# Copper Triflate as a Useful Catalyst for the High-Yielding Preparation of α-Acetyloxyphosphonates under Solvent-Free Conditions

Habib Firouzabadi,\* Nasser Iranpoor,\* Sara Sobhani, Zohreh Amoozgar

Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran Fax +98(711)2280926; E-mail: Firouzabadi@chem.susc.ac.ir; E-mail: Iranpoor@chem.susc.ac.ir *Received 1 October 2003* 

**Abstract:** A high-yielding and convenient procedure for the efficient conversion of  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates to  $\alpha$ -acetyloxyphosphonates using acetic anhydride in the presence of catalytic amounts of copper triflate is described.

**Key words:** hydroxyphosphonates, solvent-free, copper triflate, acetic anhydride, acetyloxyphosphonates

 $\alpha$ -Acetyloxyphosphonates are considered as important and valuable phosphorus compounds for the synthesis of optically active  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates. Enzymatic systems have been introduced for the enantioselective hydrolysis of racemic  $\alpha$ -acetyloxyphosphonates.<sup>1</sup> Chiral and nonracemic  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates are useful precursors for a variety of  $\alpha$ -substituted phosphonates especially for  $\alpha$ -aminophosphonic acids which have received considerable attention in medical, bioorganic and organic chemistry owing to their potential activities as analogues of  $\alpha$ amino acids.<sup>1a,2</sup>

Literature survey indicates that a practical and high yielding method for the synthesis of pure  $\alpha$ -acetyloxyphosphonates is rare. The reactions of aldehydes and ketones with acyl phosphites is reported for the preparation of  $\alpha$ -acetyloxyphosphonates at 120 °C to produce the desired products in low yields.<sup>3</sup> The other reported procedures for this direct purpose include acetylation of  $\alpha$ hvdroxyphosphonates<sup>4</sup> with ketene catalyzed by  $BF_3$ ·Et<sub>2</sub>O<sup>5</sup> or H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.<sup>6</sup> These protocols suffer from usually low yields<sup>5</sup>, requiring rather high temperatures (70–80  $^{\circ}$ C) and long reaction times (10–15 h).<sup>6</sup> Acetylation of α-hydroxyphosphonates with Ac<sub>2</sub>O or AcCl in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N or pyridine has been conducted at room temperature in 1–18 hours resulting in low to excellent yields.<sup>1b,1c,7</sup> In the other reported procedure, AcCl has been used for direct acetylation of  $\alpha$ -trimethylsilyloxyphosphonates at a rather high temperature (120 °C) to produce α-acetyloxyphosphonates in moderate yields (55–70%).<sup>8</sup>

In this article we present a new procedure in which a mild Lewis acid, copper triflate  $[Cu(OTf)_2]^9$  has been used for the first time for direct acetylation of  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates with Ac<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature in the absence of solvent in excellent yields with short reaction times.

SYNTHESIS 2004, No. 2, pp 0295–0297 Advanced online publication: 13.01.2004 DOI: 10.1055/s-2003-815919; Art ID: P08603SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York Reactions of diethyl  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates have been under investigation in our laboratory in recent years.<sup>10</sup> Along this line, recently we have introduced [Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub>] as a mild and efficient catalyst for the silylation of  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates with HMDS.<sup>10d</sup> A recent report on the acetylation of traditional hydroxy functional groups with Ac<sub>2</sub>O catalyzed by Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub><sup>11</sup> prompted us to apply this Lewis acid catalyst for direct acetylation of diethyl  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates. We have studied the reactions of various diethyl  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates **1a–o** in the presence of Ac<sub>2</sub>O catalyzed by 0.013–0.08 equivalents of Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> in the absence of solvent at room temperature (Scheme 1 and Table 1).



Scheme 1

As shown in Table 1, various types of diethyl  $\alpha$ -hydroxy(arylmethyl)phosphonates **1a–k** were cleanly converted into their corresponding diethyl  $\alpha$ acetyloxyphosphonates **2a–k** in excellent yields (92– 98%). Diethyl  $\alpha$ -hydroxy-2-naphthyl-, 3-pyridyl-, alkyland aryl- $\beta$ , $\gamma$ -unsaturated phosphonates **11–o** were also acetylated efficiently giving the corresponding diethyl  $\alpha$ acetyloxyphosphonates **21–o** in 90–96% yields.

In all the reactions we have reported in this paper cleavage of C–P bond of the phosphonates were not detected and the conversion of the substrates to their corresponding acetyloxy compounds was clean and quantitative. Workup of the reaction mixture is very easy and gives highly pure liquid products, which do not need further purification (detected by TLC and their spectral data).

Consequently, in this paper, we have described a simple procedure for the high yielding synthesis of a variety of diethyl  $\alpha$ -acetyloxyphosphonates by direct acetylation of diethyl  $\alpha$ -hydroxyphosphonates with Ac<sub>2</sub>O catalyzed by Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> as a mild Lewis acid. This method is superior with respect to the other reported methods, which use corrosive BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and AcCl and also purification of the acetyloxy products from pyridine and Et<sub>3</sub>N is a tedious and a time consuming process. Solvent-free and mild reaction conditions, short reaction times and easy workup

Table 1Acetylation of Diethyl  $\alpha$ -Hydroxyphosphonates 1a-o toDiethyl  $\alpha$ -Acetyloxyphosphonates 2a-o with Ac2O in the Presence ofCu(OTf)2 under Solvent-Free Conditions at Room Temperature

Prod- uct 2	R	1/Ac <sub>2</sub> O/Cu(OTf) <sub>2</sub> Ratio	Time (min)	Yield <sup>a</sup> (%)
a	Ph	1:5:0.013	30	98
b	4-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1:5:0.027	30	97
c	4-MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1:5:0.013	30	92
d	2,4,6-Me <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	1:5:0.027	30	97
e	2-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1:5:0.08	240	96
f	$3-ClC_6H_4$	1:5:0.08	60	94
g	$4-ClC_6H_4$	1:5:0.08	60	94
h	2,6-Cl <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	1:5:0.013	70	92
i	$2-O_2NC_6H_4$	1:5:0.053	70	92
j	$3-O_2NC_6H_4$	1:5:0.027	60	92
k	$4-O_2NC_6H_4$	1:5:0.027	30	96
1	2-naphthyl	1:5:0.013	30	94
m	3-pyridyl	1:3:0.013	30	96
n	PhCH=CH	1:5:0.013	60	92
0	MeCH=CH	1:5:0.027	90	90

<sup>a</sup> Yields refer to isolated products.

make this protocol a useful addition to the available methods for this purpose.

Chemicals were purchased from Merck and Fluka Chemical Companies. The products were characterized by comparison of their physical data with those of known samples or by their spectral data. IR spectra were run on a Shimadzu model 8300 FT-IR spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DPX-250. Mass spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu GCMS-QP 1000 EX. The test for the purity of the products and the progress of the reactions were accomplished by TLC on silica gel polygram SILG/  $UV_{254}$  plates.

#### Diethyl a-Acetyloxyphosphonates 2; General Procedure

Cu(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (0.005–0.029 g, 0.013–0.08 mmol) was added to a mixture of **1** (0.218–0.342 g, 1 mmol) and Ac<sub>2</sub>O (0.342–0.57 g, 3–5 mmol) at r.t. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After complete conversion of the starting material, Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the Et<sub>2</sub>O phase was washed with aq sat. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3 × 10 mL) and then H<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 10 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate resulted in highly pure **2** in 90–98% yields (Table 1). Spectral data for selected compounds are given below.

#### 2a

IR (neat): 1745 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 1.17–1.29 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.16 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 3.86–4.13 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.14 (d, 1 H,

 ${}^{1}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 13.6 Hz, CH), 7.35 (d,  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 7.50 (d,  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.3 Hz, 2 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.68 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 5.85 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 16.80 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 5.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 21.26 [OC(O)*C*H<sub>3</sub>], 63.74 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 6.5 Hz, 2-OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 70.84 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 170.1 Hz, *C*H), 128.25–129.15, 133.8 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 169.66 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 8.9 Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>).

MS (70 eV): m/z = 286 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{19}O_5P$ : C, 54.54; H, 6.64. Found: C, 54.50; H, 6.60.

### 2c

IR (neat): 1750 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 1.22–1.40 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 3.84 (s, 3 H, 4-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.96–4.28 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.13 (d, 1 H, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>PH</sub> = 13.08 Hz, CH), 6.94 (d, 2 H, *J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.0 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.48 (d, *J*<sub>HH</sub> = 8.0 Hz, 2 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.39 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.85 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.41 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.91 [OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 55.26 (4-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 63.38 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{CP}$  = 6.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 70.09 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{CP}$  = 173 Hz, CH), 113.96–113.99, 128.86–130.96 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 169.41 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 8.99 Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>].

MS (70 eV): m/z = 316 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{21}O_6P$ : C, 53.16; H, 6.64. Found: C, 53.15; H, 6.62.

#### 2e

IR (neat): 1743 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 1.16–1.35 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 4.02–4.16 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.12 (d, 1 H,  ${}^{1}J_{PH}$  = 13.9 Hz, CH), 7.29–7.69 (m, 4 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.28 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.85 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.37 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.72 [OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 63.74 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{CP}$  = 6.4 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 69.78 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{CP}$  = 170.5 Hz, CH), 126.12– 131.09, 134.38–135.72 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 169.17 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 8.9 Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>].

MS (70 eV): m/z = 320 (M<sup>+</sup>), 322 (M + 2).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{18}ClO_5P$ : C, 48.67; H, 5.62. Found: C, 48.70; H, 5.65.

### 2h

IR (neat): 1749 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 1.22–1.35 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.17 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 4.01–4.26 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.85 (d, 1 H, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 17.9 Hz, CH), 7.17–7.23 (m, 1 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 7.32–7.35 (m, 2 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.43 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 5.8$  Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.57 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 5.8$  Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.24 [OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 63.66 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 6.8$  Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 69.45 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 174.1$  Hz, CH), 128.96, 129.67, 129.69, 130.32, 130.36, 135.94 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 169.51 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 10.4$  Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>].

MS (70 eV): m/z = 355 (M<sup>+</sup>), 357 (M + 2,), 359 (M + 4).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{17}Cl_2O_5P$ : C, 43.9; H, 4.8. Found: C, 43.92; H, 4.83.

### 2i

IR (neat): 1748 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 1.19–1.38 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.24 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 4.05–4.25 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.25 (d, 1 H, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 14.2 Hz, CH), 7.59 (t, 1 H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.9 Hz, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.85 (d,

 $J_{\rm HH} = 7.5$  Hz, 1 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 8.20 (d,  $J_{\rm HH} = 8.1$  Hz, 1 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 8.34 (s, 1 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.18 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.85 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 16.24 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 20.55 [OC(O)*C*H<sub>3</sub>], 63.67 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{CP}$  = 6.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 69.30 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{CP}$  = 169.8 Hz, CH), 122.34–123.42, 128.67, 135.79 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 168.99 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 8.68 Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>].

MS (70 eV): m/z = 331 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>P: C, 47.13; H, 5.44. Found: C, 47.11; H, 5.43.

# 21

IR (neat): 1749 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS):  $\delta$  = 1.16–1.34 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.19 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 3.89–4.14 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.32 (d, 1 H, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PH</sub> = 13.7 Hz, CH), 7.44–7.48 (m, 2 H, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>), 7.61 (d, 1 H, J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.5 Hz, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>), 7.78–7.86 (m, 3 H, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>), 7.96 (s, 1 H, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.29 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 5.85 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 16.42 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 5.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 20.88 [OC(O)*C*H<sub>3</sub>], 63.42 (d, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 6.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 70.63 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 170.3 Hz, CH), 125.33– 128.27, 130.88, 130.92–133.31 (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>), 169.31 [d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>CP</sub> = 9.2 Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>].

MS (70 eV): m/z = 336 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{19}O_5P$ : C, 60.71; H, 6.25. Found: C, 60.70; H, 6.25.

## 2m

IR (neat): 1759 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 1.03–1.32 (m, 6 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.20 [s, 3 H, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>], 3.99–4.20 (m, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.19 (d, 1 H,  ${}^{1}J_{\text{PH}}$  = 14.1 Hz, CH), 7.36–7.41 (m, 1 H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N), 7.91 (d, 1 H,  $J_{\text{HH}}$  = 7.7 Hz, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N), 8.61–8.71 (m, 2 H, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS): δ = 16.54 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 16.63 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 5.5 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 20.94 [OC(O)*C*H<sub>3</sub>], 63.99– 64.16 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 68.46 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{CP}$  = 171.4 Hz, CH), 124.05, 136.67, 148.32–149.28 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N), 169.36 [d,  ${}^{3}J_{CP}$  = 8.9 Hz, OC(O)CH<sub>3</sub>].

MS (70 eV): m/z = 287 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{12}H_{18}NO_5P$ : requires C, 50.2; H, 6.3. Found: C, 50.0; H, 6.0.

# Acknowledgment

We are thankful to the Shiraz University Research Council for partial support of this work.

## References

- (a) Li, Y.-F.; Hammerschmidt, F. *Tetrahedron* 1995, *51*, 4933. (b) Li, Y.-F.; Hammerschmidt, F. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1993, *4*, 109. (c) Drescher, M.; Hammerschmidt, F.; Kahlig, H. *Synthesis* 1995, 1267. (d) Eidenhammer, G.; Hammerschmidt, F. *Synthesis* 1996, 748. (e) Heisler, A.; Rabiller, C.; Douillard, R.; Goalou, N.; Hagele, G.; Levayer, F. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1993, *4*, 959. (f) Khushi, T.; O'Toole, K. J.; Sime, J. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, *34*, 2375. (g) Zhang, Y.; Li, J.-F.; Yuan, C.-Y. *Tetrahedron* 2003, *59*, 473.
- (2) (a) Hammerschmidt, F.; Hanbauer, M. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6121. (b) Kafarski, P.; Lejczak, B. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 1991, 63, 193. (c) Groth, U.; Richter, L.; Schöllkopf, U. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 117. (d) Laschat, S.; Kunz, H. Synthesis 1992, 90.
- (3) Nesterov, L. V.; Sabirova, R. A.; Krepysheva, N. E. J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1969, 39, 1906.
- (4) (a) Texier-Bullet, F.; Foucaud, A. Synthesis 1982, 916.
  (b) Baraldi, P. G.; Guarneri, M.; Moroder, F.; Polloni, G. P.; Simoni, D. Synthesis 1982, 653.
- (5) McConnell, R. L.; Coover, H. W. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 1961.
- (6) Pudovik, A. N.; Gozman, I. P.; Nikitina, V. I. J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Eng. Transl) 1963, 33, 3128.
- (7) (a) Alimov, P. I.; Cheplanova, I. V. *Izvest. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R., Otdel. Khim. Nauk.* **1956**, 939; *Chem. Abstr.* **1957**, *51*, 5035. (b) Strepikheev, Yu. A.; Kovalenko, L. V.; Batalina, A. V.; Shvetsova, L. A. *Deposited Doc.* **1976**, *VINITI*, 2264; *Chem. Abstr.* **1978**, 89, 129601.
- (8) Lebedev, E. P.; Mizhiritskii, M. D.; Baburina, V. A.; Mironov, V. F.; Ofitserov, E. N. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1979, 49, 1731; J. Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1979, 49, 1517.
- (9) Jenkins, C. L.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 843.
- (10) (a) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Sobhani, S.; Sardarian, A. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, *42*, 4369. (b) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Sobhani, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 477. (c) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Sobhani, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 3653. (d) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Sobhani, S.; Ghassamipour, S.; Amoozgar, Z. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, *44*, 891.
- (11) Saravanan, P.; Singh, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2611.