



## Role of Aromatic Interactions in Temperature-Sensitive Amphiphilic Supramolecular Assemblies

Oyuntuya Munkhbat, Matteo Garzoni, Krishna R. Raghupathi, Giovanni M. Pavan, and S. Thayumanavan

*Langmuir*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b04540 • Publication Date (Web): 03 Mar 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on March 10, 2016

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



# Role of Aromatic Interactions in Temperature-Sensitive Amphiphilic Supramolecular Assemblies

*Oyuntuya Munkhbat,<sup>†</sup> Matteo Garzoni,<sup>§</sup> Krishna R. Raghupathi,<sup>†</sup> Giovanni M. Pavan,<sup>§\*</sup> and S.*

*Thayumanavan<sup>†\*</sup>*

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, United States

<sup>§</sup>Department of Innovative Technologies, University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern  
Switzerland, Galleria 2, Manno 6928, Switzerland


AUTHOR EMAIL ADDRESS [thai@chem.umass.edu](mailto:thai@chem.umass.edu); [giovanni.pavan@supsi.ch](mailto:giovanni.pavan@supsi.ch)

RECEIVED DATE

**ABSTRACT:** Aromatic interactions were found to greatly influence the temperature-dependent dynamic behavior within supramolecular assemblies. Using an amphiphilic dendron, we systematically changed the hydrophobic groups introducing increasing levels of aromaticity while keeping the hydrophilic part constant. We show that the supramolecular assemblies become less sensitive to temperature changes when aromatic interactions in the aggregate are increased. Conversely, the absence of aromaticity in the hydrophobic moieties produces temperature-sensitive aggregates. These results show that subtle molecular-level interactions can be utilized to control temperature-sensitive behavior in the nanoscale. These findings open up new design strategies to rationally tune stimuli-responsive supramolecular assemblies on multiple spatiotemporal scales.

## INTRODUCTION


Amphiphilic supramolecular assemblies have been of great interest due to their potential utility in a variety of applications such as drug delivery, biosensing and catalysis.<sup>1-10</sup> Understanding the molecular design elements that control not only the final macroscopic structural features, but also the dynamic properties of the assembly is critical in developing versatile new supramolecular assemblies. In this context, there is a notable interest in temperature-sensitive systems, as these facilitate convenient extrinsic control over self-assembly.<sup>11-17</sup> Oligo- and poly- ethylene glycol (OEG and PEG) based systems have attracted considerable attention, because of their hydrogen bonding based interactions with water that affords temperature-dependent hydrophilicity.<sup>18-20</sup> In these cases, aggregation becomes stronger at higher temperatures,<sup>21,22</sup> because the loss of hydrogen bonding between the temperature-sensitive functionalities and water reduces the amphiphilic's hydrophilicity.<sup>21-23</sup> Thus, most of these sensitivities arise directly from the temperature-sensitive moiety itself. In this work, we show that aromatic interactions, which are tucked within the interiors of the assembly,<sup>24,25</sup> can also play a



**Figure 1.** Schematic presentation of temperature dependent size transition of amphiphilic assemblies, and role of aromaticity in this phenomenon.

fundamental role in controlling the temperature-sensitive behavior of supramolecular assemblies (Figure 1).

To evaluate the role of aromatic interactions on the temperature-sensitive self-assembly, we designed facially amphiphilic dendrons with variable levels of aromaticity (Scheme 1). Dendrimers and dendrons are interesting platforms for this study, as these combine the advantages of small molecule amphiphiles in that they are structurally well-defined and polymers in that they are characterized by high thermodynamic stabilities.<sup>26-35</sup> The targeted aromatic hydrophobic units include phenyl, naphthyl, and anthracyl moieties, having an increasing trend in hydrophobicity as well as aromaticity. Cyclohexyl provides a useful comparison with a non-aromatic hydrophobic unit with similar geometry and rigidity. To ensure uniformity, the dendrons were prepared using a modular approach, where the hydrophobic group was ‘clicked’ on to propargyl moieties.



**Scheme 1.** Structures of the amphiphilic dendrons and the  $\log P$  values of hydrophobic functional groups.

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

**Preparation of dendrimer solutions.** Stock solution (100  $\mu\text{M}$ ) of each dendrimer was prepared by dissolving the dendrimer in milli-Q water at room temperature. Solutions were sonicated for 3 hours, and stirred at 4  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for one week ahead of any experiment. Dendrimer solutions were diluted to required concentrations before each experiment.

1  
2  
3 **Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements.** The size distribution of the aggregates were  
4 determined by Malvern Zetasizer (Nano-ZS). For each DLS experiment, 100  $\mu\text{M}$  solution was diluted to  
5 25  $\mu\text{M}$  and filtered through 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filter. Hydrodynamic radius (DH) of the dendritic assembly was  
6 measured at different temperatures with 7 minutes of equilibration time to achieve desired temperature  
7 before each measurement and repeated in triplicate. Size distribution, correlograms and PDI for all  
8 samples can be found in the supporting information (Figure S1, Figure S2, Figure S3 and Table S1).  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

17 **Dye encapsulation and guest exchange study.** To a vial containing 1.2 mL of 25  $\mu\text{M}$  dendrimer  
18 solution added 2  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.77 mg/mL pyrene (in acetone) and perylene (in acetone) separately, followed  
19 by evaporation of acetone by leaving the vial uncapped for 30 minutes while stirring at room  
20 temperature. After 30 min, the vial is capped and solution was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature.  
21 FRET experiments were performed on a JASCO (PF-6500) spectrofluorimeter and UV-Vis spectra were  
22 collected using a Cary-100 scan spectrophotometer. FRET measurements started as soon as pyrene and  
23 perylene containing dendrimer solutions (25  $\mu\text{M}$ ) were mixed (1:1 by volume). Pyrene was excited at  
24 339 nm, pyrene and perylene emission were monitored at 375 and 447 nm respectively for the  
25 calculation of FRET ratio.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38


39 **Time-dependent fluorescence and host exchange.** Time-lapse studies were performed on a PTI  
40 Quantamaster-30 phosphorescence/fluorescence spectrofluorimeter with a xenon flash power supply and  
41 TC125 temperature controller. Host exchange experiment of each dendron (CHE, PHE, NAP, and ANT)  
42 with pyrene dendrimer, Pyr, was performed at 5  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 10  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 25  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 40  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Dendrimer solutions (25  
43  $\mu\text{M}$ ) were pre-equilibrated at target temperature 1  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 30 min prior to mixing. 0.5 mL of Pyr solution  
44 was added to a fluorescence cuvette in a thermoelectric cuvette holder and allowed to equilibrate. 0.5  
45 mL of CHE was added through syringe directly into the cuvette and emission at 379 nm was monitored  
46 with time. Instrument parameters were adjusted at 339 nm for excitation and 379 nm for emission.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Sample was being stirred at low rpm during the measurement. Same procedure was followed for all  
4  
5  
6 other dendrons (PHE, NAP, and ANT).  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Synthesis

We designed the dendrons such that these molecules can be synthesized using a modular approach, providing facile access to the target molecules. To achieve this, we used the G1 dendritic scaffold with propargyl functionalities at every repeat unit of the dendron, shown as structure **8** in Scheme 2. This functional group was used as the handle to simply vary the hydrophobic functional groups using the Huisgen-1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction, the so-called click chemistry.<sup>36-40</sup> Module **8** was prepared by reacting the biaryl molecule **6** with the 3,5-dialkoxybenzyl bromide **7** in the presence of potassium carbonate and 18-crown-6, as shown in Scheme 2. All the targeted dendrons were successfully obtained




**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of the targeted dendrons (**CHE**, **PHE**, **NAP** and **ANT**).

using the copper-catalyzed click reaction between the propargyl core **8** and the azide functionalized alkyl or aryl-substituted substrates **9-12** (see Supporting Information for synthetic details and characterizations). The alkyl azides used in the click reaction were obtained from the corresponding alkyl bromides by reaction with sodium azide.

## Aggregation Behavior

First, we evaluated the self-assembly formation of each of these dendrons in water. Since the critical aggregation concentrations of all these dendrons were well below 25  $\mu\text{M}$  (Figure S5), all dendrons were assembled at this concentration. The sizes of the assemblies were found to range between 150 and 180 nm at room temperature (Figure 2a), as discerned by dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements with good correlation functions ( $>0.85$ ) and dispersities (0.04 and 0.18). We found that all of the dendrons form spherical micelle-like assemblies in water (See SI for TEM images, Figure S4).




**Figure 2.** a) DLS results of the assemblies formed from all dendrons at 25 °C; b) Size change for **CHE** dendron assembly at 25 °C (181 nm) and 5 °C (95 nm); c) Temperature dependent DLS measurement for all dendrons from 40 °C to 5 °C; d) Temperature dependent transmittance for all dendrons from 70 °C to 5 °C.

1  
2  
3 The sizes of these assemblies were then evaluated at different temperatures. Interestingly, a  
4 significant size reduction from 181 nm at 25 °C to 95 nm at 5 °C was observed for the cyclohexyl  
5 dendron (CHE) (Figure 2b). This is reminiscent of the recently reported sub-LCST transition.<sup>41,42</sup> The  
6 results in Figure 2c show that the structural transition is most significant at ~18 °C for CHE dendron.  
7  
8 The phenyl dendron (PHE) also presents a structural transition at ~6 °C. Interestingly, naphthyl (NAP)  
9 and anthracyl (ANT) dendrons do not exhibit any sub-LCST transitions, even though they also contain  
10 PEG groups. These observations provided the first indications that the nature of the hydrophobic  
11 substituents might have a significant influence over the thermal-sensitive behavior of these  
12 supramolecular assemblies, and that the latter cannot be simply explained by hydrophilic-lipophilic  
13 balance (HLB) variations – the behavior of the different aggregates do not have a direct correlation with  
14 the *log P* of the substituents (Scheme 1).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 **Temperature-Dependent Exchange of Dendrons**

31  
32  
33 We tested whether these temperature-sensitive transitions could be related to a differential stability of  
34 the dendritic assemblies. Thanks to a recently reported time-lapse fluorescence method, which used a  
35 pyrene-labeled dendron (Pyr) as the fluorescent probe (Figure 3a and 3b),<sup>41</sup> we assessed the residence  
36 time of the dendrons into the aggregate, and the dynamic exchange of dendrons with the solution.  
37  
38 Briefly, Pyr exhibits significant excimer emission in the assembled state, as the local concentration of  
39 the pyrene within the assembly interior is very high. If Pyr aggregates are mixed in solution with the  
40 other assemblies (CHE, PHE, NAP or ANT), a change in the pyrene fluorescence properties indicates  
41 that the dendrons can exchange dynamically between the aggregates in solution (Figure 3c). We tracked  
42 the increase in monomer emission intensity at 379 nm with time-lapse fluorescence spectroscopy upon  
43 mixing of Pyr dendron with other dendrons (CHE, PHE, NAP and ANT), while varying the temperature.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 Aqueous solutions of the dendrons (25 μM) were pre-equilibrated at the desired temperature for 30 min



**Figure 3.** a) Graphical illustration of dendron exchange experiment between **Pyr** and **CHE**. b) Structure of dendron **Pyr**; c) Evolution of pyrene monomer and pyrene excimer emission of dendron **Pyr** due to the dendron exchange with different concentrations of **CHE** Dendron; d-g) Time-based fluorescence measurement to monitor dendron exchange property of each dendron with fluorescent-labeled dendron **Pyr** by tracking pyrene monomer emission (379 nm) at 5 °C and 25 °C: d) **CHE**; e) **PHE**; f) **NAP**; g) **ANT**

and then mixed in a cuvette for immediate fluorescence measurement. For all trials, pre-measurement of pyrene dendron solution alone was provided for few seconds prior to the addition of the mixing dendron to ensure that there was no monomer emission initially. This setup allowed us to study whether the monomer exchange dynamics of these assemblies vary with the solution temperature.


First, we compared the CHE and the PHE dendrons. These are geometrically similar, but cyclohexyl units are more hydrophobic than phenyl units (see  $\log P$  values in Scheme 1), while they lack aromaticity. The data in Figure 3d and 3e clearly show that both dendrons exhibit faster dendron exchange at 5 °C than at 25 °C. Overall, the exchange dynamics of CHE is faster than that of PHE. Interestingly, the effect of changing the temperature on the assembly dynamics (temperature-sensitivity) is also stronger for the CHE than for the PHE dendron. NAP dendron is expected to be more hydrophobic than PHE and similar to CHE based on the  $\log P$  of the hydrophobic moieties (Scheme 1).

1  
2  
3 Nevertheless, although the hydrophobic moieties of the self-assembling dendrons possess comparable  
4 hydrophobicity, the dynamics of NAP aggregates was found to be less sensitive to temperature changes  
5 compared to that of CHE (Figure 3f and 3d) and similar to that of PHE (see Figure 3f and 3e). These  
6 outcomes suggest that hydrophobicity itself is not the unique factor in controlling the assembly property  
7 and that aromatic interactions, which include  $\pi$ - $\pi$  and van der Waals interactions, can play a major role  
8 in supramolecular structure and dynamics. Remarkably, the most hydrophobic and aromatic dendron  
9 ANT was found to be not dynamic at any of the temperatures studied, and the most insensitive to  
10 temperature variations (Figure 3g). Conversely, the assembly of non-aromatic CHE is the most sensitive  
11 one to temperature variations, and the most dynamic one. The dynamic behavior of the aggregate seems  
12 to become less temperature-sensitive, while the aromaticity of the dendrons is increased.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 **Guest Exchange Properties**

28  
29  
30  
31 Given that the exchange properties of these dendrons vary, it is interesting to investigate whether a  
32 similar trend, dominated by aromatic interactions, would be observed with guest exchange in those  
33 assemblies. Note that these amphiphilic assemblies can be hosts for non-covalently binding hydrophobic  
34 guest molecules in the aqueous phase. To test whether the trend exists, we used a recently developed  
35 fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) based method to acquire guest exchange dynamics  
36 (Figure 4a).<sup>43</sup> For this study, we used pyrene and perylene as the hydrophobic FRET pair (see SI for  
37 experimental details). We notice that the CHE dendron exhibited the fastest guest exchange, whereas  
38 there was essentially no FRET observed for ANT dendron, suggesting that the exchange dynamics in  
39 aromatic dendron assemblies are in general slower than the non-aromatic CHE dendron (Figure 4b).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

consistent with the host exchange properties observed previously and highlight the importance of understanding host exchange dynamics in amphiphilic supramolecular assemblies.




**Figure 4.** a) Guest exchange experiment using pyrene and perylene; b) Normalized FRET ratio of all dendrons at 25 °C.

## Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulation Study

Important questions remain open on the origin of the temperature-sensitivity in the dendron aggregates. This is probably due to the interactions between the hydrophobic moieties inside the aggregates: the real variables in this study. However, aromatic and hydrophobic interactions are unavoidably interconnected in the tail-tail self-assembly in the real system. We have used all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to obtain molecular-level details of the dendron self-assembly in solution. First, we built and pre-equilibrated the single dendrons in water solution (see SI for computational details). Then, according to the same procedure used for similar facially amphiphilic dendrons and polymers in solution,<sup>44,45</sup> nine dendrons of each type were immersed in a periodic simulation box filled with water molecules (Figure 5a). MD simulations were carried out at 25 and 5 °C for each system to study aggregation at different experimental temperatures. All systems were simulated for 200 ns in NPT conditions. During this time,

the dendrons self-assembled and all systems reached the equilibrium in the MD regime (see SI for details). The evolution of the radius of gyration ( $R_g$ ) of the aggregates, of the PEG chains and of the hydrophobic groups during the MD simulations (Figure 5) shows that, the aggregates tend to converge to an equilibrated configuration where the hydrophilic PEG surrounds the hydrophobic groups to minimize the interaction with the solvent. While full reorganization into an ideal micelle-like aggregate is awkward for ANT and NAP structures due to the size and rigidity of the tail groups (Figure 5), ordered stacking of hydrophobic groups appears in many regions of the assembly (e.g., green ANT groups).



**Figure 5.** Modeling dendrons self-assembly in solution. (a,c) Starting and equilibrated configuration of nine CHE in solution (PEG: blue, dendron scaffold: black, CHE: magenta). (c,d,e) Equilibrated configuration for the PHE (c: red), NAP (d: cyan), and ANT (d: green). (b,f,g,h) Radius of gyration ( $R_g$ ) data of different groups of the dendrons as a function of simulation time.

Enthalpy  $H$  as measured from the MD simulations is a good indicator of molecular solubility/hydrophobicity (see SI for comparison with  $\log P$ ).<sup>46</sup> According to a validated approach,<sup>44,45</sup> from the MD simulations we extracted the self-assembly enthalpy variations ( $\Delta H$ ) for each system at 5 °C and 25 °C (Table 1). While the  $\Delta H$  values may depend on the flexibility of the different dendron architectures, it is not really informative to compare the  $\Delta H$  of the different systems, but rather it is interesting to compare the  $\Delta H$  of the same system at the two different T:  $\Delta\Delta H = \Delta H(25\text{ °C}) - \Delta H(5\text{ °C})$ . The  $\Delta\Delta H$  values in Table 1 show that some assemblies are temperature sensitive and others are not – the more negative is the  $\Delta\Delta H$  value, stronger is the interaction between the dendrons in the aggregates at high (25 °C) rather than at low temperature (5 °C).


**Table 1.** Self-assembly enthalpies ( $\Delta H$ ) of all dendrons at 5°C and 25°C, and related variations ( $\Delta\Delta H$ ) expressed in kcal/mol.

Dendron	Rings <sup>[a]</sup>	$\Delta H(5^\circ\text{C})$ <sup>[b]</sup>	$\Delta H(25^\circ\text{C})$ <sup>[b]</sup>	$\Delta\Delta H = \Delta H(25^\circ\text{C}) - \Delta H(5^\circ\text{C})$ <sup>[c]</sup>
<b>CHE</b>	1s	-107.3 ± 0.8	-123.3 ± 0.9	-16 ± 1.2
<b>PHE</b>	1a	-91.1 ± 0.8	-94.8 ± 0.6	-3.7 ± 1.1
<b>NAP</b>	2a	-92.1 ± 0.8	-93 ± 1.0	-0.9 ± 1.3
<b>ANT</b>	3a	-95 ± 0.7	-94.4 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.9

[a] Number of rings per-hydrophobic groups (“s”: saturated; “a”: aromatic). [b] Self-assembly enthalpy  $\Delta H$  is calculated as the sum of solute-solute and solute-solvent interactions and is expressed in kcal/mol. [c]  $\Delta\Delta H$  provides a measurement of temperature sensitivity of the assemblies. All energies values are provided as average ± standard error.

$\Delta\Delta H$  is negligible for the more aromatic dendrons (NAP and ANT), whereas it is as high as -16 kcal/mol for the non-aromatic dendron CHE. The PHE system seems somewhat intermediate, with reduced, yet non-negligible,  $\Delta\Delta H$  (-3.7 kcal/mol), and consistent with the temperature-dependent size transition and dendron exchange dynamics seen the experiments. In general, hydrophobic aggregation becomes stronger at higher T, which is the case for the non-aromatic CHE. However, the MD data in Table 1 show that over a certain level of aromaticity in the hydrophobic groups, the dendron interaction into the aggregates is not temperature-sensitive.

We were then interested in understanding how much of the self-assembly is directly imputable to hydrophobic tails of the dendrons: the real structural variables in this study. The radial distribution functions,  $g(r)$ , of the tail groups extracted from the MD simulations provide information on the interaction between the hydrophobic groups in the assembly (Figure 6). The higher and sharper the  $g(r)$  peak at short distance, the stronger the tail-tail interaction (*i.e.*, coordination, stacking). The related tail-



**Figure 6.** Tail-tail self-assembly interaction. (a-d) Radial distribution functions –  $g(r)$  – of the tail groups respect to each other in the aggregates at 5°C (blue) and 25°C (red). High and sharp peaks at short distance identify stable and strong interaction (coordination/stacking).

tail interaction free energies ( $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$ ) reported in Table 2 were obtained for all systems from the  $g(r)$  curves (see SI for details).<sup>44</sup> The  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$  values show that the tail-tail interaction becomes stronger and temperature-insensitive for increasing levels of aromaticity.  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$  is stronger at 25 °C than at 5 °C only for the non-aromatic system (CHE).

While the  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$  energies include both hydrophobic and aromatic interactions, it is interesting to know how much of the tails interaction is directly imputable to the aromatic (only short-range) rather than to hydrophobic effects. The short-range aromatic contribution to self-assembly ( $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$ ) was

calculated as the number of stably stacked groups in the assemblies multiplied by the stacking interaction energies of CHE, PHE, NAP and ANT groups<sup>47</sup> respectively (see SI for details), according to the same approach adopted recently to quantify the role of hydrogen bonding in supramolecular polymers.<sup>48</sup> The remaining part of the tail-tail self-assembly energy ( $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$ ) was assumed to be imputable to hydrophobic effect ( $\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}$ ). This analysis provides qualitative insight on the type of interactions in the self-assembly and on their modulation in response to temperature change.

**Table 2.** Tail contribution to self-assembly. The tail-tail interaction free energy ( $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$ ) for all dendrons at 5°C and 25°C is expressed in kcal/mol.  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$  is also decomposed further into tail-tail stacking interaction ( $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$ ) and tail-tail hydrophobic interaction ( $\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}$ ).

Dendron	$\Delta E_{\text{tail}}^{[a]}$ (5°C)	$\Delta E_{\text{tail}}^{[a]}$ (25°C)	$\Delta E_{\text{stack}}^{[b]}$ (5°C)	$\Delta E_{\text{stack}}^{[b]}$ (25°C)	$\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}^{[c]}$ (5°C)	$\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}^{[c]}$ (25°C)
<b>CHE</b>	-38.9	-44.1	-13.6 (35%)	-11.8 (27%)	-25.3 (65%)	-32.3 (73%)
<b>PHE</b>	-49.6	-50.4	-42.9 (86%)	-43.4 (86%)	-6.8 (14%)	-6.9 (14%)
<b>NAP</b>	-49.7	-49.2	-46.3 (93%)	-47.5 (97%)	-3.4 (7%)	-1.7 (3%)
<b>ANT</b>	-57.6	-55.9	-56.3 (98%)	-55.1 (98%)	-1.3 (2%)	-0.8 (2%)

[a]  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$  values are extracted from the  $g(r)$  (see SI). [b]  $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$  values are evaluated from the number of stably stacked tail groups in the assemblies multiplied for the stacking interaction energies of **CHE**, **PHE**, **NAP** and **ANT** groups.<sup>46</sup> [c]  $\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}$  is calculated as the difference between  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$  and  $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$ . All energies are expressed in kcal/mol.

The short-range tail-tail interactions ( $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$ ) contribute only by 27-35% to the global tail-tail interaction in the CHE system, indicating the predominantly hydrophobic nature of the self-assembly in this case (Table 2). The long-range hydrophobic tail-tail interaction ( $\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}$ ), 2-3 times stronger than  $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$  in CHE, is also found to be temperature-sensitive ( $\Delta E_{\text{hyd}}$ : -25.3 vs. -32.3 kcal/mol at 5 and 25 °C respectively). Conversely, in the cases where the tail groups are aromatic (PHE, NAP and ANT), the short-range interactions ( $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$ ) dominate the tails self-assembly (Table 2:  $\Delta E_{\text{stack}}$  is  $\approx$ 86%-98% of the total  $\Delta E_{\text{tail}}$ ), all terms are found to be substantially invariant on temperature changes. These observations

1  
2  
3 clearly suggest that the dominance of aromatic interactions in these three dendrons substantially reduces  
4  
5 the overall temperature sensitivity of these supramolecular assemblies.  
6  
7

## 8 9 **Conclusions**

10  
11 In summary, we have systematically probed the hydrophobic moiety of the facially  
12  
13 amphiphilic dendron while keeping the hydrophilic component unchanged in order to understand  
14  
15 the influence of aromatic functional groups in controlling the temperature-responsive behavior of  
16  
17 amphiphilic assemblies. Our combined experimental-theoretical approach provides a multiscale  
18  
19 picture of these self-assembled materials in solution. We demonstrate that the inclusion of an  
20  
21 increasing degree of aromaticity in the hydrophobic moieties of the self-assembling dendrons  
22  
23 produces temperature-insensitive supramolecular assemblies, regardless of the level of the side  
24  
25 chain hydrophobicity. On the other hand, when the hydrophobic groups of the dendrons lack  
26  
27 aromaticity, the self-assembly is mainly controlled by hydrophobic interactions and the  
28  
29 supramolecular material possesses a temperature-sensitive behavior. This study demonstrates  
30  
31 how subtle changes in the self-assembling structures can produce different structural and  
32  
33 dynamic properties on the supramolecular material. As amphiphilic assemblies are pursued in a  
34  
35 variety of applications that require kinetic stability, such as in drug delivery or sensing, the  
36  
37 design guidelines that emanate from this study will have broad implications.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 49 **References**

- 50  
51  
52 1) Torchilin, V. P. *Nat. Rev. Multifunctional, Stimuli-Sensitive Nanoparticulate Systems for Drug*  
53  
54 *Delivery. Drug Discov.* **2014**, *13*, 813-827.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 2) Kataoka, K.; Harada, A.; Nagasaki, Y. Block Copolymer Micelles for Drug Delivery: Design,  
4 Characterization and Biological Significance. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2001**, *47*, 113-131.  
5  
6  
7  
8 3) Kelley, E. G.; Albert, J. N. L.; Sullivan, M. O.; Epps, T. H. III. Stimuli-Responsive Copolymer  
9 Solution and Surface Assemblies for Biomedical Applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 7057-  
10 7071.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 4) Soussan, E.; Cassel, S.; Blanzat, M.; Rico-Lattes, I. Drug Delivery by Soft Matter: Matrix and  
16 Vesicular Carriers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 274–288.  
17  
18  
19  
20 5) Yao, J.; Yang, M.; Duan, Y. Chemistry, Biology, and Medicine of Fluorescent Nanomaterials and  
21 Related Systems: New Insights into Biosensing, Bioimaging, Genomics, Diagnostics, and Therapy.  
22 *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 6130-6178.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 6) Wang, Y.; Zhou, K.; Huang, G.; Hensley, C.; Huang, X.; Ma, X.; Zhao, T.; Sumer, B. D.;  
28 DeBerardinis, R. J.; Gao, J. A Nanoparticle-Based Strategy for the Imaging of a Broad Range of  
29 Tumours by Nonlinear Amplification of Microenvironment Signals. *Nat. Mat.* **2014**, *13*, 204-212.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 7) Savariar, E. N.; Ghosh, S.; Gonzalez, D. C.; Thayumanavan, S. Disassembly of Noncovalent  
35 Amphiphilic Polymers with Proteins and Utility in Pattern Sensing. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*,  
36 5416-5417.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41 8) Peters, R. J. R. W.; Marguet, M.; Marais, S.; Fraaije, M. W.; van Hest, J. C. M.; Lecommandoux, S.  
42 Cascade Reactions in Multicompartmentalized Polymersomes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 14-  
43 150.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 9) Romsted, L. S.; Bunton, C. A.; Yao, J. Micellar Catalysis, a Useful Misnomer. *Curr. Opin. Colloid*  
49 *and Interface. Sci.* **1997**, *2*, 622-628.  
50  
51  
52  
53 10) Astruc, D.; Chardac, F. Dendritic Catalysts and Dendrimers in Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*,  
54 2991-3024.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- 11) Lutz, J. F. Polymerization of Oligo(Ethylene Glycol) (Meth)Acrylates: Toward New Generations of Smart Biocompatible Materials. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem.* **2008**, *46*, 3459-3470.
- 12) Lutz, J-F.; Weichenhan, K.; Akdemir, O.; Hoth, A. About the Phase Transitions in Aqueous Solutions of Thermoresponsive Copolymers and Hydrogels Based on 2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethyl Methacrylate and Oligo(ethylene glycol) Methacrylate. *Macromolecules.* **2007**, *40*, 2503–2508.
- 13) Inoue, T.; Matsuda, M.; Nibu, Y.; Misono, Y.; Suzuki, M. Phase Behavior of Heptaethylene Glycol Dodecyl Ether and Its Aqueous Mixture Revealed by DSC and FT-IR Spectroscopy. *Langmuir.* **2001**, *17*, 1833-1840.
- 14) Lutz, J.-F.; Hoth, A. Preparation of Ideal PEG Analogues with a Tunable Thermosensitivity by Controlled Radical Copolymerization of 2-(2-Methoxyethoxy)ethyl Methacrylate and Oligo(ethylene glycol) Methacrylate. *Macromolecules.* **2006**, *39*, 893-896.
- 15) Grzelczak, M.; Vermant, J.; Furst, E. M.; Liz-Marzan, L. M. Directed Self-Assembly of Nanoparticles. *ACS Nano.* **2010**, *4*, 3591-3605.
- 16) Yong Yao, Y.; Xue, M.; Chen, J.; Zhang, M.; Huang, F. An Amphiphilic Pillar[5]arene: Synthesis, Controllable Self-Assembly in Water, and Application in Calcein Release and TNT Adsorption. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 15712–15715.
- 17) Chi, X.; Ji, X.; Xia, D.; Huang, F. A Dual-Responsive Supra-Amphiphilic Polypseudorotaxane Constructed from a Water-Soluble Pillar[7]arene and an Azobenzene-Containing Random Copolymer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 1440–1443.
- 18) Hey, M. J.; Ilett, S. M. Poly(ethylene oxide) Hydration Studied by Differential Scanning Calorimetry. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1991**, *87*, 3671-3675.
- 19) Lüsse, S.; Arnold, K. The Interaction of Poly(ethylene glycol) with Water Studied by  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^2\text{H}$  NMR Relaxation Time Measurements. *Macromolecules.* **1996**, *29*, 4251-4257.

- 1  
2  
3 20) Vancoillie, G.; Frank, D.; Hoogenboom, R. Thermoresponsive Poly(oligo ethylene glycol acrylates).  
4  
5 *Prog. Poly. Sci.* **2014**, *39*, 1074-1095.  
6  
7  
8 21) Chandler, D. Interfaces and the Driving Force of Hydrophobic Assembly. *Nature*. **2005**, *437*, 640-  
9  
10 647.  
11  
12 22) Baldwin, R. L. Temperature Dependence of the Hydrophobic Interaction in Protein Folding. *Proc.*  
13  
14 *Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1986**, *83*, 8069-8072.  
15  
16  
17 23) Holmberg, K.; Jonsson, B.; Kronberg, B.; Lindman, B. Surfactants and Polymers in Aqueous  
18  
19 Solution. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. J. Wiley: New York, 2002.  
20  
21  
22 24) Tanford, C. *The Hydrophobic Effect: Formation of Micelles and Biological Membranes*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.; J.  
23  
24 Wiley and Sons: New York, 1980.  
25  
26  
27 25) Israelachvili, J. N.; Mitchell, D. J.; Ninham, B. W. Theory of Self-Assembly of Hydrocarbon  
28  
29 Amphiphiles into Micelles and Bilayers. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2* **1976**, *72*, 1525-1568.  
30  
31  
32 26) Fréchet, J. M. J. Dendrimers and Supramolecular Chemistry. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **2002**, *99*, 4782-  
33  
34 4787.  
35  
36  
37 27) Newkome, G. R.; Moorefield, C. N. From 1 → 3 Dendritic Designs to Fractal Supramacromolecular  
38  
39 Constructs: Understanding the Pathway to the Sierpiński Gasket. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 3954-  
40  
41 3967.  
42  
43  
44 28) Mignani, S.; El Kazzouli, S.; Bousmina, M. M.; Majoral, J.-P. Dendrimer Space Exploration: An  
45  
46 Assessment of Dendrimers/Dendritic Scaffolding as Inhibitors of Protein–Protein Interactions, a  
47  
48 Potential New Area of Pharmaceutical Development. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 1327-1342.  
49  
50  
51 29) Rosen, Brad M.; Wilson, Christopher J.; Wilson, Daniela A.; Peterca, Mihai; Imam, Mohammad R.;  
52  
53 Percec, V. Dendron-Mediated Self-Assembly, Disassembly, and Self-Organization of Complex  
54  
55 Systems. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 6275-6540.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 30) Moorefield, C. N.; Perera, S.; Newkome, G. R. *Dendron Chemistry: Supramolecular Perspectives*  
4 *and Applications*. John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, 2012, pp.1-54.  
5  
6  
7  
8 31) Astruc, D.; Boisselier, E.; Ornelas, C. Dendrimers Designed for Functions: From Physical,  
9 Photophysical, and Supramolecular Properties to Applications in Sensing, Catalysis, Molecular  
10 Electronics, Photonics, and Nanomedicine *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1857-1959.  
11  
12  
13 32) Raghupathi, K.; Guo, J.; Munkhbat, O.; Rangadurai, P.; Thayumanavan, S. Supramolecular  
14 Disassembly of Facially Amphiphilic Dendrimer Assemblies in Response to Physical, Chemical, and  
15 Biological Stimuli. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2200-2211.  
16  
17  
18 33) Zimmerman, S. C.; Lawless, L. J. Supramolecular Chemistry of Dendrimers. *Top. Curr. Chem.*  
19 **2001**, *217*, 95-120.  
20  
21  
22 34) Kanna, R. M.; Nance, E.; Kannan, S.; Tomalia, D. A. Emerging Concepts in Dendrimer-Based  
23 Nanomedicine: From Design Principles to Clinical Applications. *J. Int. Med.* **2014**, *276*, 579-617.  
24  
25  
26 35) Newkome, G. R.; Moorefield, C. N.; Baker, G. R.; Saunders, M. J.; Grossman, S. H. Unimolecular  
27 Micelles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1991**, *30*, 1178-1180.  
28  
29  
30 36) Lowe, A. B. Thiol-yne ‘Click’/Coupling Chemistry and Recent Applications in Polymer and  
31 Materials Synthesis and Modification. *Polymer.* **2014**, *55*, 5517-5549.  
32  
33  
34 37) Xi, W.; Scott, T. F.; Kloxin, C. J.; Bowman, C. N. Click Chemistry in Materials Science. *Adv. Func.*  
35 *Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 2572-2580.  
36  
37  
38 38) Iha, R. K.; Wooley, K. L.; Nystrom, A. M.; Burke, D. J.; Kade, M. J.; Hawker, C. J. Applications of  
39 Orthogonal “Click” Chemistries in the Synthesis of Functional Soft Materials. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**,  
40 *109*, 5620-5686.  
41  
42  
43 39) Kose, M. M.; Onbulak, S.; Yilmaz, I. I.; Sanyal, A. Orthogonally “Clickable” Biodegradable  
44 Dendrons. *Macromolecules*, **2011**, *44*, 2707-2714.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 40) Yesilyurt, V.; Ramireddy, R.; Thayumanavan, S. Photoregulated Release of Noncovalent Guests  
4  
5 from Dendritic Amphiphilic Nanocontainers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *123*, 3094-3098.  
6  
7  
8 41) Fuller, J. M.; Raghupathi, K. R.; Ramireddy, R. R.; Subrahmanyam, A. V.; Yesilyurt, V.;  
9  
10 Thayumanavan, S. Temperature-Sensitive Transitions below LCST in Amphiphilic Dendritic  
11  
12 Assemblies: Host–Guest Implications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 8947-8954.  
13  
14  
15 42) Raghupathi, K. R.; Sridar, U.; Byrne, A. K.; Thayumanavan, S. Influence of Backbone  
16  
17 Conformational Rigidity in Temperature-Sensitive Amphiphilic Supramolecular Assemblies. *J. Am.*  
18  
19 *Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5308-5311.  
20  
21  
22 43) Jiwanich, S.; Ryu, J.-H.; Bickerton, S.; Thayumanavan, S. Noncovalent Encapsulation Stabilities in  
23  
24 Supramolecular Nanoassemblies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10683-10685.  
25  
26  
27 44) Torres, D. A.; Garzoni, M.; Subrahmanyam, A. V.; Pavan, G. M.; Thayumanavan, S. Protein-  
28  
29 Triggered Supramolecular Disassembly: Insights Based on Variations in Ligand Location in  
30  
31 Amphiphilic Dendrons. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5385-5399.  
32  
33  
34 45) Kasimova, A. O.; Pavan, G. M.; Danani, A.; Mondon, K.; Cristiani, A.; Scapozza, L.; Gurny, R.;  
35  
36 Moeller, M. Validation of a Novel Molecular Dynamics Simulation Approach for Lipophilic Drug  
37  
38 Incorporation into Polymer Micelles. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 4338-4345.  
39  
40  
41 46) Enciso, A. E.; Garzoni, M.; Pavan, G. M.; Simanek, E. E. Influence of Linker Groups on the  
42  
43 Solubility of Triazine Dendrimers. *New J. Chem.* **2015**, *39*, 1247-1252.  
44  
45  
46 47) Grimme, S. Do Special Noncovalent  $\pi$ - $\pi$  Stacking Interactions Really Exist. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*  
47  
48 **2008**, *47*, 3430-3434.  
49  
50  
51 48) Baker, M. B.; Albertazzi, L.; Voets, I. K.; Leenders, C. M. A.; Palmans, A. R. A.; Pavan, G. M.;  
52  
53 Meijer, E. W. Consequences of Chirality on the Dynamics of a Water-Soluble Supramolecular  
54  
55 Polymer. *Nat. Comm.* **2015**, *6*, 6234.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## TOC Graphic

