Rational design of a highly selective and sensitive fluorescent PET probe for discrimination of thiophenols and aliphatic thiols[†]

Wei Jiang, Yanting Cao, Yuan Liu and Wei Wang*

Received (in Austin, TX, USA) 10th December 2009, Accepted 26th January 2010 First published as an Advance Article on the web 13th February 2010 DOI: 10.1039/b926070f

A novel highly sensitive and selective 'off-on' fluorescent probe for thiophenols has been developed by a PET mechanism through a rational design.

Sensitivity and selectivity are the most critical issues in the design of any artificial receptor. In addition, the binding event should be conveniently monitored in an 'off-on' signal output manner. It is extremely challenging to develop a sensor or probe capable of discriminating interesting analytes with close physical and chemical properties. Thiols are such a class of molecules. Aliphatic thiols such as cysteine,¹ homocysteine² and glutathione,³ play important roles in biological systems. Nevertheless, thiophenols, in spite of their broad synthetic utility,⁴ are highly toxic and pollutant compounds with LC₅₀ (50% lethal concentration, e.g., a dose required to kill half the members of a tested population) 0.01-0.4 mM for fish⁵ and much more toxic than aliphatic ones.^{6,7} Therefore, a detection technique that can selectively differentiate toxic thiophenols and biologically important aliphatic thiols is of considerable significance in the fields of chemical, biological and environmental sciences.

Recently, significant efforts have been directed toward the development of fluorescent sensors or probes for thiols.8 It is noted that they are mainly designed for cysteine and homocysteine. However, in general they exhibit poor selectivity for aliphatic thiols and thiophenols. A sensor capable of distinguishing these substances has not been realized until recently when we developed the first highly selective fluorescent probe 1 for thiophenols based on an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) mechanism (Scheme 1, eqn (1)).⁹ Nevertheless, it has the drawbacks of the relatively weak fluorescence intensity of product 2 in aqueous solution as a result of its low quantum yield ($\Phi = 0.02$) and relatively low sensitivity (detection limit at 2 µM). A "brighter" and more sensitive sensor for thiophenols is highly desirable from the practical application standpoint of view. Towards this end, in this communication, we wish to disclose a new mechanistically different, PET (photoinduced electron transfer)-based fluorescent probe 3 (eqn (2)) with several notable features in addition to high specificity to thiophenols: (1) a much higher quantum yield ($\Phi = 0.39$) in an aqueous buffer; (2) >100-fold (vs. >50 folds for probe 1) fluorescence intensity

enhancement; (3) higher sensitivity (0.2 μ M detection limit vs. 2.0 μ M for probe 1).

In the design of a novel PET based fluorescent probe¹⁰ for thiophenols, we envision that the selection of 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl group as the critical component can kill two birds with one stone. On one hand, it serves as a recognition moiety due to its unique and high reactivity towards thiolate anions. The masked sulfonamide moiety can be facilely removed by a highly nucleophilic thiolate anion through a S_NAr process (Scheme 1).¹¹ Taking advantage of the difference in the acidity of thiophenols and aliphatic thiols, we envisioned that under the physiological pH, a thiophenol could quickly cleave the sulfonamide group since its corresponding more nuleophilic thiolate is the dominant species owning to its pK_a (ca. 6.5). Nevertheless, under the same reaction conditions, the aliphatic thiols with higher pK_a (ca. 8.5) remain largely in the less reactive neutral form and thus the reaction is very slow. The nitro moieties of the 2.4-dinitrobenzenesulfonamide are not only crucial for thiolates as essential nucleophiles in the S_N Ar reaction, but also serve as an effective quencher in the newly designed PET fluorescent probe. A benzoxazole is selected as the fluorophore¹² since it exhibits a high quantum yield in water and can effectively participate in a PET process.

ICT based fluorescent probe for thiophenols (Eq. 1)



PET based fluorescent probe for thiophenols in this work (Eq. 2)



Scheme 1 Fluorescent probes for thiophenols.

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University of New Mexico, MSC03 2060, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA. E-mail: wwang@unm.edu; Fax: (+1) 505-277-2609

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and spectroscopic data for the compounds **4a–4s**. See DOI: 10.1039/b926070f

800

It is expected that the designed probe **3** will display weak fluorescence. However, when it reacts with a thiophenol to yield **4**, a strong fluorescent signal should be generated.

To prove the concept, we developed a synthetic route for the preparation of the newly designed PET probe 3 (see ESI⁺) and then carried out fluorescence studies. We first examined its fluorescence response toward thiophenol as a representative and meanwhile aimed at establishing the optimal measurement conditions. Its good water solubility enabled us to perform the investigation in an aqueous phosphate buffer (0.01 M, pH 7.3) at a concentration of 2.0×10^{-6} M. As designed, the probe 3 displayed almost no fluorescence in the absence of a thiol at $\lambda_{\rm ex}$ = 335 nm due to the efficient quenching by the nitro groups ($\varepsilon = 0.6 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\Phi < 0.01$) (Fig. 1).¹³ Upon the addition of thiophenol (4.0×10^{-6} M, 2 equiv.), a dramatic fluorescence intensity increase was observed in a few seconds centered at 403 nm. After about 20 min, the fluorescence intensity reached the maximum and no significant change was seen in an extended reaction time. Notably, probe 3 exhibited a much stronger fluorescence response than previous probe 1 though its concentration is 10 times lower, and notably a >100 fold fluorescence intensity increase was obtained (Fig. 1). The reaction product was monitored and confirmed by a comparison study with a standard pure compound 4 through ¹H NMR analysis. The quantum yield of fluorescence for the product 4 was determined to be 0.39 $(\varepsilon = 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}).^{13}$

In a control study, we synthesized compound **5**, bearing a *p*-methylbenzensulfonyl (Ts) group instead of a 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl moiety. Under the same conditions, it showed very strong fluorescence in the presence and absence of thiophenol and no response to thiophenol (see Fig. S1, ESI \dagger). These results support our working hypothesis that the two nitro groups are essential for the high reactivity of the thiolate mediated S_NAr reaction and serve as an effective quencher of the PET sensor **3**.

Next we examined the sensitivity of the new probe **3** at 2×10^{-6} M for thiophenol under the same reaction conditions (Fig. 2). The fluorescence intensity increase displayed in a concentration dependent fashion and no obvious emission



Fig. 1 Fluorescence emission time profile of probe 3 towards thiophenol. Probe 3 (2×10^{-6} M), prepared from a 1.0 mM stock solution in DMF, was studied in a phosphate buffer (pH 7.3, 0.01M) at room temperature in the absence and presence of thiophenol (2.0 equivalents). The reaction solution was sampled for fluorescence measurement at $\lambda_{ex} = 335$ nm at the specified time periods.



Fig. 2 Effect of thiophenol concentration on the fluorescence emission of probe **3**. Probe **3** (2×10^{-6} M) was studied in a phosphate buffer (pH 7.3, 0.01M) at room temperature in the absence and presence of a thiophenol at different concentrations. After 10 min, the reaction solution was sampled for emission measurement ($\lambda_{ex} = 335$ nm, fluorescence intensity at $\lambda_{ex} = 403$ nm is plotted *vs.* concentration).

shift in fluorescence spectra and almost no change absorption spectra (see Fig. S2, ESI[†]) were observed, which was in agreement with a typical PET process. Higher concentrations of thiophenol afforded a quicker and more dramatic response. For example, when 4 equiv. of thiophenol (8×10^{-6} M) was used, the enhancement of fluorescence intensity reached the maximum in 10 min. Further increase of thiophenol concentration did not result in additional enhancement of fluorescence intensity (Fig. 2, inset). Notably, even at 2×10^{-7} M concentration, a pronounced fluorescence signal change was observed, indicative of its higher sensitivity than that of probe 1 (detection limit: 2×10^{-6} M).

A survey of relevant aliphatic thiols and other common nucleophiles revealed that probe **3** displayed excellent selectivity towards thiophenol (Fig. 3). Aliphatic thiols tested including 2-methyl-2-propanethiol, cysteine and glutathione did not lead to a fluorescent response to the probe **3** 20 min after addition of the thiol agents. A similar trend was observed for other nucleophiles such as CN^- , I^- , PhOH, and PhNH₂. Moreover, in the presence of other nucleophiles such as a mixture of cysteine, glutathione, CN^- , I^- , and BnNH₂, a similar fluorescence intensity increase was observed to that of a pure thiophenol, indicating that the probe **3** is particularly selective to thiophenols without interference.

It is expected that the pH of the tested buffer solution would affect the fluorescence intensity and the reactivity of probe 3. The probe itself was inert to pH change in a wide range (from 4 to 12) and no pH-dependent fluorescence change was obtained. However, as expected, in the presence of thiophenol, the fluorescence intensity alternation of probe 3 was pH dependent. At low pH (<5), almost no fluorescence was observed due to the very slow cleavage of the sulfonamide group by the weakly nucleophilic neutral thiophenol. However, under neutral to weakly basic conditions (pH 7 to 9), probe 3 exhibited the most sensitive response with significant enhancements of fluorescence with thiophenols as a result of the strong ionization of thiophenol.

In conclusion, taking advantage of the unique property and reactivity of 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl moiety, we have



Fig. 3 The selectivity of probe **3** toward thiols and other nucleophiles. Gray bar: the fluorescence intensity of only a single analyte at 10 μ M with the probe (2 μ M), black bar: the fluorescence intensity of a mixture of a nucleophilic reagent at 10 μ M and PhSH at 4 μ M with the probe (2 μ M). (1) probe **3** only, (2) PhSH, (3) cysteine, (4) (CH₃)₃CSH, (5) gluotathione, (6) glycine, (7) KCN, (8) KI, (9) PhOH, (10) PhNH₂. All data ($\lambda_{em} = 403$ nm) were acquired at 20 min after addition of analyte(s) in a phosphate buffer (pH 7.3, 0.01 M) at room temperature with $\lambda_{ex} = 335$ nm.

developed a novel fluorescence probe **3** for the detection of thiophenols in aqueous solution with excellent specificity. The probe was rationally designed based on the PET mechanism, which is different from probe **1** relying on the ICT pathway. Importantly, the sensitivity has improved significantly with a much higher quantum yield ($\Phi = 0.39$) and > 100-fold fluorescence intensity enhancement. These features of probe **3** mean it has great application potential for the detection and quantification of highly toxic thiophenols in environmental science.

Financial support of this work by the University of New Mexico is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) A. Fersht, *Enzyme Structure and Mechanism*, Freeman, Co., New York, 2nd edn, 1984, pp. 2–4; (b) S. Shahrokhian, *Anal. Chem.*, 2001, **73**, 5972.
- Irregular level of homocycteine associated with a variety of diseases such as cardiovascular-related and Alzheimer's diseases: (a) Review: H. Refsum, P. M. Ueland, O. Nygård and S. E. Vollset, Annu. Rev. Med., 1998, 49, 31; (b) S. Seshadri, A. Beiser, J. Selhub, P. F. Jacques, I. H. Rosenberg, R. B. D'Agostino and P. W. F. Wilson, N. Engl. J. Med., 2002, 346, 476.
- 3 I. Rahman and W. MacNee, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 2000, 28, 1405.
- 4 Thiols are useful chemicals in organic synthesis, analytic chemistry, and materials, for the applications, see: (a) K.-M. Roy, Thiols and Organic Sulfides, in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 7th edn, 2007; (b) K. Shimada and K. Mitamura, J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl., 1994, 659, 227; (c) J. C. Love, L. A. Estroff, J. K. Kriebel, R. G. Nuzzo and G. M. Whitesides, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 1103; (d) A. Eychmuller and A. L. Rogach, Pure Appl. Chem., 2000, 72, 179.
- 5 T. P. Heil and R. C. Lindsay, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part B, 1989, 24, 349.
- 6 (a) P. Amrolia, S. G. Sullivan, A. Stern and R. Munday, J. Appl. Toxicol., 1989, 9, 113; (b) R. Munday, J. Appl. Toxicol., 1985, 5,

402; (c) T. R. Juneja, R. L. Gupta and S. Samanta, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 1984, **21**, 185; (d) E. J. Fairchild, II and H. E. Stokinger, *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.*, 1958, **19**, 171.

- 7 (a) Material safety data sheet of thiophenol from Sigma–Aldrich: http://www.castleviewuk.com/Frameless/Safe/msds/ex/MSDS_ thiophenol.htm; (b) Health Council of the Netherlands: Committee on Updating of Occupational Exposure Limits. Benzenethiol: Health-based Reassessment of Administrative Occupational Exposure Limits. The Hague: Health Council of the Netherlands, 2004; 2000/15OSH/095; (c) Anon, USA. Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials Report, 1994, 14, 92.
- 8 Recent examples of fluorescent probes for detection of thiols, see: (a) C. Chow, B. K. W. Chiu, M. H. W. Lam and W. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 7802; (b) D. H. Kim and M. S. Han, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2003, 13, 2543; (c) O. Rusin, N. N. St. Luce, R. A. Agbaria, J. O. Escobedo, S. Jiang, I. M. Warner and R. M. Strongin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 438; (d) W.-H. Wang, J. O. Escobedo, C. M. Lawrence and R. M. Strongin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 3400; (e) F. Tanaka, N. Mase and C. F. Barbas, III, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1762; (f) S.-J. Chen and H.-T. Chang, Anal. Chem., 2004, 76, 3727; (g) J. V. Ros-Lis, B. Garcia, D. Jimenez, R. Martinez-Manez, F. Sanceron, J. Soto, F. Gonzalvo and M. C. Valldecabres, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 4064; (h) W.-H. Wang, O. Rusin, X.-Y. Xu, K. K. Kim, J. O. Escobedo, S. O. Fakayode, K. A. Fletcher, M. Lowry, C. M. Schowalter, C. M. Lawrence, F. R. Fronczek, I. M. Warner and R. M. Strongin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 15949; (i) S.-H. Li, C.-W. Yu and J.-G. Xu, Chem. Commun., 2005, 450; (j) H. Maeda, H. Matsuno, M. Ushida, K. Katayama, K. Saeki and N. Itoh, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 2922; (k) Y.-Y. Fu, H.-X. Li, W.-P. Hu and D.-B. Zhu, Chem. Commun., 2005, 3189; (1) N.-N. Fu, H. Wang, M.-L. Li, G.-J. Zheng, H.-S. Zhang and S. C. Liang, Anal. Lett., 2005, 38, 791; (m) H. Maeda, K. Katayama, H. Matsuno and T. Uno, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1810; (n) N. Shao, J. Y. Jin, S. M. Cheung, R. H. Yang, W. H. Chan and T. Mo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 4944; (o) P. K. Pullela, T. Chiku, M. J. Carvan, III and D. S. Sem, Anal. Biochem., 2006, 352, 265; (p) B. Tang, Y. Xing, P. Li, N. Zhang, F. Yu and G. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 11666; (q) T. Matsumoto, Y. Urano, T. Shoda, H. Kojima and T. Nagano, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 3375; (r) K. S. Lee, T. K. Kim, J. H. Lee, H. J. Kim and J. I. Hong, Chem. Commun., 2008, 6173; (s) T. K. Kim, J. H. Lee and H. J. Kim, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 4879; (t) L. Duan, Y.-F. Xu, X.-H. Qian, F. Wang, J. Liu and T. Cheng, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 6624; (u) J. Bouffard, Y. Kim, T. M. Swager, R. Weissleder and S. A. Hilderbrand, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 37; (v) A. Shibata, A. K. Furukawa, H. Abe, S. Tsuneda and Y. Ito, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2008, 18, 2246; (w) W. Lin, L. Yuan, Z. Cao, Y. Feng and L. Long, Chem.-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 5096; (x) L. Yi, H. Li, L. Sun, L. Liu, C. Zhang and Z. Xi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 4034; (y) V. Hong, A. A. Kislukhin and M. G. Finn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9986.
- 9 W. Jiang, Q. Fu, H. Fan, J. Ho and W. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8445.
- 10 For reviews of design of fluorescent sensors, see: (a) W. Rettig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 25, 971; (b) F. W. Scheller, F. Schubert and J. Fedrowitz, Frontiers in Biosensorics I. Fundamental Aspects, Birkhauser Verlag, Berlin, 1997; (c) F. W. Scheller, F. Schubert and J. Fedrowitz, Frontiers in Biosensorics II. Practical Applications, Birkhauser Verlag, Berlin, 1997; (d) A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. Uhuxley, M. C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 1515; (e) L. Pu, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 1687.
- 11 T. Fukuyama, M. Cheung, C.-K. Jow, Y. Hidai and T. Kan, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 5831.
- 12 M. Taki, J. L. Wolford and T. V. O'Halloran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 712.
- 13 Quantum yield was determined by reference to harmine in 0.1 N H_2SO_4 ($\Phi = 0.45$).