Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

# Eight 7-benzyl-3-tert-butyl-1-phenylpyrazolo[3,4-d]oxazines, encompassing structures containing no intermolecular hydrogen bonds, and hydrogen-bonded structures in one, two or three dimensions

# Juan C. Castillo,<sup>a</sup> Rodrigo Abonía,<sup>a</sup> Justo Cobo<sup>b</sup> and Christopher Glidewell<sup>c</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Grupo de Investigación de Compuestos Heterocíclicos, Departamento de Química, Universidad de Valle, AA 25360 Cali, Colombia, <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Química Inorgánica y Orgánica, Universidad de Jaén, 23071 Jaén, Spain, and <sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, Scotland Correspondence e-mail: cg@st-andrews.ac.uk

Received 6 July 2009 Accepted 16 July 2009 Online 30 July 2009

7-Benzyl-3-tert-butyl-1-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1H,4H-pyrazolo-[3,4-d][1,3]oxazine, C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, (I), and 3-tert-butyl-7-(4methylbenzyl)-1-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1*H*,4*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]-[1,3]oxazine, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, (II), are isomorphous in the space group  $P2_1$ , and molecules are linked into chains by C-H···O hydrogen bonds. In each of 3-tert-butyl-7-(4-methoxybenzyl)-1-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1H,4H-pyrazolo[3,4-d][1,3]oxazine, C<sub>23</sub>- $H_{27}N_3O_2$ , (III), which has cell dimensions rather similar to those of (I) and (II), also in P2<sub>1</sub>, and 3-tert-butyl-1-phenyl-7-[4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl]-6,7-dihydro-1*H*,4*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]-[1,3]oxazine, C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O, (IV), there are no directionspecific interactions between the molecules. In 3-tert-butyl-7-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1*H*,4*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]-[1,3]oxazine,  $C_{22}H_{24}N_4O_3$ , (V), a combination of  $C-H \cdots O$ and C-H···N hydrogen bonds links the molecules into complex sheets. There are no direction-specific interactions between the molecules of 3-tert-butyl-7-(2,3-dimethoxybenzyl)-1-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1H,4H-pyrazolo[3,4-d][1,3]oxazine, C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (VI), but a three-dimensional framework is formed in 3-tert-butyl-7-(3,4-methylenedioxybenzyl)-1phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1H,4H-pyrazolo[3,4-d][1,3]oxazine, C<sub>23</sub>- $H_{25}N_3O_3$ , (VII), by a combination of C-H···O, C-H···N and  $C-H \cdot \cdot \pi$  (arene) hydrogen bonds, while a combination of C-H···O and C-H··· $\pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonds links the molecules of 3-tert-butyl-1-phenyl-7-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)-6,7-dihydro-1*H*,4*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*][1,3]oxazine, C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (VIII), into complex sheets. In each compound, the oxazine ring adopts a half-chair conformation, while the orientations of the pendent phenyl and tert-butyl substituents relative to the pyrazolo [3,4-d] oxazine unit are all very similar.

# Comment

In connection with our wider study of new synthetic routes to fused pyrazole derivatives having potential applications in fields such as drug precursors, pesticides and new materials (Elguero, 1984, 1996), we report here the structures of eight substituted pyrazolo[3,4-d]oxazines. These derivatives have been prepared following a synthetic sequence based on the condensation of a substituted 5-aminopyrazole with the appropriate aldehyde to provide (*E*)-3-tert-butyl-5-arylidene-amino-1-phenyl-1*H*-pyrazole derivatives, followed by reduction of these intermediates to the corresponding 5-aryl-methylamino-3-tert-butyl-1-phenyl-1*H*-pyrazoles (Castillo *et al.*, 2009), and finally reaction with formaldehyde under acid catalysis.



Thus, we now report the structures of 7-benzyl-3-*tert*-butyl-1-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-1*H*,4*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*][1,3]oxazine, (I), and seven derivatives, (II)–(VIII) (see scheme and Figs. 1 and 2), bearing a range of simple substituents in the aromatic ring of the benzyl unit. Compounds (I) and (II) are isomorphous in the space group  $P2_1$ . While compound (III) also crystallizes in  $P2_1$  with cell dimensions and atomic coordinates similar to those in (I) and (II), it cannot be regarded as either isomorphous or isostructural with (I) and (II). Thus, while the unit-cell vectors *a* and *b* both differ by less than 0.8% between compounds (I) and (II), the corresponding difference between (II) and (III) are both >2%; similarly, for the unit-cell vector *c*, the values for (I) and (II) differ by *ca* 1.3%, while those for (II) and (III) differ by *ca* 3.5%. Corresponding differences are



The molecular structures of compounds (I)-(IV), showing the atom-labelling schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

also found for the cell angle  $\beta$  and the unit-cell volume. In addition, the intermolecular interactions in (III) differ significantly from those in (I) and (II) (see below). Compound (VI) also crystallizes in  $P2_1$ , but with cell dimensions significantly different from those in compounds (I)–(III).

In each compound, the ring-puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) show that the oxazine ring adopts an almost perfect half-chair conformation (Table 1): for this conformation, the idealized values of the puckering angles (calculated assuming equal bond distances throughout the ring) are  $\theta$  = 129.2° and  $\varphi = (60n + 30)^\circ$ , where *n* represents an integer. The rest of the skeletal conformation, defining the orientation of the pendent substituents relative to the pyrazolo[3,4-d]oxazine unit, can be defined in terms of just four torsion angles (Table 1). These data show the following: (i) the aromatic ring (C11-C16) adopts a very similar orientation in every compound; (ii) the orientation of the tert-butyl group is always such that one atom, C32, is close to the plane of the pyrazole ring, but always displaced from it, with a maximum displacement of 0.413 (3) Å in compound (VII) and a minimum displacement of 0.186 (2) Å in compound (V), respectively; the orientation of the aromatic ring of the benzyl substituent shows by far the widest range, although with no obvious systematic variation. In compound (V), the dihedral angle between the nitro group and the adjacent aryl ring is  $11.2 (2)^{\circ}$ , while the methoxy substituents exhibit different patterns of orientation in compounds (VI) and (VIII). In compound (VI), the methoxy atoms C721 and C731 are displaced from the plane of the ring (C71–C76) by 1.168 (4) and 0.009 (3) Å, respectively, while the displacements of atoms C731, C741 and C751 in compound (VIII) are 0.407 (2), 1.309 (2) and 0.262 (2) Å, respectively. In each compound, there is a short intramolecular C–H···N contact (Table 2) involving aryl atom C12 and ring atom N7; in every case, the C–H···N angle is narrow at 120° or less, suggesting that the interaction energy is likely to be small. This contact is probably adventitious, rather than a significant influence on the molecular conformation.

In each of compounds (I)–(VIII), the molecules have no internal symmetry and hence they are all chiral. While compounds (IV), (V), (VII) and (VIII) all crystallize as racemic mixtures of enantiomorphs, the crystals of compounds (I)–(III) and (VI) all contain a single enantiomorph. However, in the absence of significant resonant scattering, the enantiomorphs present in the crystals of compounds (I)–(III) and (VI) which had been selected for data collection cannot be identified. The synthesis of these compounds utilizes no reagents capable of imparting enantiomeric bias, and all are therefore expected to be formed as racemic mixtures, crystallizing as racemates for compounds (IV), (V), (VII) and



The molecular structures of compounds (V)-(VIII), showing the atom-labelling schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

(VIII), and as conglomerates for compounds (I)–(III) and (VI).

Despite their close similarities in both constitution and conformation, compounds (I)–(VIII) nonetheless show some interesting variations in their supramolecular aggregation, which is dominated by C–H···O and C–H···N hydrogen bonds, along with a single C–H··· $\pi$ (arene) hydrogen bond in each of compounds (II), (VII) and (VIII) (Table 2).

In both (I) and (II), molecules related by translation are linked into simple C(9) (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) chains running parallel to the [001] direction (Fig. 3). In addition, there is a rather long  $C-H\cdots\pi(arene)$  hydrogen bond in (II), the effect of which is to form a weak link between the chains along [001], so forming a sheet parallel to (010). No interaction of this kind can be identified in the structure of (I), so that while compounds (I) and (II) are isomorphous, they are not strictly isostructural (Acosta *et al.*, 2009). In contrast, there are no direction-specific intermolecular interactions in the structures of compounds (III), (IV) or (VI), despite the presence of additional potential hydrogen-bond acceptor atoms in compounds (III) and (VI) (Figs. 1 and 2).

The supramolecular aggregation in compound (V) is twodimensional, in the form of deeply puckered sheets, and the formation of these sheets is readily analysed in terms of two one-dimensional substructures. In the simpler of these two substructures, molecules related by the  $2_1$  screw axis along  $(\frac{1}{2}, y, \frac{1}{4})$  are linked by a single C-H···O hydrogen bond (Table 2) into a simple C(6) chain running parallel to the [010] direction (Fig. 4). In the second substructure, molecules related by the *c*-glide plane at y = 0.75 are linked by one C-H···N hydrogen bond and one C-H···O hydrogen bond to form a  $C(6)C(8)[R_2^2(17)]$  chain of rings running parallel to the [001] direction (Fig. 5). The combination of these two chain motifs generates a sheet parallel to (100).

There are five independent hydrogen bonds in the structure of compound (VII), which together generate a three-dimensional framework structure, the formation of which can, however, be readily analysed in terms of three one-dimensional substructures, which involve, respectively, the three C–  $H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds, the C– $H \cdots N$  hydrogen bond and the C– $H \cdots \pi$ (arene) hydrogen bond. In the first substructure (Fig. 6), pairs of molecules related by inversion are linked by two independent C– $H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds to form a dimeric unit containing three edge-fused hydrogen-bonded rings, with a centrosymmetric  $R_2^2(14)$  ring centred at  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  flanked by two noncentrosymmetric but symmetry-related  $R_2^2(7)$  rings. In addition, these units are linked by the third C– $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond, forming a further  $R_2^2(8)$  ring motif, this time







A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (I), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded C(9) chain along [001]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted. An entirely similar chain is formed by compound (II).



#### Figure 4

A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (V), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded C(6) chain along [010]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted.

centrosymmetric and centred at  $(0, 1, \frac{1}{2})$ . Propagation by inversion of these hydrogen bonds then generates a ribbon running parallel to the [110] direction, in which  $R_2^2(8)$  rings centred at  $(n, 1 - n, \frac{1}{2})$  alternate with  $R_2^2(14)$  rings centred at  $(\frac{1}{2} + n, \frac{1}{2} - n, \frac{1}{2})$ , where *n* represents an integer (Fig. 6). A symmetry-related chain runs in the [110] direction.

In the second substructure in (VII), a single C-H···N hydrogen bond links molecules related by the *c*-glide plane at y = 0.75 into a simple C(11) chain running parallel to the [201] direction (Fig. 7). Finally, a rather weak C-H··· $\pi$ (arene) hydrogen bond links molecules related by translation into a chain running along [100]. The combination of the onedimensional substructures parallel to [100], [110], [110] and [201] is sufficient to link the molecules into a single threedimensional framework.

Although the hydrogen-bonded supramolecular structure of compound (VIII) is only two-dimensional, as opposed to the three-dimensional structure found in compound (VII), it





A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (V), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded  $C(6)C(8)[R_2^2(17)]$  chain of rings along [001]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted.





A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (VII), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded ribbon running along  $[1\overline{10}]$ , and containing three types of ring. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted. A symmetry-related chain runs parallel to the [110] direction.

shares with compound (VII) the formation of a ribbon containing three types of ring (two of them centrosymmetric, built from three independent C-H···O hydrogen bonds), even though the details of the ribbon construction for the two compounds are different (Table 2). Pairs of molecules related by inversion are again linked by two C-H···O hydrogen bonds to form a dimer containing three edge-fused rings, but now with a centrosymmetric  $R_2^2(24)$  ring flanked by two noncentrosymmetric  $R_2^2(8)$  rings (Fig. 8). The third C-H···O hydrogen bond here generates a centrosymmetric  $R_2^2(6)$  ring, and the combination of the these motifs generates a ribbon running parallel to the [210] direction, with  $R_2^2(6)$  rings centred at  $(2n, \frac{1}{2} - n, \frac{1}{2})$ , alternating with  $R_2^2(24)$  rings centred at  $(-1 + 2n, 1 - n, \frac{1}{2})$ , where *n* represents an integer (Fig. 8).



### Figure 7

A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (VII), showing the formation of a simple hydrogen-bonded C(11) chain along [201]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted.



A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (VIII), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded ribbon running along  $[2\overline{10}]$ , and containing three types of ring. For the sake of clarity, H atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted.

In the second substructure of compound (VIII), a combination of C-H···O and C-H··· $\pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonds generates a chain of edge-fused rings parallel to the [100] direction, where centrosymmetric  $R_2^2(6)$  rings centred at  $(n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ , where *n* represents an integer, formed by paired C-H···O hydrogen bonds alternate with centrosymmetric rings at  $(\frac{1}{2} + n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  formed by paired C-H··· $\pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonds (Fig. 9). The combination of the chains along [100] and the ribbons along [210] generates a sheet parallel to (001).

The structures reported here illustrate the subtle and often unpredictable interplay between intra- and intermolecular forces, particularly the interplay between intermolecular hydrogen bonding and molecular conformation, manifested here in the orientation of the aryl ring in the benzyl unit (Table 1). Thus, in compounds (III), (IV) and (VI), where



Figure 9

A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of compound (VIII), showing the formation of a hydrogen-bonded chain of rings running along [100]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms bonded to C atoms not involved in the motif shown have been omitted.

there are no intermolecular hydrogen bonds, the conformations adopted by the benzyl unit are very similar. Similarly, in the isomorphous pair of compounds (I) and (II), where the chain-forming C-H...O hydrogen bond does not involve the benzyl unit, the overall conformations are very similar; the  $C-H\cdots\pi$ (arene) unit in compound (II), which is to be regarded on geometric grounds as weak, appears to have little influence on the molecular conformation. The structures of compounds (VII) and (VIII) both contain a number of hydrogen bonds which involve the benzyl unit, providing both donors and acceptors in compound (VII) but only acceptors in compound (VIII). While this gives rise to a distinct benzyl ring orientation in compound (VIII), the conformation of compound (VII) is not significantly different from those of compounds (III) and (IV), where there are no directionspecific interactions of any kind between the molecules.

# **Experimental**

A mixture of the corresponding 5-arylmethylamino-3-tert-butyl-1phenyl-1H-pyrazole (0.29 mmol), ethanol (0.5 ml), acetic acid (0.5 ml) and formaldehyde (0.9 mmol, as an aqueous solution) was heated at 328 K for 10-16 h. After each reaction was complete, the solvent was reduced to one-third of the initial volume, and the resulting solid was collected by filtration and washed with cold ethanol. The products thus obtained were crystallized from ethanol except for (I) which was crystallized from hexane, to give colourless crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. For (I): yield 81%, m.p. 405–406 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 347 (100)  $[M^+]$ , 316 (11), 302 (12), 256 (29), 228 (9), 91 (16), 77 (9) [Ph]; analysis found: C 75.8, H 7.5, N 12.3%; C22H25N3O requires C 76.0, H 7.3, N 12.1%. For (II): yield 86%, m.p. 403–404 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 361 (51) [M<sup>+</sup>], 256 (16), 105 (100) [C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>]; analysis found: C 76.2, H 7.5, N 11.5%; C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O requires C 76.4, H 7.5, N 11.6%. For (III): yield 83%, m.p. 436–437 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 377 (10)  $[M^+]$ , 121 (100) [C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O]; analysis found: C 72.9, H 7.1, N 10.9%; C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires C 73.2, H 7.2, N 11.1%. For (IV): yield 87%,

m.p. 425–426 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 415 (100)  $[M^+]$ , 370 (24), 170 (31), 159 (24) [C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>6</sub>F<sub>3</sub>]; analysis found: C 66.6, H 5.9, N 9.9%; C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O requires C 66.5, H 5.8, N 10.1%. For (V): yield 79%, m.p. 408–409 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 392 (100)  $[M^+]$ , 256 (41), 228 (24), 170 (25); analysis found: C 67.1, H 6.3, N 14.1%; C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C 67.3, H 6.2, N 14.3%. For (VI): yield 83%, m.p. 385–386 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 407 (34)  $[M^+]$ , 151 (100) [C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>2</sub>], 136 (81), 91 (21), 77 (10) [Ph]; analysis found: C 70.5, H 7.3, N 10.2%; C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C 70.7, H 7.2, N 10.3%. For (VII): yield 88%, m.p. 436–437 K; MS: (70 eV) m/z (%) = 391 (11)  $[M^+]$ , 135 (100) [C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]; analysis found: C 70.8, H 6.4, N 10.9%; C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> requires C 70.6, H 6.4, N 10.7%. For (VIII): yield 79%, m.p. 439–440 K; MS (70 eV) m/z (%) = 437 (5)  $[M^+]$ , 181 (100) [C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>3</sub>]; analysis found: C 68.5, H 7.1, N 9.3%; C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> requires C 68.6, H 7.1, N 9.6%.

### Compound (I)

#### Crystal data

C22H25N3O  $M_r = 347.45$ Monoclinic, P21 a = 8.8930 (10) Åb = 11.1321 (12) Åc = 10.2078 (9) Å  $\beta = 109.749 \ (7)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.885, T_{\max} = 0.975$ 

### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$  $wR(F^2) = 0.103$ S = 1.102303 reflections 239 parameters

# Compound (II)

### Crystal data

C23H27N3O  $M_r = 361.48$ Monoclinic, P21 a = 8.9500 (7) Åb = 11.2146 (10) Åc = 10.0768 (9) Å  $\beta = 107.572 \ (5)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.957, T_{\max} = 0.989$ 

### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$ wR(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.149 S=1.072323 reflections 249 parameters

 $V = 951.11 (18) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 K $0.41 \times 0.25 \times 0.22 \ \text{mm}$ 

15738 measured reflections 2303 independent reflections 1768 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int}=0.057$ 

1 restraint H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $0.32\,\times\,0.22\,\times\,0.15$  mm 15494 measured reflections 2323 independent reflections

 $V = 964.22 (15) \text{ Å}^3$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 120 K

Z = 2

1443 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int}=0.096$ 

1 restraint H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.27 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

### Compound (III)

#### Crystal data

V = 1000.93 (4) Å<sup>3</sup> C23H27N3O2  $M_r = 377.48$ Z = 2Monoclinic, P2 a = 9.1888 (2) Å b = 11.4499 (3) Å T = 120 Kc = 9.7210 (2) Å  $\beta = 101.857 \ (1)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.980, T_{\max} = 0.996$ 

### Refinement

$$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] &= 0.038 \\ wR(F^2) &= 0.085 \end{split}$$
S = 1.192411 reflections 257 parameters

# Compound (IV)

#### Crystal data

C23H24F3N3O  $M_r = 415.45$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ a = 9.0277 (11) Åb = 9.8597 (12) Åc = 12.6835 (18) Å  $\alpha = 85.531 (11)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 74.180 \ (11)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.922, T_{\max} = 0.986$ 

# Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$  $wR(F^2) = 0.183$ S = 1.044601 reflections

# Compound (V)

# Crystal data

 $C_{22}H_{24}N_4O_3$  $M_r = 392.45$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 19.164 (2) Å b = 8.7781 (7) Å c = 11.7188 (12) Å  $\beta = 101.717 \ (10)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.917, \ T_{\max} = 0.984$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-3}$  $0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ 

12855 measured reflections 2411 independent reflections 2237 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.036$ 

1 restraint H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\gamma = 67.162 \ (9)^{\circ}$ V = 1000.6 (2) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 K $0.27 \times 0.17 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$ 

24473 measured reflections 4601 independent reflections 2336 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int}=0.099$ 

274 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

V = 1930.3 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 K $0.33 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ 

27769 measured reflections 4417 independent reflections 2821 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.066$ 

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$  $wR(F^2) = 0.116$ S = 1.094417 reflections

### Compound (VI)

Crystal data

C24H29N3O3  $M_r = 407.50$ Monoclinic, P21 a = 8.8268 (10) Åb = 11.6521 (10) Åc = 10.3831 (7) Å  $\beta = 93.747 \ (9)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.972, T_{\max} = 0.984$ 

### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$  $wR(F^2) = 0.112$ S = 1.132560 reflections 276 parameters

# Compound (VII)

### Crystal data

C23H25N3O3  $M_r = 391.46$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 9.1164 (14) Åb = 11.3303 (18) Å c = 19.694 (2) Å  $\beta = 99.775 (13)^{\circ}$ 

### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.977, \ T_{\max} = 0.993$ 

## Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.069$  $wR(F^2) = 0.165$ S = 1.164592 reflections

# Compound (VIII)

### Crystal data

C25H31N3O4  $M_r = 437.53$ Triclinic, P1 a = 9.8189 (10) Åb = 10.0499 (8) Å c = 12.8130 (14) Å  $\alpha = 68.710(9)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 72.537 \ (8)^{\circ}$ 

265 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

 $V = 1065.63 (17) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 K $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$ 

17934 measured reflections 2560 independent reflections 2132 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.049$ 

1 restraint	
H-atom parameters constraine	d
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	

V	$= 2004.7 (5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z	= 4
Μ	lo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\mu$	$= 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
Т	= 120 K
0.	$38 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
- 28	3641 measured reflections

4592 independent reflections 2768 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.098$ 

265 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\gamma = 70.982 \ (8)^{\circ}$ 

Z = 2

V = 1089.8 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.36 \times 0.25 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 120 K

# Table 1

Conformational parameters (Å, °) for compounds (I)-(VIII).

Ring-puckeri	ng parameters			
	Q	$\theta$		$\varphi$
(I)	0.496 (3)	128.3 (3)		146.7 (4)
(II)	0.491 (4)	128.8 (5)		143.9 (7)
(III)	0.501 (2)	128.6 (2)		146.1 (3)
(IV)	0.499 (3)	127.6 (3)		150.1 (4)
(V)	0.475 (2)	132.3 (2)		145.3 (3)
(VI)	0.498 (3)	127.7 (3)		151.3 (4)
(VII)	0.488 (3)	130.1 (4)		149.6 (4)
(VIII)	0.498 (2)	128.6 (2)		148.1 (2)
Selected torsic	on angles A	В	С	D
(I)	145.5 (2)	-14.8(4)	-67.5 (3)	117.1 (3)
(II)	143.5 (4)	-13.7(5)	-69.2(5)	122.1 (4)
(III)	142.89 (19)	-19.9(3)	-71.6(2)	143.1 (2)
(IV)	150.6 (2)	-11.1(4)	-70.9(3)	147.0 (3)
(V)	140.79 (17)	-11.8(2)	-75.3 (2)	138.65 (18)
(VI)	151.1 (2)	-11.7(4)	-68.5(3)	148.5 (3)
(VII)	142.7 (2)	-21.5(3)	-71.8 (3)	146.8 (2)
(VIII)	142.14 (15)	-19.1(2)	-83.53 (16)	84.69 (18)

Notes: puckering parameters are calculated for the atom sequence O5-C4-C3a-C7a – N7 – C6. Torsion angles: A = N2 - N1 - C11 - C12; B = N2 - C3 - C31 - C32; C = C6 - N7 - C71 - C71; D = N7 - C77 - C71 - C72.

# Table 2

Hydrogen bonds and short intramolecular contacts (Å, °) for compounds (I)-(VIII).

Cg1 represents the centroid of the C71-C76 ring.

Compound	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
(I)	C12−H12···N7	0.95	2.55	3.079 (4)	115
	$C15-H15\cdots O5^{i}$	0.95	2.42	3.210 (3)	140
(II)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.60	3.103 (5)	113
	$C15-H15\cdots O5^{i}$	0.95	2.61	3.258 (5)	126
	$C4-H41\cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.99	2.96	3.872 (5)	154
(III)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.62	3.108 (3)	113
(IV)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.48	3.040 (4)	118
(V)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.55	3.062 (3)	114
	C13-H13···N2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.55	3.399 (2)	148
	$C72-H72\cdots O41^{iv}$	0.95	2.37	3.293 (3)	163
	C75-H75···O5 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.50	3.409 (2)	161
(VI)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.45	3.043 (4)	120
	C77-H772···O72	0.99	2.40	2.776 (4)	102
(VII)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.55	3.078 (3)	115
. ,	$C4-H42\cdots O73^{v}$	0.99	2.41	3.381 (4)	167
	$C72-H72\cdots O5^{v}$	0.95	2.52	3.413 (3)	156
	$C75-H75\cdots O74^{vi}$	0.95	2.55	3.456 (4)	160
	$C78-H781\cdots N2^{vii}$	0.99	2.48	3.338 (4)	145
	$C4-H41\cdots Cg1^{viii}$	0.99	2.91	3.799 (3)	150
(VIII)	C12-H12···N7	0.95	2.53	3.071 (2)	116
· /	$C4-H42\cdots O5^{ix}$	0.99	2.47	3.354 (2)	148
	$C13-H13\cdots O75^{x}$	0.95	2.57	3.466 (2)	157
	$C14-H14\cdots O74^{x}$	0.95	2.46	3.247 (2)	140
	$C6-H62\cdots Cg1^{v}$	0.99	2.76	3.737 (2)	167

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, -1 + z; (ii) -1 + x, y, z; (iii)  $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iv)  $1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y$ , -z; (v) 1-x, 1-y, 1-z; (vi) -x, 2-y, 1-z; (vii)  $-1+x, \frac{3}{2}-y, -\frac{1}{2}+z$ ; (viii)  $\overline{1} + x, y, z;$  (ix) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (x) 2 - x, -y, 1 - z.

# Data collection

### Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)

 $T_{\min} = 0.958, T_{\max} = 0.987$ 

30046 measured reflections 4970 independent reflections 3754 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int}=0.050$ 

# organic compounds

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$  $wR(F^2) = 0.120$ S = 1.074970 reflections 295 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.32 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

All H atoms were located in difference maps and then treated as riding atoms in geometrically idealized positions, with C-H = 0.95(aromatic), 0.98 (CH<sub>3</sub>) or 0.99 Å (CH<sub>2</sub>) and  $U_{iso}(H) = kU_{eq}(C)$ , where k = 1.5 for methyl groups and 1.2 for all other H atoms. The methyl groups were permitted to rotate about the adjacent C-X (X = C or O) bonds but not to tilt. In the absence of significant resonant scattering, the Flack x parameters (Flack, 1983) for compounds (I)–(III) and (VI) were indeterminate; accordingly, the Friedel-equivalent reflections were merged, and for these compounds it is not possible to establish the absolute configurations of the molecules in the crystals which were selected for data collection. For all compounds, the reference molecules were selected to have the same absolute configuration (see Figs. 1 and 2).

For all compounds, data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1999); cell refinement: *DIRAX/LSQ* (Duisenberg *et al.*, 2000); data reduction: *EVALCCD* (Duisenberg *et al.*, 2003); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR2004* (Burla *et al.*, 2005); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON*.

The authors thank Servicios Técnicos de Investigación of Universidad de Jaén and the staff for the data collections for compounds (II) and (IV). JCC and RA thank COLCIEN-CIAS and Universidad del Valle for financial support. JC thanks the Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa (Junta de Andalucía, Spain), the Universidad de Jaén (project reference UJA\_07\_16\_33), and Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (project reference SAF2008–04685-C02–02) for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FA3197). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Acosta, L. M., Bahsas, A., Palma, A., Cobo, J., Hursthouse, M. B. & Glidewell, C. (2009). Acta Cryst. C65, 092–096.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2005). J. Appl. Cryst. 38, 381–388.
- Castillo, J. C., Abonía, R., Cobo, J. & Glidewell, C. (2009). Acta Cryst. C65, 0303–0310.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Duisenberg, A. J. M., Hooft, R. W. W., Schreurs, A. M. M. & Kroon, J. (2000). J. Appl. Cryst. 33, 893–898.
- Duisenberg, A. J. M., Kroon-Batenburg, L. M. J. & Schreurs, A. M. M. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 220–229.
- Elguero, J. (1984). Pyrazoles and their Benzo Derivatives, Vol. 5, Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry, edited by A. R. Katritzky & C. W. Rees, pp. 167–303. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Elguero, J. (1996). *Pyrazoles*, Vol. 2, *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, edited by A. R. Katritzky, C. W. Rees & E. F. Scriven, pp. 1–75. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hooft, R. W. W. (1999). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.