## C-Glycosides

## Intermolecular Addition of Glycosyl Halides to Alkenes Mediated by Visible Light\*\*

R. Stephen Andrews, Jennifer J. Becker, and Michel R. Gagné\*

C-glycosides are an important class of bioactive compounds most notable for their resistance to metabolic processing and their prevalence in natural products.<sup>[1-3]</sup> Perhaps the most identifiable methodology for their synthesis is the Bu<sub>3</sub>SnHmediated radical addition of glycosyl bromides to activated alkenes.<sup>[4]</sup> Since its discovery, several variations of this reaction have been reported, including the utilization of transition metals<sup>[5]</sup> or UV light<sup>[6]</sup> to initiate the reaction. Our group recently developed a nickel-catalyzed reductive coupling of glycosyl bromides and alkenes, mechanistic studies on which suggested that the nickel catalyst was playing an electron-transfer (ET) role,<sup>[7]</sup> which implied that other compounds that are known to facilitate ET processes might behave even better (for example, [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>; bpy=2,2'bipyridyl).

The photoredox properties of  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  and visible light has generated recent excitement as an environmentally benign method of promoting odd-electron organic reactions to drive complex bond constructions.<sup>[8]</sup> The initiating event in these reactions is the reduction of the photogenerated MLCT state,  $*[Ru^{III}(bpy)_2(bpy^-)]^{2+}$ , by amine to generate a potent ligand centered reducing equivalent (herein referred to as  $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]^+$ ; Scheme 1). Stephenson recently demonstrated



**Scheme 1.** Formation of alkyl radicals mediated by visible light. R = alkyl, bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl.

[\*] R. S. Andrews, Prof. Dr. M. R. Gagné Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3290 (USA) E-mail: mgagne@email.unc.edu Dr. J. J. Becker US Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (USA)

- [\*\*] We thank Aaron J. Francis for his contribution to optimization and Prof. Malcolm Forbes for his help with EPR experiments. The Army Research Office Staff Research Funding and Department of Energy (DE-FG02-05ER15630) are gratefully acknowledged for their support.
  - Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201004311.
- View this journal online at wileyonlinelibrary.com

7274

that electrophilic radicals could be generated in this fashion and intramolecularly trapped in a cascade process.<sup>[8]</sup> We report herein that the combination of visible light and  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  yields nucleophilic C1 sugar radicals<sup>[9]</sup> that react intermolecularly with electron-deficient alkenes to provide C-glycosides in yields approaching or exceeding previous bests and with outstanding C1 diastereoselectivites.

Guided by our previous nickel-based methodology,<sup>[7]</sup> we initiated our investigation on the reaction of  $\alpha$ -glucosyl bromide **1** and methyl acrylate with  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]X_2$  (5 mol%), a stoichiometric reductant, and an acid source to protonate a presumed enolate.<sup>[10]</sup> The best reductant proved to be *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (**3**), as it provided promising yields of the  $\alpha$ -C-glycoside (**2**) and did not require highly polar solvents (Table 1, entries 2 and 7).<sup>[3-5]</sup>

Table 1: Optimization of C-glycoside formation.

BZO <sup>V</sup> BZO <sup>V</sup> OB	jBr → → Cu → OBz z	$D_2 Me = rac{i Pr_2 NEt, [R]}{additive}$	u(bpy) <sub>3</sub> ]X <sub>2,</sub> acid solvent, hv B2		CO <sub>2</sub> Me
Entry <sup>[a]</sup>	Acid	Additive	Solvent	Х	$Yield^{[a]}$
1	<b>3</b> ∙HBr	-	DMA	Cl	0
2	3.HBr	-	MeCN	Cl	26 <sup>[b]</sup>
3	<b>3</b> •HBF₄	-	MeCN	Cl	44 <sup>[b]</sup>
4	3∙HBF₄	-	MeCN <sup>[c]</sup>	Cl	50 <sup>[b]</sup>
5	<b>3</b> •HBF₄	-	MeCN <sup>[c]</sup>	$BF_4$	61 <sup>[b]</sup>
6	<b>3</b> ∙HBF₄	5	MeCN <sup>[c]</sup>	$BF_4$	72 <sup>[b]</sup>
7	3∙HBF₄	5	$CH_2CI_2^{[c]}$	$BF_4$	80 <sup>[d]</sup>
8	-	5	$CH_2Cl_2$	$BF_4$	<b>92</b> <sup>[d]</sup>

[a] DMA = N,N-dimethyl acetamide. Conditions: glucosyl bromide (0.12 mmol, 0.12 mm in solvent), methyl acrylate (0.24 mmol), reductant (0.36 mmol), [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]X<sub>2</sub> (0.06 mmol), **5** (0.24 mmol) at room temperature with overnight irradiation with a 14W fluorescent bulb. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] 0.06 m. [d] Yield determined by supercritical fluid chromatography.

In these trials, the mass balance was dominated by overconjugate addition (4), indicating that  $\alpha$ -radical reduction and its subsequent conjugate addition to additional acrylate were competitive. Stimulated by Stephenson's success with H<sup>•</sup> trapping of benzylic radicals, we found that Hantzsch ester (5)



Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7274-7276

successfully suppressed the oligomerization and led to improved yields, as did a switch to dichloromethane as solvent (suppressed hydrolysis). Final optimization showed the acid to be unnecessary and high reaction concentrations to be beneficial (Table 1, entry 8).<sup>[11]</sup>

The conditions arrived at in entry 8 were adopted to examine the scope of the coupling (Table 2). In general, alkenes known to rapidly react with alkyl radicals worked





[a] R' = Ac or Bz. [b] Yield of isolated product; conditions: glycosyl bromide (0.12 mmol, 0.12 mm in  $CH_2Cl_2$ ), alkene (0.24 mmol), **3** (0.36 mmol),  $[Ru(bpy)_3](BF_4)_2$  (0.06 mmol), **5** (0.24 mmol), irradiation overnight at room temperature with a 14W fluorescent bulb. [c] 0.134 mmol **5**. [d] 1.2 mmol glucosyl bromide. [e] 1.2 mmol alkene.

well,<sup>[12]</sup> though when they became too electron deficient, over-conjugate addition became problematic. Typical reaction times were about 15 h, though less electrophilic or bulkier substrates were slower. Higher alkene concentrations were helpful when over-conjugate addition was not rapid (Table 2, entry 6).<sup>[13]</sup> Mannosyl and galactosyl bromides were also well-behaved (entries 9 and 10), as were acetate and benzoate protecting groups (not pivaloate), and reactions could be successfully scaled to 1.2 mmol of substrate without complications (entry 1 and 6).<sup>[14]</sup> Although 1,1-disubstituted alkenes were tolerated,  $\beta$ -substituted enoates were not (e.g. methyl crotonate, methyl maleate).

Several control experiments helped to elucidate the role of the reaction components: 1) No products were formed in the absence of  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  or visible light, and when **3** was

omitted, **5** was quickly converted into the pyridine (<2 h) accompanied by only a 25% consumption of **1** to **2**; 2) In the presence of an electrophilic hydrogen atom source (*t*BuSH), C1 reductive debromination was the major product accompanied by small amounts of the C-glycoside; 3) Consistent with a C1 radical intermediate was the cyclopropane ring-opening observed in **16** [Eq. (1)] and the detection of carbon-based radicals in time-resolved EPR measurements after pulsed irradiation of the reaction mixture.<sup>[9,15]</sup>



Based on these observations, we propose Scheme 2 as a plausible mechanism. ET from photogenerated  $[Ru^{II}(bpy)_3]^+$  to glycosyl bromide generates the C1 radical, which can be



Scheme 2. A plausible mechanism for the reactions described herein.

reduced  $(k_1)$  or added to the alkene  $(k_2)$ ; for electron deficient alkenes  $k_2 > k_1$ . When  $k_1 \approx k_2$ , reductive debromination is competitive, though this can be partially compensated with an increase in the alkene concentration. Termination of the electron-deficient  $\alpha$  radical is subject to the relative rates of over-conjugate addition  $(k_3)$  and reduction  $(k_4)$ , the latter being accelerated by Hantzsch ester.<sup>[16,17]</sup>

In summary, we have developed a reductive roomtemperature visible-light-mediated conjugate addition of glycosyl halides into activated alkenes, which leads to fully saturated C-glycosides with exclusive  $\alpha$  selectivity. The procedure improves upon the classic Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn-H mediated methodologies pioneered by Giese and achieves near best results for each substrate class. Moreover, this procedure advances the growing role of photoredox catalysis in important C–C bond formations and generates an experimentally optimal proce-

## Communications

dure that reduces the amount of toxic byproducts (that is, tinfree). Efforts to observe and characterize the photogenerated radical intermediates by trapping or EPR measurements are underway.

## **Experimental Section**

General procedure: A flame-dried Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar under argon was charged with  $[Ru(bpy)_3](BF_4)_2$  (0.006 mmol, 5 mol%), Hantzsch ester **5** (0.268 mmol, 2.2 equiv), and glycosyl bromide (0.122 mmol, 1 equiv). The flask was evacuated and then backfilled with argon. Solvent (to a sugar concentration of 0.12 mM) was added, forming a bright orange heterogeneous solution, followed by *i*Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (0.366 mmol, 3 equiv) and alkene (0.244 mmol, 2 equiv). The reaction tube was placed 6–10 cm from a 14W fluorescent light bulb and stirred at room temperature until thin-layer chromatography showed consumption of starting material (12–72 h). The reaction was quenched by passing it through a plug of silica in Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL). Flash column chromatography provided the product as a white solid or a colorless oil after removal of solvents.

Received: July 14, 2010 Published online: August 25, 2010

Keywords: alkenes  $\cdot$  C-glycosides  $\cdot$  homogeneous catalysis  $\cdot$  photooxidation  $\cdot$  ruthenium

- For recent reviews in C-glycoside biology, see: a) C. H. Lin, H. C. Lin, W. B. Yang, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2005, 5, 1431– 1457; b) P. G. Hultin, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2005, 5, 1299–1331; c) W. Zou, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2005, 5, 1363–1391; d) P. Compain, O. R. Martin, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2001, 9, 3077– 3092; e) F. Nicotra, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 1997, *187*, 55–83.
- [2] a) P. Meo, H. M. I. Osborn, Best Synthetic Methods: Carbohydrates, Academic Press, London, 2003; b) L. Somsák, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 81–136; c) Y. Du, R. J. Linhardt, I. R. Vlahov, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 9913–9959; d) R. J. K. Taylor, G. D. McAllister, R. W. Franck, Carbohydr. Res. 2006, 341, 1298– 1311.
- [3] a) D. E. Levy, C. Tang, *The Chemistry of C-Glycosides*, Pergamon, Tarrytown, **1995**; b) M. H. D. Postema, *C-Glycoside Synthesis*, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, **1995**.
- [4] a) B. Giese, J. Dupuis, Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 633-634; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 622-623; b) B. Giese, J. A. González-Gómez, T. Witzel, Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 51-52; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 69-70; c) B. Giese, T. Witzel, Angew. Chem. 1nt. Ed. Engl. 1986, 98, 459-460; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 450-451; d) B. Giese, Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 993-1004; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 969-980.
- [5] a) R. P. Spencer, J. Schwartz, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4204-4205;
  b) Z. Juhász, K. Micskei, E. Gal, L. Somsak, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7351-7353;
  c) S. K. Readman, S. P. Marsden, A. Hodgson, Synlett 2000, 1628-1630;
  d) H. Gong, R. Sinisi, M. R. Gagné, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1908-1909;
  e) H. Gong, M. R. Gagné, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12177-12183.

- [6] S. Yamago, H. Miyazoe, J. Yoshida, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 2339–2342.
- [7] H. Gong, R. S. Andrews, J. L. Zuccarello, S. J. Lee, M. R. Gagné, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 879–882.
- [8] For recent reviews on  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  catalysis with visible light, see: K. Zeitler, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 9969-9974; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9785-9789; J. M. R. Narayanam, C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Soc. Rev. DOI: 10.1039/b913880n; T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay, J. Du, Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 527-532. This methodology has been used for enantioselective  $\alpha$  alkylation of aldehydes; see: D. A. Nicewicz, D. W. C. MacMillan, Science 2008, 322, 77-80; D. A. Nagib, M. E. Scott, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10875-10877. For [2+2] cycloadditions, see: M. A. Ischay, M. E. Anzovino, J. Du, T. P. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12886-12887; J. Du, T. P. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14604-14605; M. A. Ischay, X. Lu, T. P. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8572-8574. For reductive dehalogenation, see: J. M. R. Narayanam, J. W. Tucker, C. R. J. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8756-8757. For intramolecular addition into alkenes, see: J. W. Tucker, J. M. R. Narayanam, S. W. Krabbe, C. R. J. Stephenson, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 368-371; J. W. Tucker, J. D. Nguyen, J. M. R. Narayanam, S. W. Krabbe, C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4985-4987. For intermolecular addition into arenes, see: L. Furst, B. S. Matsuura, J. M. R. Narayanam, J. W. Tucker, C. R. J. Stephenson, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3104-3107.
- [9] a) J. Dupuis, B. Giese, D. Rüegge, H. Fishcer, H. G. Korth, R. Sustmann, *Angew. Chem.* **1984**, *96*, 887–888; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1984**, *23*, 896–898; b) H. G. Korth, R. Sustmann, J. Dupuis, B. Giese, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2* **1986**, 1453–1459; c) Y. Araki, T. Endo, M. Tanji, J. Nagasawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 5853–5856.
- [10] The reduction potential of glucosyl bromide tetraacetate has been measured to be -1.27 V (versus SCE). See: S. B. Rondinini, P. R. Mussini, F. Crippa, G. Sello, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2000, 2, 491-496.
- [11] Salt electrolytes were also added, but they were less effective than acid additive.
- [12] a) B. Giese, Rev. Chem. Intermed. 1986, 7, 3; b) B. Giese, Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 771–782; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 753–764.
- [13] The major competing byproduct was reductive debromination of the starting material. Hydrolysis of the glucosyl bromide was also observed in small amounts (< 20%).
- [14] Alkenes that are more electron-rich than methyl acrylate were unreactive (acrylamide, ethyl vinyl ether) and led to slow reductive debromination of the starting material.
- [15] With M. Forbes (UNC-CH); full details will be provided in a future publication. See the Supporting Information for a typical scan.
- [16] a) J.-P. Cheng, Y. Lu, X.-Q. Zhu, Y. Sun, F. Bi, J. He, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3853–3857; b) X.-Q. Zhu, H.-R. Li, Q. Li, T. Ai, J.-Y. Lu, Y. Yang, J.-P. Cheng, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 871–880; c) X.-Q. Zhu, L. Cao, Y. Liu, Y. Yang, J.-Y. Lu, J.-S. Wang, J.-P. Cheng, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 3937–3945.
- [17] [4,4-D<sub>2</sub>]**5** was shown to provide **3** only partially deuterated in the  $\alpha$  position (20–25%), suggesting that multiple pathways for  $\alpha$  reduction were operating (Scheme 2, box).