Iron(III) Salt-Catalyzed Nazarov Cyclization/Michael Addition of **Pyrrole Derivatives**

Masamune Fujiwara,^a Motoi Kawatsura,^a Shuichi Hayase,^a Masato Nanjo,^a and Toshiyuki Itoh^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, Tottori University, 4-101 Koyama-minami, Tottori 680-8552, Japan

Fax: (+81)-857-31-5259; phone: (+81)-857-31-5259; e-mail: titoh@chem.tottori-u.ac.jp

Received: October 24, 2008; Revised: November 13, 2008; Published online: December 19, 2008

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.200800702.

Abstract: The Nazarov cyclization of two types of pyrrole derivatives was effectively catalyzed by 5 mol% alumina-supported iron(III) perchlorate $[Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3]$ which provided the desired cyclization with high trans selectivity in good to excellent yield. The cyclized product was next reacted with a vinyl ketone in the presence of the same iron salt to afford the corresponding Michael product. A sequential type Nazarov/Michael reaction of pyrrole derivatives has also been accomplished; the synthetic route to 4,5-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-6(1H)one derivative 5 or 5,6-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-4(1H)-one derivative 6 has thus been established using a very economical iron catalyst.

Keywords: iron(III) salts; Michael addition; Nazarov cyclization; pyrroles; sequential reactions

Iron is one of the most abundant and environmentally friendly metals on the earth and several groups have reported various types of iron metal-catalyzed organic transformations during the past decades.^[1] We have also been investigating the possibility of iron-catalyzed reactions and developed several of them, i.e., the intramolecular cyclization of cyclopropane dithioacetals,^[2] the [2+2] cycloaddition of *trans*-anethol,^[3] the [2+3] type cycloaddition of styrene deriva-tives with 1,4-benzoquinone,^[4] the 1,4-addition of β keto esters to vinyl ketones,^[5] the enantioselective Michael addition of thiols to α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds,^[6] Friedel-Crafts type alkylation of indoles^[7] or pyrroles^[8] with vinyl ketones, and, most recently, the Nazarov type cyclization of thiophene derivatives.^[9]

Nazarov cyclization is a very versatile method for the synthesis of five-membered carbocycles, and it is well known that several Lewis or Brönsted acids promote this reaction.^[9,10,11] Frontier, Eisenberg and coworkers recently reported the Ir(III) complex-catalyzed tandem Nazarov cyclization/Michael reaction.^[12] However, the Nazarov cyclization of pyrrole derivatives is not popular.^[13] although the pyrrole moiety is very common in many biologically active or functional molecules. The reason the reaction is not popular is assumed to be that pyrroles are easily polymerized in the presence of strong Lewis acid such as iron(III) chloride.^[1] We recently established that pyrrole reacted with vinyl ketones in the presence of 3 mol% of iron(II) tetrafluoroborate $[(Fe(BF_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O)]$ or alumina-supported iron(III) perchlorate ([Fe- $(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3)^{[3,8]}$ to give multi-point-alkylated products.^[8] Most notably, the 4,5-dialkylated product was obtained in good yield when 2-acetylpyrrole was reacted with an excess amount of vinyl ketones.^[8] Based on the results, we hypothesized that the tandem or sequential type of Nazarov cyclization and Michael addition of pyrrole derivatives might be possible using our iron salt catalyst system. Here we report an efficient route to access 4,5-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-6(1H)-one derivative **5** or 5,6-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-4(1H)-one derivative **6** through a step-by-step or sequential reaction pathway using an economical iron salt catalyst as illustrated in Scheme 1.

We initially attempted to optimize reaction conditions of Nazarov cyclization of **1a** to access **2a** [(Eq. (1)]. Typically, the reaction was carried out as follows:







Scheme 1. Working hypothesis for the Fe salt-catalyzed sequential type Nazarov cyclization/Michael addition.

5 mol% of iron salt and **1a** were mixed in a solvent at 60 °C and product **2a** was isolated by silica gel thin layer chromatography (TLC). We performed the reaction using various iron salts [(FeCl₂, FeBr₂, Fe(acac)₂, Fe(OAc)₂, Fe(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O, Fe(OTf)₂, FeCl₃, Fe-(ClO₄)₃·nH₂O, and Fe(acac)₃)] and solvents [toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,4-dioxane, acetonitrile, dichloroethane, MeOH, H₂O and ionic liquids]. As anticipated, the desired Nazarov cyclization proceeded very smoothly and cyclized product **2a** was obtained using an iron salt as catalyst; several important results are summarized in Table 1.

We first tested the reaction using Fe- $(ClO_4)_3$ ·Al₂O₃^[3,8] in CH₃CN because CH₃CN worked as the best solvent for the iron salt-catalyzed alkylation of 2-acetylpyrrole^[8] or indole.^[7] However, the reaction proceeded very slowly and **2a** was obtained in poor yield (entry 1); no improvement was observed even though the reaction was continued for several days. Fortunately, the desired **2a** was obtained in excellent yield (92%) when the reaction was carried out in dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) as solvent (entry 2). An

Table 1. Fe salt-catalyzed Nazarov cyclization of 1a.

X-ray crystallographic analysis of the major cyclized product **2a** revealed the *trans* relationship between the α -carbomethoxy group and the β -phenyl group (Figure 1).^[14] Interestingly, an excellent yield (94%) was recorded when the reaction was carried out in an ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethyl)sulfonylamide ([Bmim] [NTf₂]), although a long reaction time was required to complete the reaction (entry 6). Because avoidance of the use of haloalkane is necessary from environmental aspects, this feature might be quite important in future. Fe-(BF₄)₂·6H₂O worked as catalyst when the reaction was carried out under air conditions, and a prolonged reaction time (7 days) was required to complete the



Figure 1. ORTEP view of trans-2a.

Entry	Fe salt catalyst	Solvent CH ₃ CN	Temp./time	Yield ^[a] of 2a 45% (49%) ^[c]	Ratio ^[b] trans:cis	
1	$Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3$		60°C/22 h			
2	Fe(ClO ₄) ₃ ·Al ₂ O ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	60°C/4 h	92%	20:1	
3	Fe(ClO ₄) ₃ ·Al ₂ O ₃	1,2-dichloroethane	80°C/6 h	84%	25:1	
4	Fe(ClO ₄) ₃ ·Al ₂ O ₃	toluene	60°C/22 h	70% (19%) ^[c]	27:1	
5	Fe(ClO ₄) ₃ ·Al ₂ O ₃	toluene	100 °C/4 h	84%	20:1	
6	$Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3$	[Bmim] [NTf ₂]	60°C/4 days	94%	23:1	
7	FeCl ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	60°C/48 h	70%	27:1	
8	$Fe(BF_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	CH_2Cl_2	60°C/7 d	77% (11%) ^[c]	17:1	
9	Fe(ClO ₄) ₃ ·Al ₂ O ₃	THF	60°C/22 h	<30% (63%) ^[c]	_	
10	$Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3$	MeOH, H ₂ O	60°C/22 h	$0\% (100\%)^{[c]}$	-	

^[a] Isolated yield by silica gel column chromatography.

^[b] The ratio was determined by 500 MHz ¹H NMR.

^[c] Recovered yield of unreacted substrate **1a**.

124 asc.wiley-vch.de

reaction (entry 8). Iron(III) chloride also worked as catalyst (entry 7), although the result was less satisfactory compared to that of the $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ ·Al₂O₃-catalyzed reaction. No reaction took place when THF, MeOH or H₂O was used as solvent (entries 9 and 10). Therefore, use of a non-polar solvent was essential for this reaction. It was found that the Brönsted acid HClO₄ 10 mol% also worked as catalyst but the yield of 2a was poor (less than 30%) due to the formation of an unidentified by-product. Therefore it was concluded that the combination of $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3$ and CH₂Cl₂ was the most promising condition for the intended cyclization reaction of 1a. It should be noted that the reaction required no argon atmosphere and proceeded smoothly under dried air conditions. We tried to improve the chemical efficiency of the reaction by performing it at a higher reaction temperature (100 °C) in [Bmim] [NTf₂] solvent, but a significant reduction of the chemical yield was recorded because of the formation of the decarboxylated compound 4,5-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-6(1H)-one. Although this difficulty was expected to be solved by optimization of the design of the ionic liquids, we decided to optimize the further reaction conditions using CH₂Cl₂ as solvent at this stage.

We next examined the scope and limitations of the iron salt-catalyzed reaction with the various types of pyrrole derivatives $\mathbf{1}^{[15]}$ or $\mathbf{3}^{[15]}$ [(Eq. (2)]. All reactions



were carried out with 5 mol% $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3$ in CH_2Cl_2 at 60 °C under dried air conditions, and the reaction products were isolated by silica gel TLC (Table 2). The reaction of substrates **1b**, **1c**, **1l**, **1o**, **1q** which possess an electron-donating group on the benzene ring, smoothly proceeded and gave the corresponding products in excellent isolated yield (entries 1, 2, 11, 14, and 15).

Although a reduced reaction rate was observed, the desired products were also obtained in excellent yields for substrates **1d**, **1e**, **1f**, **1g**, **1h**, **1m**, and **1n** which have an electron-withdrawing group, such as a halogen, trifluoromethyl or cyano group on the phenyl group (entries 3–7, 12 and 13). On the other hand, reactions using substrates **1q**, **1r**, and **1s** which have an aliphatic group at R¹, gave somewhat decreased yields (entries 16–18). To our delight, the cyclization proceeded very smoothly when 3-substituted pyrrole derivative **3a** was used as substrate and the desired product **4a** was obtained in 91% yield after

Table 2. Fe salt-catalyzed Nazarov cyclization of 1 or 3.^[a]

Entry		Substrate (R)	Time	Yield ^[b] of 2 or 4	Ratio ^[c] trans:cis
1	1b	4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄	4 h	92%	19:1
2	1c	$4 - Me - C_6H_4$	18 h	93%	22:1
3	1d	$4-NO_2-C_6H_4$	7 days	77%	14:1
4	1e	$4-Cl-C_6H_4$	19 h	88%	14:1
5	1f	$4 - CN - C_6H_4$	60 h	90%	19:1
6	1g	$4 - F - C_6 H_4$	18 h	85%	23:1
7	1ň	$4-CF_3-C_6H_4$	36 h	82%	18:1
8	1i	$3,4,5-(MeO)_{3}C_{6}H_{2}$	90 h	90%	25:1
9	1j	$2,4,6-(MeO)_{3}C_{6}H_{2}$	3 h	75%	22:1
10	1ĸ	$3-\text{MeO-C}_6\text{H}_4$	55 h	84%	19:1
11	11	$3-Me-C_6H_4$	17 h	91%	78:1
12	1m	$3-CF_3-C_6H_4$	64 h	82%	18:1
13	1n	$2-CF_3-C_6H_4$	76 h	80%	27:1
14	10	$2 - MeO - C_6H_4$	2 h	97%	34:1
15	1p	1-naphthyl	5 h	97%	19:1
16	1q	c-hexyl	3 days	79%	>99:<1
17	1r	Et	12 h	52%	30:1
18	1s	<i>i</i> -Pr	18 h	84%	>99:<1
19	3a	C_6H_5	1 h	91%	28:1
20 ^[d]	3a	C_6H_5	17 h	92%	28:1

[a] Reaction conditions: 1 or 3 (0.25 mmol), Fe(ClO₄)₃:Al₂O₃ (0.0125 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL).

^[b] Isolated yield by silica gel column chromatography.

^[c] The ratio was determined by 500 MHz ¹H NMR.

^[d] 0.1 mol% of the catalyst was used.

just 1 h reaction (entry 19). An excellent chemical yield of **4a** was also recorded even when the amount of catalyst was reduced to 0.1 mol% (entry 20). The synthesis of 4,5-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-6(1H)-one derivative **5** or 5,6-dihydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrol-4(1H)- one derivative **6** was thus accomplished using a very economical catalysis system.

We next tested Michael reaction of 2a in the presence of Fe(ClO₄)₃·Al₂O₃ in CH₃CN solvent and found the desired product was obtained in 86% yield as a white powder [(Eq. (3)]. Interestingly, the chemical



shift of the methoxy group was observed at 3.0 and 3.8 ppm at a ratio of 15:1 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. An X-ray crystallographic analysis of the major product 5a (*cis*-5a) clearly showed the reason that the



Figure 2. ORTEP view of cis-5a.

chemical shift of the methoxy group appeared in such a high field region around 3.0 ppm.^[16] As can be seen in Figure 2, the methoxy group locates just behind the phenyl group; therefore, the signal of the methoxy group moves to a high field region due to the shield effect by the phenyl group. It was thus concluded that the Michael reaction proceeds with trans selectivity and the methoxycarbonyl group located at the cis position from the β -phenyl group. Although this Michael reaction was initially accomplished using CH₃CN as solvent,^[5] we discovered that the reaction also smoothly took place in CH₂Cl₂; this made it possible to realize the Nazarov cyclization and subsequent Michael reaction using a one-pot reaction system. Methyl 1,4,5,6-tetrahydro-6-oxo-5-(3-oxobutyl)-4-phenylcyclopenta[b]pyrrole-5-carboxylate (5a) was obtained in 88% yield through this sequential type Nazarov/Michael reaction. The reaction was carried out as follows: pyrrole derivative 1a was treated with 5 mol% of Fe(ClO₄)₃·Al₂O₃ in CH₂Cl₂ at 60 °C with stirring for several hours and the reaction course was monitored by silica gel TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature after confirmation that the starting 1a had been consumed and 2a produced, then 2 equiv. of 3-buten-2-one (7a) or acrolein (7b) were added to the reaction mixture at 0°C or room temperature. The desired Michael adduct 5a or



Scheme 2. $Fe(ClO_4)_3$: Al₂O₃-catalyzed sequential type Nazarov/Michael reaction of pyrrole derivative **1a**.

5b was obtained in 86% or 87% yield, respectively (Scheme 2). Unfortunately, a tandem type reaction was unsuccessful if a mixture of **1a** and **7a** was treated with the iron salt catalyst because the initial step required 60 °C to complete the reaction, while significant polymerization of **7a** took place under these high temperature conditions.

The sequential type Nazarov cyclization/Michael reaction was also accomplished when pyrrole derivative 3a was subjected to the reaction: product 6a was obtained in 66% yield, although further alkylated products were formed as by-products (Scheme 3).^[15] Interestingly, it was found that the Michael reaction of 4a was slower than that of 2a, although the Nazarov cyclization of **3a** proceeded faster than that of **1a**. Since the carbomethoxy group of **6a** was observed at 3.0 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum, the same *cis* relationship of the α -carbonyl group with the β -phenyl group of 6a was suggested. This assignment was supported by the results of PM3 calculation of two possible stereoisomers of cis-6a and trans-6a. The heat of formation of the optimized structure of cis-6a was suggested to be -420.036 kJ mol⁻¹, while that of *trans*-**6a** was -417.878 kJ mol⁻¹.^[15]

In conclusion, we have established an iron(III) saltcatalyzed Nazarov cyclization of two types of pyrrole



Scheme 3. $Fe(ClO_4)_3$: Al₂O₃-catalyzed sequential type Nazarov/Michael reaction of pyrrole derivative **3a**.

derivatives. The reaction was effectively catalyzed by 5 mol% $Fe(ClO_4)_3 \cdot Al_2O_3$ and the desired products were obtained in good to excellent yields. Since the cyclized products reacted with vinyl ketones to give the corresponding Michael adducts in the presence of the same iron salt catalyst, a sequential type Nazarov/ Michael reaction has been accomplished. It should be emphasized that the reaction is accomplished by a very economical and environmentally friendly iron salt as catalyst and requires no tedious argon atmospheric conditions. Further investigation of asymmetric Nazarov cyclization using a chiral iron catalyst is now in progress by our group.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of 5b through the Sequential Type Nazarov Cyclization/Michael Reaction

To a mixture of $Fe(ClO_4)_3$ ·Al₂O₃^[3,8] (60 mg, 8.8 wt% for Fe³⁺, 0.0125 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added 1a(64 mg, 0.25 mmol) at room temperature and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature after confirmation that the starting 1a had been consumed and 2a produced, then 2 equiv. of but-3-en-2-one (7a) were added to the reaction mixture at 0°C and stirred for 48 h at the same temperature. The reaction was quenched with water, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and concentrated by evaporation under vacuum. The residue was purified by preparative silica gel TLC (hexane/ethyl acetate = 1/1) to give 5a as a white solid; yield: 70 mg (0.22 mmol, 86%; 5a (*cis*): mp 251–253 °C (recrystallized from CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.18$ (3H, s), 2.41–2.48 (1H, m), 2.57-2.65 (2H, m), 2.80-2.87 (1H, m), 3.04 (3H, s), 4.35 (1H, s), 6.15-6.17 (1H, m), 7.14-7.16 (2H, m), 7.23-7.29 (2H, m), 7.42–7.44 (1H, m), 10.94 (1H, brs, N-H); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 28.69, 30.01, 38.49, 51.00, 51.39,$ 71.44, 106.61, 127.51, 127.94, 128.86, 134.05, 134.18, 138.14, 152.62, 170.68, 188.32, 207.93; IR (KBr): v=3293, 1740 (CO), 1711 (CO), 1680 (CO), 1449, 1398, 1381, 1269, 1219, 706 cm^{-1} .

Compound **5b** was also prepared through the same route in 87% yield from **1a**.

Supporting Information

Detailed experimental procedures and ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral data for new compounds (**2a–2s**, **4a**, **5a**, **5b**, and **6a**) are available in the Supporting Information.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for scientific research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References

- [1] C. Bolm, L. Legros, J. Le Paih, L. Zani, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217–6254.
- [2] H. Ohara, K. Kudo, T. Itoh, M. Nakamura, E. Nakamura, *Heterocycles* 2000, 52, 505–510.
- [3] H. Ohara, T. Itoh, M. Nakamura, E. Nakamura, *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, 624–625.
- [4] a) H. Ohara, H. Kiyokane, T. Itoh, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 3041-3044; b) T. Itoh, K. Kawai, S. Hayase, H. Ohara, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 4081-4084; c) T. Itoh, H. Uehara, K. Kawai, S. Hayase, H. Ohara, M. Oyama, *Analytical Mechanistic and Synthetic Organic Electrochemistry 6th International M. Baizer Award Symposium in Honor of Dennis H. Evans and Masao Tokuda*", (Eds.: J. Lessard, P. Hapiot, I. Nishiguchi), The Electrochemical Society, Inc. USA, 2004, pp 9–12, ISBN 1–56677-423-3.
- [5] H. Uehara, S. Nomura, S. Hayase, M. Kawatsura, T. Itoh, *Electrochemistry* 2006, 74, 635–638.
- [6] a) M. Kawatsura, Y. Komatsu, M. Yamamoto, S. Hayase, T. Itoh, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, *48*, 6480–6482;
 b) M. Kawatsura, Y. Komatsu, M. Yamamoto, S. Hayase, T. Itoh, *Tetrahedron* 2008, *64*, 3488–3493.
- [7] T. Itoh, H. Uehara, K. Ogiso, S. Nomura, S. Hayase, M. Kawatsura, *Chem. Lett.* 2007, *36*, 50–51.
- [8] M. Kawatsura, M. Fujiwara, H. Uehara, S. Nomura, S. Hayase, T. Itoh, *Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *37*, 794–795.
- [9] M. Kawatsura, Y. Higuchi, S. Hayase, M. Nanjo, T. Itoh, Synlett 2008, 1009–1012.
- [10] For recent reviews of Nazarov reaction, see: a) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 6479–6517; b) A. J. Frontier, C. Collison, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 7577–7606.
- [11] For selected examples, see: a) S. E. Denmark, T. K. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2642-2645; b) T. K. Jones, S. E. Denmark, Helv. Chim. Acta 1983, 66, 2377-2396; c) K.-T. Kang, S. S. Kim, J. C. Lee, J. S. U, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3495-3498; d) W. He, X. Sun, A. J. Frontier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14278-14279; e) M. Janka, A. He, A. J. Frontier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6864-6865; f) M. Janka, W. He, I.E. Haedicke, F.R. Fronczek, A.J. Frontier, R. Eisenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5312-5313; g) J. Huang, A. J. Frontier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8060-8061; h) G. Liang, S. N. Gradl, D. Trauner, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4931-4934; i) G. Liang, D. Trauner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9544-9545; j) C. Bee, E. Leclerc, M. A. Tius, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4927-4930; k) V. K. Aggarwal, A. J. Belfield, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 5075-5078; 1) I. Walz, A. Bertogg, A. Togni, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2650-2658; m) J. Nie, H. W. Zhu, H.-F. Cui, M. Q. Hua, J.-A. Ma, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3053-3056; n) D. Song, A. Rostami, F. G. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12019-12022; o) D. J. Kerr, C. Ketje, B. L. Flynn, Chem. Commun. 2003, 1380-1381; p) Y. Wang, A. M. Arif, F. G. West, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 876-877; q) M. Rueping, W. Ieawsuwan, A. P. Antonchick, B. J. Nachtsheim, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 2143-2146; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2097-2100.

- [12] M. Janka, W. He, I. E. Haedicke, F. R. Fronczek, A. J. Frontier, R. Eisenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 5312–5313.
- [13] a) C. Song, D. W. Knight, M. A. Whatton, Org. Lett.
 2006, 8, 163–166; b) J. A. Malona, J. M. Colbourne, A. J. Frontier, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5661–5664.
- [14] CCDC 706556 (**2a**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallo-

graphic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_re-quest/cif.

- [15] For details of the sequential type Nazarov/Michael reaction, and the preparation of substrates 1a–1s, and 3a, see the Supporting Information.
- [16] CCDC 706750 (5a) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_ request/cif.