The doping effect of fluorinated aromatic hydrocarbon solvents on the performance of common olefin metathesis catalysts: application in the preparation of biologically active compounds[†]

Cezary Samojłowicz,^a Michał Bieniek,^a Andrzej Zarecki,^a Renat Kadyrov^b and Karol Grela^{*a}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 22nd September 2008, Accepted 7th October 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 22nd October 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b816567j

Aromatic fluorinated hydrocarbons, used as solvents for olefin metathesis reactions, catalysed by standard commercially available Ru precatalysts, allow substantially higher yields to be obtained, especially of challenging substrates, including natural and biologically active compounds.

Recent decades have seen burgeoning interest in olefin metathesis, as witnessed by the rapidly growing number of elegant applications.¹ Using this tool, chemists can now efficiently synthesize an impressive range of molecules that only a decade ago required significantly longer and more tedious routes. The development of efficient and selective catalysts **1–3** is the key to the widespread application of olefin metathesis in organic synthesis.¹



In more advanced applications of olefin metathesis, especially in the total synthesis of natural and biologically active compounds, commercially available Ru catalysts 1-3 do not always lead to satisfactory conversions.² To solve this limitation, a lot of research effort has been directed to designing improved catalysts that might allow for better results in "difficult" cases.³ A recently published total synthesis of largazole, a natural product exhibiting broad anti-cancer activity, represents a convincing example, where improved catalysts were used to counteract the low potency of commercially available initiators for this substrate.⁴ Another limitation of commercially available initiators applied to total synthesis is that relatively high loadings of catalysts (up to 25-40 mol%) are often required, resulting in the sub-optimal use of this powerful methodology.^{2,5} Nowadays, it is desirable to use expensive and potentially toxic heavy metal-based catalysts more efficiently in order to reduce the costs of

industrial processes and fulfil the recent guidelines of green chemistry. $^{\rm 5}$

Besides the evolutionary improvement of catalyst structures,³ research aimed at finding *new* reaction conditions that allow more optimal use of standard commercially available catalysts can be considered as a complementary approach.⁶ During a recent profiling study of a set of commercially available Ru catalysts, we noted that the rate of olefin metathesis of some simple dienes was significantly enhanced when fluorinated aromatic hydrocarbons (FAH), such as perfluorotoluene and perfluorobenzene, were used as reaction media.⁷ Fluorine-containing aromatic hydrocarbons PhCF₃ and C₆F₆ have been used previously as solvents in some metathesis reactions,⁸ but no enhancements in metathesis rate were reported in these cases.⁹

Therefore, in the current study, we decided to explore the applicability and the generality of this unexpected activating effect in the metathesis of selected "challenging" olefins, including natural-like substrates. To gain an insight into this new activating effect, a set of comparative metathesis experiments catalysed by commercially available Ru initiators was attempted in "classical" metathesis solvents (toluene and 1,2-dichloroethane) and in FAH under otherwise *identical* conditions (catalyst loading, time, concentration and temperature).‡

The formation of tetrasubstituted double bonds typically requires high catalyst loadings, and even then doesn't lead to quantitative yields.¹⁰ It is believed that di(methylallyl)malonate (s1) is a very challenging model substrate for Ru catalysts, giving 1b and 3b in only 17 and 6% yield, respectively (5 mol%, CH₂Cl₂, 30 °C, 96 h).^{10a} Catalyst 1c, applied at a higher temperature, was reported to give only 47% of the product (5 mol%, toluene, 80 °C, 24 h; GC yield).^{10b} Therefore, we selected this reaction as the first trial in our study. As a result, we found that the observed activating effect was of a quite general nature, as all the catalysts tested lead to higher yields in FAH compared to "classical" conditions (Scheme 1). The activity increase was quite substantial, as in the most pronounced case of 3b, it was possible to increase the reaction yield 18-fold, simply by changing the solvent from 1,2-dichloroethane to perfluorotoluene. Encouraged by these results, we attempted to test FAH in the metathesis of selected natural or biologically active substrates (Scheme 2). Diene s2, a derivative of (-)-isopulegol, a monoterpenic alcohol that is widely employed in the flavour and perfume industry,¹¹ was chosen as a representative challenging substrate. The ring-closing metathesis (RCM) of s2, where a tetrasubstituted double bond is formed, lead to incomplete conversion in 1,2-dichloroethane, while the

^a Institute of Organic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kasprzaka 44/52, 01-224 Warsaw, Poland.

E-mail: klgrela@gmail.com; Fax: +49 22-632-66-81

^b Evonik Degussa GmbH, Rodenbacher Chaussee 4, 63457

Hanau-Wolfgang, Germany. E-mail: renat.kadyrov@evonik.com; Fax: +49 6181-59-78710

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures for the preparation of the starting materials, RCM and CM in FAH, and the characterisation of the products. See DOI: 10.1039/b816567j



Scheme 1 Model RCM reaction. Conditions: 2 mol% of catalyst, $C_{[s1]} = 0.02$ M, 70 °C, 3 h; nd = not determined. Yields calculated by GC.



Scheme 2 RCM of (–)-isopulegol (s2) and Vitamin D_2 (s3) derivatives. ^{*a*} Isolated yields after column chromatography. Conversions calculated by ¹H NMR are in parentheses; nd = not determined.

use of perfluorotoluene provided quantitative conversion under otherwise identical conditions (loading, temperature, time).

Pentaene **s3**, derived from 7,8-dihydroxy-7,8-dihydrovitamin D_2 ,^{12a} was tested by us previously and considered to be rather problematic under "classical" conditions.^{12b} Therefore, we were pleased to find that in the case of this polyfunctional substrate, the use of FAH also lead to much improved results (Scheme 2).

Next, we tested cross-metathesis (CM), the second most popular variant of olefin metathesis.¹³ To estimate the potential of our newly developed conditions, we focused only on the most demanding cases, such as the CM of substituted or electron deficient alkenes.¹³ The results presented in Scheme 3 show that in 1,2-dichloroethane, the commercially available Ru catalysts were not potent in CM between geminally disubstituted¹⁴ s4 and (Z)-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene. In 1,2-dichloroethane, the highest yield (27%) was obtained



Scheme 3 Model CM reaction. Conditions: $C_{[s4]} = 0.02$ M, 5 mol% of catalyst, 70 °C, 3 h. Yields calculated by GC.

with **1b**. Importantly, applying perfluorotoluene as the solvent allowed an increase in yield to 50%. Again, the activating effect of the FAHs was observed for all the catalysts tested.

CM reactions have gained increased importance in the synthesis of natural and biologically active products in recent years.¹³ Therefore, the CM reactions of two advanced substrates (Scheme 4) were selected to reveal the potential utility of FAH solvents in target-oriented synthesis.

The functionalisation of steroid cores by olefin CM has become an important tool in the pharmaceutical industry. Recently, we reported the synthesis of new 17B-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 inhibitors-drug candidates in estradioldependent diseases such as breast cancer or endometriosisvia the CM of allylestrone with various acrylic acid derivatives.¹⁵ Kotora et al. have published a study on the perfluoroalkylation of some steroid derivatives through CM reactions with (perfluoroalkyl)propenes.^{16a} We decided to test the potential of FAHs in similar reactions, because fluorinecontaining compounds are popular targets in the pharmaceutical industry.^{16b} The CM of functionalised alkenes and fluorinated olefins has previously been studied by Blechert et al.^{8a} When (perfluorobutyl)ethylene was used as both the CM partner and solvent, it was found that α, α, α -trifluorotoluene could be used as an additive to overcome the insolubility of the Ru catalysts in the reaction medium.^{8a} However, no enhancement in the metathesis rate of 3b was reported in connection with use of this co-solvent. To look more closely at this phenomenon, we executed a CM reaction between 3β -pent-4-enoyloxy-17,17-ethylenedioxy-5-androstene (s5)¹⁷ and (perfluorohexyl)ethylene in two solvents, 1,2-dichloroethane and perfluorobenzene, under otherwise identical conditions (Scheme 4). Importantly, it was found that in the latter medium, CM proceeded in a much more productive and selective fashion, while in the "classical" solvent, the reaction suffered from low conversion and selectivity, with the unwanted homo-cross metathesis product, p5', being formed in large quantities (Scheme 4). Finally, to determine the scope of the enabling effect of FAHs with respect to structural variations in substrates, we tested the CM reaction of acid s6, a distant relative of the fluoroquinolone antibacterial agent moxifloxacin,¹⁸ with 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene (Scheme 4). Modification at the terminal vinyl positions, leading to the corresponding tert-butyl-substituted alkenes, can be problematic in some cases.¹⁹ Therefore, we were pleased to find that our new conditions allowed a much higher vield to be obtained in this case.

In accordance with assumptions made by the groups of Fürstner,^{10b} Ledoux,²⁰ Blechert^{9c} and Collins,^{9d} we think that the formation of π - π complexes between *N*-mesityl groups of the NHC ligand that is present in second generation Ru catalysts and the FAH solvent molecules plays a role in the observed catalyst activity enhancement. Although the exact nature of the observed effect is not yet clear,²¹ we foresee its practical importance as a method for the *in situ* activation of commercial metathesis catalysts.§ We expect that this technique will find applications in the synthesis of advanced natural and biologically active compounds, where any increase in yield is of high importance, especially when the metathesis step is applied at a late stage of a total synthesis. Detailed studies on



Scheme 4 The CM of substrates s5 and s6. ^{*a*} Isolated yields after column chromatography; conversions calculated by ¹H NMR are shown in parentheses. ^{*b*} 1,2-Dichloroethane was used as a co-solvent to increase the solubility of s6 in the reaction medium; nd = not determined.

the nature and scope of the activating effect of FAHs are ongoing in our laboratory, and will be published in due course.

C. S. thanks the Foundation for Polish Science ("Ventures" Programme) for financial support.

Notes and references

[‡] Solvents used in comparative experiments were distilled from CaH₂ and stored under argon. A representative procedure for the the CM reaction of s5: To a solution of s5 (132.7 mg, 0.32 mmol) and (perfluorohexyl)ethylene (1.1 g, 3.2 mmol) in deoxygenated anhydrous hexafluorobenzene (6.5 ml) was added **2b** as a solid (0.016 mmol, 5 mol%). The resulting mixture was stirred under argon at 60 °C for 20 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure.§ The crude product was purified by flash chromatography, yielding product **p5** as a colourless solid (210.6 mg, 0.287 mmol).

§ It should be noted that the expensive fluorinated solvents can be fully recovered by distillation after reactions and reused.

- R. H. Grubbs, *Handbook of Metathesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2003.
- 2 For a review on applications in target-oriented synthesis, see: (a) K. C. Nicolaou, P. G. Bulger and D. Sarlah, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 4490; For a representative recent example, see: (b) S. Xu, H. Arimoto and D. Uemura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 5746.
- 3 For reviews on recent developments, see: (a) P. H. Deshmukh and S. Blechert, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 2479; (b) D. Astruc, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 42; (c) A. M. Thayer, *Chem. Eng. News*, 2007, **85**(7), 37.
- 4 (a) T. Seiser, F. Kamena and N. Cramer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 6483; (b) C. G. Nasveschuk, D. Ungermannova, X. Liu and A. J. Phillips, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 3595.
- 5 H. Clavier, K. Grela, A. Kirschning, M. Mauduit and S. P. Nolan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 6786.
- 6 For a review on the "enabling techniques" concept in organic chemistry, see: A. Kirschning, W. Solodenko and K. Mennecke, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 5972.
- 7 R. Kadyrov, M. Bieniek and K. Grela, Verfahren zur Metathese in electroarmen aromatischen Lösungsmitteln, *Pat. Appl.*, DE 102007018148.7, April 11, 2007.
- 8 (a) S. Imhof, S. Randl and S. Blechert, Chem. Commun., 2001, 1692; (b) Q. Yao and Y. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 74;

(c) J. C. Conrad, D. Amoroso, P. Czechura, G. P. A. Yap and D. E. Fogg, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 3634.

- 9 (a) For the activation of fluorous Ru catalysts in aliphatic fluorous media, see: R. Corrae da Costa and J. A. Gladysz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 2619; (b) R. Corrae da Costa and J. A. Gladysz, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 243; During preparation of this manuscript, two significant reports on the observed promoting effect of C₆F₆ in olefin metathesis have appeared: (c) D. Rost, M. Porta, S. Gessler and S. Blechert, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 5968; and (d) A. Grandbois and S. K. Collins, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 9323.
- 10 (a) T. Ritter, A. Hejl, A. G. Wenzel, T. W. Funk and R. H. Grubbs, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 5740; (b) A. Fürstner, L. Ackermann, B. Gabor, R. Goddard, C. W. Lehmann, R. Mynott, F. Stelzer and O. R. Thiel, Chem.-Eur. J., 2001, 7, 3236.
- 11 The Chemistry of Fragrances, ed. D. H. Pybus and C. S. Sell, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- 12 (a) H. T. Toh and W. H. Okamura, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 1414; (b) A. Zarecki and K. Grela, unpublished results.
- 13 For a review, see: S. J. Connon and S. Blechert, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, 42, 1900.
- 14 2,2-Disubstituted alkenes, such as s4, are standard models used by us for testing challenging CM reactions, see: (a) A. Michrowska, R. Bujok, S. Harutyunyan, V. Sashuk, G. Dolgonos and K. Grela, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 9318; (b) M. Bieniek, A. Michrowska, D. L. Usanov and K. Grela, Chem.-Eur. J., 2008, 14, 806.
- 15 A. Kirschning, K. Harmrolfs, K. Mennecke, J. Messinger, U. Schon and K. Grela, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, 49, 3019.
- 16 (a) B. Eignerová, M. Dracínský and M. Kotora, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 4493; (b) C. Isanbor and D. O'Hagan, J. Fluorine Chem., 2006, 127, 303.
- 17 Y. Shen and D. C. Burgoyne, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 3908.
- 18 G. Cianchetta, R. Mannhold, G. Cruciani, M. Baroni and V. Cecchetti, J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 3193.
- 19 For a representative example, see: F. Sarabia and A. Sánchez-Ruiz, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 9514.
- 20 N. Ledoux, B. Allaert, S. Pattyn, H. V. Mierde, C. Vercaemst and F. Verpoort, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 4654.
- 21 Other effects, such as the influence of solvent polarity or viscosity on the reaction, or even direct fluorine-ruthenium interactions, could also be responsible for the observed rate enhancement effect. Compare with: T. Ritter, M. W. Day and R. H. Grubbs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 11768.