me)_2NC_6H_4S(O)CF_2COOH$ (4a)

Yellow crystals; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.01$ (s, 6H), 7.17 (A₂B₂m, 4H). 19 F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -111.2$ (d, J = 224 Hz, 1F), -112.3 (d, J = 224 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₀H₁₁F₂NO₃S: C, 45.63; H, 4.18; N, 5.32; S, 12.20. Found: C, 45.56; H, 4.40; N, 5.23; S, 11.93.

4.5.2. 4-(Et)₂NC₆H₄S(O)CF₂COOH (**4b**)

Yellow crystals; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.21$ (t, 6H), 3.5 (q, 4H), 7.14 (A₂B₂m, 4H). 19 F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -109.8$ (d, J = 228 Hz, 1F), -113.2 (d, J = 228 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅F₂NO₃S: C, 49.47; H, 5.19; N, 4.80; S, 11.01. Found: C, 49.51; H, 5.30; N, 4.82; S, 10.95.

4.5.3. 1-Methyl-3-indolyl $S(O)CF_2COOH$ (4c)

Yellow crystals; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.51$ (s, 3H), 6.78–7.30 (m, 5H). 19 F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -110.1$ (d, J = 225 Hz, 1F), -112.3 (d, J = 225 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for $C_{11}H_9F_2NO_3S$: C, 48.52; H, 2.96; N, 5.14; S, 11.77. Found: C, 48.34; H, 3.20; N, 5.35; S, 12.06.

4.5.4. 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolyl S(O)CF₂COOH (4d)

Yellow crystals; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.74$ (s, 3H), 6.31–7.04 (m, 3H). 19 F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -105.6$ (d, J = 225 Hz, 1F), -110.5 (d, J = 225 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₇H₇F₂NO₃S: C, 37.67; H, 3.16; N, 6.28; S, 14.36. Found: C, 37.52; H, 2.98; N, 6.20; S, 14.30.

4.5.5. $4-MeOC_6H_4S(O)CF_2COOH$ (4e)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.56$ (s, 3H), 7.92 (A₂B₂m, 4H), 10.70 (br, s, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz,

CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -110.7$ (d, J = 226 Hz, 1F), -112.8 (d, J = 226 Hz, 1F).

4.5.6. 2,4- $(MeO)_2C_6H_3S(O)CF_2COOHi$ (4f)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.18 (s, 3H), 4.22 (s, 3H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 7.20 (d, ³*J* = 3 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, ³*J* = 3 Hz, 1H), 11.02 (br, s, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): δ = -109.02 (d, *J* = 217 Hz, 1F), -110.01 (d, *J* = 217 Hz, 1F). Anal. calcd. for C₁₀H₁₀F₂O₅S: C, 42.85; H, 3.60; S, 11.44. Found: C, 42.80; H, 3.51; S, 11.32.

4.5.7. PhS(O)CF₂COOH (4g)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.46$ –7.97 (m, 5H), 10.87 (br, s, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CCl₃F): $\delta = -110.1$ (d, J = 225 Hz, 1F), -112.3 (d, J = 225 Hz, 1F).

4.6. Preparation of sulfide (5)

To sulfoxide **3e** (1.5 g, 5 mmol) was added PCl₅ (1.12 g, 5.4 mmol), the mixture was stirred at RT, until the evolution of gas ceased, and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The extract was washed with a 10% aqueous solution of soda and with water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give **5** as an oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.31 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 6H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 4.02 (spt, 1H), 7.24 (A₂B₂m, 4H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CFCl₃): -79.2 (s, 2F). Anal. calcd. for $C_{12}H_{14}F_2O_3S$: C, 49.20; H, 4.78; S, 10.87. Found: C, 49.31; H, 4.73; S, 10.78.

4.7. Preparation of sulfone (6)

To a solution of 3e (0.9 g, 3 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (7 ml) was added CrO_3 (1 g, 10 mmol). The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 3 h, poured into water, and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The extract was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to leave sulfone 6 as crystals, mp 87 °C (from benzene). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.27 (d, J=5.8 Hz, 6H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 4.30 (spt, 1H), 7.18 (A₂B₂m, 4H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃/CFCl₃): -110.7 (s, 2F). Anal. calcd. for $C_{12}H_{14}F_{2}O_{5}S$: C, 46.70; H, 4.54; S, 10.40. Found: C, 46.83; H, 4.65; S, 10.40.

References

- I.G. Buntain, L.R. Hatton, D.W. Hawkins, C.J. Pearson, D.A. Roberts, EP 295117 (1988); CA 112 (1988) 35845n.
- [2] L.M. Yagupolskii, Aromatic and Heterocyclic Compounds with Fluorine-Containing Substituents, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1988, pp. 126–129.
- [3] R.P. Singh, G. Cao, R.L. Kirchmeier, J.M. Shreeve, J. Org. Chem. 55 (1999) 2873–2877.
- [4] C. Wakselman, M. Tordeux, C. Freslon, L. Saint-Jalmes, Synlett (2001) 550–552.
- [5] A.V. Matsnev, N.V. Kondratenko, L.M. Yagupolskii, Y. L Yagupolskii, Tetrahedron Lett. 43 (2002) 2949–2952.
- [6] Z.-Y. Yang, D.J. Burton, Heteroatom Chem. 3 (1992) 261.
- [7] L.M. Yagupolskii, N.V. Kondratenko, M.S. Marinets, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 35 (1965) 377–387; CA 62 (1965) 14551a.